## **Federal Financial Report**

**Program Name:** Election Security

**Grantee Name:** Nevada Secretary of State **Report Name:** Federal Financial Report

**Funding/Grant Period:** EAC-ELSEC22NV

**Report Period:** 10/01/2021 to 09/30/2022 **Report Status:** Submission Accepted by CO

U.S. Election Assista	nce Commission		OMB Number: 3265-0022 Expires 04/30/2025
		ANCIAL REPORT ACFFR)	·
1. Federal Agency and Org. Ele U.S. Election Assistance Commis	ement to Which Report is Submitted	2. Federal Grant or Other Identifying I Agency (To report multiple grants, use FFR Atta	
		EAC-ELSEC22NV	
3. Recipient Organization (Nam	ne and complete address including Zip o	code)	
Recipient Organization Name Nevada Secretary of State	e: 		
Street1: 101 N Carson St STE 3			
Street2:			
City: Carson City		County: CARSON CITY	
State: NV			Province:
Country: United States		<b>Zip 5:</b> 89701	<b>Zip +4:</b> 3714
<b>4a. UEI</b> YJEDE68DU2B9	<b>4b. EIN</b> 886000022	5. Recipient Account Number or Identifying Number (To report multiple grants, use FFR Attachment)	6. Report Type  Quarterly Semi-Annual Annual Final
7. Basis of Accounting	8. Project/Grant Period	_	9. Reporting Period End
C Cash C Accrual	From: 03/23/2018	<b>To:</b> 09/30/2099	Date (Month, Day, Year) 09/30/2022
10. TRANSACTIONS (Use lines a-c for single or multi	Cumulative		
Federal Cash: (To report multiple	grants, also use FFR attachment)		
a. Cash Receipts			\$10,083,287.00
b. Cash Disbursements			\$3,883,514.34
c. Cash on hand (line a minus b)			\$6,199,772.66
Federal Expenditures and Unoblig	gated Balance: Do not complete this section	if reporting on multiple awards.	
d. Total Federal funds authorized			\$10,083,287.00
e. Federal share of expenditures	\$3,883,514.34		
f. Federal share of unliquidated obligations			\$28,971.83
g. Total Federal share (sum of line e plus line f)			\$3,912,486.17
h. Unobligated balance of Federal funds (line d minus g)			\$6,170,800.83
Recipient Share: Do not complete	this section if reporting on multiple awards.		•
i. Total recipient share required			\$1,374,999.00
j. Recipient share of expenditures			\$1,374,999.00
k. Remaining recipient share to	be provided (line i minus j)		\$0.00
Program Income: Do not complete	this section if reporting on multiple awards	S	*
l. Total Federal program income	e earned		\$0.00
m Program income evnended in	accordance with the deduction alternative	0	\$0.00

n. Program Income expended in accordance with the addition alternative	\$0.00
o. Unexpended program income (line l minus line m and line n)	\$0.00
Federal Interest:	
p. Total Federal interest earned	\$241,684.89
q. Federal interest expenditures	\$0.00
r. Remaining Federal interest to be expended (line p minus q)	\$241,684.89
11. Indirect Expense	v

a. Type	b. Rate	c. Period From	Period To	d. Base	e. Amount Charged	f. Federal Share
	0.00%			\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			g. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

L	Type	Rate	Period From	Period To	Base	Amount Charged	Federal Share
		0.00%			\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
				g. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
F	12. Remarks:						

12. Reliains.	
a. State Interest Earned: Enter the current year amount earned (not cumulative)	\$0.00
b. State Interest Expended: Enter the current year amount expended (not cumulative)	\$0.00
c. Program Income Earned: Enter the current year amount earned. (not cumulative)	\$0.00
d. Program Income Expended: Enter the amount of Program Income expended in the current year (not cumulative)	\$0.00
a Program Income Farned Breakdown. List each source of program income individually next to each amount (federal interest earned is not program	

income).

