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ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

Publication of State Plan Pursuant to the Help America Vote Act

AGENCY: U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to sections 254(a)(11)(A) and 255(b) of the Help America Vote Act (HAVA), Public Law 107–252, the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) hereby causes to be published in the Federal Register material changes to the HAVA State plans previously submitted by Alaska, Florida, Minnesota, New Jersey, Nevada, Oregon, and South Dakota.

DATES: This notice is effective upon publication in the Federal Register.


Submit Comments: Any comments regarding the plans published herewith should be made in writing to the chief election official of the individual State at the address listed below.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 24, 2004, the U.S. Election Assistance Commission published in the Federal Register the original HAVA State plans filed by the fifty States, the District of Columbia and the Territories of American Samoa, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. 69 FR 14002. HAVA anticipated that States, Territories and the District of Columbia would change or update their plans from time to time pursuant to HAVA sections 254(a)(11) through (13). HAVA sections 254(a)(11)(A) and 255 require EAC to publish such updates.

The revised State plans from Florida, New Jersey, Oregon, South Dakota, Nevada, and Alaska address material changes in the respective budgets of the previously submitted State plans. South Dakota and New Jersey submitted certifications under HAVA section 251(b)(2) allowing the State to use requirements payments for activities other than meeting the requirements of Title III. Minnesota and Oregon have provided updates to how the states plan to meet the Title III requirements, including implementation of the HAVA section 301 voting system standards and the HAVA section 303 statewide voter registration list.

In accordance with HAVA section 254(a)(12), all the State plans submitted for publication provide information on how the respective State succeeded in carrying out its previous State plan. The States all confirm that these material changes to their respective State plans were developed and submitted to public comment in accordance with HAVA sections 254(a)(11), 255, and 256. Upon the expiration of thirty days from April 25, 2008, the States are eligible to implement the material changes addressed in the plans that are published herein, in accordance with HAVA section 254(a)(11)(C).

EAC wishes to acknowledge the effort that went into revising this State plan and encourages further public comment, in writing, to the State election official listed below.

Chief State Election Officials
Ms. Gail M. Fenuniai, Director, Alaska State Division of Elections, 240 Main Street, Suite 400, P.O. Box 110017, Juneau, Alaska 99811–0017, Phone: (907) 465–4611, Fax: (907) 465–3203

The Honorable Kurt Browning, Secretary of State, Department of State, R.A. Gray Building, Room 316, 500 South Bronough Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399–0250, Phone: (850) 245–6500, Fax: (850) 245–6125, E-mail: secretaryofstate@dos.state.fl.us

The Honorable Mark Ritchie, Secretary of State, 180 State Office Building, 100 Martin Luther King Blvd., St. Paul, Minnesota 55155–1299, Phone: (651) 201–1324, Fax: (651) 215–0682

Ms. Donna Kelly, Assistant Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Law and Public Safety, Division of Law, 25 Market Street, P.O. Box 112, Trenton, New Jersey 08625–0112, Phone: (609) 599–6877, Fax: (609) 292–0690

Mr. Matthew M. Griffin, Deputy Secretary for Elections, Office of the Secretary of State, 101 N. Carson Street, Suite 3, Carson City, Nevada 89701–4786, Phone: (775) 684–5708, Fax: (775) 684–5725

The Honorable Bill Bradbury, Secretary of State, 136 State Capitol, Salem, Oregon 97310–0722, Phone: (503) 986–1523, Fax: (503) 986–1616

The Honorable Chris Nelson, Secretary of State, State Capitol, Suite 204, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota 57501–5070, Phone: (605) 773–3537, Fax: (605) 773–6580, E-mail: sdsos@state.sd.us

Thank you for your interest in improving the voting process in America.

Dated: April 9, 2008.

Rosemary Rodriguez, Chair, U.S. Election Assistance Commission.

BILLING CODE 6820–KF–P
State of Alaska

HAVA State Plan
2008 Updated

As required by Public Law 107-252, Help America Vote Act 2002, Section 253 (b)

February 6, 2008

United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005

Dear Commissioners:

In accordance with section 255 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA), I am pleased to file with the United States Election Assistance Commission (EAC), for publication in the Federal Register, this letter and the enclosed 2008 State of Alaska HAVA State Plan.

As required by section 254(a)(12) of HAVA, Section 12 of Alaska’s State Plan as amended, describes the material changes that Alaska made to the State Plan filed in 2005. The 2008 Alaska State Plan contains material changes throughout each section of the Alaska State Plan. In addition, Sections 6 and 8 contain important information on how Alaska’s budget to implement the requirements of HAVA changed and how Alaska succeeded thus far in meeting the specific HAVA requirements.

The amendments to the Alaska State Plan were developed in accordance with section 255 of HAVA and the requirement for public notice and comment prescribed by section 256 of HAVA.

On behalf of the State of Alaska, I thank the EAC for its assistance and look forward to our continued collaboration to improve the administration of elections in Alaska.

Sincerely,

Gail Fenumiai
Director

Enc: 2008 State of Alaska HAVA State Plan

Gail M. Fenumiai, Director
Alaska State Division of Elections
240 Main Street, Suite 400
P.O. Box 110017
Juneau, Alaska 99811-0017
(907) 465-4611
“Although Alaska initially had few changes to make to our election laws, our voter registration system, and election equipment to comply with HAVA, Alaska has improved, and will continue to improve. Our election processes will comply with both state and federal legal requirements in order to maintain public trust and confidence in the election process and ensure that democracy is upheld through the ballot box.”

Lieutenant Governor Sean Parnell, State of Alaska
ALASKA STATE PLAN INTRODUCTION

The Lieutenant Governor of Alaska is responsible for the overall direction of the Division of Elections. The Lieutenant Governor appoints a director and under the Director of Elections, the Division is responsible for planning, implementing and conducting all statewide and federal elections as well as all voter registration activities and maintenance of Alaska's voter registration database.

The Division is divided into four geographically-based election regions managed by Election Supervisors. The Election Supervisors are responsible for voter registration and election management activities for all elections within their region, as designated by the Director. In addition to the four regional offices located in Juneau, Anchorage, Fairbanks and Nome, the Division has opened a satellite office of the Region II Elections Office in the fastest growing municipality in Alaska, the Matanuska-Susitna Borough. The Division also opened an Absentee and Petition Office in Anchorage to facilitate and improve absentee voting by mail and by fax. The Region II satellite office in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough and the Absentee office were both opened in the spring of 2006 and continue to provide improved access to voter registration and voting.

Alaska Statute Title 15 and Alaska Administrative Code Title 6 govern the federal and state election process. Alaska falls under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 which requires U.S. Department of Justice preclearance for any substantive change in the election process that directly affects the voter.

The Division of Elections maintains a statewide electronic voter registration mainframe database, implemented in 1985, referred to as the Voter Registration and Election Management System (VREMS). Each election office has real-time access to VREMS for viewing and updating voter information. The Division processes all voter registration applications in VREMS and assigns each applicant a unique voter registration number. Immediately upon entering information in the system, any state election office can view the information processed. Because the old mainframe system is antiquated, the Division began working on the development and implementation of a new pc-based, statewide voter registration database in 2005. Although the new system is not completed, the Division has prepared the technical requirements of a new system and will continue our work on the development of a new system.

Alaska has nearly 470,000 registered voters. According to the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, the estimated voting age population in 2005 was 454,226. Alaska attributes its inflated registration rolls to the fact that Alaska Statute Title 15 allows a person who is temporarily out of state to remain registered in Alaska if that person has the "intent" to return. Because of Alaska's Permanent Fund Dividend program benefits, many Alaskans choose to maintain their Alaska residency even if they currently live outside the state.

Voter registration is available in each state election office and other numerous locations throughout the State of Alaska. The Director of Elections has appointed as voter registration agencies all Division of Motor Vehicles offices, Public Assistance offices, Armed Forces Recruitment offices, Municipal Clerks' offices, and various offices that provide services to persons with disabilities. In addition to the registration agencies, voter registration is available in most libraries throughout the State, village council offices, schools, the University of Alaska, and through individually appointed voter registrars. Voter registration applications are also available on the Division's website www.elections.alaska.gov for easy access.

Individuals may register to vote in person, by mail, by fax machine or by scanning a completed voter registration application and sending via email. Voters must be registered to vote at least 30 days before an election. If a voter’s registration application is incomplete, the Division notifies the voter in writing and provides the voter an opportunity to complete a new voter registration application. The only exception to the registration deadline is during Presidential elections. A voter may register and have their vote count for President and Vice President on Election Day.

Alaska has 40 State House districts and 20 State Senate districts. Within these districts, there are 439 precincts, each with a designated polling place. Following is a breakdown of voter turnout during the past several general elections:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Turnout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>50.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>60.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alaska has 151 rural communities with precincts that are isolated from connecting road systems; the only way to access these communities is by airplane or boat. Of the 439 precincts in Alaska, 34 have 100 or fewer registered voters.

In 1998, the Division of Elections replaced all punch card voting systems used in statewide and federal elections with an Optical Scan voting system. Since that time, Alaska has and continues to expand the use of the Optical Scan voting system throughout the State. There are 149 hand count precincts and 290 Optical Scan precincts, all of which use a uniform paper ballot regardless of how the ballots are tallied. All absentee, questioned, and special needs ballots voted in Alaska’s primary and general elections are counted using the Optical Scan ballot tabulators.

In addition to hand count and Optical Scan units, Alaska makes available a touch screen voting unit in every precinct during an election in which a federal race appears on the ballot to comply with the requirements of HAVA. The touch screen voting units, which were first introduced during the 2006 Primary election, allow voters with disabilities the ability to cast a private and independent ballot. In accordance with Alaska Statute, each touch screen voting unit is equipped with a voter-verifiable paper audit trail (VVPAT). The VVPAT is considered the “official ballot” during recounts. With the implementation of touch screen voting, the Division has and continues to develop a variety of forms, brochures and instructions in an effort to train election officials and educate the public about touch screen voting.

In 2003, the Division of Elections updated many sections of the election law to comply with HAVA, namely HB 266, signed into law by the Governor on June 16, 2003. This bill addressed improving the questioned ballots (Alaska’s form of provisional voting), the definition of a questioned voter, voter registration, training of election officials, preparation of election materials, forms, and supplies for polling places, voter identification, absentee voting, and counting ballots. In 2005, HB 94 passed additional amendments also affecting voting. These bills updated several forms to conform with HAVA standards, specifically:

a) a new voter acknowledgement card;
b) a revised by-mail ballot return envelope;
c) a revised by-mail ballot return envelope used by military and overseas voters;
d) a revised voter ballot envelope used by voters who must submit proof of identification when voting by mail;
e) a revised poster instructing voters how to complete the ballot and providing information regarding questioned voting used during primary and general elections;
f) a revised poster displaying specific election information, and how to report fraud;
g) a revised poster which details the types of identification which voters may present when voting in person;
h) an informational flier regarding questioned voting used during primary and general elections, and
i) a new voter registration application, questioned and absentee-in person voted ballot envelope.

There are several alternative voting methods available to Alaska voters who are unable to vote at their assigned polling place. For many voters in remote areas of Alaska the only voting method available is by mail absentee ballot.

Absentee By Mail—Any qualified voter in Alaska may apply to receive an absentee ballot by mail. Alaska Statute 15.20.081 was amended in 2003 to improve accommodation for absentee uniformed services and overseas voters to allow a single absentee by mail ballot application to be valid through the next two general elections. In addition, this statute was further amended in both 2005 and 2006, to reduce the number of witness signatures required on an absentee by mail ballot from two witnesses to a single witness and to change the deadline for when an absentee by mail ballot application must be received by the Division. The deadline changed from seven days prior to an election to ten days prior to an election.

Early and Absentee In Person Voting—Beginning 15 days prior to Election Day, any qualified voter may vote early in the office of the Election Supervisor overseeing the jurisdiction where the voter is registered. In addition to early voting, any qualified voter may vote an absentee in person ballot through an absentee voting official. Alaska has numerous absentee voting locations available throughout the State, and all locations are published on the Division’s website. The dates and times of the absentee voting locations were advertised in local papers, and all absentee voting locations are listed in the Official Election Pamphlet that is mailed to every household where there is a registered voter. An absentee voting location may have ballots available to voters for a single, multiple, or all 40 house districts.
Absentee By Fax Voting – Beginning 15 days prior to Election Day, any qualified voter may apply for a faxed ballot. Absentee by fax applications are available on the Division’s website, from any elections office, and in the Division’s election pamphlets that are mailed to all voters. When a voter chooses to vote via fax, the voter is faxed a ballot to the fax number specified, and the voter may return the voted ballot either by fax or by mail. Alaska Statute 15.20.066 was amended in 2005 to require only one witness signature to sign and attest to the date on which the voter signs the certificate.

Special Needs Voting – If a voter is unable to vote at his or her assigned polling place due to age, illness, or disability, the voter may assign a personal representative to pick up and deliver the ballot and other voting material to the voter. After the voter votes the ballot, the representative returns the voted ballot to the election official. Special Needs voting is available at the polls on Election Day or through any absentee voting official.

Questioned Voting – Questioned, or Provisional, voting is available for any voter who does not have identification and is not personally known by the election official, or whose name does not appear on the precinct register at the polling place where the voter is attempting to vote. Following an election, questioned ballots are delivered to the appropriate regional election office for verification in the statewide voter registration database of eligibility before being counted.

Each absentee, questioned, and special needs ballot cast is placed inside a secrecy sleeve and then sealed inside an envelope. The outside of the envelope contains voter information: name, address, identifier, and signature. A bipartisan review board located in each regional office reviews the voter’s ballot envelope, the data is entered into the voter registration database, and the envelope is assigned a sequence number. At the time the ballot envelope is reviewed, the registration database searches for other voting activity by that voter for the same election and reports if the voter has voted more than once.

In addition to conducting all statewide and federal elections, the Division of Elections is also responsible for conducting elections in areas of the State that are not incorporated into municipal governments. These elections include rural school board, coastal resource area, liquor option, incorporation, dissolution and consolidation elections. In 2004, the Division conducted a by mail election for Alaska Seafood Marketing Institute as well. Although the Division is not responsible for conducting local municipal elections such as those for borough assembly or city council, it provides voter registration lists, precinct registers and voter history for municipal elections. The Division also assists municipalities by providing for the use of the Division’s polling place equipment and in some areas, ballot counting equipment.

Since the 2005 State Plan, the State has become compliant with more Help America Vote Act (HAVA) requirements such as:

• An accessible touch screen voting unit, equipped with a voter verifiable paper trail, is available in every precinct during elections where a federal race appears on the ballot.
• The requirements for a new statewide voter registration database have been developed to replace the antiquated, existing voter registration database, and the Division continues to work on implementation of this new database.
• With new office locations in Anchorage and the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, the Division is even more accessible to voters.
• The Division has expanded its HAVA section to include a HAVA Election Systems Manager position responsible for the overall supervision and administration of the Division’s HAVA program and a new HAVA Program Assistant position responsible for improving polling place accessibility and outreach to the disability community statewide.
• Other projects, such as the development of the Division’s media plan, will increase voter participation and outreach.

The Alaska State Plan is organized as specified in HAVA, Section 254, providing a description of current election procedures used in Alaska and outlining how Alaska will meet the requirements mandated by HAVA.
Section 1. Title III Requirements and Other Activities

How the State of Alaska will use the requirements payment to meet the requirements of Title III, and, if applicable under section 251 (a)(2), to carry out other activities to improve the administration of elections.

1. A Section 301(a), Voting Systems Standards Requirements

The State of Alaska transitioned from a punch card voting system to an Optical Scan voting system in 1998. Prior to 2006, the State used a hybrid of two types of voting systems in its 439 established polling locations: 149 precincts use a hand count paper ballot system, and 290 precincts use an Optical Scan (Accu-Vote OS 2000) paper ballot system. Since the 2005 State Plan, the State has transitioned an additional 2 communities from hand count to Optical Scan and has implemented the use of one touch screen voting unit in each polling place and each early voting location. The State will continue to expand the use of the electronic voting system in hand count precincts to improve the overall administration of elections. Absentee and questioned ballot counting is also conducted using the Optical Scan voting system.

In 2002, the State enacted legislation requiring that the new voting systems purchased allow voters with disabilities or visual impairments to use the systems privately and independently. With the passage of HAVA, the State was required to purchase DRE units for each established polling location. The Division requested and received a capital appropriation for FY04 to purchase 55 Accu-Vote touch screen voting units. The Division used HAVA funds to purchase an additional 45 units, bringing the statewide total to 100 touch screen units. In July, 2006, the Division purchased an additional 405 units, bringing the statewide total to 505 touch screen voting units. Every unit is equipped with a voter verifiable paper audit trail (VVPAT), which allows the voter to confirm their selections before casting their ballot. The touch screen voting units were first used statewide during the 2006 Primary election, and were used again during the 2006 General election. The touch screen voting units will be used during Primary and General elections for state and federal offices.

The touch screen voting units provide a variety of accessible features for blind and visually impaired voters, including: alternative language capability, headsets offering audio ballots, as well as keypad and stylus options for voters with dexterity difficulties. The Division will also work to add an audio translation of Alaska’s unwritten native languages to the touch screen voting units.

The State purchased transport cases for the touch screen voting units in May 2006 and used them during the 2006 election year. The transport cases were intended to provide a stable platform and secure container in which to ship the touch screen voting units through the United States Postal Service to Alaska’s remote and often frigid polling locations. The transport cases were sent on small aircraft and upon arriving in many communities were transported to the polling place by ATV. Many cases returned damaged due to the transportation methods available in rural Alaska. The Division is currently looking into whether the damaged cases should be repaired, or if an entirely new case should be designed to better handle the shipping stress to and from remote polling locations.

Transporting the touch screen units and training election officials to use the new technology in rural Alaska is a significant expense to the Division for each election the voting units are used. The Division provided extensive training programs for election staff in preparation for the 2006 election cycle and will continue to improve upon our training programs. The manufacturer of the touch screen units, as part of the contract, will continue training division staff in the proper use of the new equipment.

During the summer of 2006, the Division hosted public demonstrations in Juneau, Anchorage and Fairbanks to familiarize the public with the units, and educate voters on the accessible features of the touch screen units. The Division advertised the demonstrations using local newspapers, media releases, public service announcements, the Division’s website and through personal invitation. Representatives from local disability agencies were contacted, and invited to attend the demonstration. During the event, people were invited to try out the machines and cast a sample ballot. Brochures, instructions and forms were available for the public to learn more about the touch screen units. Feedback received during the demonstrations helped prepare better instructions on how to train election workers on the touch screen unit. Separate demonstrations were also held for state legislators and media members to report accurately to Alaska’s constituency on the use of the new voting equipment.

Due to the increased public scrutiny of electronic voting systems, Alaska has continuously had to defend the testing, security and auditing processes used in Alaska to ensure safe and accurate elections. With recent studies that have identified vulnerabilities in the voting system used in Alaska and
elsewhere, the Division will begin working with the University of Alaska to review the testing and security procedures used in Alaska and make recommendations for improvements. The Division intends to implement the findings and recommendations provided by the University of Alaska in anticipation of the 2008 Primary and General elections.

Maintenance

To accommodate and house the 505 touch screen voting units, the State acquired additional storage space. Heated and accessible storage space was needed for the elections offices as well as some of the communities that have multiple units stored at their locations. In some cases, the Division relocated regional offices to ensure access to the new voting equipment.

The Division has developed procedures for the shipping and storage of the touch screen voting units to the polling locations. Election workers in these rural locations are responsible for setting up and operating the units; training and familiarity is very important. The touch screen voting units are shipped by small bush plane and then may be transported to the polling place by four-wheeler, snow machine, dog sled or by foot on dirt paths to the polling locations. Based on the 2006 election cycle, the Division found that the cost to ship the touch screen voting units one way to rural locations was $55 per unit.

In 2006, the Division created procedures to contract with rural election workers to store the touch screen voting units in the community between the Primary and General elections in order to avoid significant damage and costs associated with the transport of the voting units. Based on the contract, the election worker agrees to:

1. Provide heated storage of the touch screen voting unit and printer in a secure, locked location;
2. Charge the touch screen voting unit battery, and conduct an election readiness check on the voting unit and printer for use in the General Election as directed by the regional supervisor;
3. Deliver the touch screen voting unit and printer to polling place for the General Election;
4. Deliver the touch screen voting unit and printer to the US Postal Service for return shipment after Election Day, or as directed by the regional supervisor.

In return, the State of Alaska pays the election worker a storage fee of $75.00 after the election, provided that the election worker complies with the terms of the agreement.

The State budgets for increased costs associated with shipping election materials to polling locations. Currently the State mails election materials (ballots, supplies, etc.) to its remote polling locations. In addition to these election materials, each precinct receives a touch screen unit.

Due to transportation issues, limited space and lack of resources available in the Division’s Nome office, the Division developed a program to utilize the Matanuska-Susitna Borough satellite office for storage, maintenance and shipping of the touch screen units used in the polling places assigned to the Nome region.

The Division has begun design of an inventory and equipment maintenance program to ensure that all election equipment is maintained and repaired in a timely manner. In addition, the Division will need to develop a program and procedures for modification to the voting system based on EAC certification. In preparation for the 2008 election year, staff in the regional offices and the satellite and absentee offices are tasked with conducting routine maintenance inspections and identifying potential problems on the units before breakdowns occur. The inventory system will increase user accountability and allow for better equipment tracking.

1. B Section 302, Provisional Voting and Voting Information Requirements

The State currently has a provisional voting process established, known as “Questioned Voting.”

State law requires that any voter who votes at a polling location where his or her name does not appear on the precinct register, or if the voter does not have identification and is not personally known by the election official, to vote a questioned ballot.
In 2004, the Division established a toll-free access system to provide voter information. This system allows the voter to determine if his or her questioned ballot was counted and, if not counted, why the vote or a portion of the vote did not count.

The Division has two toll free-access systems, as outlined below:

a. The Division currently uses an interactive toll-free telephone system that allows voters to determine their assigned polling place based on their current voter registration record. Additionally, voters can determine their party affiliation for determining ballot type eligibility during the Primary Election. At this time, the Division has not expanded the current polling place locator system to include ballot count automation. The Division plans to implement this system in the future with the new voter registration system.

b. The Division provides a toll-free telephone number that allows voters to determine whether their questioned ballot was counted. When a voter casts a questioned ballot, the election official provides them with written instructions on how to access the system to determine whether their ballot was counted, partially counted, or rejected.

In addition, the Division anticipates that in 2008 voters will have the opportunity to check the status of their absentee ballot application and verify their vote count by using an absentee ballot locator portal on the Division’s website.

In accordance with Alaska Statute, the Division sends a letter to each absentee and questioned voter whose vote was not counted or was only partially counted. The Division will continue this practice in addition to the systems outlined above.

**Voting Information**

The Division of Election, under current State law, is required to mail an *Official Election Pamphlet (OEP)* to each registered voter’s household prior to the General election. In addition, the Division distributes to each registered voter’s household a *Primary Voter Pamphlet* if a ballot measure appears on the Primary ballot.

State law requires full public notice of an election (AS 15.15.070). This public notice is achieved through newspaper advertisements and posting notices in communities that do not have newspapers of general circulation. Advertisements include information regarding the date and time of the election, the offices up for election or retention, absentee voting, any questions or propositions that appear on the ballot and information on polling place changes. Other methods of informing voters include radio advertisements, media releases and conferences, public service announcements, direct mailings, and information posted on the Division of Elections website. In addition, there are sample ballots, posters, informational flyers and instructions posted in polling locations as well as at all elections offices.

The Division modified registration, questioned and absentee voting forms as well as other election materials to meet HAVA requirements. In 2003, the Division submitted the forms to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights Division and received preclearance. The Division will continue to make modifications to election materials based on “best practices” produced by the EAC.

1. C Section 303, Computerized Statewide Voter Registration List Requirements and Requirements for Voters Who Register By Mail

Alaska has a statewide voter registration and election management system (VREMS) in use; however, it is not a fully interactive system. VREMS is an antiquated system, maintained in the Natural programming language and is on a mainframe. As technology has advanced, it has become difficult for the Division to find programmers knowledgeable in Natural programming.

In 2005, the Division prepared and released a request for proposal for vendors to develop and implement a new statewide voter registration system. Since that time, the Division has worked with a vendor on the development of a new registration system that will meet updated technology requirements and allow for better management of the State’s voter registration and election processes. Although the system was not fully implemented by the anticipated date of December, 2007, the importance of upgrading the voter registration system is not diminished and the Division will continue to assign resources to the development of the system.
Section 2. Alaska's Distribution of Requirements Payment

How the State will distribute and monitor the distribution of the requirements payment to units of local government or other entities in the State for carrying out the activities described in paragraph (1), including a description of --

(A) The criteria to be used to determine the eligibility of such units or entities for receiving the payment; and

(B) The methods to be used by the State to monitor the performance of the units or entities to whom the payment is distributed, consistent with the performance goals and measures adopted under paragraph (8).

(A) The State of Alaska, Division of Elections conducts all Federal elections. Therefore, there will be no distribution of requirement payments to local governments or entities.

The Division serves Alaskans through four regional offices located in Juneau, Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Nome in addition to the Matanuska-Susitna satellite office and the Absentee & Petition Office in Anchorage. The Division of Elections manages funds necessary for improving the elections' system, voter registration, voter access and education, outreach, and to ensure the regional needs are met and that the State remains in compliance with the Act.

The criteria will be measured in terms of achieving compliance while maximizing improvements to all aspects of the election process, as well as the responsible use of available funds. The Division will use standard financial reporting and accounting practices to track expenditure of authorized funds.

(B) The Division monitors the funds in accordance with the statewide performance measures adopted under section 254 (a)(8). The Division centrally manages the distribution of all funds appropriated to the Election Fund, including but not limited to the requirements payments. Alaska incorporates priorities and timelines into the budgeting process to ensure it implements mandates and improvements in a wise and timely manner.

The Division of Legislative Audit annually audits the State of Alaska. The Statewide Single Audit is conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America;
Section 3. Voter Education, Election Official Education and Training, and Poll Worker Training

How the State of Alaska will provide for programs for voter education, election official education and training, and poll worker training which will assist the State in meeting the requirements of Title III.

Voter Education and Outreach

Voter education and outreach efforts in Alaska vary depending on the type of election and level of changes affecting voters. The Director’s Office coordinates all outreach efforts for statewide and federal elections. These outreach efforts include numerous public notices, newspaper and radio advertising, public service announcements, direct mail, other publications (such as brochures and pamphlets), speeches and presentations, and continuous direct contact with the statewide media. As an ongoing effort to provide voter education and outreach to Alaska’s minority language groups, the Division will continue to make improvements to the language assistance plan.

When a ballot measure appears during a Primary election, the Division distributes a Primary Voter Pamphlet (PVP) to every registered voter household in Alaska. The PVP contains detailed information regarding the ballot measure(s) and general information regarding the election. Before every General election, each registered voter household in Alaska is mailed an Official Election Pamphlet (OEP). The Division of Elections produces a specific guide for each of the four election regions. The OEP contains information about candidates appearing on the ballot (including photographs), information about the retention of judges (including photographs), information about ballot measures (including statements of support and opposition), sample ballots, polling place information, absentee and alternate voting information, voter assistance information, and election district maps. The election pamphlets are translated onto an audio tape which is made available at the State Library and the regional offices so the election information contained in the pamphlets is in an accessible format for disabled voters. The Division also prepares and distributes a Filipino (Tagalog) version of every pamphlet to voter’s registered in House District 36, in compliance with Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 1973c.

In addition to printed materials, the Division’s website provides a vast array of information on voter registration, election issues, election results, historical information and electronic versions of all election pamphlets. In 2005, the Division launched a new, streamlined website to increase public
access and usability. New additions to the website include information on the touch screen voting unit, expanded explanations of voting methods, and increased information on ballot measures and the initiative process. The Division will continue to expand the website, and plans to add additional polling place accessibility and language assistance information to the website’s offerings.

The Division provides an interactive toll-free telephone system for voters to obtain their polling place location, and determine their party affiliation. An additional, separate toll-free system allows voters to determine whether their questioned ballot was counted.

As new voting systems and election laws are implemented, Alaska continues its voter outreach efforts. With the implementation of the new touch screen voting units in 2006, demonstrations were held in locations around the state to educate voters on the accessible features and security measures of the units. The Division targeted the demonstrations specifically at assisting the disability community. Local disability agencies were contacted, and invited to attend the demonstrations. Furthermore, the Division prepared supplemental brochures for election officials which review proper etiquette for voters with special needs. The Division’s HAVA Program Assistant will continue to prepare and conduct outreach demonstrations during future elections.

Before implementing a new, complex Primary Election system in 2002, Alaska instituted a well-funded, comprehensive outreach plan aimed at educating voters specifically about primary ballot choices. Division staff continues to provide voters with information on what ballots are available during each Primary election, and who is eligible to vote each ballot.

The Division strives to enhance its educational efforts through positive relationships with the media. The Division is currently working to develop a Media Plan, which will detail the Division’s outreach programs. The Division continues to participate in radio and television interviews and responds to questions from the print media regularly, beginning several months before a statewide election.

The Division recognizes the need to enhance its outreach and communications program to continue educating the voting public. The Division currently offers a variety of methods to communicate with and educate the public, including:

- Launching a redesigned website for increased accessibility and usability.
- Providing two toll-free access systems for voters to obtain voter registration and election information as well as determine whether their ballot was counted.
- Publishing the Division’s election security and testing procedures.
- Coordinating public outreach/training with organizations assisting the disability community on use of the new touch screen voting units.
- Developing the Youth Vote Ambassador Program, where students have the opportunity to serve as election poll workers on Election Day.
- Creating two new Division offices in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, and Anchorage to better serve voters.
- Improving Election Day signage, including signs that help voters identify the accessible features available at the polling place.
- Increasing public outreach through advertisements, public service announcements and media releases and taking steps to provide copies of all outreach in required minority languages.

In addition to the efforts described above, the Division continues to strive to:

- Implement an interactive statewide database.
- Coordinate voter education and awareness efforts with Alaska Native organizations and community groups, including groups providing services to individuals with disabilities.
- Target voter education efforts to address the needs of the disability community and individuals with alternative language needs.
- Develop a college student voter education program.
- Provide awareness training for all Division employees and election officials to recognize the special needs of voters with disabilities.
Election Official Training

Election officials are essential to achieve an efficient, secure, and reliable election process. In Alaska, the four regional election supervisors are responsible for providing a comprehensive training program to election officials in their respective regions prior to statewide and federal elections. Training needs are determined by the election supervisors and are community-based and targeted towards the following election officials:

- Precinct election boards
- Absentee voting officials
- Accu-Vote coordinators
- Accu-Vote field workers
- Absentee ballot review boards
- Questioned ballot review boards
- Regional Accu-Vote boards
- State Review Board

With the implementation of touch screen voting, Alaska recognized the need to re-shape its precinct election boards to improve the election process. Prior to 2006, Alaska used a precinct election board consisting of an election chairperson and election judges. The chairperson is responsible for supervising the overall election activities in the polling place. In 2006, an additional worker was added to each precinct election board as the "co-chairperson". The co-chairperson was the election worker responsible for the touch screen voting unit in that polling place.

Improving and implementing statewide training resources and procedures is an ongoing process improved upon each election year. Working as a team, the HAVA section and the four election supervisors develop uniform training materials that are coordinated with election official handbooks and distributed with Election Day ballots and supplies. All training materials and handbooks are created for the type of ballot counting utilized at each precinct: hand count or Optical Scan. In addition, every precinct receives training on and instructional materials for the touch screen voting unit. Among the materials developed for use with the touch screen units are: the Touch Screen Voting Unit Handbook, the Touch Screen Voting Unit Brochure, Touch Screen Voting Procedures Poster and the Touch Screen Opening and Closing Instructions. In 2006, the regional offices conducted hands-on training sessions in two modules; election procedures and equipment procedures. The equipment procedures module provided an opportunity for election workers to experience the operation of the touch screen voting equipment and practice setting it up, voting, printing election results and taking the unit down. This module added an additional 2-3 hours to each training session.

