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# The Impact of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 on the Administration of Elections for Federal Office 2011-2012 

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report to the United States Congress addresses the impact of the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA) of 1993 on the administration of elections for Federal office for the 2012 election cycle, i.e., the two-year period following the November 2010 elections through the November 2012 presidential election. The 2012 report is based on the results of a survey of all States, the District of Columbia, and three territories-American Samoa, Guam, and Puerto Rico-conducted by the Election Assistance Commission (EAC). The U.S. Virgin Islands did not respond to the 2012 survey.

As with past reports, the quality and completeness of responses from many States and territories varied significantly. Six States and all territories are exempt from the provisions of the NVRA. ${ }^{1}$ Other States did not collect voter registration data in a way that was compatible with a few of the survey questions. Jurisdictions in a few States faced challenges in collecting the data, hampering the States' abilities to provide complete data for all jurisdictions. ${ }^{2}$

The survey shows that the number of registered voters increased during the 2012 election cycle. There were approximately 194.2 million total registered voters reported for the November 2012 presidential election, an increase of over 7.3 million registered voters from the 2010 elections. It is important to note that Puerto Rico did not hold Federal elections in 2010. As such, the 2.4 million reported registrations in Puerto Rico contribute to this overall increase in registration. The number of registered voters in 2012 represents an increase of approximately 3.7 million voters since the 2008 presidential election cycle. ${ }^{3}$

[^0]According to the responses to the survey and population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau, $87.4 \%$ of American citizens of voting age (18 years or older) were registered to vote in the 2012 elections.

Five States reported a large increase in active registrants compared to the previous presidential election held in 2008, while five States reported a dramatic decrease. Since the previous presidential election, the District of Columbia, South Carolina, and Ohio reported an increase of over $10 \%$ in the number of active registrants, and Hawaii and Mississippi indicated an increase of over 20\%; Michigan and Nebraska reported a decrease of over $10 \%$, and New Mexico, New York, and South Dakota reported a decrease of over $20 \%{ }^{4}$ Active registrants refers to all registered voters except those who have been sent, but who have not responded to a confirmation mailing sent in accordance with NVRA (42 U.S.C. 1973gg-6(d)) and have not since offered to vote.

Other highlights of the 2012 NVRA study include:

- States reported receiving over 62.5 million voter registration application forms. Use of mail, fax, or email to submit forms increased from the previous election cycle, with $23.3 \%$ of registration forms being delivered through these means. Another $16.4 \%$ of applications were submitted in person at elections or registrars offices, and $32.4 \%$ were submitted at motor vehicle agencies. Twentyone States reported receiving voter registration applications over the Internet.
- Of the 62.5 million voter registration forms received, over 23.8 million of the applications were from new voters not previously registered in the local jurisdiction or who had not previously registered in any jurisdiction. There was a surge in new applications during the 2012 election cycle, with 23.8 million new registrants, compared to the 2010 election cycle that had 14.4 million new registrants. However, this represents slightly fewer new registrants than in the 2008 presidential election when there were 24.7 million new registrants.

[^1]- Nearly 27.5 million of the registration forms that were submitted requested a change of name, address, or party of the registrant within the registrant's current jurisdiction.
- Nearly 439,000 voter registration applications were "pre-registrations" from people under the age of 18 , who were registering under State laws that allow them to preregister to vote before the age of 18 and vote upon turning 18 (or in a primary if they would be 18 by the general election). This number dramatically increased from the 2010 election cycle when approximately 168,000 pre-registrations were processed.
- States found invalid or otherwise rejected over 5.0 million applications, and nearly 3.7 million applications were duplicates of existing registrations. Altogether, $13.9 \%$ of registration applications were invalid or duplicates.
- States sent 17.5 million address confirmation notices to names on their registration rolls, as allowed by the NVRA. More States were able to provide the number of voters that were removed than the number of confirmation notices sent.
- States removed nearly 13.7 million voters from voter registration lists, for reasons including death, felony conviction, failure to respond to notices sent and vote in consecutive Federal elections, having moved from one jurisdiction to another, or at the voter's request.
- The survey collected information on Election Day Registration, in which voters can register and vote on the same day, either during early voting periods or on Election Day itself. Twenty States reported adding nearly 1.4 million new registrants to their voter lists on days during which voters could also cast their ballots. Same Day Registration accounted for approximately $30 \%$ of total applications received during the 2012 election cycle in Wisconsin and Wyoming, and more than $20 \%$ of total applications in Idaho, Maine, and Minnesota.


## SECTION 1. ABOUT THE NVRA

## Purposes and Requirements of the National Voter Registration Act

## The primary objectives of the NVRA are:

- To establish procedures that will increase the number of eligible citizens who register to vote in elections for Federal office;
- To protect the integrity of the electoral process by ensuring that accurate and current voter registration rolls are maintained; and
- To enhance the participation of eligible citizens as voters in elections for Federal office [42 U.S.C. §1973gg].

The NVRA pursues these objectives by:

- Expanding the number of locations and opportunities whereby eligible citizens may apply to register to vote;
- Requiring voter registration file maintenance procedures that, in a uniform and nondiscriminatory manner, identify and remove the names of only those individuals who are no longer eligible to vote; and
- Providing registration requirements and procedures to ensure that an individual's right to vote prevails over current bureaucratic or legal technicalities. ${ }^{5}$


## Expanding Opportunities To Register To Vote

Before enactment of the NVRA, the locations and opportunities for eligible citizens to register to vote in Federal elections varied widely throughout the States. Evidence from State experimentation with different registration policies suggested that expanding the number of locations and opportunities for voter registration resulted in increased registration for Federal elections.

To address this, the NVRA requires that individuals be given a voter registration application when applying for or renewing a driver's license, or when applying for (or receiving) services at certain other public offices. ${ }^{6}$ The NVRA also requires States to accept registration by mail for Federal elections.

## Voter Registration File Maintenance

The NVRA requires States to conduct a program to maintain the integrity of the electoral process by ensuring accurate and current voter registration rolls [42 U.S.C. \$1973gg-6]. Such a program may not remove the name of a voter from the list of eligible voters due to a person's failure to vote. However, States are permitted to remove the names of eligible voters from the rolls at the request of the voter or as provided by State law for reason of mental incapacity or criminal conviction. In addition, States are required to conduct a general program that makes a reasonable effort to remove the names of ineligible voters from the official lists

[^2]by reason of death or change of residence [42 U.S.C. \$1973gg6]. The NVRA requires that any such program be "uniform, nondiscriminatory, and in compliance with the Voting Rights Act of 1965..." [42 U.S.C. §1973gg].

## "Fail-Safe" Voting Procedures

Congress, in considering the NVRA, believed that registrants were sometimes denied the right to vote on Election Day, either because of some oversight on their part or because of a clerical error by an election official. Registrants who changed residence within a jurisdiction, for example, often mistakenly assumed they were still entitled to vote, only to discover on Election Day that their failure to re-register at their new address had disenfranchised them. Similarly, registrants who may not have received or neglected to return certain election office mailings were often removed from voter lists. In addition, clerical errors, such as erroneous changes of address or data entry errors in voter registration files, resulted in the loss of the opportunity to cast a ballot.

The NVRA allows registered voters to vote when they move to another location within the registrar's jurisdiction [42 U.S.C. \$1973gg-6]. Additional fail-safe voting requirements were incorporated into Federal law by the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA), which mandates the availability and use of provisional ballots under some circumstances.

## Role of the United States Election Assistance Commission

Section 802(a) of HAVA (42 U.S.C. §15532(a)) transferred to EAC all functions that the Federal Election Commission (FEC) exercised under Section 9(a) of the NVRA. Pursuant to this authority, EAC has incorporated the FEC's NVRA survey instrument into a more comprehensive survey that addresses UOCAVA information and other topics that HAVA requests that EAC study. EAC's 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey, also captured information on overseas voting, provisional ballots, voting technology, absentee voting, poll workers, and other issues. The data below are drawn from Section A.

## SECTION 2. SURVEY BACKGROUND

This report addresses the impact of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 (NVRA) (Pub. L. No. 10331, as amended, 42 U.S.C. $\$ 1973 \mathrm{gg}-1$ et seq.) on the administration of elections for Federal office during the 2012 election cycle. These reports are submitted biennially pursuant to the provisions of the NVRA, as amended by HAVA. Section 9 of the NVRA provides, in part, as follows:

SEC 9 ... (a) In general... the Election Assistance Commission...
(3) not later than June 30 of each odd-numbered year, shall submit to the Congress a report assessing the impact of this Act on the administration of elections for Federal office during the preceding 2-year period and including recommendations for improvements in Federal and State procedures, forms, and other matters affected by this subchapter... (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-7(a)(3)).
This is the tenth NVRA report to Congress, it is the fifth submitted by EAC. The previous five reports were submitted by the FEC, which in 1994 promulgated rules identifying the information considered necessary to obtain from the States to generate reports to Congress (11 CFR §9428.7). The FEC described and explained the need for these data elements in a communication to State election officials in October 1995. With the passage of HAVA, the biennial survey and report were revised and expanded, and the survey has continued to evolve under EAC authority.

In 2012, as in 2010, EAC distributed two questionnaires to the States, the Statutory Overview and the Election Administration and Voting Survey (EAVS). In order to minimize the burden on States in preparing to respond to the survey, the 2012 survey contained only minor changes to both the Statutory Overview and the EAVS questionnaires from the 2010 versions. The final, approved version of the survey, posted on the EAC website in May 2012, contained 49 questions in the EAVS questionnaire and 22 questions in the Statutory Overview. A majority of the questions in both surveys contained sub-questions. The Statutory Overview and full EAVS questionnaires can be found at www.eac.gov.

In accordance with its statutory obligation to report on the impact of the NVRA on the administration of Federal elections, EAC submits this report to Congress. However, readers should note that since December 11, 2010, EAC has lacked a quorum of commissioners necessary to conduct certain business, including the issuing of recommendations in its reports. In order for EAC to meet its responsibility to submit the NVRA report to Congress by the statutory deadline, EAC provides in this document the information that would be contained in a formally adopted report. It is EAC staff's intention to submit this report to the Commission for ratification tally vote once a quorum has been reestablished.

## States' Collection of NVRA Information

For 2012, EAC continued its effort to make the survey available to State officials earlier in the election cycle and to facilitate the task of responding by providing improved survey instruments and increased technical assistance. The primary survey instrument, designed to assist the States in collecting and reporting their statistical data, was a Microsoft Excel-based template. The template offered the States two different methods for entering data: a form-based method that resembled the look of the questionnaire, and a sheet-based view that used a familiar spreadsheet format. Embedded in the Microsoft Excel-based template was a set of error-checking algorithms using logic and consistency rules to help States check their data before submitting their data to EAC. To further ease the data entry burden, the template was preloaded with each State's jurisdictions and EAC provided a guide summarizing how to use the template to States. ${ }^{7}$ Nearly all States chose to submit their data using this application. States submitted their data via the project website or by email.

EAC asked States to send their responses by February 1,2013. The data provided by the States were then checked for logic and consistency errors. Any errors or questions concerning the submitted data were referred back to the States for review and correction, if necessary. The States had two weeks to review and correct their submissions. Fiftyfour States submitted their data to EAC by the final deadline, March 1, 2013. ${ }^{8}$

## About the States' Data

In May 2010, EAC adopted a data policy to guide States' submission and verification of their survey data. The Guide to the Election Administration and Voting Survey document provides information to election officials responsible for completing the survey and offers EAC assurances about States' validation of the data. The Guide is available on EAC's website (www.eac.gov). It contains information about:

- EAC processes related to releasing the survey instrument and final reports based on the survey data;
- The technical assistance EAC provides to the States;
- Deadlines for submitting the survey data;
- The processes and procedures for States' submission of

[^3]the data, including use of the data templates EAC provides;

- The processes and procedures for States' review, verification, and correction of the data; and
- Instructions on how to address errors in the data after the submission deadline has passed.

In response to media and general public inquiries about State data cited in EAC's previous EAVS reports and the Federal government's recent policies related to data quality, EAC formally requests that States verify and certify in writing the data they submit. For the 2012 EAVS, every State submitted with their data a certification page signed by their Chief State Election Official.

States' method of data collection in preparing responses to the 2012 survey varied significantly. Most States relied, at least to some degree, upon centralized voterregistration databases (VRDs) and voter history databases, which allowed State election officials to respond to the survey with information from the local level for each question. Other States, however, collected relatively little election data at the State level and instead relied on cooperation from local jurisdiction election offices to complete the survey. States and local offices may have devoted different amounts of resources to data collection, and may have differed in the emphasis placed on data collection.

Some States did not provide data in all the sub-categories requested in the survey, and a few did not have data for all their local jurisdictions. When interpreting the data presented in this report, there is the possibility of missing data for an entire state on a given question or for some jurisdictions within States; as such, the level of completeness varies across the survey questions. In reviewing the corresponding tables, it is important to review the "Cases" columns, which present the number of jurisdictions in each State able to respond to each survey question.

Section 3 of this report summarizes the results of the NVRA portion of the 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey and includes a set of detailed tables. The corresponding dataset, that includes States' responses to the NVRA portion of the survey, is available on EAC's website (www.eac.gov).

Caution is necessary when interpreting the survey data, particularly when comparing the data from year-to-year or State-to-State, due to changes in State data collection practices across time and the varying levels of completeness in many States' responses. In 2006, EAC began asking States to produce county-level data (or the equivalent) rather than the statewide totals asked for previously. Even in States with centralized VRDs, some data may be kept only at the local
level, and the level of integration of information between local and State election offices varies across the country. Information on the number of jurisdictions in each State is provided in a number of tables and summarized in Table 6. ${ }^{9}$

There are some State-level exceptions for the data that were collected. North Dakota does not have voter registration and is exempt from the NVRA; however, it elected to provide some data for this NVRA report. U.S. territories are not subject to the NVRA, and the States of Idaho, Minnesota, New Hampshire, Wisconsin, and Wyoming are exempt because they had Election Day Registration in 1994 and continuously thereafter. ${ }^{10}$ These States and territories have an asterisk by their entries in the tables included in this report as a reminder that they are exempt from the NVRA (though some of these States chose to submit data anyway).