Source of program income		Amount	Delete
e. 1		\$0.00	
	Total:		\$0.00

f. Comments: Attach any explanations deemed necessary or information required by Federal sponsoring agency in compliance with governing

13. Certification: By signing this report, I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the report is true, complete, and accurate, and the expenditures, disbursements and cash receipts are for the purposes and objectives set forth in the terms and conditions of the Federal award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent information, or the omission of any material fact, may subject me to criminal, civil or administrative penalties for fraud, false statements, false claims or otherwise. (U.S. Code Title 18, Section 1001 and Title 31, Sections 3729-3730 and 3801-3812).

a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official Ashley Griffitts	c. Telephone (Area code, number and extension)
Certification Title Management Analyst III	d. Email address agriffitts@sos.nv.gov
b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year) 01/26/2023

**Report Attachment (For reporting multiple grants)** 

14. List Information below for each grant covered by this report.				
Federal Grant Number	Recipient Account Number	Cumulative Federal Cash Disbursement		
		\$0.00		
TOTA	AL (Should correspond to the amount on Line 10b on Page 1)	\$0.00		

## **Progress Report**

Program Name: Election Security

Grantee Name: Nevada Secretary of State

**Report Name:** Progress Report

Funding/Grant Period: EAC-ELSEC22NV

**Report Period:** 10/01/2021 to 09/30/2022 **Report Status:** Submission Accepted by CO

U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION	OMB CONTROL No.: 3265-0022 Expiration Date: 04/30/2025
	Progress Report
	Section I: Cover Page
	Grant Information
1. State or Territory Nevada Secretary of State	2. Federal Grant or Other Identifying Number Assigned by Federal Agency EAC-ELSEC22NV
3. Grant Type:	
C 101 C 251 Election Security Other [e.g., CARES]	
Describe Other	
	Report Information
4. Report Type:	·
☐ Semi-Annual	
Describe Other	
5. Report Period	
Start Date (Month, Day, Year) 10/01/2021	End Date (Month, Day, Year) 09/30/2022
Section	n II: Progress and Narrative
period ending September 30 should cover the previous I start of the grant.	31 should describe the activities of the previous six-month period and reports due for the 12- month period. Final reports should cover the entire performance period from the ://www.eac.gov/payments-and-grants/financial-progress-reporting erefore, your report narrative should:
	od and explain how you implemented the approved grant activities in accordance with your State ith your category expenditures in Section IV and you may use those categories as headings in your
Check if no activity during this reporting period.	
registration system. In addition, HAVA funds were used to program. The expenditures are discussed in more detail bel Audits (RLA), as required by NRS 293.394. As previously use their Arlo Risk Limiting Audit software to conduct piloused to conduct two additional pilots for a recall election at HAVA funds to equip the counties to enable them to partic	riod were used to pay for activities associated with developing a new top-down voter pay staff and contractor salaries and benefits to support all categories of the HAVA grant ow. Election Auditing HAVA funds were used to continue the pilot program for Risk Limiting reported, the State contracted with VotingWorks, a nonprofit and nonpartisan organization, to its using data from the 2020 General Election. In this reporting period, additional funds were ad for a school bond ballot measure election. As reported earlier, the State has also used inpate in ballot comparison risk-limiting audits. In order to conduct a ballot comparison audit on present purchased imprinters for their tabulation equipment. The imprinters print a unique serial

registration system. In addition, HAVA funds were used to pay staff and contractor salaries and benefits to support all categories of the HAVA grant program. The expenditures are discussed in more detail below. Election Auditing HAVA funds were used to continue the pilot program for Risk Limiting Audits (RLA), as required by NRS 293.394. As previously reported, the State contracted with VotingWorks, a nonprofit and nonpartisan organization, to use their Arlo Risk Limiting Audit software to conduct pilots using data from the 2020 General Election. In this reporting period, additional funds were used to conduct two additional pilots for a recall election and for a school bond ballot measure election. As reported earlier, the State has also used HAVA funds to equip the counties to enable them to participate in ballot comparison risk-limiting audits. In order to conduct a ballot comparison audit on the mail ballots, jurisdictions using Dominion election equipment purchased imprinters for their tabulation equipment. The imprinters print a unique serial number on each scanned ballot, making retrieval easier. Two counties purchased imprinters in this reporting period and were reimbursed using HAVA funds via subgrants. The remaining counties imprinter purchases will be reported in subsequent reporting periods. The next step in the pilot program is to conduct a statewide audit with all of the jurisdictions participating. To date, the State has conducted RLA pilots with single jurisdictions at a time, with one target contest for each audit. The goal, however, is to have all of the counties participate in a single audit where there is (1) a statewide contest and every single ballot cast in that contest has an equal chance of being selected for the audit and (2) a specific countywide target contest for each county. In the next reporting period, the State will report the expenditure for the annual contract with Voting Works for the Arlo Risk Limiting Audit software. The State will conduct an RLA after the 2022 Primary Elect