Alaska faces many unique challenges when providing election official training, especially for precinct election boards in the field on Election Day. Due to the State’s vast size and the large number of precincts not connected by a road system, our training programs are categorized into two groups: urban and rural.

Prior to 2004, in order to train precinct polling place officials in rural Alaska, Election Supervisors and their assistants spent several months prior to an election traveling in small bush planes, ferries and boats to Alaskan communities to conduct training. Due to limited flights scheduled to these remote communities, chartered flights were often required and the election training official could train only one community per day. With 439 precincts throughout the state, the challenge to provide training is formidable. Because traveling for training was so time intensive, election workers were trained so far in advance of the election that training materials were not always finalized. In addition, election workers may not have been appointed or agreed to serve in all precincts, and those who did receive training may not retain the procedures at the time of the election.

During the 2004 and 2006 election year, the Division used “hub training” for the training of its election workers in rural areas of Alaska. The purpose of this training method is for the Division to more effectively train election workers closer to Election Day. When utilizing “hub training”, the Division sends the chairperson and co-chairperson from each selected rural precinct to one larger, more “central” community where all the workers are trained simultaneously. The chairperson and co-chairperson then return home and train the election judges at their precinct. “Hub training” has enabled the Division to train rural election workers closer to Election Day, and has provided an improvement to the conduct of elections in rural areas of Alaska.
Urban-based training is generally conducted closer to the Election Day. However, travel is required to some urban communities and these officials may be trained 30 – 45 days before the election. Election boards in Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau and Nome are trained one-two weeks prior to the election. In urban areas, training sessions for precinct election boards are usually conducted with multiple election boards present. In some areas, training is presented using a PowerPoint presentation.

With the many challenges the Division faces to properly train election workers, handbooks for the various types of officials are critical to their ability to conduct their duties correctly. The Division continues to develop the handbooks in such a way that if an election official has not received in person training, the official will still be able to clearly understand and implement proper procedures on Election Day by receiving telephone instructions and looking at the handbooks.

In an effort to ensure all election officials have the training and resources available to conduct successful elections, the Division started to implement a systematic approach to training that includes:

- Development of a new regional and community-needs comprehensive statewide training plan. This includes improved training methods and the hiring of regional training coordinators, bringing the rural-based training closer to Election Day.
- Improvement of training and Election Day materials and handbooks that are more flexible, accommodating updates and changes. New materials include information on the touch screen voting units and awareness training materials for assisting voters with disabilities.
- Continued research for implementation of better election officials training options, including DVD and VHS videos, interactive web-based training, and conference style training.
- Recognizing the differences between urban and rural training and coordinating training needs, methods, and approaches with various Alaska Native organizations to obtain input and guidance on delivering training to individuals with diverse cultural backgrounds.
- Incorporating recommendations provided by the EAC for successful practices for poll worker recruitment and training.

Office Expansion

Using HAVA funds appropriated in 2002, the Division of Elections created three new elections offices across the State. Opened in the spring of 2006, the offices provide additional ways for voters to access the Division, and allow for more staff to focus on improving HAVA compliance. The three new office locations – the Absentee & Petition Office in Anchorage and the two satellite offices in Kenai and the Matanuska-Susitna Borough – employ a total of 6 full time employees. In 2006, the satellite offices prepared for the Primary and General elections by doing tasks such as voter registration and outreach.

In 2007, it was determined that the Kenai satellite office had not been utilized by the public as had been initially projected, and was closed in October, 2007. The Matanuska-Susitna satellite office and the Absentee & Petition Office remain funded by HAVA due to their heavy usage by voters. The staff and resources in the offices are utilized entirely for the preparation of the 2008 election year and for improving the election process. During the 2007 year, projects for the offices included: developing a statewide media plan, testing and repairing voting equipment and assisting with polling place accessibility surveys in preparation for the 2008 election cycle. Between June 2007 and January 2008, the Division performed monthly tracking and submitted to the Election Administration Commission quarterly reports justifying the use of HAVA monies to fund the offices. In addition, the reports identified what progress was made on each project. In preparation for 2008 Presidential election, the Absentee and Petition Office will be contacting all military and overseas voters to verify the ballot mailing address on file is still accurate.

Accessibility

The Division continues to improve accessibility in polling places across the State. In August 2007, the Division created a HAVA Program Assistant position using Health and Human Services accessibility grant funds. The HAVA Program Assistant’s responsibility is to focus on the improvement of voter accessibility, including: creating and maintaining the statewide accessibility information database, conducting polling place accessibility surveys, updating the Division’s website, and the purchasing and administration of all polling place equipment.

Prior to the 2008 election cycle, the HAVA Program Assistant will work with other election officials to survey newly established polling places as well as older locations to find areas where accessibility
equipment can enhance the polling location. With the help of the State ADA Coordinator, the Division will identify equipment needs for each location, and make arrangements for the purchase and installation of accessibility equipment prior to the 2008 Primary election. The HAVA Program Assistant will also work with the Alaska Disability Law Center to survey Alaskans with disabilities on their satisfaction with the voting process.

If significant accessibility improvements are made to polling places statewide, the Division may choose to include a summary of polling place improvements in the Official Election Pamphlet (OEP) to educate voters on the enhancements. A summary of changes may also be incorporated on the Division’s website.

Section 4. Voting System Guidelines and Processes

How the State of Alaska will adopt voting system guidelines and processes which are consistent with the requirements of Section 301.

In 2005, the Alaska Legislature enacted Alaska Statute 15.20.910, which provides for “Standards for voting machines and vote tally systems.” Under this law, the Division Director may approve voting systems or vote tally systems depending on relevant factors, including whether the Federal Election Commission (FEC) has certified the system to be in compliance with the voting system standards approved by the FEC. According to State law, the voting system or vote tally system must be equipped with a voter verifiable paper audit trail (VVPAT).

The Division meets all of the voting system requirements required under HAVA as outlined in the attached matrix. The State’s touch screen voting units are equipped with a VVPAT that can be used during any recount or election contest. The VVPAT also allows for compliance with Alaska Statute 15.15.430(a)(3) which now requires that, “unless a ballot for an election district contains nothing but uncontested offices, a hand count of ballots from one randomly selected precinct in each election district that accounts for at least five percent of the ballots cast in that district.” According to Alaska Statute, if there is a difference of over one percent between the results of the hand count and the results certified by the election board, the entire house district must be recounted. The hand count procedure was first used in the 2006 Primary election, and again during the 2006 General election. During the 2006 election cycle, the hand count results did not differ significantly from the results certified by the election board, and a district-wide recount was not necessary for any race.

To maintain compliance with the Act and Alaska Statute, Alaska will continue providing one touch screen voting unit in every polling location during elections where a federal race appears on the ballot.
## VOTING SYSTEM STANDARDS

### REQUIREMENTS

Each voting system used in an election for federal office shall meet the following requirements:

**(1) IN GENERAL**

(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the voting system (including any lever voting system, optical-scanning voting system, or direct recording electronic system) shall--

(i) permit the voter to verify (in a private and independent manner) the votes selected by the voter on the ballot before the ballot is cast and counted;

(ii) provide the voter with the opportunity (in a private and independent manner) to change the ballot or correct error before the ballot is cast and counted (including the opportunity to correct the error through the issuance of a replacement ballot if the voter was otherwise unable to change the ballot or correct any error); and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Status of Alaska's Current Voting Systems (Meets, partially meets or does not meet)</th>
<th>Planned Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(B) A State or jurisdiction that uses a paper ballot voting system, a punch-card voting system, or a central count voting system (including mail-in absentee ballots and mail-in ballots) may meet the requirements of subparagraph (A)(ii) by--**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Status of Alaska's Current Voting Systems (Meets, partially meets or does not meet)</th>
<th>Planned Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Status of Alaska's Current Voting Systems (Meets, partially meets or does not meet)</td>
<td>Planned Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) establishing a voter education program specific to that voting system that notifies each voter of the effect of casting multiple votes for an office; and</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) providing the voter with instructions on how to correct the ballot before it is cast and counted (including instructions on how to correct the error through the issuance of a replacement ballot if the voter was otherwise unable to change the ballot or correct any error.</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) The voting system shall ensure that any notification required under this paragraph preserves the privacy of the voter and the confidentiality of the ballot.</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) AUDIT CAPACITY -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) IN GENERAL - The voting system shall produce a record with an audit capacity for such system.</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) MANUAL AUDIT CAPACITY -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Status of Alaska's Current Voting Systems (Meets, partially meets or does not meet)</th>
<th>Planned Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) The voting system shall produce a permanent paper record with a manual audit capacity for such system.</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) The voting system shall provide the voter with an opportunity to change the ballot or correct any error before the permanent paper record is produced.</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) The paper record produced under subparagraph (A) shall be available as an official record for any recount conducted with respect to any election in which the system is used.</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) ACCESSIBILITY FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES – The voting system shall –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) be accessible for individuals with disabilities, including non-visual accessibility for the blind and visually impaired, in a manner that provides the same opportunity for access and participation (including privacy and independence) as for other voters;</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) satisfy the requirement of subparagraph (A) through the use of at least one direct recording electronic voting system or other</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Status of Alaska’s Current Voting Systems (Meets, partially meets or does not meet)</th>
<th>Planned Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>voting system equipped for individuals with disabilities at each polling place; and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) if purchased with funds made available under Title II on or after January 1, 2007, meet the voting system standards for disability access (as outlined in this paragraph).</td>
<td>Not applicable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) ALTERNATIVE LANGUAGE ACCESSIBILITY - The voting system shall provide alternative language accessibility pursuant to the requirements of Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 1973aa-1a).</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) ERROR RATES - The error rate of the voting system in counting ballots (determined by taking into account only those errors which are attributable to the voting system and not attributable to an act of the voter) shall comply with the error rate standards established under section 3.2.1 of the voting systems standards issued by the Federal Election Commission which are in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Status of Alaska’s Current Voting Systems (Meets, partially meets or does not meet)</th>
<th>Planned Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(6) UNIFORM DEFINITION OF WHAT CONSTITUTES A VOTE - Each State shall adopt uniform and nondiscriminatory standards that define what constitutes a vote and what will be counted as a vote for each category of voting systems used in the State.</td>
<td>Meets requirements.</td>
<td>No action necessary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Section 5. Alaska's HAVA Election Fund

How the State has established an election fund described in subsection (b) for purposes of administering the State's activities under this part, including information on fund management.

In accordance with State law and in coordination with the Alaska Department of Administration, Division of Finance and the Department of Revenue, Division of Treasury, the Division of Elections established an election fund within the State's treasury whose appropriations are accounted for separately within the State accounting system. The General Fund and Other Non-Segregated Investments (GeFONSI) fund contain both federal and general funds. Accounting structures are in place to ensure that federal fund receipts and expenditures are tracked separately from the general funds portion relating to the 5% state match required under HAVA. The Election Fund consists of the following amounts:

a. Amounts appropriated or otherwise made available by the State for carrying out the activities for which the requirements payment is made to the State under this part.

b. The requirements payment made to the State under this part.

c. Such other amounts as may be appropriated under law.

d. Interest earned on deposits of the fund.

The Governor's Finance Officer and the Division of Elections' Administrative Supervisor works with the Department of Administration, Division of Finance to ensure compliance with all mandated fiscal controls and policies.

Section 6. Alaska's Budget for Implementing HAVA

The State's proposed budget for activities under this part (HAVA Section 254 (a)(1)), based on the State's best estimates of the costs of such activities and the amount of funds to be made available, including specific information on-

(A) the costs of the activities required to be carried out to meet the requirements of Title III;

(B) the portion of the requirements payment which will be used to carry out activities to meet such requirements; and

(C) the portion of the requirements payment which will be used to carry out other activities.

Title I-Early payments:

HAVA authorized $650 million in one-time payments to states: $325 million for making improvements to the administration of elections and $325 million for the replacement of punch card and lever voting machines. States are not required to provide matching funds for Title I monies.

Title I mandated that each eligible state receives a minimum of $5,000,000. Alaska's portion was determined by the small state minimum and received $5,000,000 in April 2003 for making improvements to elections. Alaska was not eligible to receive funds under Section 102 since Alaska replaced its punch card voting system before 2000. However, Alaska did receive a one-time reimbursement payment made to states that replaced such equipment prior to 2000. (See “reimbursement payment” on Page 36-37.)

All Title I money has been distributed to the states.
Title II - Requirements payments:

Title II authorizes $3 billion in additional payments to states over a three year period, annually for meeting the requirements of Title III and for activities to improve the administration of elections if all Title III requirements have been satisfied.

Congress appropriated $830 million for FY 2003 and just under $1.5 billion for FY 2004 requirements payments to states. While the FY 2003 funding fell short of the $1.4 billion authorized in HAVA, FY 2004 funding exceeded the $1.0 billion authorized. Distribution of these funds began in June 2004.

Payments under Title II are formula based and require a 5% State match for all funds spent in each fiscal year. However, the State may draw down funds each fiscal year without providing the match if the State’s Election Plan accounts for the 5% funds in future use. To determine the 5% State match based on the federal requirements payment, multiply Alaska’s requirement’s payment portion by .0526 (5 divided by 95). See Table 6.1 for Alaska’s requirements payment amounts and State match funds.

Accessibility Grants:

Title II also authorizes the Secretary of Health and Human Services to distribute payments to states to assure access for individuals with disabilities. Alaska has applied for and received $400,000 in accessibility grants for FY 2003, FY 2004, FY 2005 and FY 2006. To date, the Division has not spent these funds; however, using these funds, the Division has created a new HAVA Assistant position, which is responsible for statewide accessibility. In 2007, the Division submitted an application for another accessibility grant for Election Assistance for Individuals with Disabilities (EAD), All accessibility grant funds will be expended in accordance with the requirements of Title II Section 261.

Reimbursement Payment:

The Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, Public Law 108-7, signed February 20, 2003, provided $15,000,000 in Federal appropriated funds to the General Services Administration (GSA), for Election Reform Reimbursements. This one-time reimbursement was for states that purchased electronic voting equipment to replace punch card and lever voting machines prior to 2000 making them ineligible to receive funds under Title I Section 102 of HAVA. Alaska qualified for and received a one-time reimbursement of $1.1 million deposited back into the State’s General Fund for electronic voting machines purchased in 1998 to replace all punch card voting equipment.

Table 6.1 outlines the portion of funds available according to GSA Estimates Requirements Payments to States FY02-FY04.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Federal Funds</th>
<th>Alaska’s Payment Portion</th>
<th>5% State Match Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title I Section 101</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000.0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title II Section 251</td>
<td>$830,000.0</td>
<td>$4,150.0</td>
<td>$298.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,580,000.0</td>
<td>$19,596.8</td>
<td>$768.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alaska’s budget in Table 6.2 is based on the levels of funding as shown in Table 6.1 and represents the cost of implementing requirements of Title III and “other” activities as specified in Title I of HAVA through calendar year 2006. Costs associated with the maintenance and operations of implementing these requirements are also reflected in the budget. It is important to note that the maintenance and operation costs associated with these requirements will have an impact on the State’s budget in future years when federal funding is no longer available.
Table 6.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Inception to Date Actuals</th>
<th>Encumbrances</th>
<th>Total Obligated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR 2217 HAVA Title II First Requirement Pmt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter Registration System</td>
<td>1222701</td>
<td>$413,452</td>
<td>$156,629</td>
<td>$572,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter Verifiable</td>
<td>1222702</td>
<td>$757</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter Education</td>
<td>1222703</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility for Voters</td>
<td>1222704</td>
<td>$1,275,656</td>
<td>$9,990</td>
<td>$1,285,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Accessibility</td>
<td>1222705</td>
<td>$30,363</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>$30,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional Voting</td>
<td>1222706</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting Info Requirements</td>
<td>1222707</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computerized List Maintenance</td>
<td>1222708</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technological Security</td>
<td>1222709</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMV Requirements</td>
<td>1222710</td>
<td>$21,957</td>
<td>$20,043</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN Requirements</td>
<td>1222711</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Mail Requirements</td>
<td>1222712</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military &amp; Overseas</td>
<td>1222713</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polling Place</td>
<td>1222714</td>
<td>$2,971</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR 2217 Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,745,156</td>
<td>$196,662</td>
<td>$1,941,818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AR 2218 HAVA Title I - Meeting Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Inception to Date Actuals</th>
<th>Encumbrances</th>
<th>Total Obligated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Requirements w/ Title I</td>
<td>1992218</td>
<td>$256,911</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$256,911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessible Voting Equipment</td>
<td>1221801</td>
<td>$491,547</td>
<td>$22,884</td>
<td>$514,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter Registration System</td>
<td>1221802</td>
<td>$206,029</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$206,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military &amp; Overseas</td>
<td>1221803</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polling Place Accessibility Improvements</td>
<td>1221804</td>
<td>$42,319</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$42,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional Voting</td>
<td>1221805</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter Education</td>
<td>1221806</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin Complaint Procedures</td>
<td>1221807</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of State Plan</td>
<td>1221808</td>
<td>$495</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Accessibility</td>
<td>1221809</td>
<td>$5,585</td>
<td>$1,150</td>
<td>$6,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Access</td>
<td>1221810</td>
<td>$2,103</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMV Requirements</td>
<td>1221811</td>
<td>$3,734</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forms</td>
<td>1221812</td>
<td>$34,179</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$34,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR 2218 Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,042,977</td>
<td>$24,034</td>
<td>$1,067,011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AR 2219 Title I Improve Election Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Inception to Date Actuals</th>
<th>Encumbrances</th>
<th>Total Obligated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absentee Office</td>
<td>1922125</td>
<td>$484,368</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$484,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasilla Satellite Office</td>
<td>1922126</td>
<td>$439,302</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$439,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenai Satellite Office (closed 10/15/07)</td>
<td>1922200</td>
<td>$565,514</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$565,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary CC Early HAVA</td>
<td>1992219</td>
<td>$374,906</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$374,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR 2219 Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,064,090</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,064,090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AR 2208 VREMS Replacement to DIMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Inception to Date Actuals</th>
<th>Encumbrances</th>
<th>Total Obligated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replace VREMS to DIMS (EN# 0168374)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,651,173</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,651,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,303,396</td>
<td>$220,696</td>
<td>$7,524,092</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Notes for Title III requirements:

(i) **Voting System** - Alaska purchased Optical Scan units in 1998 to replace its punch card voting system. Alaska has 439 voting precincts. Sixty-six percent of the precincts are equipped with Optical Scan and 34 percent are hand-count precincts. The estimated $5.5 million will be used to implement a HAVA-compliant DRE voting system and to purchase additional Optical Scan units for a portion of Alaska's precincts. In addition, the Division plans to purchase more memory cards for the Accu-Vote Optical Scan voting machines. Installation, training and maintenance costs are included in this figure.

Since Alaska purchased its computerized statewide voting system, replacing punch card voting equipment, prior to November of 2000, Alaska is not eligible to seek reimbursement under Title I, Sec 102 for these expenditures. However, outside of HAVA, PL 108-7 included $15 million in funds to states who purchased Optical Scan systems prior to the 2000 election. So far, only five states, which include Alaska, were eligible for compensation from the $15 million appropriation. Alaska received a $1.1 million reimbursement that was deposited into the State's general fund and is not included in the State's budget for implementing requirements of HAVA.

(ii) **Provisional Voting** - Provisional voting, known as Questioned voting in Alaska, has been available to voters in Alaska since the early 1980s. There were minimal changes needed to meet the provisional voting requirements of HAVA.

(iii) **Computerized Statewide Voter Registration System** - Alaska is working on replacing the antiquated Voter Registration Election Management System (VREMS) with a new pc-based database system.

The estimated costs associated with implementing the requirements in HAVA are based on the funding information available at the time that the plan was updated. The budget will be revised
appropriately to reflect the most current information available on federal funding and according to changes that may be made in the implementation schedule.

Section 7. Maintenance of Effort

How the State, in using the requirements payment, will maintain the expenditures of the State for activities funded by the payment at a level that is not less than the level of such expenditures maintained by the State for the fiscal year ending prior to November 2000.

In accordance with HAVA section 254 (a)(7), Alaska will maintain the same level of expenditures on similar activities funded by the requirements payment that was spent in the fiscal year ending prior to November 2000. Alaska's expenditures for these activities totaled $537,500.

The Division of Elections administers all state and federal elections. These elections occur in even calendar years. The Division receives an increment to the annual operating budget in an odd fiscal year beginning July 1 in order to conduct primary and general elections. This increment provides for the expenditures associated with election officials, polling place recruitment, temporary employees, ballot printing and distribution, election supplies, Election Day support, and other costs associated with conducting an election.

Other expenditures in an even-numbered calendar year are spent in preparation of election activities that occur after July 1. These activities include election official training, voter education, advertising, production of election pamphlets, information technology support, and the purchasing of equipment and supplies. The maintenance of effort for the State's FY00 budget represents a portion of the total operating budget that is appropriated to carry out election administrative activities in an even fiscal year.
Section 8. HAVA Performance Goals and Measures

How the State will adopt performance goals and measures that will be used by the State to determine its success and the success of units of local government in the State in carrying out the plan, including timetables for meeting each of the elements of the plan, descriptions of the criteria the State will use to measure performance and the process used to develop such criteria, and a description of which official is to be held responsible for ensuring that each performance goal is met.

The Division of Elections will establish performance goals in conjunction with the Alaska State Legislature during the deliberation of the annual operating budget. The “Missions and Measures” process undertaken by the Legislature in conformance with the consideration of the annual operating budget has been established as a respected means for developing performance measures that accurately quantify program success.

The Director of the Division of Elections, as the “Chief State Election Official” under section 253(e), is responsible for coordination of the State’s responsibilities under this Act. Therefore, the Director is ultimately responsible for ensuring that the Division meets each performance goal. In addition, the Legislature will be monitoring the Division’s efforts through the annual preparation of the State’s operating budget.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Elements</th>
<th>Official</th>
<th>Time Frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voting Systems</td>
<td>Director of Elections</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§301</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional Voting</td>
<td>Director of Elections</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter Registration</td>
<td>Director of Elections</td>
<td>§303(a) Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§303(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td>§303(a) Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§303(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§101 (b)(1), §251 (b)(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Infrastructure</th>
<th>Election Admin. Supervisor</th>
<th>Continuous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free-Access System</td>
<td>Admin Asst. Supervisor</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAVA Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and Training</td>
<td>Regional Supervisors</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Election Special Assistant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAVA Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget and Fiscal Controls</td>
<td>Admin. Asst. Supervisor</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Election Administrative</td>
<td>State monitors HAVA account each month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Director</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaint Procedures</td>
<td>Director of Elections</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§254(a)(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§254(a)(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§402</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 9.  State-based Administrative Complaint Procedure

A description of the uniform, nondiscriminatory State-based administrative complaint procedure in effect under section 402.

The State of Alaska, Division of Elections has developed administrative regulations to establish the required complaint procedure. These regulations constitute a new article 6 AAC 25.400 – 490 that is now a part of the Division’s administrative regulations set out at Title 6, Chapter 25 of the Alaska Administrative Code.

These regulations satisfy the requirements of HAVA section 402 by providing a uniform and nondiscriminatory complaint procedure. Under these procedures, any person who believes there has been a violation of HAVA Title III may file a complaint. The complaint must in writing, sworn, and notarized. At the complainant’s request, there will be a hearing on the record. If the State finds a violation, it shall provide an appropriate remedy. If there is no violation, the State will dismiss the complaint and publish the results. The Division will make a final determination on a complaint within 90 days. If the Division cannot meet this deadline, the complaint will proceed under alternative dispute resolution procedures.

The Division adopted these administrative regulations on August 29, 2003.

Additionally, the Division developed an Administrative Complaint form that can be found at any Division of Elections office and on the Division’s web site.

Section 10.  Effect of Title I Payments

If the State received any payment under Title I, a description of how such payment will affect the activities proposed to be carried out under the plan, including the amount of funds available for such activities.

Under Section 103, Guaranteed Minimum Payment, Alaska received the minimum payment of $5 million and established an Election Fund.

Current activities carried out under the plan have improved the administration of elections for federal office and the election process as a whole.

Upon receipt of Title I monies, the Division of Elections is using the funds for one or more of the following:

- Developing the State plan for requirements payments to be submitted under Part I of Subtitle D of Title II.

  The State plan is created and updated by the HAVA Project Coordinator, and costs for plan maintenance are tracked by that position.

- Educating voters concerning voting procedures, voting rights, and voting technology.

  Implementation and development of the Division’s website, the maintenance of the free-access systems, and preparation of training materials used by voters have been completed to comply with the Act. In addition, the Division provides touch screen voting unit demonstrations to the public and specifically targets members and groups of the disabled community.

- Training election officials, poll workers, and election volunteers.
The Division has improved training systems with the goal of training more election workers closer to an election. The challenge continues to be training citizens with diverse physical, social and cultural differences across a vast geographical area in a short time period. In order to bring election worker training closer to Election Day, the Division has created a new training assistant position for each region. In some regions of the state, the Division trained election workers one month before the election.

- **Improving, acquiring, leasing, modifying and/or replacing voting systems and technology and methods for casting and counting votes.**

The Division is continuing the process of implementing a new statewide voter database to replace the antiquated VREMS system.

In addition, the Division has developed methods to improve how the touch screen voting units are shipped, and has created procedures that result in less transportation costs and damage to the units.

- **Improving the accessibility and quantity of polling places, including providing physical access for individuals with disabilities, providing non-visual access for individuals with visual impairments, and providing assistance to Native Americans, Alaska Indigenous Native citizens, and to individuals with limited proficiency in the English language.**

The Division has developed a polling place survey and worked in coordination with the State ADA coordinator on the assessment of polling places within Alaska. In 2007, the Division plans to evaluate newly established polling places in preparation for the 2008 election year. Improvements to polling places for 2008 will be made using the Health and Human Services accessibility grant funds.

Using Health and Human Services accessibility grant funds, the Division is obtaining a new HAVA Program Assistant position, which will be solely responsible for surveying polling places statewide, assisting with training of staff, and developing methods to inform the public about the improvements made to locations.

In addition, the Division is working on developing an updated statewide language assistance program that specifies what type of assistance is available to minority language voters across the state.

- **Establishing free-access telephone systems for voters to report possible voting fraud and voting rights violations, to obtain general election information, and to access detailed automated information on their own voter registration status, specific polling place locations, and other relevant information.**

The Division currently uses an interactive toll-free telephone system for voters to verify their polling location and party affiliation. Additionally, voters may contact another toll-free number to determine the count of their ballot or file an administrative complaint. With the development and implementation of the new DIIMS registration system, the Division hopes to make the information provided by those telephone systems also available on the Division’s website.

Voters also have the option of checking the status of their absentee ballot by using the Division’s absentee ballot locator. Using this web-based tool, voters can log on with an identifier such as a social security number and see if their application has been processed, a ballot has been sent, and if a voted ballot was received back.
Section 11. Alaska’s HAVA State Plan Management

How the State will conduct ongoing management of the plan, except that the State may not make any material change in the administration of the plan unless the change—

(A) is developed and published in the Federal Register in accordance with section 255 in the same manner as the State plan;

(B) is subject to public notice and comment in accordance with section 256 in the same manner as the State plan; and

(C) takes effect only after the expiration of the 30-day period that begins on the date the change is published in the Federal Register in accordance with subparagraph (A).

The Lieutenant Governor, as the “Chief State Election Official” under Section 253(e), is responsible for coordination of the State’s responsibilities under this Act. The Division Director, appointed by the Lieutenant Governor, oversees the day-to-day operations of the Division. Therefore, the Lieutenant Governor is ultimately responsible for continuous management and implementation of the State Plan. These responsibilities include tracking resource requirements, managing HAVA funds, and ensuring that additional implementation projects are in compliance and on schedule.

The Division employs both a HAVA Project Coordinator and a HAVA Election Systems Manager to oversee the implementation of HAVA-related projects and activities. Under the purview of the Director, the HAVA Project Coordinator and HAVA Election Systems Manager strive to continually improve polling place accessibility and language assistance programs, increase voter outreach efforts, and manage the implementation and use of all voting equipment and the voter registration database. In addition, the HAVA Project Coordinator is responsible for updating the HAVA State Plan.

The updated HAVA State Plan is an essential component in the Division’s continuing efforts to improve accessibility and accountability in the election process. Alaska has already implemented many aspects of HAVA, and the Division sees the ongoing management of the State Plan as a continuation of the State’s commitment to election reform. Each element is being managed closely to achieve compliance, maximize improvements to all aspects of the election process, and continue responsible use of available funds.

The State understands and agrees to comply with HAVA requirements related to ongoing management of the State Plan. More specifically, the State agrees that it may not make any material change in the administration of the State Plan unless the change:

(A) is developed and published in the Federal Register in accordance with HAVA Section 255 in the same manner as the State Plan;

(B) is subject to public notice and comment in accordance with HAVA Section 256 in the same manner as the State Plan; and

(C) takes effect only after the expiration of the 30-day period that begins on the date the change is published in the Federal Register.
Section 12. Changes to State Plan from Previous Fiscal Year

In the case of a State with a State Plan in effect under this subtitle during the previous fiscal year, a description of how the plan reflects changes from the State Plan for the previous fiscal year and of how the State succeeded in carrying out the State Plan for such previous fiscal year.

The State of Alaska’s 2008 HAVA Updated State Plan remains consistent, with steady progress towards the goals established in the initial 2003 and the updated 2005 State Plan. The State of Alaska passed legislation to bring the state into compliance with HAVA requirements; developed new staff positions to manage HAVA; updated forms and training materials; and continues to design improved voter outreach programs.

Since the 2005 State Plan, the Division has launched a new, improved website, developed additional educational brochures for voters and election workers, and created two new offices to increase the Division’s accessibility to voters around the state. The Division now also distributes to every registered voter household an election pamphlet during all Primary elections where a ballot measure appears on the ballot.

Since the 2005 State Plan, the Division purchased 405 touch screen units using HAVA Funds, and, during the 2006 election year, fully implemented the touch screen voting units in compliance with Sec. 301. Each unit was retrofitted with a VVPAT to allow the voter to confirm their selections before casting their ballot and for use during the hand count verification process or a recount. Shipping containers for the units were designed and procured to safely ship the touch screens to and from the polling place locations. The Division developed and distributed informational brochures and training manuals for voters and election workers, highlighting the accessible features of the units and how to use them. The Division also held public demonstrations across the State to educate the public on the new voting units.

The Division established procedures for conducting a hand count verification procedure following every election in accordance with Alaska Statute. The Division is required to hand count ballots from one randomly selected precinct in each election district that accounts for at least five percent of the ballots cast in that house district. These procedures were utilized in the 2006 Primary and General Elections.

The Division commissioned the University of Alaska, Anchorage to conduct a study to examine the security and accuracy of the State’s voting system and to make recommendations for improvements.

The State of Alaska is in full compliance with the requirement to verify voter registration information as required in Section 303(a)(5). A Memorandum of Agreement dated August 2003 between the Division of Election and the Division of Motor Vehicles (DMV) allows the Division of Elections to match identifying information provided by a first-time, by-mail registrant on his or her registration application to information maintained in the DMV database. The Alaska DMV has completed the process of verifying the last four digits of the social security number information with the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators (AAMVA). The verification program is now fully operational.

The Division continues to make progress with the implementation of the new voter registration system which will replace the outdated mainframe system. The Division continues to commit resources to development and technical requirements of the new system.

In 2005, the Alaska Legislature enacted A.S. 15.20.910, which defines “Standards for voting machines and vote tally systems”.
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Section 13. State Plan Development and Committee

A description of the committee that participated in the development of the State Plan in accordance with section 255 and the procedures followed by the committee under such section and section 256.