Some States did not track certain information requested by the survey, or they tracked it in ways that made answering parts of the survey difficult. For example, States may code their sources of registration applications differently than those asked for in the survey. Footnotes for each table provide further information on these issues. Finally, the national totals are not complete for all questions because of incomplete responses at the local and State level.

## SECTION 3. SURVEY RESULTS

The data collected in the 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey related to the NVRA are summarized below. This section also describes the impact of the NVRA on the administration of elections for Federal offices for the 2012 election cycle.

## Voter Registration

States reported that 194,198,928 voters were registered and eligible to cast ballots in the November 2012 presidential election. Approximately $79.9 \%$ of the nation's estimated voting age population of more than 243 million was regis-

[^4]tered for the 2012 election. ${ }^{11}$ Table 1c compares the States' registration rates with an estimate of the States' voting age population (VAP) from the U.S. Census Bureau. ${ }^{12}$

Since the VAP includes a significant number of persons who cannot vote, including non-citizens, a better picture of national registration rates can be obtained by using an estimate of citizen voting age population (CVAP). According to the EAC survey, $87.4 \%$ of the nation's approximately 222.3 million CVAP were registered for the 2012 election. Table $1 d$ presents the registration rates for States compared with estimated CVAP. Note, however, that CVAP includes some ineligible voters, such as those who have lost their right to vote because of felony convictions or a designation of mental incompetency. ${ }^{13}$

The voting age population of the United States continues to increase at a rate of about one percent per year, according to estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. The VAP increased from about 237,386,565 to about 243,003,673 during the 2012 election cycle, an increase of $2.4 \%$.

This report contains data from all States and territories covered by HAVA except the Virgin Islands, but some important caveats are worth noting in terms of the number of registered voters North Dakota has no voter registration; for the purposes of this report, all people of voting age are considered registered in that State. Most States reported registration data for all jurisdictions. A few States, however, reported only partial totals for some of the voter registration data because some county data were unavailable or some counties reported incomplete information; these cases are indicated in the tables and the table notes.

## Active and Inactive Voters

The NVRA, which was not fully implemented until after the 1994 elections, prohibits the removal of names from the registration list solely for failure to vote. ${ }^{14}$ The NVRA allows election jurisdictions to move voters to an inactive voter list if the registrant: (1) has not either notified the applicable registrar (in person or in writing) or responded during the period described in the statute, to the address confirmation

[^5]notice sent by the applicable registrar; and subsequently(2) has not voted or appeared to vote in two or more consecutive general elections for Federal office. ${ }^{15}$ Before moving voters to an inactive list, jurisdictions verify voter rolls through mailings or the U.S. Postal Service's National Change of Address (NCOA) service. This inactive status and the fail-safe provisions of the NVRA allow such people to vote if there was an error. As a result of the NVRA's requirement that States seek to remove ineligible voters from their registration rolls, most States have programs in place to verify their voter registration lists, but those verifications occur at different times and are performed in different ways.

States report their registration numbers for different purposes and in different ways. ${ }^{16}$ EAC asked each State to report its number of "registered and eligible" voters and then asked for separate totals of active and inactive voters. In addition, the survey asked States how they reported the number of registered voters for "other official purposes." A total of 16 States responded that they only use active registered voters. Thirty States reported using active and inactive registered voters; seven States had some jurisdictions report using only active voters while other jurisdictions reported using both active and inactive voters. Since North Dakota does not have voter registration, it does not make a distinction between active and inactive voters. Furthermore, in 36 States, the number of "registered and eligible" voters for the November 2012 election equals precisely the number of inactive plus active voters.

Analysis of the change in the number of active voters is the most reliable indicator of the growth or decline in registration. Some States actually experienced a marked decrease in active registration despite the overall increase in reported registrations nationally. Excluding Kansas, which did not report counts of active and inactive voters, two States reported a decrease of over $10 \%$ in the number of active registrants since the 2010 elections: Michigan ( $-12.3 \%$ ) and Ohio (-17.1\%). In contrast, Florida reported an increase in active registration of $10.5 \%$.

Responses to the 2012 survey show that over 23.1 million registrants in the United States remain on the list of inactive voters. California had the largest proportion of eligible voters on its inactive list-28.7\%. Arkansas and Colorado were the other States with more than $20 \%$ of eligible voters on its inactive list. See Tables la and lb for data on active and inactive voters for all 54 States.

[^6]
## Voter Registration Forms Received

Overall, 52 States reported receiving over 62.5 million voter registration forms during the 2012 election cycle (see Table 2a). The States reported sources for nearly 60.8 million registration forms, including the origin of new, duplicate, and invalid or rejected registrations (see Tables 2b, 2c and 2d).

The two largest sources of voter registration applications were (1) motor vehicle offices or (2) mail, fax, and email. Nearly 20.3 million applications, $32.4 \%$ of the total, were submitted to State offices that issued driver's licenses. Nearly 14.6 million, or $23.3 \%$ of applications, came from individual voters submitting applications by mail, fax, or email. ${ }^{17}$ Individual voters who appeared in person to register at election offices made up another nearly 10.3 million applications, or $16.4 \%$. Together, these three sources provided nearly three-fourths (72.2\%) of all registration applications that States received. The remaining sources included Internet registration, public assistance offices, disability services offices, Armed Forces recruitment offices, registration drives from advocacy groups and political parties, and other State agencies.

In the 2012 election cycle the ratio of mail registrations to those coming from driver's license offices was similar to the last few mid-term election cycles but not to the last presidential election cycle. During the 2008 presidential election cycle, nearly as many voters registered through motor vehicle offices as the number of voters who registered by mail, fax, or email. During the 2012 presidential election cycle, however, registrations through motor vehicle offices were almost $40 \%$ higher than mail registrations. Similarly, the 2006 and 2010 mid-term election cycles had twice as many voters registered at motor vehicle offices than by mail, fax, or email. ${ }^{18}$

There was a substantial increase in the number of States that reported receiving voter registration applications directly over the Internet between 2008 and 2010, while there was a slight increase reported between 2010
${ }^{17}$ The Table 2 column header is labeled mail registration applications, but the entire subcategory includes mail, fax, and email.
${ }^{18}$ States may have counted their sources of applications differently. For example, 31 States reported figures for third-party voter registration drives by advocacy groups or political parties separately; other States included such drives in their totals for in-person or mail applications.
and 2012. ${ }^{19}$ In 2010, 17 States reported receiving voter registration applications directly over the Internet, up from eight States in 2008. In 2012, 21 States reported receiving a total of 3,329,216 applications over the Internet, a large increase from the 768,211 Internet applications received by States in 2010.

Arizona and California accounted for half of the total number of applications received from the Internet. Internet applications accounted for $49.3 \%$ of all applications processed in Arizona during the 2012 election cycle. Other States that reported receiving more than $10 \%$ of their applications from the Internet were Colorado (23.0\%), California (13.8\%), the District of Columbia (13.6\%), Kansas (10.5\%), Louisiana (10.4\%), Nevada (10.4\%), Oregon (25.1\%), Utah (12.0\%), and Washington ( $13.2 \%$ ). The number of applications received through the Internet in most of the other States was small (often less than 5\%). Although it is growing, outside of a handful of States, the use of the Internet as a direct means of registering to vote remains limited.

In accordance with EAC regulations, the 2012 survey asked States to break down the applications they received into three categories: new applications, duplicate applications, and invalid or rejected applications. There were over 23.8 million new applications reported by 53 States. Fortyfive States reported receiving nearly 3.7 million duplicate applications of people already registered to vote. Forty-two States reported the number of invalid or rejected applications, totaling over 5 million.

Over one-third of the invalid applications (34.0\%) came from mail applications, while another $12.8 \%$ came from in-person registrations at election offices. Registrations at driver's license offices, which comprised $32.4 \%$ of total applications, made up only $5.4 \%$ of invalid or rejected applications.

## Voter Registration Forms Processed

States were asked to report on the kinds of applications they processed during the 2012 election cycle.

Of the 62.5 million applications received, $38.1 \%$, or 23.8 million, were new, valid registrations. This represented at least $12.3 \%$ of the registered voters in the 2012 election. In addition, 33 States reported "pre-registering" 438,978 people under the age of 18 , who would then become eligible to

[^7]vote on their 18th birthday. Another 27.5 million applications, $44.0 \%$ of the 62.5 million received, were requests for a change in the address, name, or party of a voter already registered in the jurisdiction and another 3.7 million were requests for change of address across jurisdictions.

Forty-three States reported receiving approximately 3.7 million applications that were duplicates of existing registrations. Alaska and Hawaii reported that over $20 \%$ of applications received during the 2012 election cycle were duplicates. Nationwide, approximately 5 million, or $8.0 \%$ of applications, were rejected for a cause other than being a duplicate; some States had substantially higher rates. Indiana reported the highest rate, rejecting $43.0 \%$, or 896,523 , of applications for a cause other than duplication. ${ }^{20}$

## Voter Registration List Maintenance

The NVRA prohibits States from removing names from the voter registration list for either of the following reasons:

- Failure to vote [42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(b)(2)]; or
- Change of address to another location within the registrar's jurisdiction [42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(f)]. The law requires registrars to update a registrant's voting address if they receive information on the registrant's change of address to another location within the registrar's jurisdiction.

The purpose of the list maintenance provisions of the NVRA is to ensure the accuracy and currency of the voter registration rolls. The Act states that any change of address submitted to a motor vehicle driver's license agency shall serve as notification of a change of address for voter registration unless the individual indicates that the change is not for voter registration purposes [42 U.S.C. \$1973gg-3].

The law also requires States to conduct a uniform and nondiscriminatory general program [42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(a) (4)] to remove the names of ineligible voters, as follows:

- Upon the death of the registrant;
- Upon the registrant's written confirmation that his or her address has changed to a location outside the registrar's jurisdiction; or
- On the registrant's failure to respond to certain confirmation mailings along with failure to appear to vote in two consecutive Federal general elections subsequent to the mailing. (The confirmation mailings in this case are those mailed out to registrants who, based on information received from the Postal Service, have apparently changed address to a location outside the registrar's jurisdiction.)

[^8]The NVRA also permits States to remove the names of registrants as follows:

- On the request of the registrant [42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(a)(3) (A)];
- For mental incapacity of the registrant, as provided for in State law [42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(a)(3)(B)]; or
- On criminal conviction of the registrant, as provided for in State law [42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(a)(3)(B)].

Other than these provisions, the law grants States wide latitude as to when, where, and how these functions will be performed. Two tables at the end of this report (Table 4a, Voter List Maintenance: Confirmation Notices, and Table 4b, Voter List Maintenance: Removal Actions) provide data from the 2012 EAVS on the number of confirmation notices sent during the 2012 election cycle, the number of responses received from those notices, the number of registrants who were moved from active to inactive status on the voter lists, and the number of registrants who were removed from the voter lists. The tables also provide data on the reasons why registrants were removed from the voter lists, including death, failure to vote, a disqualifying felony conviction, and at the voter's request.

## Confirmation Notices

Confirmation notices are an important tool for the maintenance of accurate voter registration rolls. The 43 States that responded to this portion of the survey reported sending out over 17.5 million confirmation notices during the 2012 election cycle (Table 4a). The ratio of confirmation notices to number of registered voters was $9.0 \%$ nationally and varied significantly across the States. Two States sent out significantly more confirmation notices, relative to their total voter registration, than other States: Arizona sent out over 3.6 million confirmation notices, equal to $98.0 \%$ of its total registration; ${ }^{21}$ and Colorado sent out over 1.3 million, equal to $35.7 \%$ of its total registration.

There were large differences across the States in reported response to confirmation notices. Voters confirmed their registration in response to only about $17.8 \%$ of confirmation notices sent, approximately 3.1 million, although this is likely an underestimate as some States did not provide data on the number of responses received. Another $3.9 \%$ of voters, or 687,092 , confirmed that they should be deleted from the rolls, although this percentage is also likely an underestimate given missing data. In contrast,

Delaware reported that voters responded to almost all of the notices (99.9\%) and 16 other States reported response rates greater than $20 \%$.

States reported that approximately 2.9 million, or $16.3 \%$ of confirmation notices, were returned undeliverable, leaving another 6.8 million, or $38.8 \%$ of notices, with status unknown. North Carolina (55.2\%), South Dakota (44.4\%), and Illinois (41.0\%) had high rates of notices that were not deliverable. States either reported the resolution of the rest of the confirmation notices in miscellaneous categories or did not report what happened to the notices, suggesting that better tracking of confirmation notices may be needed to provide a more complete picture.

## Removal from Voter Rolls

Nearly 13.7 million voters were removed from registration rolls in the two years leading up to the 2012 presidential election (see Table 4b). Forty-nine States provided data on their removal of voters from registration rolls. The number of voters removed during the 2012 election cycle was larger than the number of removals in the 2008 election cycle, even though only two more States reported data. In the 2008 election cycle, approximately 12.6 million registrants from 47 States were removed.

States varied in the number of people removed relative to total registration. Fifteen States reported removing at least $10 \%$ of their 2012 registration, with New Hampshire reported removing over $20 \%$ of their 2012 registration. Overall, States removed 7.1\% of registered voters in 2012.

Of the nearly 13.7 million voters removed from the rolls, over 3.7 million, or $27.1 \%$, were removed because they moved to other jurisdictions; Maine had the highest percentage of removals due to moving at $60.6 \%$. Overall, nearly 3.0 million voters, or $21.6 \%$ of all voters removed, were removed because they had died.

The leading cause of removal of inactive voters was a failure to respond to a confirmation notice and subsequent failure to vote in the two most recent Federal elections, accounting for 4.1 million voters, or $30.1 \%$ of the total removals. However, this is a drastic decrease from the 6.1 million voters removed for this reason in 2010. These removals represented greater than $80 \%$ of removals in New Hampshire and Wyoming in 2012. Felony conviction-a disqualifier in many States-removed 308,797 voters from the rolls, representing $2.3 \%$ of removals. In Florida, $39.8 \%$ of removals

[^9]were due to felony convictions. ${ }^{22}$ Other reasons for removing voters from the rolls included requests by voters ( $2.5 \%$ of all removals) and mental incompetence ( $0.1 \%$ ).