and election management solution (VREMS) as detailed in the 2021 Annual to assist with the VREMS Discovery Project. The goals of the VREMS Discovery existing business systems and processes, develop high level requirements, an				
including a recommendation on staffing strategy and timeline, and develop a the procurement committee selected Gartner, Inc. to serve as the assessment	roadmap for moving forward. The RFP was published in March 2021 and			
worked with the State and the counties to gather requirements and to perform a gap analysis and readiness assessment. This project took the majority of time for a contract project manager, two contract business analysts, and multiple full-time employees. During the 2021 Legislative Session, the Nevada				
Legislature passed Assembly Bill 422, which codified the requirement of implegislature also passed Assembly Bill 432, which requires multiple third-par	plementing a top-down voter registration system by January 1, 2024. The			
7. Provide a description of any training conducted, including security training.	ty agencies and			
Check if no training was conducted during this reporting period.				
The State used the knowbe4.com website to conduct online annual cyber sect	, ,			
Revised Statutes 293.875. The State is also developing a statewide team of I about vulnerabilities, tools, and training opportunities. As discussed in response	nse to Question 12, the high rate of turnover has made it apparent that the			
State needs better training manuals and a training program for state and coun statewide Elections Procedure Manual and is also developing a training program.	ram. The state also funded the attendance of multiple CERA training events			
for state employees and an employee attending an election mail workshop. The enhanced the educational foundations of the elections division. The workshop is the elections division.				
processes and procedures by the USPS in order to further improve our statew	ide processes.			
8. Report on the number and type of articles of voting equipment obtained with t				
Check if no voting equipment purchased during this reporting peri #1 Cont'd - organizations to become automatic voter registration agencies in				
jurisdictions and are necessary to help the State of Nevada continue to innova- continue to be pursued through future reporting cycles as well in order to mit funds were also used to cover personnel funds for work that improves the ad- categories. Finally, the State shifted some allocations from previous reports t because the previous characterization was incorrect.	porting period, Gartner completed its assessment and the State made its delection management system. The State, with Gartners assistance, is State Purchasing division in order to pursue a contract vehicle based on an orities is to improve the auditing of the statewide voter registration list to formation Center (ERIC) is extremely helpful in this endeavor and annual were previously reported under the Election Auditing category. However, the the Voter Registration Systems category than Election auditing, which da has revised this categorization and is reporting an update along with this orized as relating to Cyber Security when they were more appropriate to estate described its statewide multifactor authentication (MFA) project and attinue monitoring these projects. As reported above, the State shifted some then they were more appropriate to Voter Registration Systems. In addition, was reimbursed by State general funds allocated as a result of Assembly Bill Federal Elections Additional funds were used to send full time staff to rectors, the Election Center, and the International Association of vital networking and information sharing among election officials from other and improve election processes. These training opportunities will igate the significant exodus of state and county elections officials. HAVA ministration of federal elections but does not otherwise fit into the defined			
9. Subgrants (if applicable)				
Check if no subgrants were made during this reporting period.				
Describe the activities carried out by your subgrantees during the report During this reporting period, the State awarded \$6,167.10 in subawards to rethe risk-limiting audits.	0 <b>.</b>			
10. Provide a breakdown of aggregate sub-award expenditures across m please populate the field with $\$0.00$ Total expenses will automatically cal				
Category	Subaward Federal Expenditures			
Voting Equipment	\$0.00 \$0.00			
Voter Registration Systems	\$0.00			
Election Auditing	\$6,167.10			
Cyber and Physical Security	\$0.00			
Voter Education	\$0.00			
Accessibility	\$0.00			
Other:	\$0.00			
Total	\$6,167.10			
11. Match (if applicable)				
Check if match not required.				
Describe how you are meeting or have met the matching requirement. Nevadas total required state match is \$1,374,999.00. As of this reporting periwas previously met in 2018 with a cash contribution from the States general required match amount (details previously reported in the 2018 Grant Report among all 17 counties to assist with paying for annual voting system license.	fund with the purchase of additional voting equipment equivalent to the Narrative). Additionally, \$1,161,113.00 in State funds was distributed			
Section Section III: Ch	allenges and Changes			
12. Issues Encountered				