The draft of Alaska’s State Plan was created by the Division of Elections with the legal guidance of the State’s Attorney General’s Office. The Division continues to seek consultation from the State Attorney General’s office in our implementation of HAVA.

No public meetings are planned for this year. The Division will provide the State Plan Committee with a copy of the updated 2008 HAVA State Plan for review and comment. Additionally, the 2008 State Plan will be posted on the State’s online public notice system and on the Division of Elections’ website.

In 2007, Lieutenant Governor Sean Parnell updated the membership of the State Plan Committee. The State Plan Committee members continue to reflect a cross section of election stakeholders throughout the State, in accordance with Section 255 of the Act.

The Lieutenant Governor named the following Alaskans to serve on the State Plan Committee:

**Whitney Brewster**, former Director of the Division of Elections, Chair of the Committee

**Alyce Houston**, Region I Election Supervisor, Juneau

**Carol Thompson**, Absentee & Petition Manager, Anchorage

**Michelle Speegle**, Region III Election Supervisor, Fairbanks

**Edna Baker**, Region IV Election Supervisor, Nome

Jim Beck, Executive Director, Access Alaska

Lynne Koral, First Vice-President, Alaska Independent Blind

Jason Burke, State of Alaska ADA Coordinator

Sarah Felix, Assistant Attorney General, State of Alaska
April 30, 2007

The Honorable Donetta Davidson, Chair
United States Elections Assistance Commission
1225 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20005

Dear Commissioner Davidson:

In accordance with Section 255 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA), I am submitting a paper version and a disk containing the revised State of Florida HAVA Plan (2006 update) for publication in the Federal Register.

The HAVA State Plan (2006 Update) complies with section 253(b)(1) regarding conditions for receipt of funds, with section 254(a) regarding description and material changes to the State Plan from prior fiscal years, and with section 255 regarding development and filing of the State Plan. We regret that due to time constraints, the HAVA Plan (2006 Update) weaves both material and non-substantive changes into the existing HAVA Plan, rather than highlighting the changes in a separate supplement.

As you aware, the 2007 Florida Legislature is in session and there are a few pending election legislative proposals, at least one of which, if enacted, may necessitate a revision to our budget projections contemplated in the attached plan. We will obviously apprise the Commission in the appropriate manner of any material changes to the State of Florida’s HAVA Plan.

On behalf of the State of Florida, thank you and the other members for your assistance and I look forward to continuing our collaborative effort to improve election administration.

Sincerely,

Kurt S. Browning
Secretary of State

Enclosures
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INTRODUCTION BY THE CHIEF ELECTION OFFICER

I am pleased to present the 2006 Update to Florida’s HAVA State Plan in accordance with the Help America Vote Act. This state plan represents Florida’s ongoing long-range plans for implementing the election reforms initiated by HAVA for federal elections.

This most recent revision is the product of the thoughtful guidance, dedication and input of former Secretary Sue M. Cobb and the new HAVA Planning Committee that she appointed in September 2006. Chaired by former Secretary of State Jim Smith, the 13-member Committee included individuals from various constituency groups and governmental interests. At the time, I served on the Committee in my capacity as the Supervisor of Elections for Pasco County. The Committee was tasked with revising the plan to reflect compliance with HAVA and include substantive changes and updates on ongoing activities since the Plan’s last update in June 2004. In the interim of finalizing and submitting the 2006 update to the HAVA State Plan, Secretary Cobb’s term expired and I was honored by being appointed as her successor.

The HAVA State Plan (2006 Update) complies with section 253(b)(1) regarding conditions for receipt of funds, with section 254(a) regarding description and material changes to the State Plan from prior fiscal years, and with section 255 regarding development and filing of the State Plan. This update also weaves in non-substantive changes (e.g. corrections to spelling, punctuation, reformatting, etc.).

Most importantly, this plan projects a budget for HAVA activities through Fiscal Year 2010-11. The projected budget recognizes that Supervisors of Elections have a continuing need for funds to provide voter education and to recruit and train qualified poll workers. As the Chief Election Officer, I am committed to working with and supporting our Supervisors as we continue to ensure that elections in Florida are a model for the rest of the nation.

Kurt S. Browning
Secretary of State
Introduction

Since the aftermath of the General Election of 2000, Florida has led the nation in its election reform efforts to ensure that every registered voter should have the opportunity to vote and to ensure that every vote counts.

The goal is perfection. Reaching that goal in an ever changing democracy and within a diverse population is an ongoing task that requires constant experimentation and learning. The people and the leadership of Florida have dedicated themselves to this course of action.

The struggle for improving our election process occurs in many ways. Citizens have increased their involvement by serving on local and State election task forces, researching new voting technologies, debating new standards for poll worker training, increasing voter education opportunities, and registering new voters. The people of Florida continue to make election reform a top priority.

The leadership of Florida has also acted decisively. Florida has enacted legislative and local reforms that lead the nation. These reforms include cutting-edge voting system standards, millions of dollars for new voting technology, expanded voter education efforts, and thousands of newly trained poll workers. Statewide polls taken the day of the 2002 and 2004 General Elections found that Floridians gave high marks to the election reform changes including a 91% "excellent-good" rating for poll workers and an 88% confidence rating from voters that their votes will count. These results are not "perfect," but Florida is moving in a positive direction to make all facets of the election process better each time an election is held.

With the passage and signing of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) on October 29, 2002, election reform is spreading throughout the nation. The new federal law asks States to develop election reform plans that will improve election administration in many areas. Florida embraces the new federal law and hopes that other States will use it as an opportunity to share new election reform ideas and practices with one another.

The people of Florida have learned many things about election reform. Yet, there are enduring principles which are reflected within many recommendations and changes of Florida’s election reform efforts. These principles were developed by Florida’s first task force in the aftermath of the 2000 General Election:

Enduring Principles of Elections

- Elections are first and foremost acts of millions of individual people: citizens who register and vote; candidates who offer themselves and their platforms for public judgment; poll workers who put in long days at precincts; and election officials who supervise the process. Honest, responsible, intelligent people will make most technology systems work well.
- Voting should be a simple, convenient and friendly process that encourages each citizen to express his or her choice.
- Voting systems should be designed to determine voter intent, to the extent that is humanly possible.
- Voting methods for statewide and national elections should meet uniform standards and national standards for fairness, reliability and equal protection of voting opportunity.
- Elections must meet two competing objectives: certainty (making every vote count accurately) and finality (ending elections so that governing can begin).
- While voting should be individual and private, procedures for counting and challenging votes should be open, transparent, and easily documented to ensure public confidence in the result.

Fulfilling the promises of these enduring principles will require continued vigilance and action. With this HAVA Plan, Florida continues its journey to mount an increasingly open and fair system of determining the will of the people.

The Help America Vote Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-252 – October 29, 2002) requires all States to develop and implement a statewide plan. Specifically section 254 requires the state plan to include and describe the following thirteen primary elements:

Element 1.
How the State will use the requirements payment to meet the requirements of Title III, and, if applicable under section 251(a)(2), to carry out other activities to improve the administration of elections.

Element 2.
How the State will distribute and monitor the distribution of the requirements payment to units of local government or other entities in the State for carrying out the activities described in paragraph (1), including a description of:

A) The criteria to be used to determine the eligibility of such units or entities for receiving the payment; and

B) The methods to be used by the State to monitor the performance of the units or entities to whom the payment is distributed, consistent with the performance goals and measures adopted under paragraph (6).

Element 3.
How the State will provide for programs for voter education, election official education and training, and poll worker training which will assist the State in meeting the requirements of Title III.
Element 4.
How the State will adopt voting system guidelines and processes which are consistent with the requirements of section 301.

Element 5.
How the State will establish a fund described in subsection (b) for purposes of administering the State’s activities under this part, including information on fund management.

Element 6.
The State’s proposed budget for activities under this part, based on the State’s best estimates of the costs of such activities and the amount of funds to be made available, including specific information on—
A) The costs of the activities required to be carried out to meet the requirements of Title III;
B) The portion of the requirements payment which will be used to carry out activities to meet such requirements; and
C) The portion of the requirements payment which will be used to carry out other activities.

Element 7.
How the State, in using the requirements payment, will maintain the expenditures of the State for activities funded by the payment at a level that is not less than the level of such expenditures maintained by the State for the fiscal year ending prior to November 2000.

Element 8.
How the State will adopt performance goals and measures that will be used by the State to determine its success and the success of units of local government in the State in carrying out the plan, including timetables for meeting each of the elements of the plan, descriptions of the criteria the State will use to measure performance and the process used to develop such criteria, and a description of which official is to be held responsible for ensuring that each performance goal is met.

Element 9.
A description of the uniform, nondiscriminatory State-based administrative complaints procedures in effect under section 402.
ELEMENT I. USE OF TITLE III REQUIREMENTS PAYMENTS

A. VOTING SYSTEMS

Section 251(b)(1): How the State will use the requirements payment to meet the requirements of Title III, and, if applicable under section 251(b)(2), to carry out other activities to improve the administration of elections.

1. Introduction

Following the 2000 General Election, the people of Florida made a concerted effort to improve all facets of its election procedures, standards and voting systems. The first major changes were the recommendations advanced by the 2001 Governor’s Task Force on Election Procedures, Standards and Technology followed by the passage of the Florida Election Reform Act of 2001. A central component of Florida’s new election law mandated the replacement of punch card voting systems, lever machines, paper ballots and central count optical scanning systems with precinct tabulated Marksense voting systems or the Direct Recording Electronic (DRE or “touch screen”) voting systems. The new voting systems were put into service to reduce voter error, to improve tabulation accuracy, and to restore voter confidence in Florida’s elections.

Florida has adopted voting system standards which meet and exceed 2002 Voting System Standards (VSS) established by the Federal Election Commission. Florida’s voting system standards are reviewed every two years to determine whether they are adequate and effective in carrying out fair and impartial elections. The Bureau of Voting Systems Certification within the Department of State has statutory authority to adopt rules which establish minimum standards for voting systems purchased and used in Florida. The Bureau is currently in the process of reviewing and comparing Division rules on voting system standards against the 2009 Voluntary Voting Systems Guidelines adopted by the Elections Assistance Commission. The federal guidelines augment and update the 2002 VSS and take effect December 2007.

Florida’s 67 counties have authority to purchase and to maintain the appropriate certified voting system for their registered voters. Following the 2000 General Election, the State of Florida provided $24 million to assist counties in purchasing new certified voting systems. For the fiscal year 2004-05 the Florida Legislature additionally appropriated $11.6 million to assist Supervisors of Elections with purchasing DREs in order to provide one accessible voting system at each polling place. For the fiscal year 2005-06 the Legislature appropriated $12,406,163 to reimburse sixteen counties that acquired accessible voting systems prior to July 1, 2004. In addition, there were eight counties that received a total of $63,215 as reimbursement for existing DREs that were not included in the fiscal year 2004-05 appropriations.

Only two types of voting methods are certified for use in Florida’s 67 counties— Direct Recording Electronic (DRE or “touch screen”) voting systems and Marksense with precinct-based tabulation. There are three manufacturers who have certified voting systems for use in Florida: Diebold Election Systems, Inc. (DHS); Elections Systems and Software, Inc. (ES&S); and Sequoia Voting Systems, Inc. (SP).

The following chart details the types of voting systems used in Florida, the respective manufacturer, and the number of counties using the voting systems.

Voting Systems and Number of Counties in Use

For Precinct and Absentee Voting*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Florida Certified Voting System</th>
<th>Precinct Equipment</th>
<th>Accessible Equipment</th>
<th>Central Count Method</th>
<th>Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diebold Voting System Release 1.18-19, Version 2</td>
<td>Accuvote OS</td>
<td>Accuvote TSX DRE</td>
<td>Optical scan</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diebold Voting System 2005 B (Blended) + (Plus Audit)</td>
<td>Accuvote OS</td>
<td>Accuvote TSX DRE</td>
<td>Optical scan</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES&amp;S Voting System Release 4.5, Version 2</td>
<td>Model 100</td>
<td>iVotronic DRE</td>
<td>Optical scan</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES&amp;S Voting System Release 4.5, Version 2</td>
<td>iVotronic DRE</td>
<td>iVotronic DRE</td>
<td>Optical scan</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequoia AVC”Edge” Voting System Release 4.3.200</td>
<td>Edge 1 DRE</td>
<td>Edge 1 DRE</td>
<td>Optical scan</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Current as of the period October 2008

The Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) establishes new minimum requirements for administering federal elections. These new voting system requirements are found in Title III of the federal law. The new requirements shape the performance and the administration of voting systems. Florida is in compliance with these new federal directives and these are addressed in the HAVA State Plan. Section 301(a) of HAVA requires that Florida’s voting systems meet the following requirements by January 1, 2006:

...
2. Section 301(a) Voting System Standards and Requirements

a. Section 301(a)(1)(A): Do Florida’s voting systems permit the voter to verify, in a private and independent manner, the votes selected by the voter before the ballot is cast and counted as required?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 101.5608(1), Florida Statutes, states that no voting system in Florida shall be approved by the Department of State unless it “permits and requires voting in secrecy.”

The Florida Voting System Standards (Rule 1S-5.001, Florida Administrative Code, rev. 01/05) state that the “voter must be able to review the candidate selections, which he or she has made. Prior to the act of casting a ballot, the voter must be able to change any selection previously made and confirm the new selection.” Additionally, these standards state that the voting function standards applicable to all Electronic Voter Interfaces must provide “after the initial instructions, which the system requires election officials to provide to each voter, the voter should be able to independently operate the voter interface through the final step of casting a ballot without assistance.”

b. Section 301(a)(1)(Al)(ii): Do Florida’s voting systems provide the voter with the opportunity in a private and independent manner to change the ballot or correct any error before the ballot is cast and counted (including the opportunity to correct the error through the issuance of a replacement ballot if the voter was otherwise unable to change the ballot or correct the error)?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 101.5608(2), Florida Statutes, requires that electronic voting systems should permit each voter to change his or her vote for any candidate or upon any question appearing on the official ballot up to the time that the voter takes the final step to register his or her vote and to have the vote computed. Additionally, the Florida Voting System Standards state that “the voter must be able to review the candidate selections, which he or she has made. Prior to the act of casting a ballot, the voter must be able to change any selection previously made and confirm the new selection.”

Section 101.5608(2)(b), Florida Statutes, requires that “[a]ny voter who spoils his or her ballot or makes an error may return the ballot to the election official and secure another ballot, except that in no case shall a voter be furnished more than three ballots. If the vote tabulation device has rejected the ballot, the ballot shall be considered spoiled and a new ballot shall be provided to the voter unless the voter chooses to cast the rejected ballot. The election official, without examining the original ballot, shall state the possible reasons for the rejection and shall provide instruction to the voter pursuant to Section 101.5611, Florida Statutes. A spoiled ballot shall be preserved, without examination, in an envelope provided for that purpose. The stub shall be removed from the ballot and placed in an envelope.”

Section 101.5611(1), Florida Statutes, requires that the “Supervisor of Elections shall provide instruction on the proper method of casting a ballot for the specific voting system utilized in that jurisdiction. Such instruction shall be provided at a place which voters must pass to reach the official voting booth.”

The Florida Voting System Standards (Rule 1S-5.001, F.A.C.) state that “the system must prevent the voter from voting any race.” In addition, “there must be a clear, identifiable action, which the voter takes to ‘cast’ the ballot. The system must make clear to the voter how to take this action, such that the voter has minimal risk of taking the action accidentally, but when the voter intends to cast the ballot, the action can be easily
Yes, and no further actions are required.

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 301(a)(1)(B): Does Florida's mail-in absentee and mail-in ballot process meet the requirements of subparagraph (A)(ii) by: (i) establishing a voter education program specific to that voting system that notifies each voter of the effect of casting multiple ballots for an office; and (ii) providing the voter instructions on how to correct the ballot before it is cast and counted (including instructions on how to correct the error through the issuance of a replacement ballot if the voter was otherwise unable to change the ballot or correct any error)?

The Florida Legislature amended Section 101.65, Florida Statutes, to require the instructions for absentee voters to include the following language:

Mark only the number of candidates or issue choices for a race as indicated on the ballot. If you are allowed to “Vote for One” candidate and you vote for more than one candidate, your vote in that race will not be counted.

In addition, Rule 1S-2.032, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), (Uniform and General Election Ballot Design) instructs all voters on how to correct their ballots and how to request a replacement ballot if the voter is unable to change or correct the original ballot. The instructions for how to correct the error through issuance of a replacement ballot are:

If you make a mistake, don’t hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or mark the other mark, your vote may not count.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) also suggested that absentee voters should be given clear notification that the deadline for submitting absentee ballots is by 7:00 p.m. of election night and that mailing the ballot may not ensure that it will arrive in time to be counted. Section 101.65, Florida Statutes, requires the printed instructions to include the following statement:

1. **VERY IMPORTANT:** In order to ensure that your absentee ballot will be counted, it should be completed and returned as soon as possible so that it can reach the Supervisor of Elections of the county in which your precinct is located no later than 7 p.m. on the day of the election.

e. Section 301(a)(1)(C): Does Florida's absentee and mail-in ballot process preserve the privacy of the voter and the confidentiality of the ballot?
individual tabulating device" for every election. In addition, the Florida Legislature has authorized the Department of State to promulgate rules which would require supervisors to check those paper totals against electronic totals during machine recounts. The following statutes and rules lay the groundwork for Florida's ability to comply with the audit requirements of HAVA:

Section 101.015(5)(a), Florida Statutes, requires the Department of State to adopt rules which establish standards for voting systems, including audit capabilities. Pursuant to that authority, the Florida Voting System Standards (Rule 15-5.001, F.A.C., last rev. 01/05 but under current biennial review):

- Provides general functional requirements of voting systems which "shall include the capability to produce records, generated by the system components, or in some cases, by the system operators from which all operations may be audited. Except for the storage of vote images, which shall be maintained in a random sequence, the records shall be created and maintained in the sequence in which the operations were performed."
- Requires precinct count systems to provide a means for obtaining a printed report of the votes counted on each voting device, and to provide a means for extracting this information to a transportable memory device or data storage medium.
- Requires the generation of reports by the system to be performed in a manner which does not erase or destroy any ballot image, parameter, tabulation or audit log data. The system shall provide a means for assuring the maintenance of data integrity and security for a period of at least 22 months after the closing of the polls.

Section 101.506(1 & 3), Florida Statutes, requires the Department of State to approve only voting systems that are capable of automatically producing precinct totals in printed, marked, or punched form or a combination thereof. The voting systems must be capable of producing records from which the operating system of the voting system may be audited.

Section 102.166(5)(b), Florida Statutes, requires the Department of State to adopt detailed rules prescribing additional recount procedures for each certified voting system which shall be uniform to the extent practicable. Rule 15-2.031, F.A.C., addresses at a minimum, the following areas:

- Security of ballots during the recount process
- Time and place of recounts
- Public observance of recounts
- Objections to ballot determinations
- Record of recount proceedings
- Procedures relating to candidate and petitioner representatives

- Section 301(a)(3)(A): Does Florida have certified voting systems for individuals with disabilities, including non-visual accessibility for the blind and visually impaired, in a manner that provides the same opportunity for access and participation (including privacy and independence) as for other voters?
- Section 301(a)(3)(B): Does Florida meet the requirement in subparagraph (A) through the use of at least one direct recording electronic voting system or other voting system equipped for individuals with disabilities at each polling place?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Prior to the enactment of HAVA, the Secretary of State appointed the 2001 Select Task Force on Voting Accessibility to conduct a comprehensive review of Florida's election laws and procedures relative to the obstacles for voters with disabilities and to develop solutions for overcoming those obstacles. The Task Force recommended legislation to ensure that such voters could fully exercise their right to a secret ballot, as guaranteed by section 1 of article VI of the Florida Constitution. In 2002, many of the Task Force's recommendations of the task force were passed by the Legislature and signed into law. (See Chapter 2002-281, Laws of Florida). Several sections of the law, including sections setting forth specific standards that voting systems must meet, did not become effective immediately, however. They were made contingent on further appropriations by the Legislature, in expectation of the receipt of federal funding as now provided in HAVA.

Although HAVA's definition of what constitutes a voting system as found in Section 301(b), is comprehensive, it did not specifically define the standards necessary for making a voting system accessible to persons with disabilities. Florida had already performed the difficult and time consuming work of defining what makes a Florida voting system accessible for persons with disabilities. Those accessible voting systems standards are found in Section 101.5062, Florida Statutes (Chapter 2002-281, Laws of Florida). Moreover, the intent of the Legislature to comply fully with Federal requirements is clearly set out in section 101.5062, Florida Statutes, as follows:

It is the intent of the Legislature that this state be eligible for any funds that are available from the Federal Government to assist states in providing or improving accessibility of voting systems and polling places for persons having a disability. Accordingly, all state laws, rules, standards, and codes governing voting systems and polling place accessibility must be maintained to ensure the state's eligibility to receive federal funds. It is the intent of the Legislature that all state requirements meet or exceed the minimum federal requirements for voting systems and polling place accessibility.
Accordingly, the HAVA Planning Committee (2004) recommended that the Division require that all new certified voting systems comply with the requirements of Section 101.56062, Florida Statutes. That section requires any voting system certified in the state to have the capability to include an accessible voter interface device that would allow the system to meet 14 categories of accessibility standards. This section also mandated that at least one accessible voter interface device actually be installed in each precinct in elections after July 1, 2005. The section was later amended in 2005 to require the installation of at least one accessible voter interface device in each polling place in lieu of each precinct. This change conformed to language in HAVA. Further, any purchase of a voting system by a governmental entity after July 1, 2004 is required to include a contract for future upgrades and sufficient equipment to meet the general standards and the accessibility standards for certifying voting systems under section 101.5606 and section 101.56062, Florida Statutes, respectively.

The 2004 Florida Legislature triggered the accessibility standards found in Section 101.56062, Florida Statutes, (Chapter 2002-281, Laws of Florida) by making HAVA funds available to counties through the Department of State. The language read as follows:

From the funds in Specific Appropriation 28711, $11,600,000 shall be distributed by the Department of State to county Supervisors of Elections for the purchase of Direct Recording Equipment (DRE) or other state approved equipment that meets the standards for accessibility requirements which is accessible to persons with disabilities to ensure that each county has an accessible voting system for each polling place.

The funds were distributed according to the number of machines that are accessible for persons with disabilities that were need in order for each county to have one per polling place. No Supervisor of Elections was to receive any fund until the county Supervisor of Elections certified to the Department of State: 1) the number of precincts in the county; 2) the number of polling places in the county; 3) the number of voting machines the county has that meet the disability requirement; 4) the county’s plan for purchasing the DREs; and 5) the date that the county anticipates being in compliance. The Department of State determined the number of DREs needed in each county based on the certification provided by the Supervisors of Elections. Any county that received funds from Specific Appropriation 28711 and was not in compliance with the accessibility requirements in Section 301(a)(3) Title III of the Help America Vote Act by January 1, 2006, was required to return those funds to the State.

In January 2006 the Division of Elections surveyed each of the 67 Supervisors of Elections to determine whether counties had accessible voting systems for each polling place or if signed contracts were in place to acquire accessible voting systems in the event delivery had not yet taken place. Two counties did not have signed contracts for purchasing accessible voting systems by January 1, 2006. Both counties had to return the funds pursuant to the conditions of the memorandum of agreement between the Department of State and the Supervisors of Elections as well as language included in the Appropriations Act. In 2006, the Florida Legislature re-appropriated the funds to redistribute the voting systems assistance funds to those two counties. Pursuant to language in the Appropriations Act, distribution of the funds was contingent upon the Department of State receiving a certificate from each county certifying that “accessible voting equipment meeting the requirements of section 301(a) of Title III of the Help America Vote Act had been delivered, accepted by the county, and was ready for use in an election.”

The HAVA Planning Committee (2004) also encouraged the Legislature to continue to support accessible voting for persons with disabilities by mandating that provisional ballots for voters with disabilities be provided to them by a system that meets the requirements of Section 101.56062, Florida Statutes, by January 1, 2006. Section 101.048, Florida Statute specifically states “…the Supervisor of Elections may, and for persons with disabilities shall, provide the appropriate provisional ballot to the voter by electronic means that meet the requirements of section 101.56062, as provided for by the certified voting system.”

Florida is currently in compliance with HAVA requirements for accessibility equipment. By 2004, all three vendors (Diebold Election Systems, Inc., Elections Systems and Software, Inc., and Sequoia Voting Systems, Inc.) had certified accessible voting equipment with audio ballot capability. By 2005, two of the three vendors being used in Florida had certified accessible voting equipment with the electronic provisional ballot capability. The third vendor obtained certification for their system with the electronic provisional ballot capability in January 2006.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2004) also discussed polling place accessibility even though this topic is not required to be addressed in the HAVA plan. It was noted that the State of Florida had taken the initiative to contract with the Disability Relations Group to help it comply with HAVA. In addition, the Division of Elections applied for polling place accessibility funding with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). To date, the Department has received four grants from HHS for a total amount of $2,293,909.

Several members of the HAVA Planning Committee (2004) noted there is a sense of urgency to bring polling places into compliance. One Committee member referred to a United States Supreme Court decision that required government to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act. The HAVA Planning Committee (2004) recommended that the State of Florida address the polling place issue quickly by asking the Governor to provide emergency funding to bring polling places into ADA compliance.

The HAVA State Planning Committee (2006) recommends that the Florida Legislature encourage vendors to continue to develop enhancements and new technologies that meet or
exceed federal and state requirements for accessibility in voting systems and polling places. For example, legislation could be enacted that provides for limited provisional certification and use of innovative hardware and software for voting systems that have not yet been proven to meet full certification requirements. The Committee also encourages the Division of Elections to continuously review and update voting systems certification standards that allow for new technologies to be appropriately certified for use in elections.

Section 101.204, Florida Statutes, now states that vendors may not provide an uncertified voting system, voting system component or voting system upgrade. In addition, vendors are required to provide the local governing body or Supervisor of Elections with a sworn certification that the voting system, voting system component or voting system upgrade being provided has been certified by the Division of Elections.

h. Section 301(a)(3)(C): Will Florida purchase voting systems with funds made available under Title II on or after January 1, 2007, that meet the voting system standards for disability access? (as outlined in this paragraph?)

Yes, and no further actions are required.

i. Section 301(a)(4): Does Florida have certified voting systems that provide alternative language accessibility pursuant to the requirements of Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 1973aa-1a)?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

In order to be certified for use in Florida, DRE voting systems must provide alternative language accessibility for all interfaces in order to meet the requirements of Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 1973aa through 1a). Additionally, the Florida Voting System Standards (Rule IS-5.001, F.A.C., rev. 01/05) require that all configurations must support all voter interface functions at a minimum, in English, Spanish, and Haitian Creole. Counties using Markense voting systems must meet the requirements of Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act by printing ballots in the required languages.

j. Section 301(a)(5): Does Florida have certified voting systems that comply with the error rate standards established under section 3.2.1 of the voting systems standards issued by the Federal Elections Commission which are in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Florida Voting System Standards meet the error rate established by the 1990 Federal Elections Commission and is in compliance with HAVA requirements. The Division of Elections is committed to periodic update of its voting systems standards which are under current review. Although not bound by guidelines adopted by the Election Assistance

Commission, to the extent reasonably feasible and logistically adopted by the Election Assistance Commission, the Division of Elections will review its standards against the 2005 Voluntary Voting System Guidelines (effective 2007) and to the extent reasonably feasible, logistically possible and compliant with state law, the Division shall consider those standards and any subsequent standards in any update to its state standards.

k. Section 301(a)(6): Has Florida adopted uniform and nondiscriminatory standards that define what constitutes a vote and what will be counted as a vote for each category of voting systems used in the State?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 102.166(3)(a), Florida Statutes, states that "a vote for a candidate or ballot measure shall be counted if there is a clear indication on the ballot that the voter has made a definite choice." Subsection (b) further requires the Department of State to "adopt specific rules for each certified voting system prescribing what constitutes a 'clear indication on the ballot that the voter has made a definite choice.'" The rules may not:

- Exclusively provide that the voter must properly mark or designate his or her choice on the ballot; or
- Contain a catch-all provision that fails to identify specific standards, such as "any other mark or indication clearly indicating that the voter has made a definite choice."

Rule IS-2.027, F. A. C., entitled "Clear Indication of Voters Choice on a Ballot" provides specific standards for determining votes on optical scan ballots.

3. 2006 Auditor General Findings

In 2006, the Auditor General conducted an operational audit on the Department of State's administration of the Federal Help America Vote Act of 2002 during the period July 1, 2004 through February 28, 2006, and other select activities through May 23, 2006. See Report 2006-194, "Department of State - Help America Vote Act (HAVA) and the Florida Voter Registration System (FVRS) - Operational Audit." In Finding 1: Voting System Certification Checklist, the Auditor General states that the Department had implemented voting system certification procedures that incorporated the requirements included in Section 101.506, Florida Statutes. However, the report notes that a procedure was not in place to evidence for the public record that the voting systems being certified had met the requirements of Florida law.

The Division of Elections website provides public access information on all certified voting system vendors by system title, county, vendor or precinct voting method. In addition, the Department has drafted a document (Florida Voting Systems certification Checklist & Test Record) that could provide a mechanism to document the Department's processes performed relating to Section 101.506, Florida Statutes.
B. Provisional Voting and Voting Information

How the State will use the requirements payment to meet the requirements of Title III, and, if applicable under section 251(b)(2), to carry out other activities to improve the administration of elections.

1. Section 302(a) Provisional Voting Requirements

The Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) requirements for provisional voting state that if an individual declares that he or she is a registered voter in the jurisdiction in which he or she is attempting to vote but his or her name does not appear on the official list of eligible voters, the individual is permitted to cast a provisional ballot.

a. Section 302(a)(1): Do Florida’s election laws require election officials at the polling place to notify individuals that they may cast a provisional ballot?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 101.031(2), Florida Statutes, states that the Supervisor of Elections in each county shall post at each polling place in the county the Voter’s Bill of Rights and Responsibilities. Included in the Voter’s Bill of Rights is the right of each registered voter to cast a provisional ballot, if his or her registration or identity is in question. The Division of Elections’ Polling Place Procedures Manual (DS-DE 11) instructs poll workers to read informational signs that appear in print on the walls of the polling place and to offer magnifying sheets for visually impaired voters. Additionally, pursuant to Section 101.043(3), Florida Statutes, (effective January 1, 2006), persons who fail to provide photo identification and signature must be allowed to cast a provisional ballot.

b. Section 302(a)(2): Do Florida’s election laws state that any person attempting to vote whose name does not appear on the official list of eligible voters be permitted to cast a provisional ballot at the polling place upon the execution of a written affirmation by the individual to the effect that he or she is: (A) a registered voter in the jurisdiction in which the individual desires to vote; and (B) eligible to vote in that election.

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 101.048, Florida Statutes, states that any voter claiming to be properly registered and eligible to vote at a particular precinct, but whose eligibility cannot be determined or whose eligibility is challenged by any person, will be given a provisional ballot. A Provisional Ballot Voter’s Certificate and Affirmation must be completed by the individual casting a provisional ballot indicating that he or she is registered to vote and is a qualified voter of the county in which he or she is attempting to vote, and has not previously voted in the election. If it is determined that the person voting the provisional ballot was not registered or entitled to vote at the precinct where the person cast a vote in the election, the provisional ballot shall not be counted and the ballot shall remain in the envelope containing the Provisional Ballot Voter’s Certificate and Affirmation and the envelope shall be marked “Rejected as Illegal.”

Currently, in Florida, in order for provisional ballots to count they must be cast in the precinct in which the voter is registered. This means that votes for President, U.S. Senate or other statewide officials such as Governor and Attorney General, would not be counted if a vote cast a provisional ballot at a wrong precinct. Section 302 of HAVA does not specify what constitutes the appropriate jurisdiction for casting and counting a provisional ballot. The section requires: If an individual states that [a/b/c] is a registered voter in the jurisdiction in which the individual desires to vote and that the individual is eligible to vote in an election for Federal office, but the name of the individual does not appear on the official list of eligible voters for the polling place...such individual shall be permitted to cast a provisional ballot...

