

Issue key	Issue Type	EAC Priority	Status	Summary	Requirement #(s) & Text	Description	Resolution
HV26-6	Functional	5-Informational	Discrepancy Not Resolved	Help Screen information does not correlate for "Shared Device Behavior"		On the Data/Build system the help screen for the: "Configure Settings > Election Settings > Shared Device Behaviors" is inaccurate. The help screen states "Require user to view all choices in each contest" however what is actually displayed is "Required voters to view all choices in each contest".	This discrepancy describes a variance between what is displayed on the Data/Build system for the help screen in: "Configure Settings > Election Settings > Shared Device Behaviors" vs what is actually displayed on the workstation screen.  SLI Compliance considers this insignificant because the intent of the phrase does not vary significantly. As the lowest level of importance, and since it is not a voter facing device, we consider this discrepancy optional to fix and Hart chose not to address the discrepancy.
HV26-5	Functional	4-Insignificant	Discrepancy Addressed	Central vDrive cannot be read into the Count system	2.4.3 Producing Reports All systems shall provide capabilities to: i. Provide a means to extract information from a transportable programmable memory device or data storage medium for vote consolidation.	For a contest that contains both 10 voting types and an election where candidates are marked as "Make the choice non-votable". The central vDrive would be rejected when trying to tabulate it into the Count Client.	SLI was capable of enabling the setting on the hard drive causing the Central vDrive to not be read.
HV26-4	PCA TDP	4-Insignificant	Discrepancy Addressed	Verity 2.6 Implementation Statement References to Verity 2.5	1.6.4 Implementation Statement The voting system implementation statement describes the voting system and documents the VVSG Volume 1 requirements that have been implemented by the voting system. It can also identify optional features and capabilities supported by the voting system, as well as any extensions (i.e., additional functionality beyond what is required in the guidelines). The implementation statement must include a checklist identifying all the requirements for which a claim of conformance is made. The implementation statement must be submitted with the vendor's application to the EAC for national certification testing. It must provide a concise summary and narrative description of the voting system's capabilities. It shall include identifying information about the voting system, including the hardware and software components, version number and date.	The Verity 2.6 Implementation Statement contains a few erroneous references to Verity 2.5.  _Verity 2.6 Implementation Statement 4005685 A01.pdf_ pg. 8 section 3.2 pg. 20 section 4.1 pg. 25 Verity Data and Build applications, both version and date need to be updated pg. 27 Verity Count application, both version and date need to be updated pg. 28 Verity Relay application, both version and date need to be updated	The Verity 2.6 Implementation Statement 4005685 A03 contains corrected system version references and build application dates. This discrepancy has been resolved.
HV26-2	PCA TDP	5-Informational	Discrepancy Addressed	Typo in Verity 2.6x COTS List		Typo in Verity 2.6x COTS List.pdf - the title of the document at the top of the page should be 2.6 not 2.5.	The updated TDP delivered 2/11/21 contains an updated COTS list with the typo corrected.
HV26-1	PCA TDP	5-Informational	Discrepancy Addressed	Typo in Verity 2.6 VVSG 1.0 TDP Trace Document		Verity 2.6 VVSG 1.0 TDP references Workstation Win 10 Creation Process Document which is not included in the TDP. This document appears to have a typo in the reference to Verity 2.0, as the latest procedure referenced should be from Verity 2.5.	The Verity 2.6 VVSG 1.0 TDP Trace.pdf in TDP dated 2/11/21 corrects the reference for Workstation Win 10 Creation Process Document Verity 2.0.pdf to Workstation Win 10 Creation Process Document Verity 2.5.pdf.