## Election Day Registration ${ }^{23}$

EAC asked States to provide information on voters who register to vote and cast their ballots on the same day. Voters are able to register and vote on the same day in varying ways, and the question was phrased in an attempt to capture all those voters who register and vote on the same day. Some States have formal same-day voting systems, while others limit same-day voting to certain contests or certain groups of voters. For example, Alaska limits Election Day registrants to voting only for Federal offices. Other States have special registration and voting provisions for new residents or recently discharged military. Finally, some States experience overlaps between early voting periods and the cutoff date for registration. Data from this question are presented in Table 5.

EAC asked a question to distinguish between States that had Election Day or Same Day Registration in 2012 and States that did not have Election Day Registration, but allowed voters to register and vote on the same day in that election. Twelve States including Alaska, the District of Columbia, Idaho, Iowa, Maine, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Rhode Island, Wisconsin, and Wyoming indicated that they had Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration for the November 2012 presidential election. California, Colorado, Mississippi, New Mexico, Ohio, Oregon, Vermont, and Washington reported a number of voters who were allowed to register and then to vote on the same day, but did not indicate why this was the case.

For 2012, the 20 States listed above reported that nearly 1.4 million new registration applications were filed on days in which it was possible to both register and vote. In Idaho, New Hampshire, and Wyoming, Same Day Registration accounted for more than $10 \%$ of reported registration in 2012, and approximately $30 \%$ of total applications received during the 2012 election cycle. Same Day Registration was also more than $20 \%$ of total applications in Maine and Minnesota. Approximately 80.8\% of new Election Day Regis-

[^10]tration applications were from the 12 States that reported having Election Day or Same Day Registration.

## SECTION 4. OBSERVATIONS

In recent years changes to State voter registration systems have been significant. Seeking to improve the way in which voter registration applications are received, processed, and maintained, HAVA required States to implement statewide voter registration lists by January $1,2004 .{ }^{24}$ The process of improving the nation's voter registration system continues, and EAC offers the following observations to Congress and to State and local election officials as they continue to improve their systems in compliance with HAVA, the NVRA, and other State and Federal election laws.

## States should continue to improve and modernize their electronic reporting and list maintenance systems.

States are encouraged to use their statewide databases as tools for generating data to assist them with responding to the NVRA section of EAC's Election Administration and Voting Survey and other requests for data. Electronic databases help ease the burden of responding to such requests for State and local officials alike. The 2012 report shows better data collection and reporting efforts on the part of States, and most were able to report the statewide totals, however challenges remain with collecting certain voter registration data.

While most States were able to report the number of registration applications received, some States had difficulty providing data for select subcategories, such as number of applications received through the State motor vehicles department or Public Assistance offices. Additionally, some States could not report the number of confirmation notices sent to voters, while many had difficulty producing data related to the disposition of those notices. Still others could not report the number of invalid or rejected registrations. In the States where non-reporting exists, States experienced difficulties in getting the requested data from their local jurisdictions. States are encouraged to continue working with their local officials to develop an efficient process for tracking and submitting data electronically so that States are able to submit the most complete set of data possible.

[^11]States should continue to engage their State agencies on issues related to the NVRA and to encourage those agencies to remind voters to check and update their voter registration information.

States should encourage departments of motor vehicles, public assistance and disability service agencies, along with other public services agencies or organizations with which they work to distribute voter registration forms, and to remind voters to check and to update their registration information. Developing an on-going relationship with State public service agencies around NVRA requirements will help ensure that citizens are able to take advantage of all voter registration opportunities available to them.

## FOOTNOTES TO TABLES

## General Notes

State: In the interest of creating consistency in these tables, the term "State" refers to the 50 States, the four territories of American Samoa, Guam, Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, and the District of Columbia. However, according to the provisions of the NVRA, the term "State" means a State of the United States and the District of Columbia; territories are exempt.

Jurisdictions in the Survey: For the 2012 survey, EAC requested information for each local election administration jurisdiction. Generally, this is the county or county equivalent in each State. The following exceptions to this are:
a. The information was compiled by town, city, or township in Wisconsin and the six New England States of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont.
b. Some independent cities were treated as counties for reporting purposes in the States of Illinois, Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia.
c. The response was one record for the whole entity for Alaska, the District of Columbia, and the reporting territories.
d. In Hawaii, information for one county, Kalawao, was reported with Maui County.
These exceptions are noted by an asterisk below the State name in Table la. Table 6 contains more information on the coverage and reporting for each State.

Coverage of the NVRA: Some States are exempt from coverage; this is one of the reasons for missing responses. The main reason for exemption relates to the availability of Election Day Registration. The six States that are exempt from the NVRA are Idaho, Minnesota, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. They are exempt because Election Day registration was in effect continuously on and after, or enacted prior to, August 1, 1994, or because they do not have voter registration at all (42 USC 1973gg-2(b)). The territories are not subject to the NVRA. These States have an asterisk in the tables included in this report as a reminder that they are exempt from the NVRA.

Missing Data: Information for several items remains unavailable for some States for many reasons. Missing data are presented in the tables by a blank data cell or a zero value depending on how the State answered the question. Note that a zero value may also indicate that the jurisdic-
tion does not know or does not collect the information. The count of cases, included in most tables but not for all variables, reflects the presence of a response from the jurisdiction including reported zeros. For many questions, zero is a valid response. In some cases, however, it is unclear if a response of zero is a valid response or an indication of "Data Not Available" or "Data Not Applicable" options. Researchers should consult the jurisdiction-level dataset for more detail. If a calculation is impossible because of missing information, a separate symbol may be indicated, e.g., a series of periods (.....).

Sum of Above: The information listed in the tables below the State level detail is, for most columns, simply the arithmetic sum of the information listed in the table. The number of States providing information is indicated as the count of States with information greater than or equal to zero, or in some cases, less than or equal to zero. The percentages indicated on this line are generally the result of a simple division based upon the appropriate numbers from this line. For the "Not Categorized" columns, the number and percentage in the "Sum of Above" line will generally reflect a calculation of the appropriate fields listed on this line.

States were given the option of answering "data not available" and, for some questions, "data not applicable." The "cases" columns provided in the tables report all local jurisdictions in which a State responded with a number, whether zero or higher. In some cases, States may have responded zero instead of "data not available" or "data not applicable," or vice versa. A blank cell in the table could represent missing data or the selection of "data not available" or "data not applicable" across all jurisdictions in the State. The "States Included" rows report the number of States in which a State responded with a number, whether zero or higher. Complete data for the NVRA section of the survey can be downloaded at www.eac.gov.

Specific Notes for Tables: Notes specific to each table appear following each table or group of sub-tables.

## CROSS REFERENCE OF SURVEY QUESTIONS TO TABLES

| Question A1- | Number of persons registered and eligible to vote <br> Tables 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d - Registration History, Registration Rates <br> Table 3 - Applications Processed <br> Table 4a - Voter List Maintenance: Confirmation Notices <br> Table 5 - Same Day Registration |
| :---: | :---: |
| Question A2 - | Reporting status for active/inactive registrants Tables 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d - Registration History, Registration Rates |
| Question A3 - | Number of persons registered and eligible to vote by active/inactive status Tables 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d - Registration History, Registration Rates |
| Question A4 - | Same Day Registration Table 5 - Same Day Registration |
| Question A5 - | Registration applications by type of application Tables 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d-Application Sources Table 3 - Applications Processed |
| Question A6 - | Registration applications, total by means and source Tables 2a - Application Sources: Total Forms Received |
| Question A7- | Registration applications, new registrations by means and source Tables 2b - Application Sources: New Registrations |
| Question A8- | Registration applications, duplicates of existing registrations by means and source Tables 2c - Application Sources: Duplicate Registrations |
| Question A9 - | Registration applications, invalid or rejected forms by means and source Tables 2d - Application Sources: Invalid or Rejected Forms |
| Question A10- | Confirmation notices sent to voters by status Table 4a - Voter List Maintenance: Confirmation Notices |
| Question A11- | Voters removed from registration rolls by reason for removal Table 4b - Voter List Maintenance: Removal Actions |
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| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The " P " column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Active } \\ \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Reg. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Inactive \% of Total Reg | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | $\begin{gathered} \text { Active } \\ \text { Rea. } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Active Reg. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inactive } \\ & \text { Reg. } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Alabama | 2012 | C | 3,697,617 | 3,162,135 | 85.5 |  | 3,162,135 | 2,833,938 | 328,197 | 89.6 | 10.4 | 198,065 | 4.3 | 247,656 | 2.4 | (49,591) | (2.4) |
|  | 2010 | C | 3,647,277 | 2,964,070 | 81.3 |  | 2,964,070 | 2,586,882 | 377,788 | 87.3 | 12.7 | $(14,269)$ | (2.9) | $(220,389)$ | (7.0) | 206,120 | 7.0 |
| (67 counties) | 2008 | c | 3,540,000 | 2,978,339 | 84.1 |  | 2,978,339 | 2,806,671 | 171,668 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 508,532 | 13.3 | 336,933 | 3.4 | (76,118) | (3.4) |
|  | 2006 | A | 3,485,000 | 2,469,807 | 70.9 | P | 2,717,524 | 2,469,738 | 247,786 | 90.9 | 9.1 | (127,822) | (4.7) | (127,891) | (0.5) | 2,784 | 0.5 |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,436,000 | 2,597,629 | 75.6 |  | 2,842,631 | 2,597,629 | 245,002 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 439,861 | 11.6 | 439,861 | (4.7) | 157,457 | 4.7 |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,370,000 | 2,157,768 | 64.0 |  | 2,245,313 | 2,157,768 | 87,545 | 96.1 | 3.9 | (382,134) | (12.2) | (382,134) | 8.5 | $(273,264)$ | (8.5) |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,330,000 | 2,539,902 | 76.3 |  | 2,900,711 | 2,539,902 | 360,809 | 87.6 | 12.4 | 223,304 | 5.6 | 223,304 | 14.6 | (497,442) | (14.6) |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,280,000 | 2,316,598 | 70.6 |  | 3,174,849 | 2,316,598 | 858,251 | 73.0 | 27.0 | (160,757) | (6.3) | (160,757) | (17.7) | 603,017 | 17.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,221,000 | 2,477,355 | 76.9 |  | 2,732,589 | 2,477,355 | 255,234 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 170,936 | 4.2 | 170,936 | 3.1 | (73,405) | (3.1) |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,172,000 | 2,306,419 | 72.7 |  | 2,635,058 | 2,306,419 | 328,639 | 87.5 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,080,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska | 2012 | A | 544,349 | 579,304 | 106.4 |  | 579,304 | 506,432 | 72,872 | 87.4 | 12.6 | 19,158 | (0.7) | 11,556 | (0.9) | 7.602 | 0.9 |
|  | 2010 | A | 522,853 | 560,146 | 107.1 |  | 560,146 | 494,876 | 65,270 | 88.3 | 11.7 | 64,415 | 9.2 | (855) | 1.5 | (9,665) | (1.5) |
| (State totals only) | 2008 | A | 506,000 | 495,731 | 98.0 |  | 570,666 | 495,731 | 74,935 | 86.9 | 13.1 | 29,473 | 2.6 | 29,473 | (13.1) | 74,935 | 13.1 |
|  | 2006 | A | 489,000 | 466,258 | 95.3 | P | 466,258 | 466,258 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(5,902)$ | (5.8) | $(5,902)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 467,000 | 472,160 | 101.1 |  | 472,160 | 472,160 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11,305 | (1.1) | 11,305 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 451,000 | 460,855 | 102.2 |  | 460,855 | 460,855 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(17,377)$ | (7.3) | $(17,377)$ | 18.4 | $(107,699)$ | (18.4) |
|  | 2000 |  | 437,000 | 478,232 | 109.4 |  | 585,931 | 478,232 | 107,699 | 81.6 | 18.4 | 21,318 | 1.9 | 21,318 | (9.2) | 61,645 | 9.2 |
|  | 1998 |  | 425,000 | 456,914 | 107.5 |  | 502,968 | 456,914 | 46,054 | 90.8 | 9.2 | 42,099 | 8.5 | 42,099 | 2.4 | (8,162) | (2.4) |
|  | 1996 |  | 419,000 | 414,815 | 99.0 |  | 469,031 | 414,815 | 54,216 | 88.4 | 11.6 | 78,589 | 17.6 | 78,589 | (11.6) | 54,216 | 11.6 |
|  | 1994 |  | 413,000 | 336,226 | 81.4 |  | 336,226 | 336,226 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 405,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | 2012 | 0 | 4,932,361 | 3,725,362 | 75.5 |  | 3,725,362 | 3,124,712 | 600,650 | 83.9 | 16.1 | 222,619 | 2.0 | $(21,706)$ | (6.0) | 244,325 | 6.0 |
|  | 2010 | A | 4,763,003 | 3,502,743 | 73.5 |  | 3,502,743 | 3,146,418 | 356,325 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 515,292 | 11.2 | 158,967 | 3.0 | $(97,365)$ | (3.0) |
| (15 counties) | 2008 | A | 4,793,000 | 2,987,451 | 62.3 |  | 3,441,141 | 2,987,451 | 453,690 | 86.8 | 13.2 | 419,050 | 5.7 | 419,050 | 2.9 | $(39,449)$ | (2.9) |
|  | 2006 | A | 4,538,000 | 2,568,401 | 56.6 |  | 3,061,540 | 2,568,401 | 493,139 | 83.9 | 16.1 | $(77,404)$ | (6.4) | $(77,404)$ | (7.5) | 243,536 | 7.5 |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,97,000 | 2,645,805 | 63.0 |  | 2,895,408 | 2,645,805 | 249,603 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 430,703 | 7.1 | 430,703 | 9.5 | $(241,650)$ | (9.5) |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,959,000 | 2,215,102 | 56.0 |  | 2,706,355 | 2,215,102 | 491,253 | 81.8 | 18.2 | 21,335 | (2.0) | 21,335 | (1.0) | 36,867 | 1.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,788,000 | 2,193,767 | 57.9 |  | 2,648,153 | 2,193,767 | 454,386 | 82.8 | 17.2 | (72,112) | (8.3) | (72,112) | (4.5) | 127,282 | 4.5 |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,421,000 | 2,265,879 | 66.2 |  | 2,592,983 | 2,265,879 | 327,104 | 87.4 | 12.6 | 18,217 | (3.0) | 18,217 | (2.4) | 72,172 | 2.4 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,245,000 | 2,247,662 | 69.3 |  | 2,502,594 | 2,247,662 | 254,932 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 174,220 | 1.5 | 174,220 | 0.3 | 12,612 | (0.3) |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,059,000 | 2,073,442 | 67.8 |  | 2,315,762 | 2,073,442 | 242,320 | 89.5 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,812,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas | 2012 | c | 2,238,250 | 1,610,364 | 71.9 |  | 1,610,364 | 1,882,491 | 327,873 | 79.6 | 20.4 | (27,771) | (2.4) | $(44,190)$ | (1.3) | 16,419 | 1.3 |
|  | 2010 | c | 2,204,443 | 1,638,135 | 74.3 |  | 1,638,135 | 1,326,681 | 311,454 | 81.0 | 19.0 | $(46,105)$ | (3.9) | $(38,060)$ | (0.0) | $(8,045)$ | 0.0 |


| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The " $P$ " column indicates partial coverage for Active/lnactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) Estime <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active $\%$ of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inactive } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Arkansas | 2008 | C | 2,153,000 | 1,684,240 | 78.2 |  | 1,684,240 | 1,364,741 | 319,499 | 81.0 | 19.0 | 68,969 | 2.0 | $(89,968)$ | (7.0) | 121,170 | 7.0 |
|  | 2006 | C | 2,120,000 | 1,615,271 | 76.2 |  | 1,653,038 | 1,454,709 | 198,329 | 88.0 | 12.0 | (48,534) | (4.0) | $(18,314)$ | (0.5) | 7,547 | 0.5 |
| (75 counties) | 2004 |  | 2,076,000 | 1,663,805 | 80.1 |  | 1,663,805 | 1,473,023 | 190,782 | 88.5 | 11.5 | 82,340 | 2.0 | 17,141 | (3.5) | 65,199 | 3.5 |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,025,000 | 1,581,465 | 78.1 |  | 1,581,465 | 1,455,882 | 125,583 | 92.1 | 7.9 | 37,788 | 0.8 | 14,669 | (1.3) | 23,119 | 1.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,998,000 | 1,543,677 | 77.3 |  | 1,543,677 | 1,441,213 | 102,464 | 93.4 | 6.6 | 71,706 | (0.6) | 28,596 | (2.6) | 43,110 | 2.6 |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,891,000 | 1,471,971 | 77.8 |  | 1,471,971 | 1,412,617 | 59,354 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 102,512 | 3.9 | 43,158 | (4.0) | 59,354 | 4.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,852,000 | 1,369,459 | 73.9 |  | 1,369,459 | 1,369,459 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 94,574 | 3.7 | 94,574 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,814,000 | 1,274,885 | 70.3 |  | 1,274,885 | 1,274,885 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,774,000 | 1,317,944 | 74.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | 2012 | 0 | 28,801,211 | 18,996,338 | 66.0 |  | 25,599,182 | 18,25, 385 | 7,343,797 | 71.3 | 28.7 | 1,696,991 | 4.1 | 956,038 | (1.8) | 976,680 | 1.8 |
|  | 2010 | A | 27,958,916 | 17,299,347 | 61.9 |  | 23,666,464 | 17,299,347 | 6,367,117 | 73.1 | 26.9 | $(94,853)$ | (1.6) | (94,879) | (1.2) | 337,182 | 1.2 |
| (58 counties) | 2008 | A | 27,392,000 | 17,394,200 | 63.5 |  | 23,424,161 | 17,394,226 | 6,029,935 | 74.3 | 25.7 | 1,557,092 | 4.7 | 2,314,006 | 1.0 | 522,388 | (1.0) |
|  | 2006 | L | 26,925,000 | 15,837,108 | 58.8 | P | 20,587,767 | 15,080,220 | 5,507,547 | 73.2 | 26.8 | (627,618) | (3.8) | $(1,384,506)$ | (1.3) | (114,201) | 1.3 |
|  | 2004 |  | 26,297,000 | 16,464,726 | 62.6 |  | 22,086,474 | 16,464,726 | 5,621,748 | 74.5 | 25.5 | 1,684,801 | 4.9 | 1,684,801 | 1.5 | 160,104 | (1.5) |
|  | 2002 |  | 25,611,000 | 14,779,925 | 57.7 |  | 20,241,569 | 14,779,925 | 5,461,644 | 73.0 | 27.0 | (927,382) | (5.8) | (927,382) | (10.1) | 2,271,163 | 10.1 |
|  | 2000 |  | 24,728,000 | 15,707,307 | 63.5 |  | 18,897,788 | 15,707,307 | 3,190,481 | 83.1 | 16.9 | 723,357 | 0.8 | 723,357 | (3.0) | 775,245 | 3.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 23,902,000 | 14,983,950 | 62.7 |  | 17,399,186 | 14,983,950 | 2,415,236 | 86.1 | 13.9 | (678,125) | (5.4) | (678,125) | (7.7) | 1,389,284 | 7.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 23,02, 000 | 15,662,075 | 68.1 |  | 16,688,027 | 15,662,075 | 1,025,952 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 938,291 | 3.1 | 938,291 | (6.1) | 1,025,952 | 6.1 |
|  | 1994 |  | 22,653,000 | 14,723,784 | 65.0 |  | 14,723,784 | 14,723,784 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 22,521,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado | 2012 | C | 3,956,224 | 3,651,091 | 92.3 |  | 3,651,091 | 2,612,360 | 1,038,731 | 71.6 | 28.4 | 357,149 | 5.7 | 135,158 | (3.7) | 221,991 | 3.7 |
|  | 2010 | c | 3,803,587 | 3,293,942 | 86.6 |  | 3,293,942 | 2,477,202 | 816,740 | 75.2 | 24.8 | 79,560 | 0.5 | (168,591) | (7.1) | 248,151 | 7.1 |
| (64 counties) | 2008 | 0 | 3,732,000 | 3,214,382 | 86.1 |  | 3,214,382 | 2,645,793 | 568,589 | 82.3 | 17.7 | 213,546 | 2.4 | 253,726 | 1.9 | $(15,189)$ | (1.9) |
|  | 2006 | c | 3,584,000 | 3,000,836 | 83.7 |  | 2,975,845 | 2,392,067 | 583,778 | 80.4 | 19.6 | (96,955) | (6.8) | $(5,867)$ | 3.0 | (116,079) | (3.0) |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,423,000 | 3,097,791 | 90.5 |  | 3,097,791 | 2,397,934 | 699,857 | 77.4 | 22.6 | 207,633 | 4.3 | 149,990 | (0.4) | 57,643 | 0.4 |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,351,000 | 2,890,158 | 86.2 |  | 2,890,158 | 2,247,944 | 642,214 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 6,210 | (3.3) | (912) | (0.2) | 7,122 | 0.2 |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,219,000 | 2,883,948 | 89.6 |  | 2,883,948 | 2,448,856 | 635,092 | 78.0 | 22.0 | 320,507 | 2.6 | 149,492 | (3.9) | 171,015 | 3.9 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,946,000 | 2,563,441 | 87.0 |  | 2,563,441 | 2,099,364 | 464,077 | 81.9 | 18.1 | 217,188 | 4.3 | 187,713 | 0.4 | 29,475 | (0.4) |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,837,000 | 2,346,253 | 82.7 |  | 2,346,253 | 1,911,651 | 434,602 | 81.5 | 18.5 | 313,159 | 7.8 | $(121,443)$ | (18.5) | 434,602 | 18.5 |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,715,000 | 2,033,094 | 74.9 |  | 2,033,094 | 2,033,094 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,579,000 | 2,003,375 | 77.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut | 2012 | C | 2,796,789 | 2,202,278 | 78.7 |  | 2,202,278 | 2,081,650 | 120,628 | 94.5 | 5.5 | 51,645 | 0.7 | 54,776 | 0.3 | $(3,131)$ | (0.3) |
|  | 2010 | C | 2,757,082 | 2,150,633 | 78.0 |  | 2,150,633 | 2,026,874 | 123,759 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 59,845 | 0.3 | $(63,914)$ | (3.3) | 70,246 | 3.3 |
| (8 counties*) | 2008 | A | 2,689,000 | 2,090,788 | 77.8 |  | 2,144,301 | 2,090,788 | 53,513 | 97.5 | 2.5 | 149,321 | 5.5 | 168,131 | 3.3 | $(65,116)$ | (3.3) |
|  | 2006 | A | 2,687,000 | 1,941,467 | 72.3 |  | 2,041,286 | 1,922,657 | 118,629 | 94.2 | 5.8 | (102,714) | (4.5) | (121,524) | (0.6) | 5,601 | 0.6 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,665,000 | 2,044,181 | 76.7 |  | 2,157,209 | 2,044,181 | 113,028 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 201,716 | 6.4 | 201,716 | 2.4 | $(40,191)$ | (2.4) |

[^12]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The " R " column indicates the inclusion of Active/nactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/nactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report Reg. \% of VAP VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Inactive } \\ \text { Registration } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array} \end{array}$ | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | $\begin{gathered} \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Active Reg. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Inactive } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Connecticut | 2002 |  | 2,620,000 | 1,842,465 | 70.3 |  | 1,995,684 | 1,842,465 | 153,219 | 92.3 | 7.7 | (58,738) | (3.7) | (58,738) | (0.0) | $(4,162)$ | 0.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 2,570,000 | 1,901,203 | 74.0 |  | 2,058,584 | 1,901,203 | 157,381 | 92.4 | 7.6 | 94,453 | 1.4 | 94,453 | 1.8 | $(32,151)$ | (1.8) |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,489,000 | 1,806,750 | 72.6 |  | 1,996,282 | 1,806,750 | 189,532 | 90.5 | 9.5 | (74,573) | (3.4) | (74,573) | (4.7) | 94,106 | 4.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,475,000 | 1,881,323 | 76.0 |  | 1,976,749 | 1,881,323 | 95,426 | 95.2 | 4.8 | 89,638 | 3.7 | 89,638 | (4.8) | 95,426 | 4.8 |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,476,000 | 1,791,685 | 72.4 |  | 1,791,685 | 1,791,685 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,508,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware | 2012 | C | 712,042 | 632,805 | 88.9 |  | 632,805 | 594,200 | 38,605 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 9,380 | (1.2) | $(9,256)$ | (2.9) | 18,636 | 2.9 |
|  | 2010 | c | 692,169 | 623,425 | 90.1 |  | 623,425 | 603,456 | 19,969 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 20,699 | (0.3) | 42,751 | 3.8 | (22,052) | (3.8) |
| (3 counties) | 2008 | c | 667,000 | 602,726 | 90.4 |  | 602,726 | 560,705 | 42,021 | 93.0 | 7.0 | 44,990 | 4.6 | 39,959 | (0.3) | 5,050 | 0.3 |
|  | 2006 | c | 650,000 | 557,736 | 85.8 |  | 557,717 | 520,746 | 36,971 | 93.4 | 6.6 | 3,542 | (1.2) | 410 | (0.6) | 3,390 | 0.6 |
|  | 2004 |  | 637,000 | 554,194 | 87.0 |  | 553,917 | 520,336 | 33,581 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 34,530 | 1.9 | 672 | (6.1) | 33,581 | 6.1 |
|  | 2002 |  | 611,000 | 519,664 | 85.1 |  | 519,664 | 519,664 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 15,992 | (0.0) | 42,071 | 5.2 | $(26,079)$ | (5.2) |
|  | 2000 |  | 592,000 | 503,672 | 85.1 |  | 503,672 | 477,593 | 26,079 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 36,284 | 2.5 | 32,526 | (0.4) | 3,758 | 0.4 |
|  | 1998 |  | 566,000 | 467,388 | 82.6 |  | 467,388 | 445,067 | 22,321 | 95.2 | 4.8 | 66,306 | 9.9 | 25,559 | (0.6) | 3,895 | 0.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 552,000 | 401,082 | 72.7 |  | 437,934 | 419,508 | 18,426 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 52,960 | 7.7 | 71,386 | (4.2) | 18,426 | 4.2 |
|  | 1994 |  | 536,000 | 348,122 | 64.9 |  | 348,122 | 348,122 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 521,000 | 342,088 | 65.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 2012 | c | 522,843 | 557,774 | 106.7 |  | 557,774 | 483,600 | 74,174 | 86.7 | 13.3 | 44,877 | 4.3 | 28,905 | (2.0) | 15,972 | 2.0 |
|  | 2010 | c | 500,908 | 512,897 | 102.4 |  | 512,897 | 454,695 | 58,202 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 86,226 | 13.5 | 28,024 | 12.7 | (76,798) | (12.7) |
| (Total only) | 2008 | A | 480,000 | 426,671 | 88.9 |  | 561,671 | 426,671 | 135,000 | 76.0 | 24.0 | 30,745 | 4.1 | 30,745 | (4.5) | 38,844 | 4.5 |
|  | 2006 | A | 467,000 | 395,926 | 84.8 |  | 492,082 | 395,926 | 96,156 | 80.5 | 19.5 | 12,007 | (1.7) | 12,007 | 10.0 | $(65,085)$ | (10.0) |
|  | 2004 |  | 444,000 | 383,919 | 86.5 |  | 545,160 | 383,919 | 161,241 | 70.4 | 29.6 | 20,751 | 6.7 | 20,751 | (2.9) | 29,098 | 2.9 |
|  | 2002 |  | 455,000 | 363,168 | 79.8 |  | 495,311 | 363,168 | 132,143 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 8,758 | 2.1 | 8,758 | (8.8) | 54,737 | 8.8 |
|  | 2000 |  | 456,000 | 354,410 | 77.7 |  | 431,816 | 354,410 | 77,406 | 82.1 | 17.9 | 907 | (6.2) | 907 | (2.2) | 11,424 | 2.2 |
|  | 1998 |  | 421,000 | 353,503 | 84.0 |  | 419,485 | 353,503 | 65,982 | 84.3 | 15.7 | (7,916) | (0.5) | $(7,916)$ | (7.1) | 31,709 | 7.1 |
|  | 1996 |  | 428,000 | 361,419 | 84.4 |  | 395,692 | 361,419 | 34,273 | 91.3 | 8.7 | (471) | 3.5 | (471) | (8.7) | 34,273 | 8.7 |
|  | 1994 |  | 447,000 | 361,890 | 81.0 |  | 361,890 | 361,890 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 467,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida | 2012 | A | 15,315,088 | 11,934,446 | 77.9 |  | 11,934,446 | 11,934,446 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(617,523)$ | (6.9) | 705,765 | 10.5 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2010 | c | 14,799,219 | 12,551,969 | 84.8 |  | 12,551,969 | 11,228,681 | 1,323,288 | 89.5 | 10.5 | $(11,009)$ | (2.9) | $(22,433)$ | (0.1) | 11,424 | 0.1 |
| (67 counties) | 2008 | A | 14,324,000 | 12,562,978 | 87.7 |  | 12,562,978 | 11,251,114 | 1,311,864 | 89.6 | 10.4 | 2,129,830 | 13.5 | 817,265 | (7.7) | 1,013,088 | 7.7 |
|  | 2006 | A | 14,068,000 | 10,433,148 | 74.2 | P | 10,732,625 | 10,433,849 | 298,776 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 51,902 | (3.3) | 52,603 | (2.8) | 298,776 | 2.8 |
|  | 2004 |  | 13,394,000 | 10,381,246 | 77.5 |  | 10,381,246 | 10,381,246 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,078,886 | 5.0 | 1,078,886 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 12,824,000 | 9,302,360 | 72.5 |  | 9,302,360 | 9,302,360 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 872,100 | 4.5 | 872,100 | 3.7 | $(322,457)$ | (3.7) |
|  | 2000 |  | 12,383,000 | 8,430,260 | 68.1 |  | 8,752,717 | 8,430,260 | 322,457 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 936,255 | 2.3 | 936,255 | 5.2 | (403,804) | (5.2) |
|  | 1998 |  | 11,398,000 | 7,494,005 | 65.7 |  | 8,220,266 | 7,494,005 | 726,261 | 91.2 | 8.8 | 9,664 | (1.8) | 9,664 | (1.5) | 132,725 | 1.5 |