(2) The individual shall be permitted to cast a provisional ballot at that polling place upon the execution of a written affirmation...stating that the individual is—

(A) A registered voter in the jurisdiction in which the individual desired to vote; and

(B) Eligible to vote in that election.

The HAVA State Plan Committee (2006) finds that the issue before the Committees in 2003 and 2004 regarding the definition of jurisdiction for purposes of determining whether someone is in the appropriate place to vote has since been resolved by the Florida Legislature and the courts. They have determined that jurisdiction refers to precincts and that in order to vote a provisional ballot a person must be in his or her designated precinct location.

c. Section 302(c)(3): Do Florida’s election laws require a completed provisional ballot be given to an appropriate State or local election official to determine whether the individual is eligible under State law to vote?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 101.048(1), Florida Statutes, states that all provisional ballots are placed in a secrecy envelope and then sealed in a provisional ballot envelope. All provisional ballots shall remain sealed in their envelopes for return to the Supervisor of Elections.

Section 101.048, Florida Statutes, was amended in 2003 (effective January 1, 2006) in several places to permit a person casting a provisional ballot to have the right to present written evidence supporting his or her eligibility to vote to the Supervisor of Elections by not later than 5 p.m. on the 3rd day following an election. Section 101.111, Florida Statutes,
permits any elector or poll watcher to challenge the eligibility of any elector, including one who casts a provisional ballot, by filing an oath, using a specified form.

Section 101.048(2)(a), Florida Statutes, states that the county canvassing board shall examine each provisional ballot envelope, other evidence presented by the provisional voter and any evidence presented by a challenger to determine by a preponderance of the evidence if the person voting that ballot was entitled to vote at the precinct where the person cast a vote in the election and that the person had not already cast a ballot in the election.

d. Section 302(a)(4): Is the provisional ballot counted if the appropriate State or local election official determines the individual is eligible under State law to vote?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 101.048(2)(b), Florida Statutes, states that if it is determined that the person was registered and entitled to vote at the precinct where the person cast a ballot, the canvassing board will compare the signature on the provisional ballot envelope with the signature on the voter’s registration record and, if it matches, will count the ballot.

e. Section 302(a)(5)(A): Are the individuals who cast a provisional ballot given written information that states that any individual who casts a provisional ballot will be able to ascertain whether the vote was counted and, if not, the reason that the vote was not counted?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Subsections (5)-(6) of section 101.048, Florida Statutes, provide that each person casting a provisional ballot shall be given written instructions and information on how to determine whether his or her vote was counted.

f. Section 302(a)(5)(B): Has the appropriate State or local election official established a free access system to provide this information to individuals casting provisional ballots?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Subsections (5) and (6) of Section 101.048(5), Florida Statutes, require each Supervisor of Elections to establish a free access system that allows each person who casts a provisional ballot to determine whether his or her provisional ballot was counted in the final canvass of votes and, if not, the reason why:

(5) Each person casting a provisional ballot shall be given written instructions regarding the person’s right to provide the Supervisor of Elections with written evidence of his or her eligibility to vote and regarding the free access system established pursuant to subsection (6). The instructions shall contain information on how to access the system and the information the voter will need to provide to obtain information on his or her particular ballot. The instructions shall also include the following statement: “If this is a primary election, you should contact the Supervisor of Elections’ office immediately to confirm that you are registered and can vote in the general election.”

(6) Each Supervisor of Elections shall establish a free access system that allows each person who casts a provisional ballot to determine whether his or her provisional ballot was counted in the final canvass of votes and, if not, the reasons why. Information regarding provisional ballots shall be available no later than 30 days following the election. The system established must restrict information regarding an individual ballot to the person who cast the ballot.

It is recommended that each county, as a minimum, provide to voters who cast provisional ballots written notification by mail informing them of whether their ballot was counted and, if not, why it was not counted. Supervisors of elections are also strongly encouraged to develop a toll-free number or access to this information via the Internet. Each Supervisor of Elections has established the free access system for his or her county.

g. Section 302(a)(5)(B) Has the appropriate State or local official established procedures to protect the security, confidentiality and integrity of the personal information collected and stored by the free access system, restricting access to that individual who cast the ballot?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 101.048, Florida Statutes, requires the free access system established by the Supervisors of Elections to restrict access to information regarding an individual ballot to the person who cast the ballot.

2. Section 302(b) Voting Information Requirements

HAVA requirements for voting information state that the appropriate State or local election official shall cause voting information to be publicly posted at each polling place on the day of each election for Federal office.

a. Section 302(b)(2)(A): Is a sample version of the ballot that will be used for that election posted?
Yes, and no further actions are required.
Section 101.20, Florida Statutes, states that two sample ballots shall be furnished to each polling place by the officer whose duty it is to provide official ballots. The sample ballots shall be in the form of the official ballot as it will appear at the polling place on Election Day. Sample ballots shall be open to inspection by all electors in any election.

b. Section 392(b)(2)(B): Is information regarding the date of the election and the hours during which polling places will be open posted on election day?

Yes, and no further actions are required.
Currently, all cards that are posted in accordance with section 101.031, Florida Statutes, in polling places include the hours the polls will be opened. Section 101.031, Florida Statutes, requires the Department of State, or in case of municipal elections the governing body of the municipality, to print, in large type on cards, instructions for the electors to use in voting. Each Supervisor of Elections shall send a sufficient number of these cards to the precincts prior to an election. The election inspectors shall display the cards in the polling places as information for electors. The cards shall contain information about how to vote and such other information as the Department of State may deem necessary.

Currently, all sample ballots posted in polling places in accordance with section 101.20(1), Florida Statutes, include the date of the election. Section 101.20(1), Florida Statutes, states that two sample ballots shall be furnished to each polling place by the officer whose duty it is to provide official ballots. Sample ballots shall be open to inspection by all electors in any election, and a sufficient number of reduced-size ballots may be furnished to election officials so that one may be given to any elector desiring same.

d. Section 392(b)(2)(C): Are instructions on how to vote, including how to cast a vote and how to cast a provisional ballot posted on Election Day?

Yes, and no further actions are required.
Section 101.031, Florida Statutes, states the Department of State, or in case of municipal elections the governing body of the municipality, shall print, in large type on cards, instructions for the electors to use in voting. It shall provide not less than two cards for each voting precinct for each election and furnish such cards to each supervisor upon requisition. Each Supervisor of Elections shall send a sufficient number of these cards to the precincts prior to an election. The election inspectors shall display the cards in the polling places as information for electors. The cards shall contain information about how to vote and such other information as the Department of State may deem necessary.

e. Section 392(b)(2)(D) Are instructions for mail-in registrants and first-time voters under section 393(b) posted on Election Day?

Yes, and no further actions are required.
Under Section 101.031(1), Florida Statutes, the Department of State is required to print, in large type on cards, instructions for the electors to use in voting. The election inspectors shall display the cards in the polling places as information for electors. The cards shall contain information about how to vote and such other information as the Department of State may deem necessary. The cards must also include the list of rights and responsibilities afforded to Florida voters.

The Division of Elections distributes periodically to all 67 counties posters that provide instructions to mail-in registrants and first-time voters. A copy of the poster in English and Spanish is included in Appendixes A-B. In Miami-Dade County, the posters are printed in English, Spanish and Creole.
f. Section 302(b)(2)(E): Is general information on voting rights, including information on the right of an individual to cast a provisional ballot posted on Election Day?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 101.031(2), Florida Statutes, last amended in 2005, requires the Supervisor of Elections in each county to post at each polling place the Voter’s Bill of Rights and Responsibilities. The Voter’s Bill of Rights states that each registered voter in this State has the right to:
1. Vote and have his or her vote accurately counted.
2. Cast a vote if he or she is in line at the official closing of the polls in that county.
3. Ask for and receive assistance in voting.
4. Receive up to two replacement ballots if he or she makes a mistake prior to the ballot being cast.
5. An explanation if his or her registration or identity is in question.
6. If his or her registration or identity is in question, cast a provisional ballot.
7. Write instructions to use when voting, and, upon request, oral instructions in voting from elections officials.
8. Vote free from coercion or intimidation by elections officers or any other person.
9. Vote on a voting system that is in working condition and that will allow votes to be accurately cast.

Section 302(b)(2)(E): Is contact information posted for voters who allege their rights have been violated?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

As indicated before, the Department of State is required to print, in large type on cards, instructions for the electors to use in voting. The election inspectors shall display the cards in the polling places as information for electors. The cards shall contain information about how to vote and such other information as the Department of State may deem necessary. The cards must also include the list of rights and responsibilities afforded to Florida voters.

Additionally, the Division of Elections has updated and reprinted the Voter’s Bill of Rights and Responsibilities, as modified in 2005, on to the posters. These posters are distributed to all 67 counties and displayed at each polling place on Election Day. The posters have been updated to provide voters with contact information if they believe their voting rights have been violated. The specific instruction states: You may have other voting rights under state and federal laws. If you believe your voting rights have been violated, please contact Florida Department of State, Division of Elections, 1-877-868-3377, a toll-free number.

A copy of the poster in English and Spanish is included as Appendix C and D. In Miami-Dade County, the posters are printed in English, Spanish, and Creole.

h. Section 302(b)(2)(F): Is information on laws regarding prohibitions on acts of fraud and misrepresentation posted?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 101.5611(2), Florida Statutes, requires the Supervisor of Elections to post at each polling place a notice that reads: “A person who commits or attempts to commit any fraud in connection with voting, votes a fraudulent ballot, or votes more than once in an election can be convicted of a felony of the third degree and fined up to $5,000 and/or imprisoned for up to 5 years.”

i. Section 302(c): Are individuals who vote in an election as a result of a court order or any other order extending the time established for closing the polls by a State law required to cast a provisional ballot? This provisional ballot must be separated and held apart from other provisional ballots cast by those not affected by the order.

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 101.0409, Florida Statutes, permits, under special circumstances, any person voting in an election after the regular poll-closing time pursuant to a court or other order extending the statutory polling hours to vote a provisional ballot. Once voted, the provisional ballot shall be placed in a secrecy envelope and sealed in a provisional ballot envelope. All such provisional ballots will remain sealed and transmitted to the Supervisor of Elections separate and apart from all other ballots. The supervisor shall ensure that late-voted provisional ballots are not commingled with other ballots. Provisions related to persons with disabilities were added in 2005 (effective January 1, 2006) and are described in another section of this report.

j. Section 302(d): The effective date for complying with the Provisional Voting and Voting Information requirements is on and after January 1, 2004.

The Provisional Voting and Voting Information Requirements were completed as required by HAVA on January 1, 2004.
ELEMENT I. USE OF TITLE III REQUIREMENTS PAYMENTS

C. VOTER REGISTRATION

How the State will use the requirements payment to meet the requirements of Title III, and, if applicable under section 251(b)(2), to carry out other activities to improve the administration of elections.

1. Introduction

The Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) establishes minimum requirements for a single, centralized, computerized statewide voter registration list that will serve as the single system for storing and maintaining the official list of registered voters throughout the State. The basis for developing and maintaining a single registration system is (1) to ensure with accuracy and timeliness that all eligible voters can vote and (2) to ensure that all ineligible persons cannot vote.

The Florida Legislature authorized the Secretary of State to create and administer a statewide voter registration system as required by HAVA. In addition, the Florida Legislature authorized the Secretary of State to delegate voter registration duties and records maintenance activities to voter registration officials whose responsibilities shall be performed in accordance with state and federal law. These changes were effective January 1, 2006.

2. Computerized Statewide Voter Registration List Requirements

a. Section 303(a)(1)(A), (a)(2)(vii) through (a)(5): Does Florida’s existing statewide database meet requirements for implementing and maintaining a single, uniform, official, centralized, interactive computerized statewide voter registration list defined, maintained, and administered at the State level that contains the name and registration information of every legally registered voter in the State and assigns a unique identifier to each legally registered voter in the State and includes information specified in HAVA?

   Yes, and no and further actions are required.

Florida Voter Registration System Implementation

The Department of State began designing a new statewide voter registration system in 2003 which would comply with HAVA. The new system known as the Florida Voter Registration System (FVRS) is designed to interface and operate with the 67 county voter registration systems to maintain a single, uniform, official, centralized, interactive computerized voter registration system. The local Supervisor of Elections is responsible for updating voter registration information, entering new voter registrations, and acting as the official custodian of voter registration documents at the county level. In addition, each local Supervisor of Elections ensures that list maintenance duties are conducted in accordance with Section 98.015, 98.065, and 98.075, Florida Statutes. However, the Secretary of State, as chief election officer, is responsible for implementing, operating, and maintaining the statewide voter registration system as required by HAVA (Section 98.035, Florida Statutes).

The FVRS became fully operational in January 2006 and complies with all of HAVA requirements under Section 303(a) as follows:

i. The FVRS is the single uniform, official, centralized, interactive statewide voter registration system for storing and managing the official list of registered voters throughout the State (Section 98.035, Florida Statutes).

ii. The FVRS contains the name and registration information of every legally registered voter in the state (Section 98.035, Florida Statutes).

iii. The FVRS provides each legally registered voter in Florida with a unique identifier (Section 98.035, Florida Statutes).

iv. The FVRS is coordinated with other agency databases including the Department of Health, the clerk of the circuit court, the United States Attorney’s office, the Department of Law Enforcement, the Board of Executive Clemency, the Department of Corrections, and the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (Section 98.093, Florida Statutes).

v. Any authorized election official in Florida, including any authorized local election official, may obtain immediate electronic access to the voter registration information in the FVRS (Sections 97.012 and 98.015, Florida Statutes).

vi. All voter registration information obtained by authorized election officials in Florida is electronically entered into FVRS on an expedited basis at the time the information is provided to the local official (Section 97.012 and 98.015, Florida Statutes).

vii. The Secretary of State as chief election officer provides support as may be required so that local election officials are able to enter information (Section 97.012, Florida Statutes).

viii. The FVRS serves as the “official” voter registration list for the conduct of all elections for Federal office in the Florida (Section 98.035, Florida Statutes).
In order to oversee further the voter registration requirements of HAVA and the Florida law, the Secretary of State established the Bureau of Voter Registration Services in 2005. The Bureau of Voter Registration Services has four management areas:

- Office of Bureau Chief: Manages the overall operations of the Bureau and FVRS.
- Voter Services Section: Processes voter registrations and enters data into the FVRS.
- The Compliance and Registration Section: Assesses county and agency records to perform credible and reliable checks on potential ineligible voters.
- NVRA Section: Coordinates operations of the 1993 National Voter Registration Act and the Florida Voter Registration Act.

3. Computerized List Maintenance

a. Section 303(a)(2): Does Florida have the appropriate State or local election official to perform various list maintenance functions on a regular basis including a removal process in accordance with NVRA of 1993?

Does Florida have a process in place to remove ineligible voters from the list of eligible voters that complies with Section 8 of 42 U.S.C. 1973?

Does Florida’s FVRS list maintenance procedure ensure that each registered voter’s name will appear on the list, that duplicate names are eliminated from the list, and that only persons who are not registered or who are not eligible to vote are removed from the computerized list?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Computerized List Maintenance

The FVRS is maintained according to the HAVA requirements to ensure that the names and registration information of every legally registered voter in the State are on the computerized list. Only supervisors of elections are authorized to remove voters who are not eligible. Two primary maintenance processes occur to ensure that the voter registration records are accurate and current.

Each of Supervisor of Elections is required to conduct a biennial general list maintenance program in a nondiscriminatory manner and in compliance with the Voting Rights Act of 1965, the National Voter Registration Act of 1993, and the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (Section 98.065, Florida Statutes). This list maintenance process includes actions such as incorporating changes of address notices and requests, designating inactive voters, and removing voters from the list. At the state level, the Bureau of Voter Registration Services also conducts daily list maintenance activities pursuant to Section 98.075, Florida Statutes.

4. Technological Security of Computerized List

Section 303(a)(3): Does Florida have the appropriate State or local official to provide adequate technological security measures to prevent the unauthorized access to the FVRS?

Yes and no further actions are required.

a. Technological Security of Computerized List/Risk Management

Pursuant to the requirements of 282, Florida Statutes, the Department of State, as is required of all agencies, conducts, and periodically updates, a comprehensive risk analysis to determine the security threats to data and information technology resources within the department and its divisions and bureaus. The Florida Voter Registration System (FVRS) is now included as a part of that risk analysis. The risk analysis information is confidential and exempt from the provisions of Section 119.071(1), Florida Statutes, except to the Auditor General so that he or she may perform post-auditing duties.

In 2006, the Department of State requested that the Auditor General conduct an operational audit of the Florida Voter Registration System. In response to certain findings highlighted in the subsequently issued Auditor General’s report, entitled “Department of State-Help America Vote Act (HAVA) and the Florida Voter Registration System (FVRS)-Operational Audit,” (Report No. 2006-194), the Department completed a baseline risk assessment of FVRS in June 2006. Additionally, the Department took other major steps in response to the report including the creation of a system security plan and the execution of a memorandum of understanding between the Department of State and each of the 67 county Supervisors of
Elections regarding information security issues and protocols. The Department has designated a chief information security manager for FVRS who provides assistance or guidance as needed in local system security administrators in each of those counties and is developing a formal training program.

Additionally, the Department is reviewing its overall and local Information Technology (IT) recovery disaster and regional response plans known collectively as "COOP plans" for purposes of ensuring full integration of the FVRS system into such plans. The Department is periodically updating technological security policies at the department level and updating authorization procedures. Moreover, the Department continues to develop improved capabilities for documenting all FVRS users and access.

b. IT Governance Model

The Department of State is providing adequate technological security measures to prevent unauthorized access to the Florida Voter Registration System in accordance with section 303(a)(3) of the Help America Vote Act and in a manner consistent with the U.S. Elections Assistance Commission’s “Voluntary Guidance on Implementation of Statewide Voter Registration Lists, July 2003.” At a minimum, the Department has established standard technological security and access protocols for FVRS to protect the voter registration data from unauthorized and illegal access, modification and disclosure, particularly in consideration of data designated as confidential and/or exempt under Florida’s Public Records Law. As an added security measure, the FVRS has been designed to track and record complete transactional history within the database for purposes of subsequent audit and accountability. For recovery and restoration purposes, a full backup of all FVRS data is performed on a daily basis and such tape copy is stored in a protected off-site location.

c. Data Integrity

The Department continues to improve on its measures to ensure the integrity of the FVRS data. For example, it has improved its systematic ongoing match process for identifying duplicate records each time a new voter registration applicant is entered into FVRS. Working in conjunction with the Florida Department of Law Enforcement, the Department will expand, as time and resources permit, its systematic "credible and reliable review" process to existing registered voter records in order to identify potentially ineligible persons based on initial comparisons against felony conviction data. The Department continues to use other agency databases to conduct data matching and verification functions associated with the voter registration process. Also as part of the Department’s responsibility to oversee the process for ensuring accurate and current registration records in FVRS, the Department is reviewing and will review periodically bi-annual certifications filed by the respective Supervisors of Elections regarding their efforts to conduct registration list maintenance and registration record maintenance activities based on address changes and grounds for ineligibility, respectively.

5. Minimum Standards for Accuracy of State Voter Registration Records

Section 303(a)(4): Does Florida have a minimum standard of accuracy for voter registration records which removes registrants consistent with the NVRA of 1993 who have not responded to notices and who have not voted in 2 consecutive general elections for Federal office? Does Florida have safeguards to ensure that eligible voters are not removed in error from the FVRS?

Yes, and no further actions are necessary.

The FVRS is updated regularly as required by HAVA to remove registrants who are ineligible to vote consistent with the National Voter Registration Act of 1993. The Florida Legislature put in safeguards to ensure that eligible voters are not removed in error as required by HAVA (Sections 98.065 and 98.073 Florida Statutes). Additionally, if any person is removed erroneously or illegally from the FVRS, the name of the elector shall be restored by a voter registration official upon satisfactory proof, even though the registration period is closed (Section 98.081, Florida Statutes).

Excerpted below are the statutory notice and due process procedures that a Supervisor of Elections must follow before he or she can remove ineligible voters who have been adjudicated mentally incapacitated and have not had their voting rights restored, or who have been convicted of a felony and whose rights have not been restored:

Section 98.075(7), Florida Statutes—PROCEDURES FOR REMOVAL
(a) If the supervisor receives notice or information pursuant to subsections (9)-(10), the supervisor of the county in which the voter is registered shall:
1. Notify the registered voter of his or her potential ineligibility by mail within 7 days after receipt of notice or information. The notice shall include:
   a. A statement of the basis for the registered voter’s potential ineligibility and a copy of any documentation upon which the potential ineligibility is based.
   b. A statement that failure to respond within 30 days after receipt of the notice may result in a determination of ineligibility and in removal of the registered voter’s name from the statewide voter registration system.
   c. A return form that requires the registered voter to admit or deny the accuracy of the information underlying the potential ineligibility for purposes of a final determination by the supervisor.
   d. A statement that, if the voter is denying the accuracy of the information underlying the potential ineligibility, the voter has a right to request a hearing for the purpose of determining eligibility.
   e. Instructions for the registered voter to contact the Supervisor of Elections of the county in which the voter is registered if assistance is needed in resolving the matter.
   f. Instructions for seeking restoration of civil rights following a felony conviction, if applicable.
2. If the mailed notice is returned as undeliverable, the supervisor shall publish notice once in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the voter was last registered. The notice shall contain the following:
   a. The voter’s name and address.
   b. A statement that the voter is potentially ineligible to be registered to vote.
KURT S. BROWNING
SECRETARY OF STATE
STATE OF FLORIDA
HAVA PLAN 2006 UPDATE / PAGE 33

22515 Federal Register / Vol. 73, No. 81 / Friday, April 25, 2008 / Notices

VerDate Aug<31>2005 17:12 Apr 24, 2008 Jkt 214001 PO 00000 Frm 00047 Fmt 4701 Sfmt 4725 E:\FR\FM\25APN2.SGM 25APN2

E:

smartinez on PROD1PC64 with NOTICES

KURT S. BROWNING
SECRETARY OF STATE
STATE OF FLORIDA
HAVA PLAN 2006 UPDATE / PAGE 34

published in the newspaper, the number of hearings conducted, and the number of persons removed from the statewide voter registration systems and the reasons for such removals. If the department determines that a supervisor has not satisfied the requirements of Section 98.075, Florida Statutes, the department shall satisfy the appropriate requirements for that county. A supervisor of elections' failure to comply with these requirements constitutes a violation of Section 104.051, Florida Statutes.

A voter may appeal the determination of ineligibility as outlined in Section 98.0755, Florida Statutes. The appeal must be filed in the circuit court of the county where the person was registered. The notice of appeal is subject to the time and manner requirements in the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure and acts as supersedeas. Trial in the circuit court is de novo and governed by the rules of that court. Unless the person can show that his or her name was erroneously or illegally removed from the statewide voter registration system, or that he or she is indigent, the person must bear the costs of the trial in the circuit court. Otherwise, the cost of the appeal must be paid by the Supervisor of Elections.

6. Verification of Voter Registration Information

Section 389.015(5): 1. Does Florida’s voter registration application process require either a driver’s license number or last four digits of the social security number? 2. Does Florida’s voter registration application process assign the applicant with a unique identification number which identifies the applicant for voter registration purposes? 3. Does Florida have a process to determine whether the information provided by the voter registration applicant is sufficient to meet the requirements of HAVA, in accordance with State law? 4. Does Florida’s Secretary of State and the Executive Director of the Department of Highway and Safety Motor Vehicles have an agreement to match voter information in their respective databases? 5. Does the Executive Director of the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles have an agreement with the Commission of Social Security under 205(r)(8) subparagraph C?

Yes, and no further actions are necessary.

Florida has a uniform statewide voter application which requires the driver’s license number or the last four digits of the social security number (Section 97.052, Florida Statutes). EVRS assigns each applicant an unique identifier for purposes of processing their applications. (Section 97.053, Florida Statutes).

Florida has a process to determine whether the information provided by the voter registration applicant is sufficient to meet the requirements of HAVA, in accordance with State law (Sections 97.053 and 97.057, Florida Statutes). The Florida Department of State entered into an agreement with the Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles to match and verify the accuracy of the information on the voter registration application. In turn, under HAVA, the Executive Director the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicle entered into an agreement in November 2005 with the
Commissioner of Social Security Administration to verify that the last 4 digits of the social security numbers provided on the applications. The Department of State is in the process of updating its agreement with the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles to reflect the transition from development and implementation of the Florida Voter Registration System to its maintenance and operation (Section 97.057, Florida Statutes).

7. Deadline for Computerized Statewide Voter Registration List

Section 303(b)(2)(i) through (ii) require that a first-time voter who votes in person may be identified by a current and valid identification card or a copy of a current utility bill, bank statement, government check, paycheck, or other government document that shows the name and address of the voter. A voter who votes by mail may include with the ballot a copy of a current and valid photo identification or a copy of the other documents listed for the voter who appears in person. An exception is made in HAVA Section 303(b)(3) for mail registrants who provide a copy of required identification at the time of registering, for mail registrants whose driver’s license number or last 4 digits of the Social Security number are matched with an existing State record, and for mail registrants who vote under the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act, the Voting Accessibility for the Elderly and Handicapped Act, or under some other provision of Federal law (in which case the specific standards of those acts must be met).

The following sections of Florida law conform to HAVA’s mail registration and other voter registration requirements:

- Section 97.052(3)(b), Florida Statutes, requires a statement with the uniform statewide voter registration form that informs the applicant that if the form is submitted by mail and the applicant is registering for the first time, the applicant will be required to provide identification prior to voting for the first time.

- Section 97.053(3)(a) & (b), Florida Statutes, permits the use of a valid Florida driver’s license number or the identification number from a Florida identification card issued under Section 322.051, Florida Statutes, for purposes of voter registration.

- Section 97.0535, Florida Statutes, specifies at length the requirements for identification that a first-time voter can use and complies with other HAVA requirements outlined previously.

- Section 101.043, Florida Statutes, permit a voter to submit to a poll worker at the time of voting a current and valid picture identification with a signature.

8. Requirements for Voters Who Register by Mail

a. Section 303(b)(1) through (ii): Does Florida meet HAVA’s identification requirements for a voter who registers by mail and has not previously voted in an election for Federal office in the State or registers by mail, has not previously voted in the jurisdiction and is in a State that does not have a computerized statewide voter registration list that meets HAVA’s requirements?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Because many voters register by mail instead of in-person, the procedures used for mail registration are an important component of establishing and maintaining a complete and accurate statewide voter registration list. Section 303(b) of HAVA requires that a state’s mail voter registration system be administered in a “uniform and nondiscriminatory manner” and establishes minimum requirements for such a system.

Persons who register by mail and have not voted in an election for Federal office must provide identification prior to voting. If the State is able to match the voter’s driver’s license number or Social Security number against an existing State record bearing the same number, name and date of birth, further identification by the voter is not required.

b. Section 303(b)(4): Does Florida meet HAVA’s requirements for language in the mail voter registration form under Section 6 of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993?

Yes, and no further actions are required.

HAVA requires that mail voter registration forms under Section 6 of the National Voter Registration Act shall include the following:
"(i) The question "Are you a citizen of the United States of America?" and boxes for the applicant to check to indicate whether the applicant is or is not a citizen of the United States.

(ii) The question "Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day?" and boxes for the applicant to check to indicate whether or not the applicant will be 18 years of age or older on election day.

(iii) The statement "If you checked "no" in response to either of these questions, do not complete this form."

(iv) A statement informing the individual that if the form is submitted by mail and the individual is registering for the first time, the appropriate information required under this section must be submitted with the mail-in registration form in order to avoid the additional identification requirements upon voting for the first time."

Florida’s statewide uniform voter registration application complies with HAVA’s mail voter registration form developed under section 6 of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993. The application adopted through Rule 1S-2.040, Florida Administrative Code pursuant to Section 97.053, Florida Statutes, is available by request from the Division of Elections, by download at: http://election.dos.state.fl.us or by contacting any local Supervisor of Elections office.

Element 2. Local Government Payments and Activities

Section 254(e)(2): How the State will distribute and monitor the distribution of the requirements payments to units of local government or other entities in the State for carrying out the activities described in paragraph (1), including a description of:

(A) the criteria to be used to determine the eligibility of such units or entities for receiving the payment, and

(B) the methods to be used by the State to monitor the performance of the units or entities to whom the payment is distributed, consistent with the performance goals and measures adopted under paragraph (8).

1. Introduction

The Florida Legislature has broad constitutional authority for appropriating federal and State funds annually through the appropriations bill which is eventually signed by the Governor into law. During the annual appropriations process, the Florida Legislature assesses the needs of the State and makes policy and budget decisions which impact every level of government including local government.

The funding of elections in Florida is primarily a local government responsibility since the constitutional authority for running elections rests with the local Supervisor of Elections. Funding authority for elections resides with the Boards of County Commissioners. Each of Florida’s 67 Boards of County Commissioners receives a budget request from the Supervisor of Elections and then the Board makes policy and budget decisions based upon county priorities.

Prior to HAVA, there was one major exception. Following the controversial 2000 General Election, the Governor and the citizens of Florida asked the Legislature to enact broad election reforms which included providing State financial assistance to local governments. Over a two-year period, the Legislature provided over $32 million in State funds to supplement local election budgets and to quicken the pace of election reform in Florida. Most of the State funds were appropriated to the Boards of County Commissioners using two different formulas for accomplishing distinct policy goals—to replace voting systems designated to be declassified and to enact comprehensive voter education programs in every county.

The funding formula used to upgrade voting systems had two important policy goals—to provide a minimum voting system standard of precinct-based optical scanning systems throughout Florida and to provide funding assistance to small counties with very small tax bases. The resulting formula achieved that policy goal and was as follows:
Florida and not the counties. The $11,581,377 reimbursement is almost one-half the amount the State of Florida invested to replace outdated voting machines between 2001 and 2003.

- Accessible Voting Systems for Voters with Disabilities
  The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended that HAVA funds should be distributed to counties during the 2004-05 fiscal year to help them meet Section 501 Title III accessibility requirements by the January 1, 2006 deadline. The estimated amount to comply with this requirement was $116 million and the funds would be distributed according to the number of machines accessible for persons with disabilities needed for each county to have one per polling place. The Division of Elections would have the responsibility for determining eligibility of counties receiving HAVA funds. Secondly, the HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended that HAVA funds be distributed as a reimbursement on a pro-rata basis to local governments that purchased accessible voting systems and components during the 2001 and 2002 fiscal years.

In the fiscal year 2004-05 the Legislature appropriated $11.6 million to assist Supervisors of Elections (SOEs) with purchasing DREs in order to provide one accessible voting system at each polling place. Those appropriated funds were distributed to SOEs that had not acquired accessible voting systems by July 1, 2004. There were 51 counties that did not have accessible voting systems at that time. The Division of Elections distributed the funds to fifty-one (51) Supervisors of Elections for this purpose pursuant to the terms of a memorandum of agreement.

In the fiscal year 2005-06 the Legislature appropriated funds to reimburse counties that acquired accessible voting systems prior to July 1, 2004. Funds in the amount of $13,406,163 were distributed to the sixteen counties that had purchased accessible voting systems prior to July 1, 2004. The appropriation was included in the fiscal year 2005-06 General Appropriations Act, specific appropriation 2911. In addition, there were eight counties that received a total of $63,315 as reimbursement for existing DREs that were not included in the fiscal year 2004-05 appropriations. The funds were distributed according to the number of machines that are accessible for persons with disabilities that were needed in order for each county to have one per polling place.

No Supervisor of Elections was to receive any funds until the county Supervisor of Elections certified to the Department of State:
1) the number of precincts in the county;
2) the number of polling places in the county;
3) the number of voting machines the county has that meet the disability requirement;
4) the county’s plan for purchasing the DREs; and
5) the date that the county anticipates being in compliance.

