The Election Validation Project: Increasing Trust in Elections Through Audits, Standards, and Testing

Jennifer Morrell 2018 Election Assistance Commission Data Summit July 12, 2018

Public Trust In Elections

"The American electorate deserves a modern, votercentric election system that runs efficiently and inspires trust in electoral outcomes."



Post-election data...

Who are your voters and how do they behave?

- Demographics
- Party preference
- Turnout rates
- Date and time ballot cast
- Method of voting (mail or in-person)
- Geo-mapping

How well did you communicate with your voters?

- Voter registration new forms and updates
- Voter hotline logs
- Website/social media analytics
- Signature discrepancy rates
- Provisional ballots quantity and reason
- Undelivered ballots
- Adjudication rates and reasons
- Data from ambiguous marks

Are your processes and training effective?

- Ballot style assigned versus ballot style received
- Cost and processing times
- Poll worker surveys
- Poll worker hotline/troubleshooting logs
- Inventory of ballots and supplies
- Time for individual polling locations to close down

How effective was your planning and resource allocation?

- Total number of voters who voted by date, time, method
- Number of voting machines and check-in stations
- Length of time to check in and vote a ballot
- Allows you to calculate average wait times and throughput
- USPS IMB data

Were ballots handled & tabulated correctly?

- Ballot reconciliation logs
- Ballot manifest
- Cast vote record (CVR)
- Adjudication audit logs
- Chain of custody reports



- October 17, 1846 William Morton administers ether as a general anesthesia for surgery
- Within **2 months** of being published it was being used in every capitol in Europe
- **6 years** later it was being used in every hospital in the country
- Visible and immediate affect could be recognized right away
- Not only good for the patient but good for the doctor, allowing surgeons to be meticulous

A win/win for **both** patient and doctor made it spread virally!

- October 26, 1877 Joseph Lister performs a surgery to demonstrate "antiseptic surgery" using carbolic acid
- It cut infections by 85%
- A generation later still less than half the profession using it
- It was pain now for a gain later
- Required changing the culture of surgery by convincing people to take action on something with delayed visible benefits

How can we lessen the pain now and highlight the **long-term benefits** of post-election audits and testing?



Give me a playbook and I'll give you an audit...

- Plain language definitions
- Clearly outline necessary steps and deadlines
- Calculate the workload
- Checklists
- Tools and templates
- Practice, practice, practice
- Collaboration reigns supreme!

American Institute of CPAs (AICPA)

"One of the challenges that management and auditors face is obtaining accurate data in a usable format following a repeatable process."

AICPA working group developed **voluntary**, **uniform audit data standards** that identify key information needed for audits and provide a common framework:

- data file definitions and technical specifications
- data field definitions and technical specifications
- supplemental questions and data validation routines to help auditors better understand the data and assess its completeness and integrity



Organizing & Tracking

- Documented plan for tracking and reconciling ballots
- Pre-determined size for each batch of ballots

Ballot Storage Containers

- Pre-determined ballot/batch capacity with unique ID
- Thoughtful ballot storage/container staging essential

Ballot Manifest

• Pre-formatted with scanner ID and batch numbers

PRE-SCANNING

□ Ballot Scanning

- Verify number of ballots scanned matches tracking form
- Consider printing a unique ballot ID number on each ballot
- Batch size matters!!

Ballot Manifest

- Excel spreadsheet,
- Independent of the
- Formatted to show

Ballot Manifest

A map or legend of how individual ballots and number of ballots s batches of ballots are stored

DAILY SCANNING & RECONCILIATION

	А	В	С	D	Е
1	County	Device ID	Batch	# of Ballot	Location
2	Arapahoe	3	1	100	ICC 02-1
3	Arapahoe	3	2	100	ICC 02-1
4	Arapahoe	3	3	100	ICC 02-1
5	Arapahoe	3	4	100	ICC 02-1
6	Arapahoe	3	5	100	ICC 02-1
7	Arapahoe	3	6	100	ICC 02-1
8	Arapahoe	3	7	100	ICC 02-1
9	Arapahoe	3	8	99	ICC 02-1
10	Arapahoe	3	9	99	ICC 02-1
11	Arapahoe	3	10	100	ICC 02-1
12	Arapahoe	3	11	100	ICC 02-1
13	Arapahoe	3	12	99	ICC 02-1
14	Arapahoe	3	13	100	ICC 02-1
15	Arapahoe	3	14	99	ICC 02-1
16	Arapahoe	3	15	100	ICC 02-1
17	Arapahoe	3	16	100	ICC 02-2
18	Arapahoe	3	17	99	ICC 02-2
19	Arapahoe	3	18	100	ICC 02-2
20	Arapahoe	3	19	99	ICC 02-2
21	Arapahoe	3	20	100	ICC 02-2

BALLOT MANIFEST

COUNTY	SCANNER	BATCH	BALLOTS	BIN
Fremont	03	01	49	17
Fremont	03	02	50	17
Fremont	03	03	52	18
Fremont	03	04	48	18