[^13]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The " P " column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Inactive } \\ \text { \% of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Reg. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Florida | 1996 |  | 11,078,000 | 7,484,341 | 67.6 |  | 8,077,877 | 7,484,341 | 593,536 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 924,743 | 6.4 | 924,743 | (7.3) | 593,536 | 7.3 |
|  | 1994 |  | 10,721,000 | 6,559,598 | 61.2 |  | 6,559,598 | 6,559,598 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 10,422,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Georgia | 2012 | c | 7,429,820 | 6,050,050 | 81.4 |  | 6,036,864 | 5,389,596 | 647,268 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 301,591 | 1.5 | 362,166 | 1.8 | (73,761) | (1.8) |
|  | 2010 | C | 7,196,101 | 5,748,459 | 79.9 |  | 5,748,459 | 5,027,430 | 721,029 | 87.5 | 12.5 | $(7,291)$ | (0.8) | (157,482) | (2.6) | 150,191 | 2.6 |
| (159 counties) | 2008 | c | 7,137,000 | 5,755,750 | 80.6 |  | 5,755,750 | 5,184,912 | 570,838 | 90.1 | 9.9 | 1,346,910 | 16.8 | 777,794 | 4.2 | $(154,948)$ | (4.2) |
|  | 2006 | A | 6,909,000 | 4,408,840 | 63.8 |  | 5,132,904 | 4,407,118 | 725,786 | 85.9 | 14.1 | 160,003 | (1.6) | 158,281 | 0.1 | 22,633 | (0.1) |
|  | 2004 |  | 6,497,000 | 4,248,837 | 65.4 |  | 4,951,990 | 4,248,837 | 703,153 | 85.8 | 14.2 | 491,205 | 5.5 | 491,205 | 6.3 | (264,212) | (6.3) |
|  | 2002 |  | 6,273,000 | 3,757,632 | 59.9 |  | 4,724,997 | 3,757,632 | 967,365 | 79.5 | 20.5 | $(99,044)$ | (3.8) | $(99,044)$ | (3.4) | 175,831 | 3.4 |
|  | 2000 |  | 6,050,000 | 3,856,676 | 63.7 |  | 4,648,210 | 3,856,676 | 791,534 | 83.0 | 17.0 | $(54,064)$ | (5.5) | $(54,064)$ | (10.3) | 509,567 | 10.3 |
|  | 1998 |  | 5,647,000 | 3,910,740 | 69.3 |  | 4,192,707 | 3,910,740 | 281,967 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 99,456 | (1.1) | 99,456 | (6.7) | 281,967 | 6.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 5,420,000 | 3,811,284 | 70.3 |  | 3,811,284 | 3,811,284 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 807,757 | 12.5 | 807,757 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 5,198,000 | 3,003,527 | 57.8 |  | 3,003,527 | 3,003,527 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 5,006,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hawaii | 2012 | c | 1,089,302 | 705,668 | 64.8 |  | 705,668 | 638,883 | 66,785 | 90.5 | 9.5 | 12,923 | (0.8) | 33,351 | 2.9 | (18,428) | (2.9) |
|  | 2010 | c | 1,056,483 | 692,745 | 65.6 |  | 690,745 | 605,532 | 85,213 | 87.7 | 12.3 | 1,389 | (3.4) | 78,860 | (1.3) | 19,766 | 1.3 |
| (4 jurisdictions*) | 2008 | c | 1,003,000 | 691,356 | 68.9 |  | 592,119 | 526,672 | 65,447 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 28,628 | 1.8 | $(58,018)$ | 0.7 | (12,591) | (0.7) |
|  | 2006 | c | 987,000 | 662,728 | 67.1 |  | 662,728 | 584,690 | 78,038 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 15,490 | 0.0 | 4,655 | (1.4) | 10,835 |  |
|  | 2004 |  | 964,000 | 647,238 | 67.1 |  | 647,238 | 580,035 | 67,203 | 89.6 | 10.4 | $(29,004)$ | (4.9) | 28,879 | 8.1 | $(57,883)$ | (8.1) |
|  | 2002 |  | 939,000 | 676,242 | 72.0 |  | 676,242 | 551,156 | 125,086 | 81.5 | 18.5 | 38,893 | 2.5 | 17,296 | (2.3) | 21,597 | 2.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 917,000 | 637,349 | 69.5 |  | 637,349 | 533,860 | 103,489 | 83.8 | 16.2 | 35,945 | 2.8 | $(67,544)$ | (16.2) | 103,489 | 16.2 |
|  | 1998 |  | 902,000 | 601,404 | 66.7 |  | 601,404 | 601,404 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 39,361 | 3.2 | 56,488 | 3.0 | $(17,127)$ | (3.0) |
|  | 1996 |  | 886,000 | 562,043 | 63.4 |  | 562,043 | 544,916 | 17,127 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 11,534 | 0.5 | 56,027 | 8.1 | $(44,493)$ | (8.1) |
|  | 1994 |  | 875,000 | 550,509 | 62.9 |  | 550,509 | 488,889 | 61,620 | 88.8 | 11.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 866,000 | 464,495 | 53.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Idaho* | 2012 | A | 1,169,075 | 895,834 | 76.6 |  | 895,834 | 895,834 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 105,303 | 7.2 | 105,303 | 0.0 | ..... | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2010 | A | 1,138,510 | 790,531 | 69.4 |  | 790,531 | 790,531 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | (71,338) | (8.1) | $(71,338)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (44 counties) | 2008 | A | 1,111,000 | 861,869 | 77.6 |  | 861,869 | 861,869 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 96,989 | 6.2 | 96,989 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2006 | A | 1,072,000 | 764,880 | 71.4 |  | 764,880 | 764,880 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (33,135) | (6.8) | $(33,135)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,021,000 | 798,015 | 78.2 |  | 798,015 | 798,015 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 166,979 | 13.1 | 166,979 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 970,000 | 631,036 | 65.1 |  | 631,036 | 631,036 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(97,049)$ | (13.2) | $(97,049)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 930,000 | 728,085 | 78.3 |  | 728,085 | 728,085 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66,652 | 3.4 | 66,652 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 883,000 | 661,433 | 74.9 |  | 661,433 | 661,433 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(38,997)$ | (8.0) | $(38,997)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 845,000 | 700,430 | 82.9 |  | 700,430 | 700,430 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 74,627 | 4.9 | 74,627 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 802,000 | 625,803 | 78.0 |  | 625,803 | 625,803 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 750,000 | 611,121 | 81.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^14]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. <br> The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive <br> \% of <br> Total <br> Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Active } \\ & \text { Reg. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Illinois | 2012 | 0 | 9,811,190 | 8,116,660 | 82.7 |  | 8,551,866 | 7,505,775 | 1,046,091 | 87.8 | 12.2 | (425,737) | (5.3) | 50,699 | 0.5 | $(41,230)$ | (0.5) |
|  | 2010 | 0 | 9,701,453 | 8,542,397 | 88.1 |  | 8,542,397 | 7,455,076 | 1,087,321 | 87.3 | 12.7 | 841,872 | 8.8 | (145,753) | 1.8 | (207,434) | (1.8) |
| (110 jurisdictions*) | 2008 | 0 | 9,722,000 | 7,700,525 | 79.2 |  | 8,895,584 | 7,600,829 | 1,294,755 | 85.4 | 14.6 | 324,837 | 2.5 | 297,298 | (3.3) | 366,679 | 3.3 |
|  | 2006 | A | 9,617,000 | 7,375,688 | 76.7 | P | 8,231,607 | 7,303,531 | 928,076 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 1,221,845 | 11.7 | 1,149,688 | 8.5 | (588,101) | (8.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 9,475,000 | 6,153,843 | 64.9 |  | 7,670,020 | 6,153,843 | 1,516,177 | 80.2 | 19.8 | 344,198 | 2.8 | 344,198 | (19.8) | 1,516,177 | 19.8 |
|  | 2002 |  | 9,353,000 | 5,809,645 | 62.1 |  | 5,809,645 | 5,809,645 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(1,340,823)$ | (15.7) | $(1,340,823)$ | 20.0 | (1,790,076) | (20.0) |
|  | 2000 |  | 9,192,000 | 7,150,468 | 77.8 |  | 8,940,544 | 7,150,468 | 1,790,076 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 656,587 | 4.8 | 656,587 | (4.6) | 603,933 | 4.6 |
|  | 1998 |  | 8,894,000 | 6,493,881 | 73.0 |  | 7,680,024 | 6,493,881 | 1,186,143 | 84.6 | 15.4 | (169,420) | (2.8) | $(169,420)$ | (4.8) | 388,630 | 4.8 |
|  | 1996 |  | 8,787,000 | 6,663,301 | 75.8 |  | 7,460,814 | 6,663,301 | 797,513 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 544,300 | 5.6 | 544,300 | (10.7) | 797,513 | 10.7 |
|  | 1994 |  | 8,717,000 | 6,119,001 | 70.2 |  | 6,119,001 | 6,119,001 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 8,598,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indiana | 2012 | C | 4,945,857 | 4,562,268 | 92.2 |  | 4,562,268 | 4,407,876 | 154,392 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 232,291 | 3.4 | 210,992 | (0.3) | 21,299 | 0.3 |
|  | 2010 | C | 4,875,504 | 4,329,977 | 88.8 |  | 4,329,977 | 4,196,884 | 133,093 | 96.9 | 3.1 | (185,080) | (5.4) | 59,278 | 5.3 | $(244,358)$ | (5.3) |
| (92 counties) | 2008 | c | 4,792,000 | 4,515,057 | 94.2 |  | 4,515,057 | 4,137,606 | 377,451 | 91.6 | 8.4 | 219,370 | 3.5 | 535,740 | 7.9 | $(319,561)$ | (7.9) |
|  | 2006 | C | 4,736,000 | 4,295,687 | 90.7 |  | 4,298,878 | 3,601,866 | 697,012 | 83.8 | 16.2 | (915) | (2.0) | (694,736) | (16.2) | 697,012 | 16.2 |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,637,000 | 4,296,602 | 92.7 |  | 4,296,602 | 4,296,602 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 287,966 | 4.8 | 287,966 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,560,000 | 4,008,636 | 87.9 |  | 4,008,636 | 4,008,636 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 178,540 | 3.1 | 178,540 | 4.3 | $(170,713)$ | (4.3) |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,515,000 | 3,830,096 | 84.8 |  | 4,000,809 | 3,830,096 | 170,713 | 95.7 | 4.3 | 452,140 | 8.0 | 452,140 | 4.3 | $(145,313)$ | (4.3) |
|  | 1998 |  | 4,399,000 | 3,377,956 | 76.8 |  | 3,693,982 | 3,377,956 | 316,026 | 91.4 | 8.6 | (110,132) | (3.6) | (110,132) | (8.6) | 316,026 | 8.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 4,340,000 | 3,488,088 | 80.4 |  | 3,488,088 | 3,488,088 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 511,833 | 10.8 | 511,833 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 4,276,000 | 2,976,255 | 69.6 |  | 2,976,255 | 2,976,255 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 4,209,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lowa | 2012 | C | 2,351,233 | 2,236,068 | 95.1 |  | 2,169,779 | 1,960,086 | 209,693 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 119,898 | 3.8 | (24,909) | (3.5) | 78,518 | 3.5 |
|  | 2010 | C | 2,318,362 | 2,116,170 | 91.3 |  | 2,116,170 | 1,984,995 | 131,175 | 93.8 | 6.2 | $(27,495)$ | (2.3) | $(18,906)$ | 0.3 | $(8,589)$ | (0.3) |
| (99 counties) | 2008 | C | 2,290,000 | 2,143,665 | 93.6 |  | 2,143,665 | 2,003,901 | 139,764 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 66,426 | 2.2 | 71,589 | 0.4 | $(4,573)$ | (0.4) |
|  | 2006 | c | 2,272,000 | 2,077,239 | 91.4 |  | 2,076,649 | 1,932,312 | 144,337 | 93.0 | 7.0 | $(29,419)$ | (1.2) | $(6,345)$ | 1.0 | $(23,664)$ | (1.0) |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,274,000 | 2,106,658 | 92.6 |  | 2,106,658 | 1,938,657 | 168,001 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 140,199 | 4.5 | 128,833 | (0.0) | 11,366 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,232,000 | 1,966,459 | 88.1 |  | 1,966,459 | 1,809,824 | 156,635 | 92.0 | 8.0 | (2,740) | (1.5) | $(31,522)$ | (1.5) | 28,782 | 1.5 |
|  | 2000 |  | 2,198,000 | 1,969,199 | 89.6 |  | 1,969,199 | 1,841,346 | 127,853 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 107,779 | 2.9 | 77,519 | (1.2) | 30,260 | 1.2 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,148,000 | 1,861,420 | 86.7 |  | 1,861,420 | 1,763,827 | 97,593 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 84,987 | 3.1 | 21,878 | (3.3) | 63,129 | 3.3 |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,126,000 | 1,776,433 | 83.6 |  | 1,776,413 | 1,741,949 | 34,464 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 135,900 | 5.8 | 101,416 | (1.9) | 34,464 | 1.9 |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,109,000 | 1,640,533 | 77.8 |  | 1,640,533 | 1,640,533 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,073,000 | 1,703,532 | 82.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas | 2012 | C | 2,161,601 | 1,771,252 | 81.9 |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 46,240 | 0.8 | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots \cdots$ |
|  | 2010 | C | 2,126,179 | 1,725,012 | 81.1 |  | 1,725,012 | 1,580,688 | 144,324 | 91.6 | 8.4 | (24,744) | (2.1) | 760 | 1.3 | $(25,504)$ | (1.3) |
| (105 counties) | 2008 | C | 2,102,000 | 1,749,756 | 83.2 |  | 1,749,756 | 1,579,928 | 169,828 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 86,739 | 2.8 | $(83,089)$ | (9.7) | 169,828 | 9.7 |