In January 2006 the Florida Department of State’s Division of Elections surveyed each SOE to determine whether counties had accessible voting systems for each polling place or if signed
contracts were in place to acquire accessible voting systems in the event delivery had yet not
taken place. Two counties, Leon and Union, did not have signed contracts for purchasing
accessible voting systems by January 1, 2006. Both counties had to return the funds pursuant to
the conditions of the memorandum of agreement between the Department of State and the
Supervisors of Elections as well as language included in the Appropriations Act.

The Legislature re-appropriated the voting systems assistance funds for redistribution to Leon
and Union counties during the fiscal year 2006-07. Pursuant to language in the Appropriations
Act, distribution of the funds was contingent upon the Department of State receiving a certificate
from each county certifying that "accessible voting equipment meeting the requirements of
section 303(a) of Title III of the Help America Vote Act had been delivered, accepted by the
county, and was ready for use in an election." The Department of State received the required
certificates from Leon and Union County Supervisors of Elections in July 2006 and issued state
warrants to each SOE to redistribute the funds.

- Statewide Voter Education Program

For the fiscal year 2003-04, the Florida Legislature appropriated a total of $2,976,755 to be made
available to each county for voter education programs. The distribution was based on a funding
level per individual voter multiplied by the number of registered voters in each county for the
2002 General Election. To determine the funding level per individual voter, the Division of
Elections divided the total amount of funds appropriated in FY 2003-04 by the total number of
registered voters in the State of Florida for the 2002 General Election. The HAVA Planning
Committee (2004) also recommended that local governments receive $3,000,000 for
comprehensive voter education efforts for the fiscal year 2005-06.

For each fiscal year 2004-05 and 2005-06, local governments received $3 million for
comprehensive voter education efforts. For the fiscal year 2004-05, the Department distributed
an amount to each eligible Supervisor of Elections equal to the funding level per voter multiplied
by the number of registered voters in the county for the 2004 Presidential Preference Primary.
The Department determined the funding level per voter in the state for the 2004 Presidential
Preference Primary. The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) had recommended that local
governments receive a total of $9 million dollars ($3 million each fiscal year) for comprehensive
voter education efforts for the fiscal year 2005-06. HAVA funds for voter education were to be
distributed using a similar formula as used in 2003-04. The Division of Elections should be
responsible for determining eligibility of any county for the receipt of State or federal funds used
in HAVA election reform activities.

For the fiscal year 2006-07, the Florida Legislature appropriated $2 million to distribute to
Supervisors of Elections to assist with voter education activities. Each county was required to
provide matching funds of 15%.

Funds for voter education programs were distributed to county Supervisors of Elections for the
following purposes: mailing or publishing sample ballots; conducting activities pursuant to the
Standards for Nonpartisan Voter Education as provided in Rule 15-2.033, F.A.C.; print, radio, or
television advertising to voters; and other innovative voter education programs, as approved by
the Department of State. No Supervisor of Elections received any funds until the county
Supervisor of Elections provided the Department of State a detailed description of the voter-
education programs, such as those described above, to be implemented.

In June 2006, the Auditor General conducted an operational audit on the Department of State's
administration of the federal Help America Vote Act of 2002 during the period July 1, 2004
through February 28, 2006. See Auditor General Report 2006-194, Department of State Help
America Vote Act (HAVA) and the Florida Voter Registration System (FVRS). The report
indicated in Finding #7 (voter education) that "one county failed to include four of the five voter
education elements contained in the Standards for Nonpartisan Voter Education." The
Department of State responded to the Auditor General's report by stating its understanding that
HAVA funds paid to counties under the voter education program are not required to be expended
for all elements shown in the Department's rule applicable to minimum standards for voter
education. For some of the smaller rural counties, the amount of HAVA funds received for voter
education programs is insufficient to cover the full costs of all elements in the rule. In those
instances, the counties must provide the additional funds needed to implement all voter education
activities listed in the rule. Therefore, the Department has not required counties to include each
element in the rule in their voter education plans. They have been required to include only the
other education activities that will be paid with for HAVA funds.

In addition, the Auditor General Report noted that the activities reported in the expenditure
report for one county included an activity that was not included in the county's plan which was
submitted to the Department, though these expenditures would have been allowable. The
Department has since updated its voter education procedures to more closely monitor each
county's activities as shown in the respective voter education reports following each general
election to make sure that the required elements are completed. In addition, the Department will
closely compare county-planned activities with actual voter education activities. The
Department is also in the process of developing procedures to follow when discrepancies are
noted between planned and actual voter education activities. These procedures will be included
in any future memorandums of agreement between the Department and SOEs in which funding
is provided to SOEs contingent upon approval of a plan.

In order for a county Supervisor of Elections to be eligible to receive state funding for voter
education, each county certified that they would provide matching funds for voter education in
the amount equal to fifteen percent of the amount to be received from the state. Additionally, to
be eligible, a county must segregate state voter education distributions and required county
matching dollars in a separate account established to hold only such funds. Funds in this account
must be used only for the activities for which the funds were received. Any funds remaining in
the fund at the end of the fiscal year shall remain in the account to be used for the same purposes for subsequent years or until such funds are expended.

The Auditor General's Report 2006-194 also noted in Finding #7 (Voter Education) "the expenditure report for one county failed to delineate, as required by the standard reporting form, the State and county funds expended. The Auditor General recommended that the Department ensure that all plans are in compliance with Department rules and Agreements and that voter education expenditures correspond with detailed descriptions in the Plans. In addition, the Auditor General recommended the Department ensure that the matching expenditures are reported separately on the expenditure report. In response, the Department is revising the language in its memorandums of agreements with the SOEs to require that counties report the expenditures made with HAVA funds separately from expenditures made with county funds. Any future agreements between the Department and SOEs to distribute funds to the counties will include the revised language.

The Division of Elections monitors the performance of the contract agreements entered into between the State and each county, in accordance with State procedures. Each county must meet the contractual requirements before payment is approved. Standard auditing procedures for monitoring the use of federal funds are used for the receipt and the distribution of HAVA funds. These standard procedures may include random program audits by the Department of State's Inspector General as well as an annual audit by the Florida Auditor General's office to ensure funds are being expended for the authorized purposes.

- Payments to Other State Entities

Through the 2005-06 fiscal years, the Division of Elections recommended that the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles and the Florida Department of Law Enforcement also receive HAVA funding to assist in the development of the new statewide voter registration system. The Division of Elections entered into a contractual agreement with these other state-level departments and monitors the contracts in accordance with standard auditing procedures for monitoring the use of federal funds. The Division will maintain contracts with these two agencies to ensure the accuracy of the voter registration records/information stored in the statewide voter registration system.

Element 3. Voter Education, Election Official Education & Training, Poll Worker Training

Section 254(a)(3): How the State will provide for programs for voter education, election official education and training, and poll worker training which will assist the State in meeting the requirements of Title III.

1. Introduction

A wide array of national and State task force reports have highlighted the need for a more informed electorate. To achieve this goal, voters, election officials, and poll workers must receive better information and training. Florida assigns the primary responsibility for these daunting tasks to the Department of State and the county Supervisors of Elections. Following the election of 2000, the Legislature has more clearly delineated the role of each in improving the education of voters, election officials, and poll workers.

The Florida Election Reform Act of 2001 (Chapter 2001-40, Laws of Florida) set deadlines, included a wide array of topics to be addressed by State and county election officials, granted rule making authority to the Department of State, and established a procedure for measuring the effectiveness of the programs and making recommendations to the Governor and the State Legislature. Various acts passed during the 2002-2006 legislative sessions broaden the scope of voter education responsibilities, more definitively spell out voter rights, and ensure that Florida's electoral system conforms to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Each of these changes has been communicated to election officials at all levels and to the public at-large.

Under Section 98.255, Florida Statutes, all 67 county Supervisors of Elections are required to file voter education plans with the Division of Elections in the Department of State in order to qualify for State funds. The Department of State, as directed by the Legislature, also established minimum standards for nonpartisan voter education to be met by each county (Rule 15-2.033, Florida Administrative Code, "Standards for Nonpartisan Voter Education," effective May 30, 2002).

Significant changes to Florida's election laws and the advent of new voting equipment have also made poll worker education a high priority—recognized in the Florida Election Reform Act of 2001. Florida's counties have restructured their poll worker training programs. State law now requires Supervisors of Elections to certify that their poll worker recruitment nets wider, as the number of poll workers needed escalates in a fast-growing state. Section 102.014, Florida Statutes, directs the Division of Elections to "develop a statewide uniform training curriculum for poll workers" and dictates that "each supervisor shall use such curriculum in training poll workers."
(effective January 1, 2006). The Florida State Poll Workers Training Manual is currently in the final stages of production. A draft of the training manual was distributed at the Florida State Association of Supervisors of Election’s summer conference and ideas from this manual are already being implemented in some counties.

2. Voter education: Section 254.032(3). How will the State of Florida provide for programs for voter education which will assist the State in meeting the requirements of Title III?

a. Introduction: Voter education in Florida is a joint responsibility of the Department of State and the 67 county Supervisors of Elections. Both levels of government play a role in designing, implementing, and evaluating voter education activities. Both must constantly react to election-related legislation passed by the Florida Legislature.

The State of Florida has adopted extensive voter education requirements and funded county voter education programs ($6,000,000 in 2001, $2,976,755 for fiscal years 2003-04, and $3,000,000 for fiscal year 2004-05). The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended and the state approved an additional $3 million for the fiscal year 2005-06. For the fiscal year 2006-07, $22 million was appropriated by the Legislature for voter education.

Each of the 67 county supervisors of elections is required to file a detailed description of voter-education programs in order to receive state funds (Section 98.255, Florida Statutes) There are four broad categories of voter education for which these funds may be used: mailing or publishing sample ballots; conducting activities described in the Standards for Nonpartisan Voter Education provided in Rule 1S-2.033, F.A.C.; for print, radio, or television advertising to voters; and for other innovative voter education programs, as approved by the Department of State. An analysis of the 2006 county plans shows that most are using their funds for a wide variety of activities within each broad category. Examples include:

- Sample Ballots: printing; mailing; distribution of audio sample ballots on CD.
- Nonpartisan Voter Education: registration displays in various types of locations; educational brochures; pamphlets; newsletters; pencils; utility bill stuffers; postcards; voter education packets; signs & banners; informational flyers; distribution of buttons, lapel pins, magnets, fans, key chains, jar openers, coloring books, tape measures, bags, bumper stickers, bookmarkers, calendars, pens, posters, “I voted” stickers, and other novelty and promotional items featuring voting-oriented information; conducting school elections on county voting equipment; purchasing accessible equipment to use for public demonstrations; promotions for early and absentee ballot voting; voter guides; community events booths; an Electronic Message board constantly updated by the supervisor.

Media Advertising (print & electronic): public service announcements; special programs on availability and use of voting equipment; educational videos (e.g., “First Voter”) to be aired on public education and government access stations; voter preparation guides; registration count-downs; billboards; targeted radio spots (age, race/ethnicity); digital ads to be aired at movie theatres; public service ads for condo associations and other organizations with closed circuit television outlets; infomercials; bus & taxi ads; newspaper ads and inserts; crawlers on local television channel.

Innovative Programs: website design and maintenance; new software for website and newsletter construction; interactive web sites; multi-cultural and multi-lingual (Spanish, Creole, and Portuguese) outreach; registration contests in area high schools; blinking marquees in front of the courthouse; business program; portable signs; murals on local buildings; phone lines for public to use to contact supervisor’s office on election night; mock Internet student elections; development of a countywide audio program for non-readers; participation in local television stations’ Voter Help lines; kiosk locations to show public how new voting equipment works.

County voter education plans filed with the Division of Elections in the Secretary of State’s office are filled with creative approaches. These outreach mechanisms are designed by the elections supervisors to: (1) Better inform their county’s residents about registration and voting; and, (2) Reduce the levels of voter error and confusion that existed during the 2000 election cycle. The approaches used by the 67 individual counties vary considerably, reflecting differences in their demographic and socioeconomic composition (e.g., population size, land area, rural/urban location, age, race/ethnicity, education), county funding levels, and media availability. For example, small counties (under 100,000) are more likely to use their education funds for the basics—printing and mailing sample ballots, mailing voter guides, and notifying voters of changes in precinct locations. Larger counties (100,000+) are more likely than smaller ones to spend their funds on radio and television advertising, superintendents participation in media programs and events, targeting college students, voter registration workshops, demonstrating voting equipment, and innovative programs.

Section 98.255(1), Florida Statutes, directed the Department of State to “adopt rules prescribing minimum standards for nonpartisan voter education” by March 1, 2002. The standards address (but are not limited to):

- (1) Voter registration;
- (2) Balloting procedures for absentee and polling place;
- (3) Voter rights and responsibilities;
- (4) Distribution of sample ballots; and,
- (5) Public service announcements.
In developing the rules, the Department was instructed to "review current voter education programs within each county of the state." The Department of State adopted Rule 1S-2.033, F.A.C., Standards for Nonpartisan Voter Education on May 30, 2002.

Section 98.255(2), Florida Statutes, requires each Supervisor of Elections to "implement the minimum voter education standards" and to "conduct additional nonpartisan education efforts as necessary to ensure that voters have a working knowledge of the voting process." Under Florida law, voter education must constantly be evaluated and new recommendations for improvements must be made. Section 98.255(3)(a), Florida Statutes, requires that "by December 15 of each general election year, each Supervisor of Elections shall report to the Department of State a detailed description of the voter education programs implemented and any other information that may be useful in evaluating the effectiveness of voter education efforts." Section 98.255(3)(a) requires the "Department of State, upon receipt of such information, to prepare a public report on the effectiveness of voter education programs and [to] submit the report to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by January 31 of each year following a general election." Section 98.255(3)(c) directs "the Department of State to reexamine the rules adopted pursuant to subsection (1) and consider the findings in the report as a basis for adopting modified rules that incorporate successful voter education programs and techniques, as necessary."

b. Supervisors of Elections' Rules: Minimum Nonpartisan Voter Education Standards

The Department of State's "Standards for Nonpartisan Voter Education," Rule 1S-2.033, F.A.C., requires the following voter education practices by county Supervisors of Elections:

Comprehensive Voter Guide: Contents—Department of State Rule 1S-2.033, F.A.C., Standards for Nonpartisan Voter Education, requires Supervisors of Elections to create a Voter Guide which shall include: how to register to vote; where voter registration applications are available; how to register by mail; dates for upcoming elections; registration deadlines for the next primary and general election; how voters should update their voter registration information such as changes in name, address or party affiliation; information on how to obtain, vote and return an absentee ballot; voters' rights and responsibilities pursuant to Section 101.031, Florida Statutes; polling information including what times the polls are open, what to bring to the polls, list of acceptable IDs, what to expect at the polls; instructions on the county's particular voting system; supervisory contact information; and any other information the supervisor deems important.

Voter Guide: Extensive Distribution—Department of State Rule 1S-2.033(1)(b), F.A.C., requires Supervisors of Elections to "provide the Voter Guide at as many places as possible within the county including: agencies designated as voter registration sites pursuant to the National Voter Registration Act; the supervisor's office; public libraries; community centers; post offices; centers for independent living; county governmental offices; and at all registration drives conducted by the Supervisor of Elections."

Voter Guide, Sample Ballot, & Website Consistency Required—Department of State Rule 1S-2.033(2), F.A.C., states that: "If a supervisor has a website, it must take into account all of the information that is required to be included in the Voter Guide. In addition, when a sample ballot is available, the website must provide either information on how to obtain a sample ballot or a direct hyperlink to a sample ballot."

Targeted Voter Education: High School Students—Florida's Department of State Rule 1S-2.033(3), F.A.C., instructs the Supervisors of Elections to work with county school boards to develop voter education and registration programs for high school students. Specifically, the rule requires that "At least once a year in each public high school in the county, the supervisor shall conduct a high school voter registration/education program. The program must be developed in cooperation with the local school board and be designed for maximum effectiveness in reaching and educating high school students."

Targeted Voter Education: College Students—Florida's Department of State Rule 1S-2.033(4), F.A.C., dictates that "At least once a year on each college campus in the county, the supervisor shall provide a college registration/education program. This program must be designed for maximum effectiveness in reaching and educating college students."

Targeted Voter Education: Senior Citizens and Minority Groups—Department of State Rule 1S-2.033(7), F.A.C., requires Supervisors of Elections to "conduct demonstrations of the county's voting equipment in community centers, senior citizen residences, and to various community groups, including minority groups." Rule 1S-2.033(8), F.A.C., specifically instructs the supervisors to use minority media outlets to provide more information to voters.

Targeted Voter Education: Individuals and Groups Sponsoring Voter Registration Drives—Department of State Rule 1S-2.033(6), F.A.C., specifically instructs Supervisors of Elections to "provide, upon reasonable request and notice, voter registration workshops for individuals and organizations sponsoring voter registration drives." Section 98.015(9), Florida Statutes, states that "each supervisor must make training in the proper implementation of voter registration procedures available to any individual, group, center for independent living, or public library in the supervisor's county."

Posting of Educational Materials on Voter Rights and Responsibilities—Department of State Rule 1S-2.033(5), F.A.C., requires Supervisors of Elections to "post the listing of the voters' rights and responsibilities pursuant to Section 101.031, Florida Statutes, at the supervisor's office." Section 101.031(2), Florida Statutes, spells out the specific format of the Voter's Bill of Rights and Responsibilities to be posted by the Supervisor of
Elections at each polling place. Effective January 1, 2006, the list of voter's rights spells out each registered voter’s right to “an explanation if his or her registration or identity is in question” and the right to cast a provisional ballot “if his or her registration or identity is in question.”

The Department of State, or in the case of municipal elections, the governing body of the municipality, is required “to print, in large type on cards, instructions for electors to use in voting,” including the list of rights and responsibilities and other information about how to vote deemed necessary by the Department of State—Section 101.031(1), Florida Statutes. At least two cards shall be provided to each precinct.

Posting of Instructions at Polling Place On the Voting System—Section 101.5611(1), Florida Statutes, requires each Supervisor of Elections “to provide instruction at each polling place regarding the manner of voting with the system. In instructing voters, no precinct official may favor any political party, candidate, or issue. Such instruction shall show the arrangement of candidates and questions to be voted on.” The supervisor must also “provide instruction on the proper method of casting a ballot for the specific voting system utilized in that jurisdiction.” The law requires that “such instruction shall be provided at a place which voters must pass to reach the official voting booth.”

Having Information on Proposed Constitutional Amendments at Polling Place—Section 101.171, Florida Statutes, requires the Department of State to print and furnish to each Supervisor of Elections “a sufficient number of copies of the amendment either in poster or booklet form” and requires the supervisor to “have a copy thereof conspicuously posted or available at each polling room or early voting area upon the day of election.”

Posting Information About Penalty for Vote Fraud—Section 101.5611(2) requires each Supervisor of Elections to post a notice at each polling place that reads “A person who commits or attempts to commit any fraud in connection with voting, votes a fraudulent ballot, or votes more than once in an election can be convicted of a felony of the third degree and fined up to $5,000 and/or imprisoned for up to 5 years.”

Educating Voters About Polling Place and Precinct Changes, Revised Voter Identification Cards—Department of State Rule 15-2.033, F.A.C., mandates that Supervisors of Elections “shall provide notice of changes of polling places and precincts to all affected registered voters. This notice shall include publication in a newspaper of general circulation as well as posting the changes in at least ten conspicuous places in the county. If the supervisor has a website, the supervisor shall post the changes on the website. The supervisors shall also widely distribute a notice that if a voter does not receive a revised voter identification card within 20 days of the election the voter should contact a specific number at the supervisor’s office to obtain polling place information.”

Voter Education Through the Media—Department of State Rule 15-2.033(8), F.A.C., requires Supervisors of Elections to interface with the media to better inform the electorate. Supervisors are to “participate in available radio, television and print programs and interviews, in both general and minority media outlets, to provide voting information.”

Voter Education Includes But Is Not Limited to Nonpartisan Voter Education—Beginning in 2003, the State Legislature has expanded its definition of voter education activities for which counties may receive state funds. There are now four broad categories of voter education for which counties may use state funds: mailing or publishing sample ballots; conducting activities described in the Standards for Nonpartisan Voter Education provided in Rule 15-2.033, F.A.C.; for print, radio, or television advertising to voters; and for other innovative voter education programs, as approved by the Department of State.

Disseminating Information to Staff, Voters, and Poll Workers

County Supervisors of Elections must constantly update information disseminated to the public, poll workers, and their own staff to conform to state legislative mandates and HAVA requirements.

- Section 101.65, Florida Statutes, requires separate printed instructions to be enclosed with each absentee ballot on how to mark and return a ballot. (This was necessary to meet Section 301(a)(1)(B) HAVA requirements.)

- Section 97.0535, Florida Statutes, requires county Supervisors of Elections to inform persons registering to vote by mail that if they are registering for the first time, they will be required to provide identification prior to voting the first time.

- Section 101.6923, Florida Statutes, spells out the format for printed instructions that are mailed to a voter who has not provided the identification or information required by Section 97.0535, Florida Statutes, to the supervisors of elections by the time the absentee ballot is mailed. Effective January 1, 2006, included in the instructions is language clarifying the form of name-and-photograph identification that is acceptable under Florida law (unless excepted): “United States passport; employee badge or identification; buyer’s club identification; debit or credit card; military identification; student identification; retirement center identification; neighborhood association identification; or public assistance identification.”

- Section 101.048(5), Florida Statutes, requires county Supervisors of Elections to give written instructions regarding the free access system that allows each person who casts a provisional ballot to determine whether his or her provisional ballot
was counted in the final canvas of votes and, if not, the reasons why. This is consistent with Section 302(a)(5)(A)&(B) HAVA requirements.

- Section 101.64, Florida Statutes, requires county Supervisors of Elections to alert the absentee voter to “Please Read Instructions Carefully Before Marking Ballot and Completing Voter’s Certificate.” Under the law, a person casting an absentee ballot is no longer required to have his/her signature witnessed.

- Section 101.657, Florida Statutes, requires each elector voting early to complete an Early Voting Voter Certificate. The law spells out the format of the Early Voting Voter Certificate. A person casting an early vote is no longer required to have his or her signature witnessed.

A number of counties have used their state voter education funds to update materials available at the polling place as well as information (brochures, posters, signs, videos, public service announcements) distributed throughout the county.

c. State Role: Disseminating Information to Voters and Election Officials

- **Voter Education through the Internet**
  The Division of Elections’ website (http://elections.dor.state.fl.us/) offers extensive information regarding registration, elections (dates, district maps, results, Division reports, forms, publications, press releases, voter turnout, Supervise of Elections’ contact information), voter fraud, voting systems, laws/opinions/rules, candidates and committees, the initiative petition process, and other helpful government links. Prominently displayed on the Web Site home page is information on: the Voter Assistance Hotline Toll Free Number—for the general public and for people using Text Telephone (TTY); the Florida Voter Fraud Hotline Toll Free Number; Direct Recording Equipment Voting Systems; Public Logic and Accuracy Test Dates; Voting System Security Procedures Enhancements; Early Voting and Absentee reports; a direct link to the Help America Vote Act and the HAVA Planning Committee’s activities and recommendations; Florida Identification Requirements for the 2006 Election Cycle; 2006 Florida Registration and Voting Guide (in English and Spanish); Early Voting Locations. The site also has a link to The Advocacy Center for Persons With Disabilities, Inc. and to the results of an Election Night Voter Report Card (Survey) on the Conduct of Election 2004, along with a 2006 Proposed Constitutional Amendments Booklet (in English and Spanish), calendars of key election dates, and reporting dates for candidates, parties, and political committees.

- **Voter Education About Fraud**
  Section 97.012(2), Florida Statutes, requires the Secretary of State to “…provide election fraud education to the public.”

- **Voter Education Media Campaign: Get Out The Vote Foundation**
  During the 2004 election cycle, the Division of Elections of the Florida Department of State contracted with the Get Out The Vote Foundation, a nonprofit arm of the Florida State Association of Supervisors of Elections, to (1) “coordinate an extensive campaign to educate and inform voters on the use of touch screen voting systems;” (2) to develop a plan and informational materials to conduct a statewide voter information campaign to encourage people to register and vote.

  Specifically, in FY 2003-04, the Division of Elections entered into a contract with the Get Out The Vote Foundation, Inc., in the amount of $247,500. The Foundation hired two well-known communications firms (Ron Sachs Communications and CoreMessage, Inc.) to produce voter education materials for statewide distribution. The two firms jointly produced a half-hour television news magazine-type program called “Before You Vote” designed to inform voters about new voting rules and procedures and new electronic voting machines. The program was distributed to all TV stations and cable companies in Florida for broadcast at two time periods—before both the August primary election and the November general election. Prevention of errors on election day was the primary goal of the program. The bipartisan team also produced eight 30-second TV public service announcements—four each in English and Spanish. These spots—“Make Freedom Count”—were designed to encourage voters to vote early or by absentee ballot. An additional contract in the amount of $24,750 was issued to the Get Out The Vote Foundation to create media kits full of facts and figures for all 67 county Supervisors of Elections to use as they interface with the media.

  The 2006 campaign, titled “Vote 2006: Make Freedom Count,” features monthly themed activities beginning in February: Register at Work Month, Register at School Month, Register at Your Local Merchants Month, Register at Your House of Worship Month, Register Online Month, and Encourage People to Vote (August, September, & October).

- **Procedures for Constant Analysis of Voter Education Effectiveness**
  Section 98.255(3)(a), Florida Statutes, requires Supervisors of Elections to file a report by December 15 of each general election year with the Department of State. This report is “a detailed description of the voter education programs implemented and any other information that may be useful in evaluating the effectiveness of voter education efforts.” Section 98.255(3)(b), Florida Statutes, requires the Department of State to review the information submitted by the Supervisors of Elections and “prepare a public report on the effectiveness of voter education programs” and to “submit the report to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by January 1 of each year following a general election.”
Further, Section 98.255(3)(c), Florida Statutes, instructs the Department of State to use “the findings in the report as a basis for adopting modified [voter education] rules that incorporate successful voter education programs and techniques as necessary.” This procedure was first used in the 2002 election cycle. The Division of Elections requested each Supervisor of Elections to list in detail the voter education programs conducted during the 2002 election cycle and the approximate cost of each program. The supervisors were asked to rank the effectiveness of each program on a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest possible rank. On January 31, 2003, the Florida Department of State, Division of Elections, submitted its “Report on Voter Education Programs During the 2002 Election Cycle Pursuant to Section 98.255(3), Florida Statutes.” (The report is posted on the Division of Elections Web Site.) The report concluded that “most supervisors ranked the county voter education programs as 4 or 5 in effectiveness in reaching the target community.” (There were ten broad categories of voter education programs: sample ballots; elementary/secondary school/high school/university and community college outreach; websites; miscellaneous promotional materials; public appearances/television and movie theatre advertisements; banners and billboards, radio and public transport advertisements; newspapers and mailers; voting system demonstrations; outreach to minority, disabled and senior communities; and voter registration drives.)

The Department of State made three recommendations in its post-election 2002 report:

1. The Legislature should provide funding, contingent upon appropriations from Congress through the Help America Vote Act, to the counties for voter education efforts. The Florida Legislature did this in its FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05 appropriations bills.

2. The Legislature should require sample ballots to be mailed to households or voters prior to each Primary and General Election. (It is now an alternative to publishing a sample ballot in a general circulation newspaper.)

3. The Division of Elections should provide a list of cost-effective voter education programs used by counties so that all counties can benefit from these ideas. (Pursuant to Section 98.255(3), Florida Statutes, the Division has posted its Report on Voter Education Programs during the 2002 and 2004 election cycles on its website. The Reports list effectiveness ratings for individual voter education activities as calculated by individual county Supervisors of Elections.)

A 2006 Auditor General Report (2006-194) reported that the Department of State needed to monitor the voter education plans of counties more closely. In response to the Auditor General’s findings, the Department of State agreed to examine with more care each county’s voter education activities so that the Standards for Nonpartisan Voter Education (Rule IS-2.033, F.A.C.) are properly executed. In addition, the Department agreed to monitor in more detail each county’s annual voter education expenditure reports to ensure voter education plans are implemented properly and that HAVA funds are reported separately from other county election funds.

- **Sample Ballot Recommendation:**
  The 2002 Governor’s Select Task Force on Election Procedures, Standards, and Technology report of December 30, 2002 also recommended improving “voter education by requiring all Supervisors of Elections to mail generic sample ballots to each household with registered voters.” Now under Section 101.20, Florida Statutes, county Supervisors of Elections may mail, rather than publishing a newspaper of general circulation, a sample ballot to each registered elector or to each household in which there is a registered voter if done at least seven days prior to any election. A high percentage of county supervisors have chosen to use their state voter education funds and local matching funds to publish and mail out sample ballots to registered voters. The same law requires two sample ballots be placed at each polling place, along with reduced-size sample ballots to give to any voter desiring one. Some Supervisors of Elections are using their voter education monies to pay for sample ballots to be made available at each precinct.

In its “Report on Voter Education Programs During the 2004 Election Cycle” (January 31, 2005), the Division of Elections concluded that “counties have increased the voter education services being provided and have broadened the types of activities being conducted to include several creative and innovative approaches to generating public interest in the elections process.” It further concluded that “during the 2004 general election...a number of anticipated problems at the polls were averted through voter education efforts conducted by the counties, especially through local advertising campaigns that provided general election information to voters.” The report also analyzed the effectiveness of fourteen broad categories of voter education: sample ballots, school outreach programs, websites, media events, banners, billboards, and public transportation advertisements, newspapers and mailers, miscellaneous promotional materials, voting systems demonstrations, outreach to minority, disabled, and senior communities, voter registration drives, publications, staff education, special voting programs, and other activities. The majority of the voter education programs were given an effectiveness rating of 4 or 5 indicating that the programs are considered useful and are well-received by the voters.” The report did note that while “the programs are considered to be very effective by the supervisors...critical funding is still needed, particularly for smaller counties.” The report is posted on the Division of Elections’ website at: http://election.dos.state.fl.us/reports/electreport.shtml.

- **Tracking Over-And Under-Votes:**
  Section 101.595, Florida Statutes, also requires Supervisors of Elections to submit a report to the Department of State no later than December 15 of each general election year.
fact that voters continued to become more accustomed to using new technologies." The report's recommendations were:

1. The Department of State should continue to monitor overvotes and undervotes from each General Election in order to detect any future fluctuations in these rates.

2. The Department should expand the data required as part of the official canvass report to include the data required for this report, for any reports required by the federal government, and give the Department of State rule-making authority to specify the content and format of the data. The report is posted on the Division of Elections’ website at: http://election.dos.state.fl.us/reports/electropts.shtml.

A number of Supervisors of Elections have implemented their own feedback systems through comment cards distributed at registration sites, workshops, and polling places. Some also allow citizens to make suggestions and complaints via their websites. Several counties have used some of their state voter education funds to solicit voter feedback and suggestions.

Florida’s system for constant evaluation of the effectiveness of voter education by both the county Supervisors of Elections and the Department of State is in place and operating.

3. Election Official Education and Training: Section 254(a)(3): How will the State of Florida provide for programs for election official education and training which will assist the State in meeting the requirements of Title III?

The State has assigned responsibility for education and training of election officials to the Secretary of State. The Secretary of State is the State’s chief election officer whose responsibilities are spelled out in Section 97.012, Florida Statutes. Among those responsibilities are explicit requirements to: "provide technical assistance to the Supervisors of Elections on voter education and election personnel training services;" "provide technical assistance to the Supervisors of Elections on voting systems;" "provide training to all affected state agencies on the necessary procedures for proper implementation of [Chapter 97 of the Florida Statutes];" and coordinate with the United States Department of Defense so that armed forces recruitment offices administer voter education in a manner consistent with the procedures set forth in [Florida election] code for voter registration agencies."