Check if no major issues encountered during this reporting p	period.	
(Each number corresponds to a numbered Resolution) 1. The first issue these cuts, the State had to begin funding two additional election staff adeployment of the MFA software and hardware quite difficult as most some rethinking of policy regarding the MFA project but had no impact difficult to further implement the Isolated/Dedicated project, which haprocesses. 4. As discussed above, the scope of the VREMS project has with the counties, and the advice of the assessment vendor. The State stransitioning from a bottom-up to a top-down system, while also addin significant undertaking. 5. There has been a significant turnover of election to the project of the seeking reelection this year or may lose their reelectionly 7 of 17 county election officials will still be in place in February 2 amount of time being diverted toward helping the counties accomplish	e was the realization of significant state budge salaries with HAVA grant funds. 2. In addition county and state staff were working from hore to on the financial aspect of the project. 3. The dot be delayed while implementing the other significantly grown as a result of recent legis seeks to ensure that this project is approached ag direct interfaces with other voter registration citions and IT staff at the State and counties, a tection campaigns. Now that the election resu 2023 who went through the 2020 election cyc	on, the COVID-19 pandemic made me. The need for VPN access required a COVID-19 pandemic also made it time-sensitive election-related slation, investigation of requirements thoughtfully and carefully since in agencies, is a complex and ind more is expected as several of the lts have been canvassed, it is clear that le. This is resulting in a significant
Describe how and whether the issues were resolved. Also, briefly 1. While this was not the most difficult problem to solve, it did require those salaries back to the States general fund in the 2023 Legislative S MFA software and hardware, and now the only part that is still awaitin project is on hold due to shifting prioritization of projects within the IT completion of the Isolated/Dedicated project within the next reporting project, and the State will be seeking funding from the Legislature. 5. "will be complex, expensive, and lengthy. It will include additional train processes based on legislative changes, all focused on the upcoming el county budgets in order to facilitate this increased need for training and 13. Describe any significant changes to your program during the reporting developments that improved program efficiency and/or service delivery.	some rearranging of planned funds. Efforts he ession which will affect the 2024 fiscal year. In implementation is activating MFA for the S privision of the Secretary of States Office. 3 period. 4. The HAVA funds will not be suffice. The solution to the significant turnover of electing and educational opportunities and many lection cycles. When appropriate, HAVA grand deducation and it will be reported in subsequence.	ave begun to transition most or all of 2. The counties have all implemented State staff. At this time, that part of the 3. The State plans to move forward on cient to meet all of the needs of this citions officials at the state and county discussions about current and to-be at funding will be used to enhance ent reporting periods.
Check if no significant changes were made during this report	ting period.	
Section I	IV: Expenditures	
Expenditures should be consistent with the action your financial reports. (EAC uses the different calculate current period expenditures).	•	
Categories	Federal	State Match
Voting Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.0
Voting Processes	\$0.00	\$0.0
Voter Registration Systems	\$623,341.64	\$200,000.0
Election Auditing	-\$4,043.79	\$0.0
Cyber and Physical Security	-\$90,985.92 \$3,552.65	\$0.0
Voter Education Accessibility	\$3,552.65 \$0.00	\$0.0
OtherImprove admin of fed elections, Personnel/Fringe and Operating Costs	\$297,496.38	\$0.0
TOTAL	\$829,360.96	\$200,000.0
Section V:	Final Assessment	
The final progress report is your opportunity to share the significal project achieved. The report should cover the entire period of perf		formation about the results your
15. Self-Assessment - Assess whether the goals set out in your State any needs that were not met or ongoing/under resourced areas for		
- 5 5		ng the grant program. Highlight
16. Impact and Achievements - Describe how this grant program is successes.	future consideration.	
16. Impact and Achievements - Describe how this grant program is	future consideration.  mpacted elections in your state/territory. E	lighlight your accomplishments and

Title Management Analyst III

Email Address agriffitts@sos.nv.gov

18. Name and Contact of the authorized certifying official.

First and Last Name Ashley Griffitts

19. Signature of Certifying Official

Phone Number