Ballot Storage

- Pre-format container labels with batch number and number of ballots scanned (can be populated from ballot manifest)
- Affix container label and security seals
- Poll Workers sign and date label, record seal numbers
- Move to staging area

Reconciliation

- Compare daily totals from Ballot Manifest, voting system batch report (CVR), and voter database/poll book
- Research and resolve discrepancies

DAILY SCANNING & RECONCILIATION

1	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	ĸ	L	M	N	0
1	2017 Arap	5.2.16.1													
2								City of Aur	City of Au	City of A	Au City of A	u City of A	u City of Au	City of Au	L City of Auro
3								Brian E. Arr	Dave Gru	k Reid He	tti Allison H	il Tim Huff	n Abel Laek	Tom Tobi	Russ Wagne
4	CvrNumbe	Tabulator	BatchId	RecordId	Imprinte	d Precinc	tPort BallotTy	pe							
5															
6	44851	3	97	70	3-97-70	627380	3212 4	11							
7	44852	3	97	71	3-97-71	628400	3450 5	51 0	0)	0	1	0 0	1	L C
в	44853	3	97	72	3-97-72	627370	3332 4	14							
9	44854	3	97	73	3-97-73	627380	3191 - 4	10							
0	44855	3	97	74	3-97-74	627370	3239 4	43							
.1	44856	3	97	75	2 97 75	629260	2546	20 1	-		0	n i	1 0		
2	44857	3	97	8											F
.3	44858	3	97		1.00	1.00			12.000		7410	0.12.204	Sec. Setu		1923
.4	44859	3	97		CvrNu	mbeT	abulator	BatchId	Reco	ordId	Imprin	ted Pre	ecinctPo	ort Ball	otType
5	44860	3	97	5							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				C
.6	44861	3	97		0,222	States of	100		Staat	15.55	6	n (1997)	en state and a	45.07	10.375
.7	44862	3	97	5	44	851	3	9	7	70	3-97-70	627	7380321	21	41
.8	44863	3	97	,	11	852	3	0	7	71	3-97-7	629	3400345	0	51
9	44864	3	97		44	0.52	3	2	1	/1	5-57-7.	020	9400343	01	
0	44865	3	97	04	3-37-04	028400	54301	<u>, 1</u>	-	,	0		0		,
21	44866	3	97	85	3-97-85	627370	3332 4	14							
22	44867	3	97	86	3-97-86	626380	3167	38							

CAST VOTE RECORD

Upload CVR and Ballot Manifest to audit tool

• Hash utility used for security

Retrieving Ballots for Audit

- Audit tool returns list of ballots randomly selected for audit
- List can be sorted by storage container number and batch number for ease in retrieving ballots

Ballot Card Verification

 Audit team blind enters voter markings into audit tool for CVR comparison

Δυριτ

It's a path... not an immediate destination



How do we deal with some of the more complicated issues like ballots scanned at a precinct polling location or voter anonymity, when we struggle to communicate the core terms?

Batch-Level Comparison Audits	Ballot-Level Comparison Audits	Ballot Polling Audits
Batches corresponding to individual precincts or voting machines are randomly selected. The votes in each selected batch are audited by hand, and the audit counts are compared to the original tabulation totals.	Individual ballots are sampled, audited, and compared to how each was interpreted in the tabulation.	Individual ballots are sampled and audited, and the audited vote totals are used to assess the tabulation counts and outcomes. Ballot polling does not directly identify mistabulated ballots, but in some cases is the easiest method for verifying contest outcomes.

How can we use post-election data to focus on prevention rather than intervention?

> Why only audit/test voting equipment? Why not audit and validate other critical components of the election system?

Post-Election Audits – Tell Me More

- 1 state conducts a performance audit
- 2 states require process improvement based on audit outcome
- 3 states require an independent auditor or statistician
- 3 states establish the ballot sample size based on the margins of the race
- 3 states require risk-limiting audits

Collaborative of State and Local Election Professionals and Subject Matter Experts

- Identify points in the election system for additional pre- and post-election audits
- Provide tools and guidelines for a repeatable process
- Identify key information and data for making improvements and measuring success
- Assistance for implementation

We need to become audit experts!

- Confidently explain our needs and our process to policy makers, voters, vendors, and staff
- Nomenclature and definitions matter
- Logistics and process matter
- One size does not fit all
- We need a central repository of guidelines and information vetted by election officials

State and local election offices now employ a number of experts...

- Legal
- Communication/Social Media
- Data Analyst
- Information Technology

Why not experts in auditing and quality control?

We need to be pit crews...not cowboys

Feasibility of shared, customizable, risk-limiting audit tool

"Americans can and should expect their electoral system to be a source of national pride and a model to all the world"

- NATIONAL COMMISSION ON FEDERAL ELECTION REFORM, 2001

Jennifer Morrell

jmorrell@democracyfund.org Mobile: (801) 528-8928

@votinggeek



ELECTION VALIDATION PROJECT