The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended that the Division of Elections also conduct training courses for the continuing education of city election officials in conjunction with meetings of the Florida Association of City Clerks. The Division of Elections routinely
invites city clerks and Supervisors of Elections to attend its statewide training meetings held in conjunction with FSASE meetings.

The Division of Elections performs a number of activities on behalf of the Secretary and the Department (See Office of Policy Analysis and Government Responsibility, Justification Review, Report No. 02-55, October 2002):

- Issues advisory opinions that provide statewide coordination and direction for interpreting and enforcing election law provisions,
- Provides technical advice on voting systems and equipment and state and federal election laws, certifies voting equipment,
- Provides written election information to candidates
- Oversees and approves training courses for continuing education and certification for Supervisors of Elections through which supervisors obtain credits to maintain job proficiency.
- Prepares and distributes educational materials for, and conducts the training of, Supervisors of Elections and election personnel and staff.
- Coordinates, on an annual basis, two statewide workshops for the Supervisors of Elections by reviewing and providing updates on the election laws to ensure uniformity statewide in the interpretation of election laws. These are generally held in conjunction with the Florida State Association of Supervisors of Elections’ Conferences held twice a year.
- Conducts occasionally or upon request regional workshops for supervisors and staff, universities, community colleges and State agencies
- Provides administrative and technical assistance to select task forces that may be created by the Governor, Secretary of State, or other State officials, (Florida Department of State, Division of Elections Annual Reports).

All Division of Elections’ forms, rules, handbooks, opinions, etc. are available on the Internet via the Division’s website—a-award-winning site (http://election.dos.state.fl.us/). Section 97.026, Florida Statutes, states “It is the intent of the Legislature that all forms required to be used in chapters 97-106 [the Florida Election Code], shall be made available upon request, in alternative formats” including the Internet (with the exception of absentee ballots).

The Florida State Association of Supervisors of Elections, through activities of its Get Out The Vote Foundation, Inc., also plays a major role in educating and training election officials (and voters). On May 23, 2004, the Foundation launched its voter education plan. Through it, all 67 county Supervisors of Elections have access to professionally prepared public media advertising materials. The Foundation has its own website (www.gotvflorida.com) which allows election officials—elected and staff—(and voters) to access easily comprehended materials on a wide range of timely topics, including Absentee Voting, Early Voting, Registering to Vote, Election Reform in Florida, Touch Screen Voting Systems and Voter Verifiable Paper Ballots, Voter Identification, Restoration of Felon’s Voting Rights, and Information on Direct Recording Equipment Voting Systems, along with posters, ads, and public service announcements.

4. Poll Worker Training: Section 254(e)(3). How will the State of Florida provide for programs for poll worker training which will assist the State in meeting the requirements of Title III?

Florida has adopted extensive poll worker recruitment and training requirements and funded county poll worker training (as part of the $6 million voter education appropriation in 2003).

Specifically, the State has adopted minimum-hours-of-training requirements, spelled out training content requirements, and mandated a statewide and uniform program for training poll workers on issues of etiquette and sensitivity with respect to disabled voters. The Department of State, Division of Elections established a polling place procedures manual, Form DS-DE 11 (Rule IS-2034, F.A.C.). This manual is used as guidance for elections’ officials, elections’ personnel including poll workers at the polls and deputy sheriffs in the proper implementation of the election procedures and laws. State law permits inspectors, clerks, and deputy sheriffs attending poll worker training to receive compensation and travel expenses—Section 102.021(2), Florida Statutes.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended state funding for poll worker training and recruitment but the Florida Legislature in 2004 did not appropriate funds for either activity. For the fiscal year 2005-06, the Legislature appropriated $4 million of HAVA Title II funds for poll worker recruitment and training. Of this $4 million, the Department of State distributed $3 million to Supervisors of Elections to assist with poll worker recruitment and training. The Legislature provided $1 million for the Department of State to develop a statewide poll worker training curriculum.

For the fiscal year 2006-07, the Legislature appropriated $1.5 million for poll worker recruitment and training activities. Of this amount, $1 million will be distributed to Supervisors of Elections to assist with recruiting and training individuals to serve as poll workers. The Legislature authorized an additional $500,000 for the Department of State to use for necessary updates and revisions to poll worker training curriculum that was developed with funds appropriated in the fiscal year 2005-06.

a. Joint Responsibility of Department of State and County Supervisors of Elections

The county Supervisors of Elections and the Department of State share statutory responsibility for poll worker training. Specifically, section 102.014(1), Florida Statutes, requires Supervisors of Elections to conduct training for inspectors, clerks, and deputy sheriffs prior to each primary, general, and special election “for the purpose of instructing such persons in their duties and responsibilities as election officials.” Training is mandatory to work at the polls.

Section 102.014(5), Florida Statutes, directs the Department of State to “create a uniform polling place procedures manual and adopt the manual by rule” and to revise it “as necessary to address new procedures in law or problems encountered by voters and poll workers at the precincts.”
Rule 1S-2.034, F.A.C., Polling Place Procedures Manual (Form DS-DE 11). The manual, to be available in either hard copy or electronic form at every precinct on Election Day, must be "indexed by subject, and written in plain, clear, unambiguous language." The Department recently revised the manual in January 2006 to comply with HAVA provisions and state election law changes. In accordance with Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, it was recently resubmitted as revised and pre-cleared by the Department of Justice.

Under Section 102.014(7), Florida Statutes, the Department is also assigned responsibility for developing "a mandatory, statewide, and uniform program for training poll workers on issues of etiquette and sensitivity with respect to voters having a disability." But county Supervisors of Elections are responsible for conducting such training. They are required to "contract with a recognized disability-related organization, such as a center for independent living, family network on disabilities, deaf service bureau, or other such organization, to develop and assist with training the trainers in disability sensitivity programs."

b. Poll Worker Training Content
The content of poll worker training is detailed in state law. Clerks (poll workers) must demonstrate "a working knowledge of the laws and procedures relating to voter registration, voting system operation, ballot casting and polling place procedures, and problem-solving and conflict-resolution skills."—Section 102.014(1), Florida Statutes. In addition, the "Polling Place Procedures Manual" (DS-DE 11, Rule 1S-2.034, F.A.C.), must include: regulations governing solicitation by individuals and groups at the polling place; procedures to be followed with respect to voters whose names are not on the precinct register; proper operation of the voting system; ballot handling procedures; procedures governing spoiled ballots; procedures to be followed after the polls close; rights of voters at the polls; procedures for handling emergency situations; procedures for dealing with irate voters; the handling and processing of provisional ballots; and security procedures.—Section 102.014(5a-k), Florida Statutes. The manual "shall provide specific examples of common problems encountered at the polls on Election Day, and detail specific procedures for resolving those problems." This manual is to be made available in hard copy or in electronic format at the polling place.

Poll worker training on issues of etiquette and sensitivity for disabled voters "must include actual demonstrations of obstacles confronted by disabled persons during the voting process, including obtaining access to the polling place, traveling through the polling area, and using the voting system."—Section 102.014(7), Florida Statutes.

Section 102.014, Florida Statutes, directs the Division of Elections to "develop a statewide uniform training curriculum for poll workers" and dictates that "each supervisor shall use such curriculum in training poll workers" (effective January 1, 2006). The Florida State Poll Workers Training Manual "contains the basic information that a poll worker will need to legally and effectively discharge his or her statutory duties" including "instruction on the needs of voters who have disabilities and those who may assist them, helping non-English-proficient voters, managing disruptive voters or 'precinct crashers,' handling medical emergencies involving poll workers and voters, handling power and equipment failures, and dealing with spoiled ballots, and many other topics." It is organized in an easy-to-follow format, written in plain language, and it informs poll workers "why things must be done in a specific way and why certain decisions must be made."

c. Poll Worker Minimum Hours of Training
Section 102.014(4), Florida Statutes, specifies that clerks must have had a minimum of three hours of training prior to each election to be eligible to work at the polls. For inspectors, there is a minimum of two hours of training. Section 102.014(7), Florida Statutes, requires one hour involving training related to etiquette and sensitivity with regard to voters with disabilities.

d. Poll Worker Recruitment
Supervisors of elections are required to "work with the business and local community to develop public-private programs to ensure the recruitment of skilled inspectors and clerks"—Section 102.014(6), Florida Statutes.

The 2002 Governor's Select Task Force on Election Procedures, Standards, and Technology report of December 30, 2002, recommended "establishing minimum standards for poll worker performance" and "improving poll worker recruitment and training by launching a statewide "Be a Poll Worker" campaign. The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) also recommended that the Division of Elections establish a procedure to evaluate the effectiveness of poll worker recruitment and training in all 67 counties. There are still no established procedures for evaluating the effectiveness of poll worker training or recruitment as there is for voter education.

However, in an effort to increase poll worker recruitment, the Department has initiated a "Be a Poll Worker" campaign which includes airing public service announcements and distributing "Be a Poll Worker" handouts at Department presentations. Some counties are using their voter education funds to recruit high school and college students as poll workers as well as the public at-large through publication and dissemination of new brochures and videos.
Element 4. Voting System Guidelines and Process

Section 254(e)(4): How the State will adopt voting system guidelines and processes which are consistent with the requirements of Section 301.

There are several governmental bodies and agencies that participate in the adoption of voting systems in Florida. The Florida Legislature has great authority to set voting system requirements and does so in Chapter 101, Florida Statutes. The Legislature also delegates rule making and certification authority to the Bureau of Voting Systems Certification in the Division of Elections under the Secretary of State.

After voting systems are tested and certified for use in Florida, Section 101.5604, Florida Statutes, provides that the Board of County Commissioners "in any regular or special meeting called for the purpose, may, upon consultation with the Supervisor of Elections, adopt, purchase or otherwise procure, and provide for the use of any electronic or electromechanical voting system approved by the Department of State in all or a portion of the election precincts of that county."

Florida’s laws and regulations for adopting voting systems that are consistent with the requirements of Section 301 are clearly outlined in Florida Statutes and the Florida Voting Systems Standards under Rule 1S-3.001, Fla. Admin Code:

- Section 101.015, Florida Statutes, authorizes the Department of State to adopt rules which establish minimum standards for hardware and software for electronic and electromechanical voting systems and certification of voting systems. To keep Florida’s voting systems standards up-to-date, Section 101.015(2), Florida Statutes, also requires the Department of State to conduct biennial review of those rules governing standards and certification to determine the adequacy and effectiveness of such rules in ensuring that elections are fair and impartial.

- Section 101.017, Florida Statutes, creates the Bureau of Voting Systems Certification which provides technical support to the Supervisors of Elections and is responsible for voting system standards and certification.

- Section 101.5605, Florida Statutes, authorizes the Department of State to examine and approve voting systems through a public process to ensure that the voting systems meet the standards outlined in Section 101.5606, Florida Statutes, and similar standards outlined in the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) requirements outlined in Section 301 of Title III.

- Section 101.5604, Florida Statutes, authorizes the Board of County Commissioners to adopt voting systems.

- Sections 101.293-101.295, Florida Statutes, outline the public bidding process that counties should follow in purchasing voting systems.

- Section 101.56062, Florida Statutes, provides accessibility standards that exceed those accessibility standards of HAVA Section 301 “Accessibility for Individuals With Disabilities.”

The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) had recommended that the Florida Legislature take advantage of federal funding and bring Florida into compliance and make Section 101.56062, Florida Statutes, effective by January 1, 2006 or one year after general appropriations are made, whichever is earlier. Currently, Florida is in compliance with HAVA requirements for accessibility equipment. As of 2004, all three vendors (Diebold Election Systems, Inc., Elections Systems and Software, Inc., and Sequoia Voting Systems, Inc.) had certified accessible voting equipment with audio ballot capability. By 2005, two of the three vendors being used in Florida had certified accessible voting equipment with the electronic provisional ballot capability. By January 2006, the third vendor obtained certification for their system with the electronic provisional ballot capability.

In 2005, the Florida Legislature amended Section 101.56062(2), Florida Statutes, to require accessible voting systems to include at least one accessible voter interface device installed in each polling place (rather than in each precinct). This change conformed with HAVA requirements.
Element 5. Florida’s Help America Vote Act of 2002 Election Fund

Section 254(a)(5): How the State will establish a fund described in subsection (b) for purposes of administering the State’s activities under this part, including information on fund management.

The Florida Department of State has established a trust fund in which all HAVA monies are maintained. Within this trust fund, monies received for HAVA Sections 101, 102 and Title II are set up into four accounts: 101-Election Administration, 102-Replace Punch Card and Lever Voting Systems, 251-Requirements Payment, and 261-Access for Individuals with Disabilities. To clarify, Section 254(b) states that a fund described in this subsection with respect to a State is a fund which is established in the treasury of the State government, which is used in accordance with paragraph (A), and which consists of the following amounts:

(A) Amounts appropriated or otherwise made available by the State for carrying out the activities for which the requirements payment is made to the State under this part.
(B) The requirements payment made to the State under this part.
(C) Such other amounts as may be appropriated under law.
(D) Interest earned on deposits of the fund.

Any HAVA funds received by the State are used exclusively for activities authorized by HAVA. The Division of Elections is responsible for tracking and monitoring the use of funds in accordance with established State procedures. The Director of the Division of Elections has final signing authority for HAVA expenditures. Any interest earned on this trust fund is returned to the principal amount of the trust.

The State uses standard auditing procedures for monitoring the use of federal HAVA funds as received and distributed. These procedures include random program audits by the Department of State Inspector General as well as an annual audit by the Florida Auditor General.

The Governor and Secretary of State are responsible under HAVA for ensuring compliance with these requirements. The HAVA Planning Committee (2003, 2004 and 2006) each recommended that the Governor and the Secretary of State maintain contact with the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives to ensure they remain aware of the strict requirements set in law for the use of HAVA monies placed in this trust fund.

The Auditor General conducted an operational audit on the Department of State’s administration of the federal Help America Vote Act of 2002 during the period July 1, 2004 through February 28, 2006. In the Report 2006-194 entitled “Help America Vote Act (HAVA) and the Florida Voter Registration System (FVRS) – Operational”, the Auditor General made the following findings pertaining to the monitoring of federal funds and the Department’s responses are included:

- **Finding No. 5:** Salary certifications required for employees who worked solely on the HAVA Program were not maintained. Also, personnel activity reports were not always maintained to support personnel costs charged to the HAVA Program.

  Department staff stated, “After the Department of State became aware of the requirement for individuals filling HAVA-funded positions to complete certifications regarding work performed, a form was developed that could be customized for each employee. The certifications will be prepared on a semi-annual basis to coincide with the first and last six months of the state fiscal year. The first work certification forms that the department completed cover the period from July 2005 through December 2005.” Currently, all Department staff filling HAVA-funded positions are spending 100% of their time on HAVA.

- **Finding No. 6:** Contrary to Federal cost principles, payment for unused leave to a terminating employee was charged as a direct cost to the Program instead of being allocated as a general administrative expense to all activities of the governmental unit. The Auditor General’s test of salary expenditures disclosed that the Department did not allocate as a general administrative expense an unused leave payment, contrary to Federal cost principles.

  In response to audit inquiry, the Department transferred the leave payment in question from HAVA funds to General Revenue. Additionally, Department staff indicated that this payment was made in compliance with Department of Management Services Rule 60L-34.0041(6)(b), Florida Administrative Code. Department staff further indicated that Federal cost principles supported this unused leave payment. The Department has contacted the Elections Assistance Committee for guidance on disposition of unused leave payments and is awaiting their response.

- **Finding No. 8:** HAVA Program expenditures were not always properly supported. The Division of Elections is responsible for tracking and monitoring the use of HAVA funds in accordance with established State procedures and the Director of the Division of Elections has final signature authority for HAVA expenditures.

  In response to the audit inquiry, the Department staff indicated that the designated contract manager will review and certify that the request for payment is properly supported and contract requirements, milestones and deliverables have been met prior to submitting the request to Budget and Financial Services. The accounts payable supervisor in Budget and Financial Services will verify the contract manager has certified that the request for payment is properly supported and the required milestones or deliverables have been met prior to issuing payment.
Element 6 – Florida’s Budget for Implementing the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA)

Section 254(a)(6): The State’s proposed budget for activities under this part, based on the State’s best estimates of the costs of such activities and the amount of funds to be made available, including specific information on –

(A) the costs of the activities required to be carried out to meet the requirements of Title III;
(B) the portion of the requirements payment which will be used to carry out activities to meet such requirements; and
(C) the portion of the requirements payment which will be used to carry out other activities.

1. Introduction

The HAVA Planning Committee clearly recognizes its advisory role in election reform and acknowledges the authority of the Florida Legislature to make funding decisions for Florida. This budget reflects the HAVA Planning Committee’s best efforts to divide the funds that may be available during the three years identified in HAVA. If Florida receives more funds than are included in this budget, the HAVA Planning Committee will revise the budget to reflect this change.

2. Reimbursement for replacement of punch card and lever machines.

Following the 2000 General Election, the State of Florida assisted counties by investing approximately $24 million to replace outdated voting machines. In order to recoup some of this expense, Section 102 federal funds in the amount of $11,581,377 were returned to the State of Florida as reimbursement.

3. Statewide Voter Registration System.

In 2003, the Florida Legislature directed the Department of State to begin development of a statewide voter registration system that would meet the requirements of HAVA. Accordingly, the 2003 Legislature provided $1.6 million to begin implementation of the system. Federal funds included $1 million for the Needs Assessment Phase along with nine positions to support design, development and implementation of the HAVA requirements. Of the nine positions, five reside in the Department of State and two each in the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles and the Florida Department of Law Enforcement.

Phase 2, “Prototyping & Validation of Design,” began in March 2004 and included the following:

- Installation of prototyping equipment and environment
- Prototype the core system configuration and architecture
- Data conversion/migration testing (data & images)
- Prototype remote access to the core system

For the fiscal year 2005-06, estimated expenditures for the development and implementation of the Florida Voter Registration System were $10,770,103. There are 35 HAVA funded positions. Sixteen positions are assigned to the Florida Voter Registration System – twelve in Department of State and two each in the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles and the Florida Department of Law Enforcement. In addition, there are eighteen HAVA funded positions in the Bureau of Voter Registration Services and one in Legal. Proposed budget figures through FY 2010-11 are shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2006-07</th>
<th>FY 2007-08</th>
<th>FY 2008-09</th>
<th>FY 2009-10</th>
<th>FY 2010-11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUDGET</td>
<td>PROPOSED BUDGET</td>
<td>PROPOSED BUDGET</td>
<td>PROPOSED BUDGET</td>
<td>PROPOSED BUDGET</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- PVRS - Systems
  - Includes positions plus costs for software license fees and ongoing maintenance costs
    - 5,571,028
    - 5,768,260
    - 3,001,788
    - 4,016,721

- PVRS - Bureau of Voter Registration Services
  - Includes positions and operating expenses
    - 1,391,434
    - 1,421,087
    - 1,452,604
    - 1,484,571
    - 1,517,468

4. Section 301 Accessible Voting Systems

The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended the purchase of Direct Recording Equipment (DRE) accessible to persons with disabilities to ensure that each county has an accessible voting system for each polling place. The cost was $11.6 million during the 2004-05 fiscal year. In addition, the HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended reimbursing counties that have already purchased voting systems that meet the HAVA accessibility requirements for voters with disabilities requirements. For the fiscal year 2004-05 the Legislature appropriated $11.6 million to assist Supervisors of Elections (SOEs) with purchasing DREs in order to provide one accessible voting system at each polling place. Funds appropriated in FY 2004-05 were distributed to SOEs that had not acquired accessible voting systems by July 1, 2004. There were 51 counties that did not have accessible voting systems at that time. The Division of Elections distributed the funds to fifty-one (51) Supervisors of Elections for this purpose pursuant to the terms of a memorandum of agreement.
For the fiscal year 2005-06 the Legislature appropriated funds to reimburse counties that acquired accessible voting systems prior to July 1, 2004. Funds in the amount of $13,406,163 were distributed to the sixteen counties that purchased accessible voting systems prior to July 1, 2004. The appropriation was included in the fiscal year 2005-06 General Appropriations Act, specific appropriation 2931. In addition, there were eight counties that received a total of $63,215 as reimbursement for existing DREs that were not included in the FY 2004-05 appropriations.

5. Voter Education
The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended using HAVA funds for the development and implementation of a comprehensive statewide voter education program. For the fiscal year 2005-04, $2,976,735 was appropriated and available to Florida counties for voter education programs. For each fiscal year 2004-05 and 2005-06, local governments received $3 million for comprehensive voter education efforts. In the fiscal year 2005-06, the Legislature appropriated $2 million to distribute to Supervisors of Elections to assist with voter education activities. Each county was required to provide matching funds of 15%.

Activities relating to voter education include mailing or publishing sample ballots; conducting activities pursuant to the Standards for Nonpartisan Voter Education as provided in Rule 15-2033, F.A.C.; print, radio, or television advertising to voters; and other innovative voter education programs, as approved by the Department of State. No Supervisor of Elections was to receive any funds until the county Supervisor of Elections provided to the Department of State a detailed description of the voter-education programs, such as those described above, to be implemented.

6. Poll Worker Training
The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended using HAVA federal funds in the amount of $250,000 for each fiscal year 2003-04, 2004-05 and 2005-06 for poll worker training. These funds were intended to supplement each county's existing poll worker training budget. The 2004 Legislature did not appropriate federal funds for conducting a poll worker recruitment campaign.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2004) once again recommended using HAVA federal funds in the amount of $500,000, beginning with FY 2005-06, for poll worker training and recruitment, with a 15% match required of each county. The Legislature appropriated $4 million for poll worker recruitment and training in FY 2005-06. Of this $4 million, the Department of State distributed $3 million to Supervisors of Elections to assist with poll worker recruitment and training. The Legislature provided $1 million for the Department of State to develop a statewide poll worker training curriculum. Each county was required to provide matching funds of 15%.

As provided for in HAVA, Section 251(b)(2), States may use a portion of the requirements payment to carry out other activities to improve the administration of elections for Federal office

if the State certifies to the EAC that the amount expended does not exceed the minimum payment amount. Florida's minimum payment amount is $11,596,803.

In March 2006 the Department of State notified the EAC of its intent to use part of the requirements payment to assist county Supervisors of Elections with recruiting and training poll workers.

In FY 2006-07 the Legislature appropriated $1.5 million for poll worker recruitment and training activities. Of this amount, $1 million will be distributed to Supervisors of Elections to assist with recruiting and training individuals to serve as poll workers. The Legislature authorized an additional $500,000 for the Department of State to use for necessary updates and revisions to poll worker training curriculum that was developed with funds appropriated in FY 2005-06.

7. Statewide Poll Worker Recruitment Campaign
The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended that HAVA federal funds be used to implement, through the Division of Elections, a statewide campaign to help recruit qualified poll workers. The increase in the complexity of voting systems and procedures has resulted in a need for more computer literate individuals to staff the polling places and help ensure error-free elections. The 2004 Legislature did not appropriate federal funds for conducting a statewide poll worker recruitment campaign. As indicated under the Poll Worker Training section, the Legislature did appropriate funds in the fiscal years 2005-06 and 2006-07 for poll worker training and recruitment programs.

8. HAVA Oversight and Reporting
The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended that the Department of State create three full time positions to manage HAVA implementation:

- HAVA administrator
- Grants specialist
- Administrative assistant

The Florida Legislature authorized three positions within the Division of Elections for HAVA Oversight and Reporting. For the fiscal year 2004-05, $236,079 was appropriated for salaries and benefits, expenses and operating capital outlay. The three position titles are:

- Senior Management Analyst Supervisor
- Operations and Management Consultant II
- Administrative Assistant II

The estimated cost for HAVA oversight and reporting was $196,485 for the 2004-05 fiscal year and $200,719 for the 2005-06 fiscal year. Budgeted and proposed costs for the three positions assigned to HAVA oversight are included under Other Election Administration Activities in the tables that appear at the end of this section.
9. State Management (HAVA Planning Committee)
The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended that the Secretary of State require it to meet twice each year in 2003-04 and in 2004-05 to make recommendations and to reexamine the HAVA State Plan to ensure that Florida is meeting the requirements of the Help America Vote Act. The HAVA Planning Committee convened twice in the 2003-04 fiscal year at an estimated cost of $30,000. The HAVA Planning Committee (2004) further recommended that it meet twice in the 2004-05 fiscal year at an estimated cost of $30,000 and twice in the 2005-06 fiscal year at an estimated cost of $30,000. The HAVA Planning Committee (2006) convened twice in Fiscal Year 2006-07 at an estimated cost of $25,000.

10. Performance Goals and Measures Adoptions
The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended the Secretary of State utilize the HAVA Planning Committee to determine performance goals and measures.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2004) determined HAVA performance goals and measures during the meetings that were held to update the HAVA State Plan in 2004. Two meetings were held on May 24, 2004 and June 4, 2004. The only costs associated with developing the Performance Goals and Measures were costs related to conducting the HAVA State Planning Committee (2004) meetings.

During the HAVA meetings held in 2006 for the second update of the HAVA plan, the Committee Members updated the performance goals and measures.

11. Election Administration
The HAVA Planning Committee (2006) recommends using HAVA funds as needed for election administration activities such as printing new voter registration application forms, translating election materials, printing documents and publications, preparing training videos or other election administration activities. Estimated expenditures for these activities may vary each year and will be dependent upon annual legislative appropriations.

12. Complaint Procedures
Section 402(a) of HAVA requires each state to establish state-based administrative complaint procedures for any person who believes that there is or will be a violation of any of HAVA’s Title III requirements. In 2003, the Florida Legislature enacted Section 97.028, Florida Statutes, which provides the administrative complaint procedures for reporting potential violations of HAVA requirements. The process was developed and implemented without utilizing any HAVA funds. However, the HAVA Planning Committee (2006) recommends continued funding in the amount of $50,000 each year in the event expenditures are necessary to process complaints in the future.

13. Other Election Administration Activities
The HAVA Planning Committee (2004) recommended that the remaining HAVA funds be reserved for future expenses related to the following items:
1. the continued development and implementation of the Florida Voter Registration System
2. future improvements in voting technology
3. continued funds to local counties for voter education programs
4. accessibility for polling places
5. poll worker recruitment and training

Information on the State’s best estimates of the costs of activities required to meet the requirements of Title III of HAVA are displayed in charts on pages 72-74. Pursuant to Section 251(b)(2)(A), States may use the requirements payment to carry out other activities to improve administration of elections for Federal office after the state has provided a certification to the EAC that it has implemented the requirements of Title III.

In March 2006, Florida notified the Elections Assistance Commission of its intent to use Title II funding to use $4,000,000.00 of the requirements payment to complete major poll worker recruitment and training efforts statewide that primarily began in June 2006 and end by August 2006, before the primary election scheduled for September 5, 2006. Since the State had not yet met all the requirements of Title III, the State certified that the amount did not exceed the amount equal to the total minimum requirements payment amount applicable to Florida under section 252(c) of Title II of HAVA which has been determined to be $11,590,803.00. In August 2006, Florida certified to the Elections Assistance Commission (EAC) that it had fully implemented all the requirements of Title III which has allowed Florida to use HAVA requirements funds for other activities to improve the administration of elections for Federal office.
### Election Reform Revenues
#### 2003-2005 Fiscal Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HAVA 101</th>
<th>HAVA 102</th>
<th>HAVA 251</th>
<th>Total Federal Funds</th>
<th>Total State Matching Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$14,447,680</td>
<td>$11,581,377</td>
<td>$47,416,833</td>
<td>$73,445,890</td>
<td>$525,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$85,065,258</td>
<td>$85,065,258</td>
<td>$8,103,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$14,447,680</td>
<td>$11,581,377</td>
<td>$132,502,091</td>
<td>$158,531,048</td>
<td>$8,628,018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HAVA Budget Funding by Fiscal Year
#### 2006 State Plan Update

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2004-05 Appropriation</th>
<th>FY 2004-05 Expenditures Thru 6-30-05</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title III Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec. 303 Statewide Voter Registration System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 101 and Section 251 HAVA funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development/Implementation - FVRS</td>
<td>12,748,182</td>
<td>11,699,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec. 301 Voting System Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 251 HAVA funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding to counties/purchase disability complaint voting systems</td>
<td>11,600,000</td>
<td>11,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Election Administration Activities</td>
<td>3,498,492</td>
<td>3,426,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 101 and Section 261 HAVA funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes voter education, oversight and other election administration activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>27,897,674</td>
<td>26,625,933</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## HAVA Budget Funding by Fiscal Year
### 2006 State Plan Update

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2005-06 Appropriation</th>
<th>FY 2006-07 Estimated Expenditures Thru 6-30-06</th>
<th>FY 2006-07 Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title III Requirements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec. 303 Statewide Voter Registration System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 101 and Section 251 HAVA funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVRS - Development/Implementation - FVRS</td>
<td>11,396,747</td>
<td>10,770,163</td>
<td>626,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sec. 301 Voting System Standards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 251 HAVA funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimburse counties for disability complaint voting systems</td>
<td>17,000,000</td>
<td>13,460,378</td>
<td>3,530,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Election Administration Activities</strong></td>
<td>7,195,000</td>
<td>6,546,599</td>
<td>648,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 101 and Section 251 HAVA funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes voter education, poll worker recruitment and training, oversight and other election administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>35,591,747</td>
<td>30,866,800</td>
<td>4,820,887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## HAVA Budget Funding by Fiscal Year
### 2008 State Plan Update

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2007-08 Proposed Budget</th>
<th>FY 2008-09 Proposed Budget</th>
<th>FY 2009-10 Proposed Budget</th>
<th>FY 2010-11 Proposed Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title III Requirements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec. 303 Statewide Voter Registration System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 251 and Section 101 HAVA funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVRS - Systems</td>
<td>3,671,028</td>
<td>3,676,039</td>
<td>3,788,260</td>
<td>3,951,788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes positions plus costs for software license fees and ongoing maintenance costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVRS - Bureau of Voter Registration Services</td>
<td>1,381,434</td>
<td>1,421,067</td>
<td>1,452,604</td>
<td>1,494,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes positions and regular operating expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sec. 301 Voting System Standards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 251 HAVA funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimburse counties for disability complaint voting systems</td>
<td>591,515</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Election Administration Activities</strong></td>
<td>5,461,821</td>
<td>5,617,610</td>
<td>5,823,778</td>
<td>5,830,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 101 and Section 251 HAVA funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes voter education, poll worker recruitment and training, oversight (including positions) and other election administration activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>11,015,598</td>
<td>10,917,216</td>
<td>11,064,642</td>
<td>11,216,490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Element 7. Maintenance of Effort

Section 254(a)(7): How the State, in using the requirements payment, will maintain the expenditures of the State for activities funded by the payment at a level that is not less than the level of such expenditures maintained by the State for the fiscal year ending prior to November 2000.

1. Introduction
The funding provided under the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) is intended to pay for new or enhanced election efforts and is not intended to supplant existing funding at the State or county level. The proposed HAVA budget is based on the assumption that the State of Florida and counties will maintain the foundation of election operating expenditures for the fiscal year ending prior to November 2000.

The Florida Division of Elections provides statewide coordination and direction for the interpretation and enforcement of election laws. The Division's budget supports year-round staff that provides election-related assistance to Florida's 67 county Supervisors of Elections and their staff, municipalities, special districts, county and city attorneys, candidates, political committees, committees of continuous existence, elected officials, media, the public and other election officials throughout the United States.

2. Maintenance of Effort Expenditures
In determining Florida's maintenance of effort expenditures, the Division of Elections calculated 1999-2000 fiscal year expenditures which included salaries and benefits, operating capital outlay and voter fraud programs for the Division of Elections Director's office and the portion of Bureau of Election Records' expenditures pertaining to election administration. Florida's expenditures for these activities for 1999-2000 fiscal year was initially determined to be $3,082,224. Pursuant to a review of the maintenance of effort during the audit conducted during the fiscal year 2005-06, Florida's required level of expenditures has since been revised to $3,570,408.