[^15]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/lnactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) Estimated Voting Age Population | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Registration } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Active } \\ \text { Registration } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Inactive } \\ \text { Registration } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Active } \\ \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Reg. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Inactive <br> $\%$ of <br> Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Percent Active Reg. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inactive } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Inactive } \\ & \text { Reg. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Kansas | 2006 | C | 2,068,000 | 1,663,017 | 80.4 | P | 1,663,017 | 1,663,017 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (29,115) | (2.0) | (29,115) | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,052,000 | 1,692,132 | 82.5 |  | 1,692,132 | 1,692,132 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 76,436 | 2.2 | 76,436 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,013,000 | 1,615,696 | 80.3 |  | 1,615,696 | 1,615,696 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(7,927)$ | (1.7) | 109,982 | 7.3 | $(117,909)$ | (7.3) |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,981,000 | 1,623,623 | 82.0 |  | 1,623,623 | 1,505,714 | 117,909 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 109,938 | 3.9 | 102,032 | 0.0 | 7,906 | (0.0) |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,940,000 | 1,513,685 | 78.0 |  | 1,513,685 | 1,403,682 | 110,003 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 74,791 | 2.4 | $(35,212)$ | (7.3) | 110,003 | 7.3 |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,902,000 | 1,438,894 | 75.7 |  | 1,438,894 | 1,438,894 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 124,681 | 5.6 | 124,681 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,877,000 | 1,314,213 | 70.0 |  | 1,314,213 | 1,314,213 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,840,000 | 1,365,847 | 74.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky | 2012 | A | 3,362,177 | 3,037,153 | 90.3 |  | 3,128,264 | 3,037,153 | 91,111 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 151,378 | 3.3 | 156,998 | 1.9 | (52,975) | (1.9) |
|  | 2010 | A | 3,315,996 | 2,885,775 | 87.0 |  | 3,024,241 | 2,880,155 | 144,086 | 95.2 | 4.8 | $(21,034)$ | (2.1) | $(26,654)$ | (0.2) | 5,037 | 0.2 |
| (120 counties) | 2008 | A | 3,261,000 | 2,906,809 | 89.1 |  | 3,045,858 | 2,906,809 | 139,049 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 140,521 | 2.9 | 140,521 | (4.6) | 139,049 | 4.6 |
|  | 2006 | A | 3,207,000 | 2,766,288 | 86.3 |  | 2,766,288 | 2,766,288 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(27,998)$ | (2.0) | $(27,998)$ | 3.0 | (87,551) | (3.0) |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,166,000 | 2,794,286 | 88.3 |  | 2,881,837 | 2,794,286 | 87,551 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 145,202 | 2.8 | 145,202 | 2.7 | (72,362) | (2.7) |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,100,000 | 2,649,084 | 85.5 |  | 2,808,997 | 2,649,084 | 159,913 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 92,269 | 1.8 | 92,269 | 0.4 | $(5,829)$ | (0.4) |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,055,000 | 2,556,815 | 83.7 |  | 2,722,557 | 2,556,815 | 165,742 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 44,497 | (1.2) | 44,497 | (4.2) | 117,721 | 4.2 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,959,000 | 2,512,318 | 84.9 |  | 2,560,339 | 2,512,318 | 48,021 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 121,128 | 3.0 | 121,128 | (1.7) | 43,125 | 1.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,918,000 | 2,391,190 | 81.9 |  | 2,396,086 | 2,391,190 | 4,896 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 259,038 | 7.5 | 259,038 | (0.2) | 4,896 | 0.2 |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,864,000 | 2,132,152 | 74.4 |  | 2,132,152 | 2,132,152 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,798,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana | 2012 | c | 3,484,090 | 2,965,751 | 85.1 |  | 2,965,751 | 2,786,355 | 179,396 | 94.0 | 6.0 | 30,689 | (0.8) | 74,381 | 1.6 | (43,692) | (1.6) |
|  | 2010 | C | 3,415,357 | 2,935,062 | 85.9 |  | 2,935,062 | 2,711,974 | 223,088 | 92.4 | 7.6 | $(7,098)$ | (3.1) | $(2,612)$ | 0.1 | (4,486) | (0.1) |
| (64 parishes) | 2008 | c | 3,303,000 | 2,942,160 | 89.1 |  | 2,942,160 | 2,714,586 | 227,574 | 92.3 | 7.7 | 51,269 | (1.3) | $(2,527)$ | (2.1) | 64,700 | 2.1 |
|  | 2006 | c | 3,198,000 | 2,890,891 | 90.4 | P | 2,879,987 | 2,717,113 | 162,874 | 94.3 | 5.7 | $(41,251)$ | 2.9 | 23,427 | 2.5 | (75,582) | (2.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,351,000 | 2,932,142 | 87.5 |  | 2,932,142 | 2,693,686 | 238,456 | 91.9 | 8.1 | 125,940 | 2.2 | 169,499 | 1.9 | $(43,559)$ | (1.9) |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,291,000 | 2,806,202 | 85.3 |  | 2,806,202 | 2,524,187 | 282,015 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 9,651 | (0.7) | $(42,415)$ | (1.8) | 52,066 | 1.8 |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,253,000 | 2,796,551 | 86.0 |  | 2,796,551 | 2,566,602 | 229,949 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 109,990 | 1.9 | 55,461 | (1.7) | 54,529 | 1.7 |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,195,000 | 2,686,561 | 84.1 |  | 2,686,561 | 2,511,141 | 175,420 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 127,890 | 2.3 | 31,108 | (3.5) | 96,782 | 3.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,129,000 | 2,558,671 | 81.8 |  | 2,558,671 | 2,480,033 | 78,638 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 406,716 | 12.0 | 328,078 | (3.1) | 78,638 | 3.1 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,085,000 | 2,151,955 | 69.8 |  | 2,151,955 | 2,151,955 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,045,000 | 2,292,129 | 75.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine | 2012 | c | 1,063,274 | 1,026,086 | 96.5 |  | 1,026,086 | 984,750 | 41,336 | 96.0 | 4.0 | $(2,415)$ | (1.1) | 295 | 0.3 | $(2,710)$ | (0.3) |
|  | 2010 | C | 1,053,828 | 1,028,501 | 97.6 |  | 1,028,501 | 984,455 | 44,046 | 95.7 | 4.3 | $(36,563)$ | (4.6) | $(2,976)$ | 3.0 | $(33,587)$ | (3.0) |
| (16 counties*) | 2008 | C | 1,042,000 | 1,065,064 | 102.2 |  | 1,065,064 | 987,431 | 77,633 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 71,316 | 6.8 | $(4,188)$ | (1.9) | 21,629 | 1.9 |
|  | 2006 | A | 1,041,000 | 993,748 | 95.5 |  | 1,047,623 | 991,619 | 56,004 | 94.7 | 5.3 | $(31,990)$ | (3.6) | $(34,119)$ | (0.3) | 1,254 | 0.3 |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,035,000 | 1,025,738 | 99.1 |  | 1,080,488 | 1,025,738 | 54,750 | 94.9 | 5.1 | 75,679 | 4.8 | 75,679 | 0.9 | $(5,525)$ | (0.9) |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,007,000 | 950,059 | 94.3 |  | 1,010,334 | 950,059 | 60,275 | 94.0 | 6.0 | 2,870 | (2.5) | 2,870 | 5.0 | $(56,904)$ | (5.0) |

[^16]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Registration } \end{array}$ | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active $\%$ of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Maine | 2000 |  | 978,000 | 947,189 | 96.8 |  | 1,064,368 | 947,189 | 117,179 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 64,860 | 4.5 | 64,860 | (4.6) | 56,979 | 4.6 |
|  | 1998 |  | 955,000 | 882,329 | 92.4 |  | 942,529 | 882,329 | 60,200 | 93.6 | 6.4 | (118,963) | (14.0) | (118,963) | (6.4) | 60,200 | 6.4 |
|  | 1996 |  | 941,000 | 1,001,292 | 106.4 |  | 1,001,292 | 1,001,292 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60,723 | 5.3 | 60,723 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 930,000 | 940,569 | 101.1 |  | 940,569 | 940,569 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 932,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maryland | 2012 | A | 4,540,763 | 3,694,658 | 81.4 |  | 3,694,658 | 3,694,658 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 226,371 | 2.9 | 226,371 | 0.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2010 | A | 4,420,588 | 3,468,287 | 78.5 |  | 3,468,287 | 3,468,287 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 35,642 | (1.5) | 35,642 | 5.7 | (209,083) | (5.7) |
| (24 counties*) | 2008 | A | 4,293,000 | 3,432,645 | 80.0 |  | 3,641,728 | 3,432,645 | 209,083 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 290,054 | 6.1 | 290,094 | 0.6 | $(2,167)$ | (0.6) |
|  | 2006 | A | 4,255,000 | 3,142,591 | 73.9 |  | 3,353,801 | 3,142,551 | 211,250 | 93.7 | 6.3 | 67,702 | (0.0) | 67,662 | 0.5 | $(11,833)$ | (0.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,163,000 | 3,074,889 | 73.9 |  | 3,297,972 | 3,074,889 | 223,083 | 93.2 | 6.8 | 300,276 | 5.7 | 300,276 | 1.2 | $(17,816)$ | (1.2) |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,069,000 | 2,774,613 | 68.2 |  | 3,015,512 | 2,774,613 | 240,899 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 49,429 | (0.8) | 49,429 | 0.9 | $(24,685)$ | (0.9) |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,953,000 | 2,725,184 | 68.9 |  | 2,990,768 | 2,725,184 | 265,584 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 155,868 | 2.4 | 155,868 | (0.3) | 23,700 | 0.3 |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,862,000 | 2,569,316 | 66.5 |  | 2,811,200 | 2,569,316 | 241,884 | 91.4 | 8.6 | (7,875) | (1.3) | (7,875) | (4.5) | 131,824 | 4.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,799,000 | 2,577,191 | 67.8 |  | 2,687,251 | 2,577,191 | 110,060 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 277,611 | 6.3 | 277,611 | (4.1) | 110,060 | 4.1 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,737,000 | 2,299,580 | 61.5 |  | 2,299,580 | 2,299,580 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,705,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 2012 | c | 5,244,729 | 4,340,000 | 82.7 |  | 4,340,000 | 3,670,305 | 669,695 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 218,820 | 2.4 | (14,016) | (4.8) | 232,836 | 4.8 |
|  | 2010 | c | 5,128,706 | 4,121,180 | 80.4 |  | 4,121,180 | 3,684,321 | 436,859 | 89.4 | 10.6 | $(99,308)$ | (2.9) | (198,710) | (2.6) | 99,402 | 2.6 |
| (14 counties*) | 2008 | c | 5,071,000 | 4,220,488 | 83.2 |  | 4,220,488 | 3,883,031 | 337,457 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 229,983 | 3.2 | 403,726 | 4.8 | (173,632) | (4.8) |
|  | 2006 | C | 4,988,000 | 3,990,505 | 80.0 |  | 3,990,394 | 3,479,305 | 511,089 | 87.2 | 12.8 | (108,129) | (2.8) | (209,388) | (2.8) | 101,148 | 2.8 |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,952,000 | 4,098,634 | 82.8 |  | 4,098,634 | 3,688,693 | 409,941 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 126,012 | 2.2 | 185,978 | 1.8 | (59,966) | (1.8) |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,929,000 | 3,972,622 | 80.6 |  | 3,972,622 | 3,502,715 | 469,907 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 195,471 | 2.9 | 55,120 | (3.1) | 140,351 | 3.1 |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,864,000 | 3,777,151 | 77.7 |  | 3,777,151 | 3,447,595 | 329,556 | 91.3 | 8.7 | 58,623 | (1.4) | 69,430 | 0.4 | $(10,807)$ | (0.4) |
|  | 1998 |  | 4,701,000 | 3,718,528 | 79.1 |  | 3,718,528 | 3,378,165 | 340,363 | 90.8 | 9.2 | (106,148) | (3.1) | (116,762) | (0.5) | 10,614 | 0.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 4,652,000 | 3,824,676 | 82.2 |  | 3,824,676 | 3,494,927 | 329,749 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 671,335 | 13.9 | 341,586 | (8.6) | 329,749 | 8.6 |
|  | 1994 |  | 4,616,000 | 3,153,341 | 68.3 |  | 3,153,341 | 3,153,341 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 4,616,000 | 3,351,918 | 72.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Michigan | 2012 | C | 7,616,490 | 7,454,553 | 97.9 |  | 7,454,553 | 6,537,332 | 917,221 | 87.7 | 12.3 | 178,316 | 1.4 | (738,905) | (12.3) | 917,221 | 12.3 |
|  | 2010 | C | 7,539,572 | 7,276,237 | 96.5 |  | 7,276,237 | 7,276,237 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | (194,527) | (1.6) | $(194,527)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (83 counties) | 2008 | C | 7,613,000 | 7,470,764 | 98.1 |  | 7,470,764 | 7,470,764 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 289,986 | 3.9 | 289,986 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2006 | A | 7,617,000 | 7,180,778 | 94.3 |  | 7,180,778 | 7,180,778 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16,731 | (0.3) | 16,731 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 7,579,000 | 7,164,047 | 94.5 |  | 7,164,047 | 7,164,047 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 366,754 | 3.7 | 366,754 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 7,482,000 | 6,797,293 | 90.8 |  | 6,797,293 | 6,797,293 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(62,039)$ | (2.3) | $(13,074)$ | 0.7 | (48,965) | (0.7) |
|  | 2000 |  | 7,362,000 | 6,859,332 | 93.2 |  | 6,859,332 | 6,810,367 | 48,965 | 99.3 | 0.7 | 20,474 | (0.6) | (28,491) | 0.4 | (27,790) | (0.4) |
|  | 1998 |  | 7,294,000 | 6,838,858 | 93.8 |  | 6,915,613 | 6,838,858 | 76,755 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 161,779 | 1.1 | 161,779 | (1.1) | 76,755 | 1.1 |
|  | 1996 |  | 7,207,000 | 6,677,079 | 92.6 |  | 6,677,079 | 6,677,079 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 469,417 | 5.1 | 469,417 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