For the fiscal year 2004-05 the Department failed to meet the required maintenance of effort by $7,630. Year-end expenditures for the fiscal year 2005-06 have been finalized by the Department, and exceeded the maintenance of effort by $207,186, more than compensating for the $7,630 shortfall for the fiscal year 2004-05. In future years, the Department expects the state effort not only to meet but exceed the required maintenance of effort. In order to comply with Section 254(a)(7) of HAVA, the Florida Department of State will maintain expenditures on similar activities at a level equal to the 1999-2000 fiscal year budget or $3,570,408.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended that the Secretary of State communicate to the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the importance of maintaining this maintenance of effort figure, as a minimum level of expenditures, to ensure the required level of spending is appropriated by the Florida Legislature. In response, the Department of State's budget staff and legislative affairs staff has maintained and continues to maintain close contact with House and Senate staff to convey the importance of continuing the Maintenance of Effort figure as a minimum level of funding.
Element 8. Performance Goals and Measures

Section 254(a)(8): How will Florida adopt performance goals and measures that will be used by the State to determine its success and the success of local government in carrying out the plan, including:

- Timetables for meeting the elements of the plan
- Descriptions of the criteria the State will use to measure performance
- The process used to develop such criteria
- A description of which official is to be held responsible for ensuring that each performance goal is met

1. Introduction

Florida has a very decentralized election governance and administrative system. The legal Chief Election Official in Florida is the Secretary of State who is appointed by the Governor. At the county level, there are 67 independent Supervisors of Elections who receive their authority from the Florida Constitution and conduct elections through state law and rule. However, the Secretary of State does not supervise the day-to-day operations of the 67 local Supervisors of Elections. The Secretary of State provides guidance through technical assistance, rules, advisory opinions, voting system certification, and production of standardized election forms.

The supervisors are elected to 4-year terms by the registered voters of their respective counties (except for Miami-Dade’s appointed supervisor) and have broad authority to conduct the day-to-day election operations by appointing local election officials, administering voter registration, preparing ballots, administering absentee voting, conducting poll worker training, and developing voter education programs.

2. Performance Tables

The Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) requires the State and not the local Supervisors of Elections to adopt performance goals and measures for determining statewide and local elections reform success. The following tables contain the performance measures adopted by the HAVA Planning Committee (2004 and 2006) for these key elements of the plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Element:</th>
<th>HAVA Deadline:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element #1, Section 301 – Voting Systems</td>
<td>January 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element #4, Section 254(a)(8) – Voting System Guidelines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal:** Document the performance of Florida’s voting systems to continually improve the voting experience for Florida voters

**Performance Measures:**

- Record and report to the Florida Legislature the number of overvotes and undervotes appearing in the first race for each General Election
- List the likely reasons for such overvotes and undervotes by counties, by voting systems, and by appropriate election races
- Suggest improvements to the voting process addressing such issues as voting system performance, ballot design, ballot instructions, election official training, poll worker training, voter education, and policy changes
- Review rules and governing standards and certification of voting systems to determine the adequacy and effectiveness of such rules in ensuring that elections are fair and impartial

**Timetable (if applicable):** Ongoing

**Process used to develop criteria:**

- Florida Legislature (Sections 101.595, Section 101.015, Florida Statutes)
- 2001 Governor’s Select Task Force Report on Election Procedures, Standards and Technology
- HAVA Planning Committee

**Accountable official(s):**

- Director, Division of Elections
- Chief, Bureau of Voting Systems Certification
- Supervisors of Elections
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Element:</th>
<th>Element #1, Section 301 – Absentee Ballot instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAVA Deadline:</td>
<td>January 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal:</td>
<td>Ensure voters have sufficient absentee ballot instructions on how to make corrections by requesting a replacement ballot and the consequences of casting multiple ballots.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Performance Measures: | With receipt of absentee ballots following an election, each county will gather the following information:  
  - Number of absentee/mail-in ballots requested  
  - Number of replacement absentee/mail-in ballots requested  
  - The number of returned absentee ballots not counted because of a) no signature; b) non-matching signature. |
| Timetable (if applicable): | On-going |
| Process used to develop criteria: |  
  - Department of State, (Rule 1S-2.032, F.A.C.)  
  - HAVA Planning Committee |
| Accountable official(s): | Director, Division of Elections  
  Supervisors of Elections |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Element:</th>
<th>Element #1, Section 301 – Certified Voting Systems for Voters with Disabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAVA Deadline:</td>
<td>January 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal:</td>
<td>Provide one accessible voting system for every polling place including non-visual accessibility for the blind and visually impaired that provides the same opportunity for access and participation as other voters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Measures:</td>
<td>Goal has been achieved and accessible voting machines including non-visual accessibility for the blind and visually impaired are in each polling place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timetable (if applicable):</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Process used to develop criteria: | Florida Legislature, Sections 101.5602 and 101.5603 Florida Statutes  
  Division of Elections certified voting systems  
  HAVA Planning Committee |
| Accountable official(s): | Director, Division of Elections  
  Supervisors of Elections |
### Planning Element: Element #1, Section 302 – Provisional Voting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>HAVA Deadline:</strong></th>
<th>January 1, 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal:</strong></td>
<td>Ensure that all voters whose eligibility to vote is questioned be permitted to cast a provisional ballot and notified of outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Measures:</strong></td>
<td>With respect to the voter registration of each county, the following information will be collected to measure compliance performance:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>County Level:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The number of provisional ballots cast in each precinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The number of registered voters in each precinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The number of provisional ballots that were verified and counted in each precinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The number of provisional ballots not counted in each precinct and the reason for not counting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The reason why the person voted a provisional ballot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>State Level:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The number of provisional ballots cast in each county</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The number of registered voters in each county</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The number of provisional ballots that were verified and counted in each county</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The number of provisional ballots not counted in each county and the reason for not counting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The reason why the person voted a provisional ballot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timetable (if applicable):</strong></td>
<td>On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Process used to develop criteria:</strong></td>
<td>Florida Legislature (Section 101.048, Florida Statutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAVA Planning Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accountable official(s):</strong></td>
<td>Director, Division of Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisors of Elections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Planning Element: Element #1, Section 303 – Voter Registration System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>HAVA Deadline:</strong></th>
<th>January 1, 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal:</strong></td>
<td>Establish a single, uniform, official centralized, interactive, computerized statewide voter registration list which shall be the single system for storing and managing the list of registered voters throughout the state for the conduct of all federal elections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Measures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goal has been achieved. The Florida Voter Registration System (FVRS) became operational in January 2006 and complies with HAVA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continue to monitor list maintenance functions for compliance with HAVA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continue to monitor security policies and operations for compliance with HAVA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timetable (if applicable):</strong></td>
<td>On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Process used to develop criteria:</strong></td>
<td>Public meetings hosted by the Bureau of Voting Systems Certification in consultation with Supervisors of Elections and other involved state and federal agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accountable official(s):</strong></td>
<td>Secretary of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assistant Secretary of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Secretary of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Director, Division of Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chief, Bureau of Voter Registration Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisors of Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Element:</td>
<td>Element #3, Section 254(e)(3) – Voter Education Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAVA Deadline:</strong></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal:</strong></td>
<td>Promote a more educated electorate by providing comprehensive and varied voter education programs throughout each of Florida's 67 counties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Measures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• County Supervisors of Elections will update and publish a Voter Guide including the information defined in Rule 15-2, 033, F.A.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Each Supervisor of Elections will continue to file voter education plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The Department of State will continue to prepare a report on the effectiveness of these programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Each county will document, where applicable:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o the number and types of locations in which voter guides are distributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o the number and types of mediums for posting election related information (banners, billboards, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o the number of sample ballots mailed and/or publications where they were published</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o voter education and registration programs for high school students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o college registration/education programs at each college campus in the county</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o voting equipment demonstrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o where voters rights and responsibilities are posted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o registration workshops held</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o the number and locations of radio, television and print interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o methods used to reach non-English speaking and citizens with disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o number of overvotes and undervotes that occur during an election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o the number of provisional ballots cast during an election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timetable (if applicable):</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Supervisors of elections are required to file a report by December 15th of each general election year with the Dept. of State describing voter education programs implemented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Department of State is required to review information submitted by Supervisors of Elections and prepare a public report, to be submitted to Governor, Senate President and Speaker of the House of Representatives, on effectiveness of voter education programs by January 31st of each year following a general election.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Process used to develop criteria:</strong></td>
<td>The Florida Legislature (Sections 98.250 and 101.65, Florida Statutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Department of State (Rule 15-2, 033, F.A.C.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisors of Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accountable official(s):</strong></td>
<td>HAVA Planning Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Director, Division of Elections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Element:</th>
<th>Element #3, Section 254(e)(3) – Election Official and Poll Worker Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAVA Deadline:</strong></td>
<td>Immediate and Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal:</strong></td>
<td>Provide a simple, friendly voting experience for Florida voters by training election officials and poll workers through professional and frequent instruction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Measures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Document the number of training classes offered at the state and local levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Document the number of Supervisors of Elections who receive certification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Document the number of election officials who receive training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Document the number of poll workers who attend the training sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Document and report voter satisfaction with the voting process through various methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Report to the Florida Legislature after each election cycle the effectiveness of election official and poll worker training programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timetable (if applicable):</strong></td>
<td>On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Process used to develop criteria:</strong></td>
<td>HAVA Planning Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accountable official(s):</strong></td>
<td>Supervisors of Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Director, Division of Elections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Planning Element:** Element 99, Section 254(a)(9): State-Based Administrative Complaint Procedures to Remedy Grievances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HAVA Deadline:</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal:</td>
<td>Establish and maintain a state-based administrative complaint procedure for any individual who believes that there has been a violation of any of HAVA’s Title III requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Measures:</td>
<td>The following information will be collected to subjectively measure performance:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of complaints received</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of complaints resolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of complaints resolved in 30 days or less</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of complaints resolved in 60 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of complaints resolved in 90 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of complaints unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Description of reason complaint is unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timetable (if applicable):</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process used to develop criteria:</td>
<td>Florida Legislature (Section 97.029, Florida Statutes) HAVA Planning Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountable official(s):</td>
<td>Director, Division of Elections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Element 9. State-Based Administrative Complaint Procedures to Remedy Grievances**

Section 254(a)(9): Whether Florida has complied with the requirements of HAVA Section 495(a) to establish uniform, nondiscriminatory State-based administrative complaint procedures to remedy grievances under HAVA Section 402(e), and to provide a description of those procedures

Yes, and no further actions are required.

To receive any requirements payment pursuant to the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA), the State of Florida must establish and maintain State-based administrative complaint procedures that meet HAVA’s requirements to:

1. be uniform and nondiscriminatory;
2. provide that any person who believes that there is or will be a violation of any of HAVA’s Title III requirements may file a complaint;
3. require the complaint to be in writing, sworn and notarized;
4. permit complaints to be consolidated;
5. hold a hearing on the record at the request of the complainant;
6. provide an appropriate remedy if the State determines that there is a violation of any Title III provision;
7. if the State determines there is no violation, dismiss the complaint and publish the results of procedures;
8. make a final determination on a complaint within 90 days after filing unless the complainant consents to a longer period; and,
9. use alternative dispute resolution procedures to resolve the complaint if the State fails to resolve it within 90 days.

Appropriate administrative complaint procedures were included in Chapter 2003-415, Laws of Florida. Language in the legislation tracked HAVA’s language closely. These procedures are similar to administrative procedures in Section 97.023, Florida Statutes, for resolving complaints generated by alleged violations of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 or a voter registration or removal procedure under the Florida Election Code. In addition to tracking HAVA’s minimum requirements, Section 97.035, Florida Statutes, included the following additional requirements not specified by HAVA:

1. the Department of State would have sole jurisdiction for these purposes and the procedures would be the sole avenue of redress for alleged Title III violations;
(2) a complaint would have to state the alleged violation and the person or entity responsible for
the violation;
(3) the Department of State would be required to inform a complainant in writing if a complaint
was legally insufficient;
(4) proceedings would be exempt from Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, (Administrative
Procedures Act);
(5) a hearing would be held by a hearing officer whether or not a complainant requested a
hearing and specific procedures for a hearing were included in the legislation;
(6) the hearing officer would direct an appropriate remedy that then would be enforced by the
Department of State;
(7) mediation would be the alternative dispute resolution method used if a final determination on
a complaint was not made within 90 days of filing.

ELEMENT 10. EFFECT OF TITLE I PAYMENTS

Section 254(e)(10): If the State received any payment under Title I, a description of how such
payment will affect the activities proposed to be carried out under the plan, including the
amount of funds available for such activities.

1. Introduction

Title I of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) is an “early out” money program for use
in two areas—improving election administration and the replacement of punch card and lever
voting systems. Florida received $26,028,957 under this “early out” program. The HAVA
Planning Committee (2003) recommended using Section 101 federal HAVA funds for 2003-04
activities and a combination of Section 101 and Section 251 HAVA federal funds for activities
beginning in the 2004-05 fiscal year and beyond.

Section 101 funds are to be used to improve election administration. Approved use of funds
under this section includes:
(1) Complying with the requirements under Title III.
(2) Improving the administration of elections for Federal office.
(3) Educating voters concerning voting procedures, voting rights, and voting technology.
(4) Training election officials, poll workers, and election volunteers.
(5) Developing the HAVA State Plan for requirements payments.
(6) Improving, acquiring, leasing, modifying, or replacing voting systems.
(7) Improving polling place accessibility for voters with disabilities or with limited English.
(8) Establishing toll-free telephone hotlines for voters to access voting information, report
voting fraud, or report voting rights violations.

Section 102 federal funds are to be used to replace punch card and lever voting systems.
Following the 2000 General Election, the State of Florida assisted counties by investing
approximately $24 million to replace outdated voting machines. In order to recoup none of this
expense, Section 102 funds in the amount of $11,381,377 were returned to the state as
reimbursement.

The HAVA Planning Committee clearly recognizes its advisory role in election reform and
acknowledges the authority of the Florida Legislature to make funding decisions for Florida.
The following recommendations are based on the HAVA Planning Committee (2003, 2004 and
2006) meetings held to develop the HAVA State Plan.
2. Section 101. How Title I payments to Florida will be used for activities to improve administration of elections?

The State of Florida is using Title I and Title II funds for election reform activities necessary to ensure Florida complies with all HAVA requirements. The following list describes the major areas in which funds are used.

a. Complying with Title III requirements for statewide voter registration database

In 2005, the Division of Elections implemented a statewide voter registration system to comply with HAVA Title III. The Division of Elections used $1 million appropriated from Section 101 federal funds for Phase One development of the new Statewide Voter Registration system. Expenditures for Phase One included:

- Consulting fees for conducting a detailed analysis of connectivity infrastructure available in the 67 Supervisor of Elections' offices and within all affected offices of the departments of State, Law Enforcement and Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; working with the counties, the three agencies and the advisory board to create minimum and optimum sets of system requirements; assessing infrastructure needs of all stakeholders to serve the system requirements; conducting "gap" analysis; outlining the physical design of the system; estimating costs and implementation plans for each version for the system to be presented to the 2004 Legislature; and developing and publishing the January 2004 report and recommendations for the 2004 Legislature.
- The purchase of hardware and software for project management and system development.
- Expenses incurred by Division of Elections' staff.
- Travel expenses for visits to every Supervisor of Elections' office and local driver license office.

In addition, Section 101 HAVA funds were used to create nine full time positions necessary for the design, development and implementation of the Statewide Voter Registration system. HAVA Title II, Section 251 funds were then used to complete the design and implementation of the Florida Voter Registration System and to fund 35 full time positions.

b. Improving the administration of elections for Federal office.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2006) recommends using HAVA funds as needed for election administration activities such as printing new voter registration application forms, translating election materials, printing documents and publications, preparing training videos or other election administration activities. Estimated expenditures for these activities may vary each year and will be dependent upon annual legislative appropriations.

c. Educating voters concerning voting procedures, voting rights, and voting technology.

For each fiscal year 2004-05 and 2005-06, local governments received $3 million for comprehensive voter education efforts. In FY 2006-07, the Legislature appropriated $2 million to distribute to Supervisors of Elections to assist with voter education activities. However, the Division used Title II funds to distribute these funds. No Supervisor of Elections received any funds until they provided to the Department of State a detailed description of the voter-education programs to be implemented.

d. Training election officials, poll workers, and election volunteers.

In the original HAVA plan, the HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended using HAVA funds in the amount of $250,000 for poll worker training in each fiscal year 2003-04, 2004-05 and 2005-06. The Florida Legislature, however, did not appropriate HAVA funds for this use in FY 2003-04 or FY 2004-05.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2004) revived the recommendation to use HAVA funds in the amount of $500,000, beginning with FY 2005-06, for poll worker training and recruitment, with a 15% match required of each county. The Legislature appropriated $4 million for poll worker recruitment and training in FY 2005-06. Of this $4 million, the Department of State distributed $3 million from Title II funds to Supervisors of Elections to assist with poll worker recruitment and training. The Legislature provided $1 million for the Department of State to develop a statewide poll worker training curriculum. To date, the Department has expended $2,133,956.

In FY 2006-07, the Legislature appropriated $1.5 million for poll worker recruitment and training activities. Once again, these funds were drawn from Title II in lieu of Title I funds. Of this amount, $1 million will be distributed to Supervisors of Elections to assist with recruiting and training individuals to serve as poll workers. The Legislature authorized an additional $500,000 for the Department of State to use for necessary updates and revisions to poll worker training curriculum that was developed with funds appropriated in FY 2005-06.
e. Developing the HAVA State Plan for requirements payments to be submitted under part I of subtitle D of Title II.

Title I funds were used to revise the HAVA State Plan in FY 2003-04. General Revenue funds were used to revise the Plan again in the FY 2006-07. As the State of Florida modifies its plans in future years, HAVA funds may be used.

f. Improving, acquiring, leasing, modifying, or replacing voting systems.

Florida has already replaced its punch card and lever voting systems. Following the 2000 General Election, the State of Florida assisted counties by investing approximately $24 million to replace outdated voting machines. In order to recoup some of this expense, Section 102 funds in the amount of $11,581,377 were returned to the state as reimbursement.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2003) recommended that the State of Florida utilize some HAVA funds to help counties meet the accessibility requirements under Title III by the January 1, 2006 deadline.

In addition, the HAVA Planning Committee (2004) recommended reimbursing counties who had already purchased voting systems that met the HAVA accessibility for voters with disabilities requirements. In FY 2004-05 the Legislature appropriated $11.6 million to assist Supervisors of Elections (SOEs) with purchasing DREs in order to provide one accessible voting system at each polling place. Funds appropriated in FY 2004-05 were distributed to SOEs that had not acquired accessible voting systems by July 1, 2004. There were 51 counties that did not have accessible voting systems at that time. The Division of Elections distributed the funds to fifty-one (51) Supervisors of Elections for this purpose pursuant to the terms of a memorandum of agreement.

For the fiscal year 2005-06 the Florida Legislature appropriated funds to reimburse counties that acquired accessible voting systems prior to July 1, 2004. Funds in the amount of $13,406,163 were distributed to the sixteen counties that purchased accessible voting systems prior to July 1, 2004. The appropriation is included in the FY 2005-06 General Appropriations Act, specific appropriation 2931. As anticipated, HAVA Section 251 funds were used. In addition, there were eight counties that received a total of $65,215 as reimbursement for existing DREs that were not included in the FY 2004-05 appropriations.

g. Improving polling place accessibility for voters with disabilities or with limited English.

Under Section 261, HAVA states the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall make a payment to eligible States to be used for making polling places accessible to individuals with disabilities and providing information on this accessibility. The HAVA Planning Committee (2004) recommended that these funds be distributed to each county to ensure that individuals with disabilities are provided the same opportunity for access and participation as for other voters.

During FY 2003-04, the State of Florida applied for and received a grant from Health & Human Services (HHS) in the amount of $687,278. The Division distributed a survey to all Supervisors of Elections requesting information regarding the number of polling places that were utilized in the 2004 Presidential Preference Primary. This information was used to determine the formula for distributing the grant funds to the counties.

To date, the Department has received four grants from HHS for a total amount of $2,203,909. Grant contracts have been awarded and Supervisors of Elections have begun to request funds.

h. Establishing toll-free telephone hotlines for voters to access voting information, report voting fraud, or report voting rights violations.

The Division of Elections has already established a voter fraud hotline for individuals who believe they may have witnessed election fraud. In addition, the Division has established a hotline for voters to request voting information. There are no plans to use HAVA funds to establish an additional free voting information hotline. If this type of voting information system is desired, it will be the responsibility of each county.

3. Section 102. How payments to Florida will be used for the replacement of punch card or lever voting machines?

Following the 2000 General Election, the State of Florida assisted counties by investing approximately $24 million to replace outdated voting machines. In order to recoup some of this expense, Section 102 federal funds in the amount of $11,581,377 were returned to the state as reimbursement.
ELEMENT 11. HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT OF 2002 (HAVA) STATE PLAN MANAGEMENT

Section 254(a)(11): How the State will conduct ongoing management of the plan, except the State may not make any material change in the administration of the plan unless the change—

(A) is developed and published in the Federal Register in accordance with section 255 in the same manner as the State plan;

(B) is subject to public notice and comment in accordance with section 256 in the same manner as the State plan; and

(C) takes effect only after the expiration of the 30-day period which begins on the date the change is published in the Federal Register in accordance with subparagraph (A).

1. Introduction

This element of the HAVA State Plan requires Florida to explain how the State of Florida will manage the implementation of the HAVA State Plan and whether it will utilize the same public notice process if any “material change” is made to the administration of the HAVA State Plan.

2. Management of State Plan—Section 254(a)(11): How will Florida conduct ongoing management of the HAVA State Plan?

As explained in previous sections of this Plan, the administration of elections in Florida occurs at the State and local levels. The Secretary of State is the Chief Election Officer under Florida law and is responsible for the coordination of the State’s responsibilities under HAVA Section 253. The Director of the Division of Elections reports to the Secretary of State and will be responsible for the day-to-day monitoring and managing of Florida’s HAVA State Plan.

Also at the State level, the Secretary of State directs the HAVA Planning Committee to update the HAVA State Plan as required in Section 255. Under Florida’s HAVA State Plan, the HAVA Planning Committee is responsible for conducting its business in an open, public forum and for suggesting revisions and updates to the HAVA State Plan.

At the local level, Florida’s 67 Supervisors of Elections are encouraged to play an active role in the successful implementation of the HAVA State Plan. The Division of Elections continues to work on a regular basis with local Supervisors of Elections to develop performance goals and measures, new voter registration improvements, new voting systems certification upgrades, statewide voter education programs, election official training, and other activities outlined in Florida’s HAVA State Plan.

3. Material Changes to State Plan

The State of Florida understands and agrees to comply with the HAVA requirements related to ongoing management of the HAVA State Plan. No material changes in the administration of the plan will be made unless:

- the material change is developed and published in the Federal Register in accordance with Section 255 in the same manner as the HAVA State Plan;
- the material change is subject to public notice and comment in accordance with Section 256 in the same manner as the HAVA State Plan; and
- the material change takes effect only after the expiration of the 30-day period which begins on the date the change is published in the Federal Register in accordance with subparagraph (A).
ELEMENT 12. CHANGES TO STATE PLAN FOR PREVIOUS FISCAL YEAR

Section 254(c)(12): In the case of a State with a plan in effect under this subtitle during the previous fiscal year, a description of how the plan reflects changes from the State plan for the previous fiscal year and of how the State succeeded in carrying out the State plan for such previous fiscal year.

1. Introduction
In order to proceed with the most recent update of the HAVA State Plan (June 2004), then Secretary of State Sue M. Cobb appointed a new HAVA Planning Committee for 2006. The names of the committee members are listed under Element 13 of this plan. The Collins Center for Public Policy, Inc. was selected in a public competitive process to staff the update process. The HAVA Planning Committee (2006) held two public meetings—one in Pensacola, Florida on September 31, 2006, and one in Miami, Florida on October 12, 2006.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2006) focused on three types of changes:

a. Substantive changes made by the State of Florida that bring the State into further compliance with HAVA.

b. Minor updates that will not affect the State’s compliance with HAVA.

c. Issues that have arisen that might affect the State’s future compliance with HAVA.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2006) received copies of the original plan and the Help America Vote Act of 2002. All updates and changes to the original plan from the previous fiscal year were noted as follows:

a. Sections of the previous plan were deleted but were first shown in a strike-through font and approved by the committee.

b. Sections of the plan that were new were shown in an underlined font.

c. After the HAVA Planning Committee reviewed and approved the updates, the underline and strike-through fonts were removed.

Florida has updated its original HAVA State Plan to bring it into further compliance through legislative action, rule change and updated information.

2. HAVA State Plan’s Substantive Changes from Previous Fiscal Year

The following chart is a summary of how the HAVA State Plan has substantively changed since 2004 and how the State succeeded in carrying out the HAVA State Plan for the previous fiscal year.

Element 1-Voting Systems
Florida meets all HAVA voting system requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New certified voting systems were added to the plan and decertified voting systems were deleted from the plan.</td>
<td>The new certified voting systems performed as designed during the 2006 statewide Primary and General Elections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Element 1- Provisional Voting and Voting Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida’s requirement for a voter to cast a provisional ballot only in the precinct in which the voter is registered was settled under Florida law, AFL-CIO v. Hood, 883 So.2d 371 (Fla. 2004). Under state law, persons casting provisional ballots have until 5:00 p.m. on the 3rd day following an election to present written evidence supporting their eligibility to vote.</td>
<td>Florida developed and implemented the new Florida Voter Registration System (PVRS) that meets the requirements of HAVA. The PVRS is a single, uniform, official, centralized, interactive, computerized statewide voter registration system. The PVRS became fully operational in January 2006 and complies with all of HAVA’s operational requirements such as maintenance, accuracy, and security.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Element 1- Voter Registration System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The new Florida Voter Registration System performed as designed during the 2006 statewide Primary and General Elections.</td>
<td>The Secretary of State created the Bureau of Voter Registration Services to direct and facilitate the operations of the PVRS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Element 2: Local Government Payments and Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In FY 2004-05, the State of Florida distributed $11.6 million to local Supervisors of Elections to purchase one accessible voting system for each polling place. In FY 2005-06, the State of Florida distributed $13,406,193 to reimburse 16 counties that acquired accessible voting systems prior to July 1, 2004. In FY 2005-06, the State of Florida distributed an additional $63,215 to reimburse 8 other counties that acquired accessible voting systems prior to July 2004 and were not included in funding provided in FY 2004-05. In FYs 2004-05 and 2005-06, the State of Florida distributed to local governments $3,000,000 each fiscal year to fund comprehensive voter education efforts. In FY 2005-06, the State of Florida distributed $3,000,000 to local Supervisors of Elections to conduct poll worker recruitment and training.</td>
<td>The accessible voting machines performed as designed during the 2006 statewide Primary and General Elections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Element 3: Voter Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The HAVA Planning Committee (2004) recommended and the Legislature approved an additional $3 million for voter education in FY 2005-06. The 2004 Voter Education Program Report to the Florida Legislature and Governor concluded that county voter education efforts were considered useful or well-received by voters. An analysis of 2006 voter education programs throughout Florida indicated a variety of programs being used including sample ballots, nonpartisan voter education, media advertising, and innovative programs. In response to findings from the 2006 Auditor General Report (2006-194), the Department of State has taken measures to closely monitor county voter education reports to ensure compliance with HAVA. The Florida Legislature directed the Division of Elections to develop a statewide uniform training curriculum for poll workers in 2006.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Element 4: Voting System Guidelines and Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The State of Florida certified three accessible voting systems vendors that provide audio ballot capability. Each accessible voting system includes at least one accessible voter interface device installed in each polling place.</td>
<td>The State of Florida met the January 1, 2006 deadline for this HAVA planning element. The accessible voting systems performed as designed during the 2006 statewide Primary and General Elections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Element 5 - HAVA Election Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There were no structural changes to the HAVA trust fund.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Element 6 - HAVA Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida’s FY 2006-07 budget is $11,015,598. The two largest budget items for this fiscal year are approximately $5.5 million for Election Administration and $4.9 million for the new Florida Voter Registration system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The HAVA Planning Committee (2006) reviewed and recommended the following proposed HAVA budget for the next four fiscal years with all funding supporting the FVRS and election administration activities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2007-08 $10,917,216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2008-09 $11,064,642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2009-10 $11,216,490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2010-11 $11,372,894</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Element 7 - Maintenance of Effort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A 2006 Auditor General Report (2006-194) determined that Florida’s Maintenance of Effort is $3,570,408. The audit also revealed that in FY 2004-05, Florida did not meet the required maintenance of effort by $5 Year-end expenditures for the fiscal year 2005-06 have been finalized by the Department, and exceeded the maintenance of effort by $207,186, more than compensating for the $7,630 shortfall for the fiscal year 2004-05. In future years, the Department is expected to exceed the required maintenance of effort compensating for the $7,630 shortfall.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Element 8 - Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The HAVA Planning Committee (2006) approved the following changes to the performance measures:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified voting systems for voters with disabilities goal has been achieved and accessible voting machines including non-visual accessibility for the blind and visually impaired are in each polling place.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Florida Voter Registration System (FVRS) is operational. The Department of State will continue to monitor list maintenance activities performed by the Supervisors of Elections and FVRS security operations for compliance with HAVA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Department of State will collect from each county the reason why a person voted a provisional ballot in order to improve the registration process.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Element 9 - Administrative Complaint Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There were no changes for this element of the HAVA State Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Element 10- Effect of Title One Payments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida received $26,028,957 in Title I funds.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These Title I funds have been used since 2003 to improve Florida elections administration including replacing voting systems, educating voters, and improving access for voters with disabilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The HAVA Planning Committee (2006) recommended using the Title I funds as needed for election administration activities such as printing new voter registration application forms, translating election materials, printing documents and publications, preparing training videos or other election administration activities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In FY 2004-05 and 2005-06, local governments received $3 million each year for comprehensive voter education efforts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In FY 2004-05, the Legislature appropriated $11.6 million to assist local governments with purchasing one accessible voting system for each polling place. However, these funds were distributed from Title II.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Element 11- HAVA State Plan Management Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There were no substantive changes to the HAVA State Plan Management Section.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Element 12- HAVA Changes in State Plan for Previous Fiscal Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The HAVA State Plan was updated to reflect changes from FY 2004-05.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Element 13- HAVA State Plan Development and Planning Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Successes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The HAVA Planning Committee met once in Pensacola and once in Miami during Fall 2006 to update the HAVA State Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The HAVA Planning Committee welcomed eight new members:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. The Honorable Lester Sola, Supervisor of Elections, Miami-Dade County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The Honorable Terry Vaughn, Supervisor of Elections, Bradford County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The Honorable Bill Posey, State Senator, District 24, Rockledge, FL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The Honorable Ron Reagan, State Representative, District 67, Sarasota, FL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Mr. Richard Perez, Attorney, Holland and Knight, Miami, FL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Dr. Alec Yarinsac, Professor, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Mr. Reggie Mitchell, Counsel for the People for the American Way Foundation, Tallahassee, FL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Ms. Sallie Parks, former Pinellas County Commissioner, Palm Harbor, FL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Element 13. State Plan Development and HAVA Planning Committee

Section 254(a)(13): A description of the committee which participated in the development of the State plan in accordance with section 255 and the procedures followed by the committee under section 256.

1. Introduction

To comply with the requirements of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA), the HAVA State Plan must be developed by the chief State election official through a committee of appropriate individuals. After a preliminary plan is developed, it must be published for public inspection and comment. State officials must take public comments into account in preparing the HAVA State Plan submitted to the Elections Assistance Commission.

2. Compliance: Designation and Meetings of HAVA State Planning Committee

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Florida’s Secretary of State is the Chief State Election Official and has the responsibility under HAVA to develop the HAVA State Plan with the assistance of the statewide HAVA Planning Committee. Section 254(a) of HAVA requires that “The chief State election official shall develop the HAVA State Plan under this subtitle through a committee of appropriate individuals, including the chief election officials of the two most populous jurisdictions within the State, other local election officials, stakeholders (including representatives of groups of individuals with disabilities), and other citizens, appointed for such purpose by the chief State election official.”

Members of the 2006 HAVA Planning Committee for the State of Florida (appointed by then Secretary of State, Sue M. Cobb) included:

Chairman:
Jim Smith of Leon County, former Secretary of State and former Attorney General

Chief Election Officials of the Two Most Populous Jurisdictions within the State:
Brenda Snipes, Supervisor of Elections for Broward County
Lester Sola, Supervisor of Elections for Miami-Dade County

Other Local Election Officials:
Kurt Browning, Supervisor of Elections for Pasco County
Terry Vaughan, Supervisor of Elections for Bradford County

Stakeholders/Representatives of Groups of Individuals with Disabilities:
Jim Kracht, Assistant County Attorney for Miami-Dade County and member of the American Association of Visually Impaired Attorneys, American Council of the Blind
Richard LaBelle, Executive Director of the Family Network on Disabilities of Florida, Inc.

Other Stakeholders and Citizens:
Reggie Mitchell, Florida Legal Counsel, People for the American Way Foundation
Sallie Parks, former member Pinellas County Commission
Bill Posey, State Senator, District 24
Ron Reagan, Representative, District 67
Richard Perez, Esq., Holland & Knight LLP
Dr. Alec Yanisac, Florida State University

Florida’s HAVA compliance process has involved three separate committees over the years, comprised of the following members:

2003 HAVA Committee
Jim Smith, Chairman
Kurt Browning
Susan Gill
Jane Gross
Lindsay Harrington
Arthur Hernandez
Shirley Green Knight

2004 HAVA Committee
Jim Smith, Chairman
Kurt Browning
Susan Gill
Jane Gross
Arthur Hernandez
Shirley Green Knight

2006 HAVA Committee
Jim Smith, Chairman
Kurt Browning
Susan Gill
Jane Gross
Arthur Hernandez
Shirley Green Knight

Other:
Reggie Mitchell
Sallie Parks
Bill Posey
Richard Perez
Sue M. Cobb

Each HAVA Planning Committee has operated in an open process with public deliberations, systematic procedures in accordance with Robert's Rules of Order, and majority vote of members who were present when votes were taken. The Collins Center for Public Policy, Inc. a non-profit, non-partisan organization (selected in a public bidding process) and the Florida Department of State's Division of Elections have served as staff for each committee.

The HAVA Planning Committee (2006) convened two publicly noticed meetings to update the June 2004 version of the State Plan—Tampa, Florida, on September 21, 2006, and Miami, Florida, on October 12, 2006. A majority quorum of HAVA Planning Committee members was present for both meetings. Members of the public and press were welcomed at the meeting and public comments received.

All meetings were held in accessible facilities and were compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Closed captioning service was available at all meetings. Agendas were printed in Braille as well as Spanish and Creole.

The Collins Center prepared written materials for the meetings, made presentations to focus the 2006 HAVA Planning Committee on decisions that needed to be made, and took notes of proceedings at the Pensacola meeting. At the bequest of the committee, a transcript of the Miami public meeting was prepared. All agendas and other materials for the meetings were made available at the meetings. The website of the State Division of Elections also included much of this material in advance of the meetings.

The HAVA Planning Committee received a draft of the plan before voting to approve the preliminary version. The Committee then forwarded it to the Division of Elections for public notice and commentary period. Staff for the Division of Elections made authorized edits to ensure consistency and accuracy of text and format of the final draft.

3. Compliance: Public Notice and Comments for State Plan

Yes, and no further actions are required.

Section 256 of HAVA requires that the HAVA State Plan meet the following public notice and comments requirements:
1. not later than 30 days prior to the submission of the plan, the State shall make a preliminary version of the plan available for public inspection and comment;
2. the State shall publish notice that the preliminary version of the plan is available; and
3. the State shall take the public comments made regarding the preliminary version of the plan into account in preparing the plan which will be filed with the Election Assistance Commission.

After notice was given in the Florida Administrative Weekly, the preliminary version of the 2006 HAVA State Plan was posted on the Department of State’s and the Governor’s website. A link was made available on the Department's website for receiving public comments. No comments were received. The work of the HAVA Planning Committee and its consultants was completed when a preliminary version of the HAVA State Plan was approved, by the Florida Voting Committee, and submitted to the Secretary of State.

After the final updated 2006 HAVA State Plan is submitted to the Election Assistance Commission, that Commission is responsible for publishing the HAVA State Plan in the Federal Register in accordance with Section 255(b).
### Help America Vote Act of 2002 State Plan Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Help America Vote Requirement</th>
<th>Status: 2006 HAVA State Plan</th>
<th>Status: As of 10/31/06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Voting Systems—Section 301 Compliance January 1, 2006</strong></td>
<td>Meets</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verify Ballot</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change or Correct Ballot</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevent Ownvotes</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absentee Instructions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absentee privacy and confidentiality</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper record for audits</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems for voters with disabilities</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future voting systems purchases comply with HAVA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative language accessibility</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comply with FEC error rates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define what constitutes a vote</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provisional Voting and Voter Information—Section 302 (Compliance January 1, 2004)</strong></td>
<td>Meets</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laws require notification to cast provisional ballot</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional ballots permitted with written affirmation of voter eligibility</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional ballots given to election officials for determination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional ballots counted if voter is determined to be eligible</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voters provided information to ascertain if provisional ballot counted</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Free access system&quot; provided to ascertain if provisional ballot counted</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample ballots are posted for election</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of election and polling place hours are posted</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting instructions and provisional voting instructions are posted on election day</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting instructions for mail-in registrants and first-time voters on election day</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting rights information and provisional ballot information posted</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact information posted for voters whose rights have been violated</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information posted on prohibition of fraud and misrepresentation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional ballots segregated for those who vote after special extended poll hours</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Voter Registration—Section 303 (Compliance January 1, 2004 or Extension January 1, 2008)**
- Meets

**Can Florida meet January 1, 2004 deadline?**
- Need to apply for extension
- X

**HAVA’s ID requirements for voters who register by mail and not previously voted**
- X

**HAVA’s requirement for voter registration language in mail registration forms**
- X

**Local Government Payments and Activities [Section 254(a)(2)]**
- Meets

- Describe criteria for funding
- Updated

- Describe methods to monitor performance
- Updated

**Voter Education (Section 254(a)(3))**
- Meets

- Describe voter education program to support Title III
- Updated

- Describe election official education and training to support Title III
- Updated

- Describe poll worker training to support Title III
- Updated

**Voting System Guidelines and Processes [Section 254(a)(4)]**
- Meets

- Describe Florida’s voting system guidelines and processes correlated with Section 301
- X

**HAVA Election Funds (Section 254(a)(5))**
- Meets

- Describe how Florida will establish a HAVA fund
- Updated

- Describe how Florida will manage the HAVA fund
- Updated

**Florida’s HAVA Budget (Section 254(a)(6))**
- Meets

- Describe costs of activities to meet Title III
- Updated

- Describe portion of requirements payment to carry out requirements activities
- Updated

- Describe portion of requirements payment to carry out other activities
- Updated

**Florida’s Maintenance of Effort (Section 254(a)(7))**
- Meets

- Describe how Florida will maintain election expenditures at the 1995-2005 FY
- X
Appendix A

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

1. Polls open at 7 a.m. and close at 7 p.m. Anyone in line at 7 p.m. will be allowed to vote.

2. Sample ballots will be posted in the polling room for your information.

3. When you enter the polling room and before being permitted to vote, you are required to present a photo ID with signature. If you do not have the proper ID, you will be allowed to vote a provisional ballot.

4. If you need instructions on how to use the voting equipment, ask a poll worker to assist you. After you have been given instructions, the officer assisting you will leave so that you can cast your vote in secret.

5. You are required to occupy the voting booth alone, unless you requested assistance at the time of registration or when you signed in at the polls.

6. a. For OPTICAL SCAN voting, when you are finished marking your ballot, take your ballot and put it into the Precinct tabulator.

b. For TOUCH SCREEN voting, when you are finished voting your ballot, be sure to press VOTE or CAST BALLOT button to cast your vote.

7. After you cast your vote, you are required to leave the polling room and you will not be allowed to re-enter.

8. If your eligibility is questioned or you do not have a photo ID, you will be allowed to vote a provisional ballot. Please review carefully and follow the instructions provided with your provisional ballot. Your ballot will be presented to the County Canvassing Board for a determination as to whether your ballot will be counted.

9. The poll workers possess full authority to maintain order in the polling area.

DS-DE 68
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INSTRUCCIONES PARA LOS VOTANTES

1. Las urnas abren a las 7:00 a.m. y cierran a las 7:00 p.m. A todo el que se encuentre en línea a las 7:00 p.m. se le permitirá votar.

2. Se desplegarán boletas de muestra en el salón de votación para su información.

3. Cuando usted entre al salón de votación y antes de que se le permita votar, a usted se le requerirá presentar una identificación con fotografía y firma. Si usted no tiene la identificación apropiada, a usted se le permitirá votar una boleta provisional.

4. Si usted necesita instrucciones de cómo usar el equipo de votación, pídale a un trabajador de las urnas que le ayude. Después que a usted se le han dado instrucciones, el oficial que le ayuda se irá, para que usted pueda elatar su voto en secreto.

5. A usted se le requiere ocupar la casilla de votación solo, a menos que usted haya pedido ayuda al momento del registro o cuando usted registró su llegada en las urnas.

6.a. Para la votación por ESCANEÓ ÓPTICO, cuando usted haya terminado de marcar su boleta, tome su boleta y póngala en el tabulador del preelito.

b. Para la votación por PANTALLA TACTO, cuando usted haya terminado de marcar su boleta, asegúrese de completar VOTE (Votar) o CAST BALLOT (Echar Boleta) para elar su voto.

7. Después que usted echó su voto, a usted se le requiere que abandone el salón de votación y a usted no se le permitirá volver a entrar.

8. Si su elegibilidad es cuestionada o usted no tiene una identificación con fotografía, a usted se le permitirá votar una boleta provisional. Por favor, revise cuidadosamente y siga las instrucciones presentadas con su boleta provisonal. Su boleta será presentada a la Junta Escrutadora del Condado para una determinación respecto a si su boleta será contada.

9. Los trabajadores de las urnas poseen plena autoridad para mantener el orden en el área de votación.

DS-DE 69
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ESTADO DE LA FLORIDA

VOTER’S BILL OF RIGHTS

Each registered voter in this state has the right to:

1. Vote and have his or her vote accurately counted.

2. Cast a vote if he or she is in line at the official closing of the polls in that county.

3. Ask for and receive assistance in voting.

4. Receive up to two replacement ballots if he or she makes a mistake prior to the ballot being cast.

5. An explanation if his or her registration or identity is in question.

6. If his or her registration or identity is in question, cast a provisional ballot.

7. Written instructions to use when voting, and, upon request, oral instructions in voting from elections officers.

8. Vote free from coercion or intimidation by elections officers or any other person.

9. Vote on a voting system that is in working condition and that will allow votes to be accurately cast.

You may have other voting rights under state and federal laws. If you believe your voting rights have been violated, please contact Florida Department of State, Division of Elections, 1-877-868-3737

DS-DE 20 1/06
La Carta de Derechos del Votante

Cada votante registrado en este estado tiene el derecho a:

1. Votar y hacer que su voto se cuente con precisión.
2. Echar un voto si él o ella está en línea al cierre oficial de las urnas en ese condado.
3. Pedir y recibir ayuda al votar.
4. Recibir hasta dos boletas de reemplazo si él o ella comete un error antes de echar la boleta.
5. Una explicación si es que su registro o identidad se cuestiona.
6. Si su registro o identidad se cuestiona, a echar una boleta provisional.
7. Instrucciones escritas para usar al votar y, si así se pide, instrucciones verbales al votar de parte de los oficiales electorales.
8. Votar libre de coerción o intimidación de parte de los oficiales electorales o cualquier otra persona.
9. Votar en un sistema de votación que se encuentre en condiciones operantes y que permita que los votos sean echados con precisión.

Usted puede tener otros derechos electorales bajo las leyes estatales y federales. Si usted cree que sus derechos electorales han sido violados, por favor, comuníquese con el Florida Department of State, Division of Elections (Departamento de Estado de la Florida, División de Elecciones) al 1-877-868-3737.
System development will also modify existing systems that interface with the statewide voter registration system elements and functionalities to preserve system integrity, ensure quality, and to be sufficiently robust to support increases in the number of users.

Consistent with state statute, the office of the Secretary of State may provide the Statewide Voter Registration System to enable the office, with the assistance of county auditors, to maintain current addresses for voters using permanent forwarding information filed with the National Change of Address (NCOA) service of the United States Postal Service.

The office of the Secretary of State will coordinate database information with other Minnesota state agencies, particularly the Minnesota Department of Public Safety to improve processing of voter registration applications, and the Minnesota Department of Health, to remove deceased registrants from voter rosters.

The office of the Secretary of State will:
- provide information and training support to county officials for voter registration data entry;
- develop technology to process registration and voter history information in an expedited basis as well as training to use the technology;
- provide for security measures in the system to preclude unauthorized system access; and
- ensure that all applicable Minnesota State laws will meet voter list maintenance requirements in HAVA section 305.

Amended section 12 reads as follows:

**Section 12**

State Plan Changes and Successes in Previous Fiscal Years

Section 12 of the Minnesota State Plan provides, “in the case of a State with a State plan in effect under this subtitle during the previous fiscal year, a description of how the plan reflects changes from the State plan for the previous fiscal year and of how the State succeeded in carrying out the State plan for such previous fiscal year” as required by Public Law 107-225, Help America Vote Act of 2002, Section 254(6)(12).

The change in the 2003 State Plan, section 1.6, proposed in 2007, will allow the Minnesota Secretary of State to interface data collected by the United States Postal Service National Change of Address service with the statewide voter registration system. In the prior State Plan, interface was allowed only with other Minnesota State databases. Specific changes in carrying out the previous State Plan are the following.

12.1 2003
- Statewide implementation of administrative procedures to track registrations by mail for first-time federal voters effective January 1, 2003. (HAVA § 305(b))
- Creation of Polling Place Accessibility Survey tool, developed in conjunction with the Minnesota State Council on Disability and others in the disability community, to be used by local jurisdictions to assess the accessibility of their local polling places.
- HAVA compliance legislation passed (Laws Special Session 1 2003, Chapter 7), appropriating funding and establishing complaint procedure.

12.2 2004
- Statewide Voter Registration System (SVRS) implemented, including Drive’s License number verification and user training.
- Voter registration application forms updated to be HAVA compliant; available in 5 alternative languages.

- Updated informational materials to reflect HAVA, including brochures about voting rights, military and overseas voting, and voting for students.
- UOCAVA statewide absentee ballot system developed and implemented to support HAVA.
- The Minnesota Military Voter’s brochure was cited by the Election Assistance Commission in its “Best Practices for Facilitating Voting by U.S. Citizens Covered by the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Voting Act.”
- Election Judge Training video updated with HAVA requirements.
- HAVA posters supplied to all polling locations.
- Awarded $171,645 in Election Assistance to Individuals with Disabilities grants to local government units to make polling places accessible.
- HAVA compliance legislation passed (Laws 2004, Chapter 293), modifying the statewide voter registration system, requiring the sharing of data between state agencies, and creating the Minnesota Voter’s Bill of Rights and processes for addressing incomplete registrations submitted by mail and facilitating voting by military and overseas voters under UOCAVA.
- Administrative Rules amended (MN 3, Rules, Chapter 8200), establishing processes for verifying voters, notices for incomplete and deficient registrations, and updated instructions for UOCAVA and other absentee ballots.

12.3 2005
- State certification of Assisted Voting Equipment (AutoMark).
- Entered into state contract with vendor for local governmental units to purchase Assisted Voting Equipment and Precinct Count optical scan tabulators.
- HAVA compliance legislation passed (Laws 2005, Chapter 162), providing voting equipment requirements and authorizing grants to counties for voting equipment purchases and related provisions.

12.4 2006
- During FY 06, provided $29,000,000 in grants to the 87 Minnesota counties to purchase Assisted Voting Equipment for individuals with disabilities for each polling place (AutoMARK) and for certain related operating expenses.
- $3,545,822 was provided in grants to 50 counties to purchase optical scan voting equipment.
- With these grants, the counties purchased:
  - 1,385 AutoMARK ballot-marking machines;
  - 1,696 ES&S M650 optical scan precinct ballot tabulators; and
  - 18,650 ES&S M650 optical scan central count ballot tabulators.
- Statewide Voter Registration System (SVRS) version upgrade including PCA as registration source.
- WebX training on use of Statewide Voter Registration System for county election administrators.
- Awarded $2,941,018 in Election Assistance to Individuals with Disabilities grants to local government units to make polling places accessible.
- Provided information on new HAVA requirements at the 2006 Local Election Official Training Conference.
- Created 2006 Election Judge, Precinct Caucus, Accessible Voting Equipment and Voter Expectation videos to reflect use of AutoMARKs.
- Updated the Municipal Clerk, School District Clerk, Election Judge Training, Equipment Testing Guides to reflect use of new equipment.
- Developed a brochure “Minnesota Election Improvements for 2006,” including information on the AutoMARK and other law changes.
- Attended 131 events in 72 cities across the state to demonstrate AutoMARK and provide information on voting rights.
• Distributed information from the Elections Improvement brochure to millions of voters across the state through every weekly and most daily newspapers.

2007
• Implemented SVRS 2.4 version upgrade including SSN verification.
• Established Working Groups with local election officials for SVRS/VR and Equipment/ERS.
• Created and provided additional WebX training on use of SVRS to election officials.

Section 13 is amended by adding section 13.4, as follows:
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OFFICE / REPRESENTING

Mark Ritchie        Minnesota Secretary of State
Gary Poer           Director of Elections, Office of the Secretary of State
Jim Keohane        Governor's Office
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On behalf of the State of Minnesota, I submit the above amendments for publication in the Federal Register, and thank the Election Assistance Commission for its help in the amendment process.

Sincerely,

Mark Ritchie
Secretary of State of Minnesota

State of New Jersey

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Law and Public Safety
Division of Law

25 Market Street
PO Box 112
Trenton, NJ 08625-0112

September 20, 2007

Thomas Wilkey, Executive Director
Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Avenue
N.W., Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005

Re: New Jersey "Help America Vote Act of 2002" (HAVA)
State Plan Addendum

Dear Director Wilkey:

Enclosed please find the New Jersey State Plan Addendum, which revises the original State Plan filed on August 15, 2003, in regard to the amount of monies available for HAVA implementation and the allocation of such additional funding. In particular, the Addendum proposes the dedication, in part, of funds for the implementation of a voter-verifiable paper audit trail (VVPAT) for voting systems, which is an activity intended to improve the overall administration of federal elections.

By letter dated June 25, 2007, the State had previously certified to the Election Assistance Commission that it had complied with the mandated Title III requirements of HAVA, and that the State intended dedicated some of the HAVA funding to assure a VVPAT component for its voting systems.

The Addendum includes the VVPAT proposal and further details increased funding for the State's statewide voter registration system, the State management budget, and voter education and outreach.

Pursuant to Section 256 of HAVA, the proposals to revise the State Plan were developed with the assistance and input of the New Jersey HAVA State Plan Committee. Thereafter, a preliminary Addendum was subject to a 30-day public inspection and comment period, which commenced on July 13, 2007. The enclosed State Plan Addendum incorporates the public comment.

Sincerely,

Anne Milgram
Assistant Attorney General
Division of Law

Robert J. Gilson
Director
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STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
"HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT OF 2002"  
ADDITION TO STATE PLAN:  
SECTIONS ONE AND SIX

I. Introduction

On August 15, 2003, the State of New Jersey ("State") filed its initial State Plan ("Plan"), pursuant to the "Help America Vote Act of 2002" ("HAVA") with the Federal Election Commission (the authorized depository for the HAVA State Plans prior to the establishment of the United States Election Assistance Commission ("EAC")). The Plan detailed the manner in which New Jersey intended to meet the requirements of HAVA and the projected corresponding costs for implementation.

Section One of the Plan detailed the State's proposal to satisfy the following requirements of Title III of HAVA: providing for compliant voting systems; developing a statewide voter registration system ("SVRS"); implementing provisional balloting; distributing voter information in the polling place; and establishing a state administrative grievance procedure. Title III further allows a state to undertake other activities to improve the overall administration of federal elections. Section One of the Plan listed voter education and election officials' training as activities that would further this goal.

Section Six of the Plan set forth the proposed budget for these activities. The budget was based upon the receipt of $88 million dollars in federal funding. Since filing the Plan, federal and matching state moneys available for HAVA purposes have increased by an additional $28.5 million dollars.

The increase in funding allows the State to dedicate additional monies to HAVA activities already identified in the Plan, as well as provides an opportunity to consider new endeavors to improve the overall administration of federal elections. Allocation of this increased funding would materially change the State Plan. Accordingly, this Addendum reflects updates and changes to Section One and Section Six.

The Addendum has been prepared in accordance with the procedural requirements of section 253 of HAVA. The HAVA State Plan Committee convened on April 4, 2007, to provide input on the use of the additional funds. In particular, the Committee discussed allocating a portion of the funds to implement a voter-verified paper audit trail (commonly referred to as "VVPAT") for the voting systems. The Addendum reflects the Committee’s input. Although HAVA does not mandate the use of a VVPAT, the EAC has acknowledged that HAVA funds may be used for such "other activities" upon the State satisfying the mandated requirements of Title III. (See EAC Letter to State of Florida, dated May 2, 2007).
Prior to the issuance of the Addendum, the State certified to the EAC, by letter dated June 25, 2007, that it is in compliance with the mandated Title III requirements, and advised the EAC that it intends to dedicate some of the HAVA monies for a VVPAT component to its electronic voting systems.

Pursuant to Section 253, the Preliminary Addendum was subject to a 30-day public comment period. The Preliminary Addendum was published on the New Jersey Division of Elections website on July 13, 2007. Only one comment was received, with the suggestion that counties have the option of using HAVA funding towards the purchase of new voting systems, instead of retrofitting current systems. This suggestion has been incorporated into the final version of the Addendum.

As fully set forth below, in furtherance of the State’s interest to improve the overall administration of federal elections, and pursuant to this Addendum to its State Plan, New Jersey will allocate up to approximately 15 million dollars in the Election Fund for the purpose of providing the voting systems in every county in the State with VVPAT capability, and to increase funding for the SVRS, voter education, as well as State management.

II. Section One of the Plan

A. HAVA-Compliant Voting Systems

1. Status at the Time of the Submission of the Plan

At the time of the submission of the State Plan, only two of the State’s 21 counties had HAVA-compliant voting systems for use in their polling places. The voting systems in the other counties were as follows:

- Seven counties had lever machines, although two of those counties, Hudson and Mercer, had already contracted for electronic voting systems, which were to be in place by 2004.
- Three counties had electronic voting systems that could not be retrofitted with audio kits to allow independent voting by voters with visual impairments.
- Nine counties had electronic voting systems that could be retrofitted with audio kits.
- Cape May and Somerset Counties were using punch card systems to count absentee ballots.

The State anticipated that HAVA funds would be used to absorb at least half of the cost of voting machine replacements or upgrades.

Section One of the Plan also noted the ongoing, national discussion among computer scientists and advocacy groups regarding the security and reliability of electronic voting machinery. This concern was the apparent genesis for the proposal that a voter-verified paper record system be used in conjunction with electronic voting systems. Although not technically required by HAVA, the Plan indicated that the Attorney General intended to study this proposal to determine if it would be beneficial for voters.

2. Current Status of Voting Systems

For the first federal election held in New Jersey in 2006, the June 6th Primary Election, all of the State’s voting machines were HAVA-compliant as follows:

- The lever machines that were used in five counties were replaced with the Sequoia Advantage AVC full-face machine. These are the same machines that Hudson and Mercer Counties began using in 2004.
- Of the three counties with non-accessible electronic voting systems, Atlantic and Passaic Counties purchased the Sequoia Advantage AVC machine. Warren County purchased the Avante VoteTrakker full-face machine.
- The machines in the remaining nine counties were brought into full HAVA compliance by retrofitting at least one machine per election district with an audio kit.
- The punch card systems in Cape May and Somerset Counties were replaced with electronic counters.

B. Compliance with Other Mandated HAVA Activities

In addition to the voting machines, the State satisfied the other mandated activities under Title II of HAVA as follows:

1. Administrative Grievance Procedure

By way of State statute, N.J.S.A. 19:61-6, the State implemented an administrative grievance procedure for any individual who believed that there has been, or will be, a violation of Title III of HAVA. This procedure comports with the corresponding HAVA provisions.
2. Forms and Notices

HAVA mandates that a state provide certain forms and notices to further voter participation in federal elections. To meet this mandate, the State revised its voter registration forms to incorporate the required provisions relating to citizenship and age, as specified in section 302 of HAVA (42 U.S.C. § 15483). The form further requires the registrant to provide his or her driver’s license number or MVC non-driver ID number, and only if the registrant does not have either number, the last four digits of the social security number. For those registrants with no such form of identification, a check-off box to that effect is provided.

In addition, pursuant to Section 302 of HAVA (42 U.S.C. § 15482), the Office of the Attorney General prepares and distributes the Voter’s Bill of Rights, comprised of two easy-to-read posters, to each county board of election for display in the polling places on election days.

3. Provisional Ballots and the Free-Access System

As noted in the initial State Plan, New Jersey has offered provisional ballots to certain voters at the polls on election day since 1996. These ballots originally were used for voters whose voter registration information in the poll book was deficient (e.g., signature missing), or for voters who had moved and did not notify the county commissioner of registration before the election. In response to HAVA, State law was amended in 2004 to allow for the use of provisional ballots for first-time registrants by mail who had not satisfied the identification requirements of section 303 of HAVA (42 U.S.C. § 15483). These amendments are set forth in N.J.S.A. 19:61-4. The current system is a toll-free telephone system. The voter is connected to the appropriate county board of election, which sends the information by U.S. mail to the voter’s registered address. It was determined that this procedure ensured the confidentiality of the process, which is required under HAVA.

Although not required by HAVA, the toll-free system also is available for absentee ballot voters. Written notice of the availability of this system is included in the absentee ballot materials that are sent to the voters by the county clerks.

4. Statewide Voter Registration System

The State of New Jersey has converted its county-based voter registration system into a statewide voter registration system (“SVRS”), as required by section 303 of HAVA (42 U.S.C. § 15483). New Jersey’s SVRS is an interactive, computerized system which links the 21 county commissioner of registration offices with the following State agencies:

- Motor Vehicle Commission
- Department of Corrections
- Administrative Office of the Courts
- Parole Board
- Bureau of Vital Statistics.

The SVRS contains the official list of all the qualified registered voters in the State. The county commissioners of registration are responsible for the daily maintenance of the voter registration records of registered voters within their respective jurisdictions.

With the implementation of the SVRS, the registrant’s driver’s license number, MVC non-driver ID number, or the last four digits of the social security number of a registrant can be verified electronically. The system can also identify those registrants who are potentially duplicative, deceased, or criminally disqualified. In addition, the State now has the ability to maintain the complete voting history of registrants who move between counties. Prior to the SVRS, such records did not move with the registrant as there was no mechanism for the transfer of records for inter-county moves. The SVRS also has an enhanced reporting capability which allows the State to generate reports which either are required by the EAC or can be used for voting studies.

The SVRS further includes a comprehensive Election Management System (“EMS”), with various modules to allow for uniform and upgraded election administration in the county election offices. The EMS includes components for absentee ballot processing, poll worker assignments, redistricting capabilities, poll book generation, and nomination petition management.

C. The Implementation of a Voter-Verified Paper Audit Trail for Voting Systems:
   An Activity Intended to Improve the Overall Administration of Federal Elections

As stated in Section One of the original State Plan, New Jersey indicated its intent to study the issue of a voter-verified paper record component for each of its voting systems. This issue was brought into the national forefront by New Jersey Congressman Rush Holt (D-NJ), who introduced legislation in 2004 which would require a corresponding paper record for all votes cast on an electronic voting system. This legislation was intended to address concerns related to the possibility of computer software flaws or errors which could alter election results.

Although this proposal remains under Congressional consideration, on July 9, 2005, the State enacted a statutory requirement that, no later than January 1, 2008, a voter-verified paper record be created for each vote cast in an election. These paper records will be the official records for any recount or audit.

By enacting this legislation, the State has determined that creating a paper record for individual voter verification for all elections, including federal elections, will further voter confidence in the electoral process and underscore one of the primary objectives of HAVA – to increase voter participation in federal elections. Participation is partly dependent on voters having
the assurance and belief that their votes will be properly counted and that sufficient safeguards exist to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the election results. New Jersey has concluded VVPAT technology is a meaningful enhancement that will further this objective.

Accordingly, the use of HAVA funds for the purchase of VVPAT technology, either by retrofitting the current voting system or purchase systems with such technology, is appropriate and commensurate with the spirit and intent of the federal law. The allocation of funding for this activity is reflected in the revised Section Six of the State Plan as set forth in this Addendum.

III. Section Six of the Plan

Section Six of New Jersey's initial State Plan set forth an estimated budget for the dedication of HAVA funding to implement the Title III requirements, voter education and election officials training, disability access, and the administrative grievance procedure. In addition, the State allocated funding for state administrative costs to oversee the programs mandated by HAVA.

As noted in the Introduction, the budget was based upon a fund of approximately $68 million. The State Election Fund has received a total of $91 million. The following chart reflects the amount of monies dedicated to the requirements and activities originally listed in the Plan, the changes to several of those categories, and the inclusion of the proposed VVPAT expenditure. The State intends to dedicate up to approximately $15 million toward the purchase of VVPAT technology.

Of the activities originally listed in the Plan, the State intends to increase the SVRS line item from $20 million to $25 million, the voter education and outreach expenditure by an additional $500,000, and the State management budget from $3 million to $5.5 million.

### HAVA BUDGET SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. Title III Requirements</th>
<th>Initial State Plan Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Current Projected Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Voting Systems (machine replacements and audio kit retrofitting)</td>
<td>$38,657,000</td>
<td>$40,500,000</td>
<td>$37,834,429.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expenditures Encumbered</td>
<td></td>
<td>$89,860.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Statewide Voter Registration System</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>$16,487,768.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expenditures Encumbered</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,284,332.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Provisional Balloting</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$41,340.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expenditures Encumbered</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Required Forms and Notices</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$139,552.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expenditures Encumbered</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Administrative Grievance Procedures</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expenditures Encumbered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### II. Other Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Initial State Plan Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Current Projected Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures Encumbered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Disability Access</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Expenditures Encumbered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Election Official Training</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$3,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Expenditures Encumbered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Voter Education and Outreach</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>$2,785,389.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Expenditures Encumbered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. State Management (Admin Costs</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$5,500,000</td>
<td>$1,687,527.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Salaries)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Expenditures Encumbered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. VVPAT-New Program*</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This in addition to the Section 261 monies, some of which have been expended for polling place accessibility and training.

---

**STATE OF NEVADA**

**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE**

April 30, 2007

Thomas R. Wilkey, Executive Director  
United States Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue N.W., Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005

**RE:** Nevada's FY 2006-2007 State Plan

Dear Mr. Wilkey:

Nevada hereby submits the State Plan for FY 2006-2007, which has been developed pursuant to § 254 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002, P.L. 107-252 (HAVA).

We thank you in advance for arranging for publication in the Federal Register pursuant to § 255 of HAVA.

Please send confirmation that you have received Nevada’s Plan, and feel free to contact me at (775) 684-5705 if you have any questions or concerns.

Respectfully,

ROSS MILLER  
Secretary of State

By: 

Matthew M. Griffin, Esq.  
Deputy Secretary for Elections