[^17]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/nactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. <br> The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Registration } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive <br> $\%$ of Total <br> Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | $\begin{gathered} \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Michigan | 1994 |  | 7,091,000 | 6,207,662 | 87.5 |  | 6,207,662 | 6,207,662 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 6,947,000 | 6,147,083 | 88.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota* | 2012 | A | 4,102,991 | 3,387,783 | 82.6 | P | 3,387,783 | 3,387,783 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 166,939 | 2.4 | 166,939 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ |  |
|  | 2010 | A | 4,019,862 | 3,220,844 | 80.1 | P | 3,220,844 | 3,220,844 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | (251,468) | (7.4) | $(251,468)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (87 counties) | 2008 | A | 3,966,000 | 3,472,312 | 87.6 |  | 3,472,312 | 3,472,312 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 353,914 | 7.8 | 353,914 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2006 | A | 3,910,000 | 3,118,398 | 79.8 |  | 3,118,398 | 3,118,398 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 140,902 | 2.6 | 140,902 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,861,000 | 2,977,496 | 77.1 |  | 2,977,496 | 2,977,496 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 133,068 | 1.5 | 133,068 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,763,000 | 2,844,428 | 75.6 |  | 2,844,428 | 2,844,428 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(420,896)$ | (13.9) | $(420,896)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,650,000 | 3,265,324 | 89.5 |  | 3,265,324 | 3,265,324 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 597,632 | 12.8 | 597,632 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,480,000 | 2,667,692 | 76.7 |  | 2,667,692 | 2,667,692 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(400,110)$ | (13.2) | $(400,110)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,415,000 | 3,067,802 | 89.8 |  | 3,067,802 | 3,067,802 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 210,339 | 4.4 | 210,339 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,343,000 | 2,857,463 | 85.5 |  | 2,857,463 | 2,857,463 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,272,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mississippi | 2012 | 0 | 2,239,593 | 1,399,209 | 62.5 | P | 1,398,591 | 1,328,196 | 70,395 | 95.0 | 5.0 | (579,254) | (27.0) | (296,785) | 1.0 | (33,783) | ${ }^{(1.0)}$ |
|  | 2010 | 0 | 2,211,742 | 1,978,463 | 89.5 |  | 1,729,159 | 1,624,981 | 104,178 | 94.0 | 6.0 | 82,880 | 2.2 | 591,753 | 0.3 | 34,318 | (0.3) |
| (82 counties) | 2008 | 0 | 2,172,000 | 1,895,583 | 87.3 | P | 1,103,088 | 1,033,228 | 69,860 | 93.7 | 6.3 | 117,338 | 4.6 | (713,237) | 0.6 | $(60,064)$ | (0.6) |
|  | 2006 | A | 2,151,000 | 1,778,245 | 82.7 | P | 1,876,389 | 1,746,465 | 129,924 | 93.1 | 6.9 | (23,799) | (1.0) | $(55,559)$ | (2.4) | 44,558 | 2.4 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,153,000 | 1,802,024 | 83.7 |  | 1,887,390 | 1,802,024 | 85,366 | 95.5 | 4.5 | $(56,358)$ | (4.5) | 1,189,019 | 4.3 | 25,987 | (4.3) |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,107,000 | 1,858,382 | 88.2 |  | 672,384 | 613,005 | 59,379 | 91.2 | 8.8 | 361,968 | 16.1 | $(883,409)$ | 5.2 | $(184,065)$ | (5.2) |
|  | 2000 |  | 2,076,000 | 1,496,414 | 72.1 |  | 1,739,858 | 1,496,414 | 243,444 | 86.0 | 14.0 | $(232,786)$ | (14.2) | $(232,786)$ | (9.7) | 165,526 | 9.7 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,005,000 | 1,729,200 | 86.2 |  | 1,807,118 | 1,729,200 | 77,918 | 95.7 | 4.3 | $(2,652)$ | (2.1) | $(2,652)$ | 0.8 | $(16,183)$ | (0.8) |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,960,000 | 1,731,852 | 88.4 |  | 1,825,953 | 1,731,852 | 94,101 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 106,212 | 3.6 | 106,212 | (5.2) | 94,101 | 5.2 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,917,000 | 1,625,640 | 84.8 |  | 1,625,640 | 1,625,640 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,873,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri | 2012 | c | 4,618,513 | 4,191,778 | 90.8 |  | 4,191,778 | 3,738,791 | 452,987 | 89.2 | 10.8 | 54,283 | 0.1 | 64,331 | 0.4 | $(10,048)$ | (0.4) |
|  | 2010 | C | 4,563,491 | 4,137,495 | 90.7 |  | 4,137,495 | 3,674,460 | 463,035 | 88.8 | 11.2 | $(16,618)$ | (1.9) | (95,733) | (1.9) | 79,115 | 1.9 |
| (116 jurisdictions*) | 2008 | c | 4,490,000 | 4,154,113 | 92.5 |  | 4,154,113 | 3,770,193 | 383,920 | 90.8 | 9.2 | 146,939 | 2.0 | 155,979 | 1.3 | $(43,122)$ | (1.3) |
|  | 2006 | C | 4,426,000 | 4,007,174 | 90.5 |  | 4,041,256 | 3,614,214 | 427,042 | 89.4 | 10.6 | $(33,167)$ | (1.9) | 60,583 | 1.5 | $(59,668)$ | (1.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,370,000 | 4,040,341 | 92.5 |  | 4,040,341 | 3,553,631 | 486,710 | 88.0 | 12.0 | 268,805 | 4.2 | 244,913 | 0.2 | 23,892 | (0.2) |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,271,000 | 3,771,536 | 88.3 |  | 3,771,536 | 3,308,718 | 462,818 | 87.7 | 12.3 | (89, 136) | (4.0) | $(106,518)$ | (0.7) | 17,382 | 0.7 |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,182,000 | 3,860,672 | 92.3 |  | 3,860,672 | 3,415,236 | 445,436 | 88.5 | 11.5 | 224,681 | 2.5 | 174,579 | (0.7) | 50,102 | 0.7 |
|  | 1998 |  | 4,046,000 | 3,635,991 | 89.9 |  | 3,635,991 | 3,240,657 | 395,334 | 89.1 | 10.9 | 293,142 | 6.0 | $(102,192)$ | (10.9) | 395,334 | 10.9 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,984,000 | 3,342,849 | 83.9 |  | 3,342,849 | 3,342,849 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 390,207 | 8.8 | 390,207 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,932,000 | 2,952,642 | 75.1 |  | 2,952,642 | 2,952,642 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,851,000 | 3,067,955 | 79.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. <br> Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active $\%$ of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent <br> Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Montana | 2012 | C | 783,161 | 681,608 | 87.0 |  | 681,608 | 553,048 | 128,560 | 81.1 | 18.9 | 30,273 | 2.0 | 3,365 | (3.3) | 26,908 | 3.3 |
|  | 2010 | C | 765,852 | 651,335 | 85.0 |  | 651,335 | 549,683 | 101,652 | 84.4 | 15.6 | $(16,750)$ | (4.4) | $(12,458)$ | 0.3 | $(4,292)$ | (0.3) |
| (56 counties) | 2008 | C | 747,000 | 668,085 | 89.4 |  | 668,085 | 562,141 | 105,944 | 84.1 | 15.9 | 18,649 | 0.1 | 23,767 | 0.4 | 1,313 | (0.4) |
|  | 2006 | C | 727,000 | 649,436 | 89.3 |  | 643,005 | 538,374 | 104,631 | 83.7 | 16.3 | 10,961 | 0.5 | 18,318 | 2.3 | $(13,788)$ | (2.3) |
|  | 2004 |  | 719,000 | 638,475 | 88.8 |  | 638,475 | 520,056 | 118,419 | 81.5 | 18.5 | 13,927 | (1.5) | 5,388 | (1.0) | 8,539 | 1.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 692,000 | 624,548 | 90.3 |  | 624,548 | 514,668 | 109,880 | 82.4 | 17.6 | (73,712) | (13.2) | 2,152 | 9.0 | $(75,864)$ | (9.0) |
|  | 2000 |  | 675,000 | 698,260 | 103.4 |  | 698,260 | 512,516 | 185,744 | 73.4 | 26.6 | 59,019 | 6.4 | 17,753 | (4.0) | 41,266 | 4.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 659,000 | 639,241 | 97.0 |  | 639,241 | 494,763 | 144,478 | 77.4 | 22.6 | 48,490 | 5.8 | $(95,988)$ | (22.6) | 144,478 | 22.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 648,000 | 590,751 | 91.2 |  | 590,751 | 590,751 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 76,700 | 8.9 | 76,700 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 625,000 | 514,051 | 82.2 |  | 514,051 | 514,051 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 600,000 | 529,822 | 88.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska | 2012 | C | 1,392,120 | 1,163,871 | 83.6 |  | 1,163,871 | 1,035,285 | 128,586 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 21,624 | 0.1 | 14,648 | (0.4) | 6,976 | 0.4 |
|  | 2010 | C | 1,367,120 | 1,142,247 | 83.6 |  | 1,142,247 | 1,020,637 | 121,610 | 89.4 | 10.6 | $(14,787)$ | (3.1) | $(136,397)$ | (10.6) | 121,610 | 10.6 |
| (93 counties) | 2008 | C | 1,336,000 | 1,157,034 | 86.6 |  | 1,157,034 | 1,157,034 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 18,612 | 0.6 | 18,614 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2006 | A | 1,323,000 | 1,138,422 | 86.0 |  | 1,138,420 | 1,138,420 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(22,371)$ | (2.4) | $(22,373)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,313,000 | 1,160,793 | 88.4 |  | 1,160,793 | 1,160,793 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 77,249 | 4.0 | 77,249 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,284,000 | 1,083,544 | 84.4 |  | 1,083,544 | 1,083,544 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(1,673)$ | (1.5) | 43,521 | 4.2 | $(45,194)$ | (4.2) |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,264,000 | 1,085,217 | 85.9 |  | 1,085,217 | 1,040,023 | 45,194 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 28,866 | (0.6) | 58,863 | 3.0 | $(29,997)$ | (3.0) |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,222,000 | 1,056,351 | 86.4 |  | 1,056,351 | 981,160 | 75,191 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 41,295 | 2.4 | $(33,896)$ | (7.1) | 75,191 | 7.1 |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,208,000 | 1,015,056 | 84.0 |  | 1,015,056 | 1,015,056 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 95,735 | 6.5 | 95,735 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,186,000 | 919,321 | 77.5 |  | 919,321 | 919,321 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,164,000 | 951,395 | 81.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nevada | 2012 | A | 2,095,348 | 1,258,409 | 60.1 |  | 1,497,822 | 1,258,409 | 239,413 | 84.0 | 16.0 | $(117,439)$ | (7.5) | 144,014 | 2.8 | $(17,538)$ | (2.8) |
|  | 2010 | 0 | 2,035,543 | 1,375,848 | 67.6 |  | 1,371,346 | 1,114,395 | 256,951 | 81.3 | 18.7 | $(70,690)$ | (7.3) | $(93,987)$ | (2.2) | 18,287 | 2.2 |
| (17 jurisdictions*) | 2008 | C | 1,932,000 | 1,446,538 | 74.9 |  | 1,447,046 | 1,208,382 | 238,664 | 83.5 | 16.5 | 455,484 | 21.6 | 217,328 | 2.8 | 1,169 | (2.8) |
|  | 2006 | A | 1,861,000 | 991,054 | 53.3 | P | 1,228,549 | 991,054 | 237,495 | 80.7 | 19.3 | $(85,857)$ | (9.0) | $(85,857)$ | (5.7) | 66,866 | 5.7 |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,731,000 | 1,076,911 | 62.2 |  | 1,247,540 | 1,076,911 | 170,629 | 86.3 | 13.7 | 207,052 | 7.9 | 207,052 | 2.8 | $(1,571)$ | (2.8) |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,602,000 | 869,859 | 54.3 |  | 1,042,059 | 869,859 | 172,200 | 83.5 | 16.5 | 106,975 | 3.4 | 106,975 | (3.3) | 56,114 | 3.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,500,000 | 762,884 | 50.9 |  | 878,970 | 762,884 | 116,086 | 86.8 | 13.2 | $(135,015)$ | (19.0) | 762,884 | ........ | 116,086 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,285,000 | 897,899 | 69.9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . $\cdot \cdots . .$. | . $\cdot \cdots . .$. | 175,291 | 9.6 | $(722,608)$ | . ...... | $(56,416)$ | ........ |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,199,000 | 722,608 | 60.3 |  | 779,024 | 722,608 | 56,416 | 92.8 | 7.2 | 96,766 | 3.2 | 96,766 | (7.2) | 56,416 | 7.2 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,097,000 | 625,842 | 57.1 |  | 625,842 | 625,842 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,011,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Hampshire* | 2012 | A | 1,045,878 | 878,136 | 84.0 |  | 878,135 | 878,135 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(67,205)$ | (7.9) | $(67,206)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2010 | A | 1,029,236 | 945,341 | 91.8 |  | 945,341 | 945,341 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(13,187)$ | (1.9) | $(13,187)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (10 counties*) | 2008 | A | 1,022,000 | 958,528 | 93.8 |  | 958,528 | 958,528 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 110,211 | 10.4 | 958,528 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |

[^18]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/nactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The " P " column indicates partial coverage for Active/lnactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) Estime <br> Estima <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| New Hampshire* | 2006 | A | 1,017,000 | 848,317 | 83.4 | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | $(7,544)$ | (2.6) | (855,861) |  | 0 |  |
|  | 2004 |  | 995,000 | 855,861 | 86.0 |  | 855,861 | 855,861 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 165,702 | 14.7 | 165,702 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 968,000 | 690,159 | 71.3 |  | 690,159 | 690,159 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (166,360) | (20.7) | (166,360) | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 931,000 | 856,519 | 92.0 |  | 856,519 | 856,519 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 92,674 | 6.1 | 92,674 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 889,000 | 763,845 | 85.9 |  | 763,845 | 763,845 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 9,074 | (0.9) | 9,074 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 869,000 | 754,771 | 86.9 |  | 754,771 | 754,771 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 77,151 | 6.8 | 77,151 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 846,000 | 677,620 | 80.1 |  | 677,620 | 677,620 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 838,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Jersey | 2012 | C | 6,838,206 | 5,415,639 | 79.2 |  | 5,415,639 | 5,016,550 | 399,089 | 92.6 | 7.4 | 279,809 | 2.8 | 297,082 | 0.7 | $(17,273)$ | (0.7) |
|  | 2010 | c | 6,726,680 | 5,135,830 | 76.4 |  | 5,135,830 | 4,719,468 | 416,362 | 91.9 | 8.1 | $(250,597)$ | (4.8) | (198,304) | 0.6 | $(52,281)$ | (0.6) |
| (21 counties) | 2008 | c | 6,635,000 | 5,386,427 | 81.2 |  | 5,386,415 | 4,917,772 | 468,643 | 91.3 | 8.7 | 537,471 | 8.1 | 307,595 | 5.0 | (263,943) | (5.0) |
|  | 2006 | c | 6,635,000 | 4,848,956 | 73.1 | P | 5,342,763 | 4,610,177 | 732,586 | 86.3 | 13.7 | (253,610) | (4.9) | $(32,884)$ | (4.7) | 273,081 | 4.7 |
|  | 2004 |  | 6,543,000 | 5,102,566 | 78.0 |  | 5,102,566 | 4,643,061 | 459,505 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 21,417 | (0.7) | $(11,836)$ | (0.6) | 33,253 | 0.6 |
|  | 2002 |  | 6,458,000 | 5,081,149 | 78.7 |  | 5,081,149 | 4,654,897 | 426,252 | 91.6 | 8.4 | 367,604 | 4.4 | 388,681 | 1.1 | $(21,077)$ | (1.1) |
|  | 2000 |  | 6,342,000 | 4,713,545 | 74.3 |  | 4,713,545 | 4,266,216 | 447,329 | 90.5 | 9.5 | 180,293 | 0.5 | 139,434 | (0.5) | 40,859 | 0.5 |
|  | 1998 |  | 6,144,000 | 4,533,252 | 73.8 |  | 4,533,252 | 4,126,782 | 406,470 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 223,432 | 2.5 | 15,751 | (4.4) | 207,681 | 4.4 |
|  | 1996 |  | 6,042,000 | 4,309,820 | 71.3 |  | 4,309,820 | 4,111,031 | 198,789 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 404,385 | 6.0 | 205,596 | (4.6) | 198,789 | 4.6 |
|  | 1994 |  | 5,979,000 | 3,905,435 | 65.3 |  | 3,905,435 | 3,905,435 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 5,964,000 | 4,060,337 | 68.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Mexico | 2012 | 0 | 1,571,096 | 1,252,438 | 79.7 |  | 647,100 | 541,077 | 106,023 | 83.6 | 16.4 | 105,261 | 5.2 | (525,893) | (9.4) | 25,816 | 9.4 |
|  | 2010 | C | 1,540,507 | 1,147,177 | 74.5 |  | 1,147,177 | 1,066,970 | 80,207 | 93.0 | 7.0 | $(38,262)$ | (5.5) | 324,069 | 0.8 | 17,446 | (0.8) |
| (33 counties) | 2008 | C | 1,482,000 | 1,185,439 | 80.0 | P | 805,662 | 742,901 | 62,761 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 96,462 | 4.7 | $(121,351)$ | 12.2 | (152,569) | (12.2) |
|  | 2006 | c | 1,446,000 | 1,088,977 | 75.3 | P | 1,079,582 | 864,252 | 215,330 | 80.1 | 19.9 | (154,817) | (12.8) | $(241,120)$ | (8.8) | 76,908 | 8.8 |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,411,000 | 1,243,794 | 88.1 |  | 1,243,794 | 1,105,372 | 138,422 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 209,513 | 11.6 | 154,629 | (3.1) | 54,884 | 3.1 |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,352,000 | 1,034,281 | 76.5 |  | 1,034,281 | 950,743 | 83,538 | 91.9 | 8.1 | 60,748 | 2.5 | 67,691 | 1.2 | $(6,943)$ | (1.2) |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,315,000 | 973,533 | 74.0 |  | 973,533 | 883,052 | 90,481 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 57,347 | 0.2 | 62,046 | 1.1 | $(4,699)$ | (1.1) |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,241,000 | 916,186 | 73.8 |  | 916,186 | 821,006 | 95,180 | 89.6 | 10.4 | 78,392 | 4.8 | 82,481 | 1.5 | $(4,089)$ | (1.5) |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,214,000 | 837,794 | 69.0 |  | 837,794 | 738,525 | 99,269 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 124,149 | 8.1 | 24,880 | (11.8) | 99,269 | 11.8 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,171,000 | 713,645 | 60.9 |  | 713,645 | 713,645 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,121,000 | 706,966 | 63.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York | 2012 | c | 15,307,107 | 11,720,541 | 76.6 |  | 5,913,605 | 5,403,806 | 509,799 | 91.4 | 8.6 | $(86,203)$ | (1.9) | (5,276,730) | 0.9 | $(616,692)$ | (0.9) |
|  | 2010 | C | 15,053,173 | 11,806,744 | 78.4 |  | 11,807,027 | 10,680,536 | 1,126,491 | 90.5 | 9.5 | $(224,568)$ | (1.3) | $(135,964)$ | 0.6 | $(88,321)$ | (0.6) |
| (62 counties) | 2008 | A | 15,082,000 | 12,031,312 | 79.8 |  | 12,031,312 | 10,816,500 | 1,214,812 | 89.9 | 10.1 | 361,739 | 0.9 | 579,773 | 2.2 | (218,368) | (2.2) |
|  | 2006 | C | 14,792,000 | 11,669,573 | 78.9 | P | 11,669,907 | 10,236,727 | 1,433,180 | 87.7 | 12.3 | $(167,495)$ | (1.9) | $(398,998)$ | (2.1) | 231,837 | 2.1 |
|  | 2004 |  | 14,655,000 | 11,837,068 | 80.8 |  | 11,837,068 | 10,635,725 | 1,201,343 | 89.9 | 10.1 | 590,979 | 3.6 | 455,362 | (0.7) | 135,617 | 0.7 |
|  | 2002 |  | 14,572,000 | 11,246,089 | 77.2 |  | 11,246,089 | 10,180,363 | 1,065,726 | 90.5 | 9.5 | (16,727) | (1.5) | 152,978 | 1.5 | $(169,705)$ | (1.5) |

[^19]| 2012 Electio Table 1a. Re | minis |  | and Voting | Survey |  |  |  |  |  | The " R " The "P" | column " column | ates the inc ates partia |  | active voter e/Inactive | Reported ; see foot | istration; <br> s. | tnotes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Repor | d Registration |  |  | Sur | ey Response |  | Percen | ntages |  | Cha | From Previ | deral Elec |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive <br> \% of Total <br> Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| New York | 2000 |  | 14,314,000 | 11,262,816 | 78.7 |  | 11,262,816 | 10,027,385 | 1,235,431 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 522,028 | 0.4 | 473,720 | 0.1 | 48,308 | (0.1) |
|  | 1998 |  | 13,721,000 | 10,740,788 | 78.3 |  | 10,740,788 | 9,553,665 | 1,187,123 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 580,665 | 3.6 | (14,323) | (5.2) | 594,988 | 5.2 |
|  | 1996 |  | 13,606,000 | 10,160,123 | 74.7 |  | 10,160,123 | 9,567,988 | 592,135 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 1,341,432 | 10.0 | 749,297 | (5.8) | 592,135 | 5.8 |
|  | 1994 |  | 13,633,000 | 8,818,691 | 64.7 |  | 8,818,691 | 8,818,691 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 13,705,000 | 9,193,391 | 67.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Carolina | 2012 | C | 7,465,545 | 6,655,291 | 89.1 |  | 6,655,291 | 5,986,515 | 668,776 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 448,198 | 3.6 | 230,112 | (2.8) | 218,086 | 2.8 |
|  | 2010 | c | 7,253,848 | 6,207,093 | 85.6 |  | 6,207,093 | 5,756,403 | 450,690 | 92.7 | 7.3 | $(19,111)$ | (3.6) | $(91,053)$ | (0.6) | 33,413 | 0.6 |
| (100 counties) | 2008 | c | 6,979,000 | 6,226,204 | 89.2 |  | 6,264,733 | 5,847,456 | 417,277 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 658,780 | 6.1 | 802,024 | 2.9 | (116,829) | (2.9) |
|  | 2006 | C | 6,701,000 | 5,567,424 | 83.1 |  | 5,579,538 | 5,045,432 | 534,106 | 90.4 | 9.6 | 40,443 | (3.0) | 64,006 | 0.3 | $(11,449)$ | (0.3) |
|  | 2004 |  | 6,423,000 | 5,526,981 | 86.0 |  | 5,526,981 | 4,981,426 | 545,555 | 90.1 | 9.9 | 246,288 | 1.6 | 205,068 | (0.3) | 41,220 | 0.3 |
|  | 2002 |  | 6,254,000 | 5,280,693 | 84.4 |  | 5,280,693 | 4,776,358 | 504,335 | 90.4 | 9.6 | 74,642 | (0.9) | 54,003 | (0.3) | 20,639 | 0.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 6,104,000 | 5,206,051 | 85.3 |  | 5,206,051 | 4,722,355 | 483,696 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 453,438 | 1.1 | 373,065 | (0.8) | 80,373 | 0.8 |
|  | 1998 |  | 5,645,000 | 4,752,613 | 84.2 |  | 4,752,613 | 4,349,290 | 403,323 | 91.5 | 8.5 | 434,605 | 5.7 | 123,525 | (6.4) | 311,080 | 6.4 |
|  | 1996 |  | 5,501,000 | 4,318,008 | 78.5 |  | 4,318,008 | 4,225,765 | 92,243 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 682,133 | 10.3 | 589,890 | (2.1) | 92,243 | 2.1 |
|  | 1994 |  | 5,331,000 | 3,635,875 | 68.2 |  | 3,635,875 | 3,635,875 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 5,190,000 | 3,817,380 | 73.6 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Dakota* | 2012 | x | 545,020 | 545,020 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 22,300 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
|  | 2010 | x | 522,720 | 522,720 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ..... | ...... | 24,720 | 0.0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 |  |
| (53 counties) | 2008 | X | 498,000 | 498,000 | 100.0 |  | 2,114,489 | 1,811,650 | 302,839 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 7,000 | 0.0 | 1,811,650 | ........ | 302,839 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2006 | x | 491,000 | 491,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | $(4,000)$ | 0.0 | 0 | ....... | 0 |  |
|  | 2004 |  | 495,000 | 495,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ...... | ........ | 11,000 | 0.0 | 0 | ........ | 0 |  |
|  | 2002 |  | 484,000 | 484,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2,000 | 0.0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 2000 |  | 482,000 | 482,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 5,000 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 1998 |  | 477,000 | 477,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1,000 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 1996 |  | 476,000 | 476,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ....... | 6,000 | 0.0 | 0 | ........ | - | $\cdots$ |
|  | 1994 |  | 470,000 | 470,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 462,000 | 462,000 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio | 2012 | C | 8,880,551 | 7,987,697 | 89.9 |  | 7,987,697 | 6,621,906 | 1,365,791 | 82.9 | 17.1 | $(56,618)$ | (1.4) | (1,426,409) | (17.1) | 1,365,791 | 17.1 |
|  | 2010 | A | 8,805,753 | 8,044,315 | 91.4 | P | 8,048,315 | 8,048,315 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | (243,350) | (3.3) | 2,527,112 | 20.1 | $(1,388,165)$ | (20.1) |
| (88 counties) | 2008 | 0 | 8,756,000 | 8,887,665 | 94.7 | P | 6,909,368 | 5,521,203 | 1,388,165 | 79.9 | 20.1 | 427,613 | 4.4 | (1,563,118) | (9.9) | 585,515 | 9.9 |
|  | 2006 | C | 8,708,000 | 7,860,052 | 90.3 | P | 7,886,971 | 7,084,321 | 802,650 | 89.8 | 10.2 | (121,426) | (1.7) | (897, 157) | (10.2) | 802,650 | 10.2 |
|  | 2004 |  | 8,680,000 | 7,981,478 | 92.0 |  | 7,981,478 | 7,981,478 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 870,577 | 9.1 | 1,477,247 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 8,580,000 | 7,110,901 | 82.9 |  | 6,504,231 | 6,504,231 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (233,893) | (3.7) | $(10,492)$ | 11.3 | $(830,071)$ | (11.3) |
|  | 2000 |  | 8,480,000 | 7,344,794 | 86.6 |  | 7,344,794 | 6,514,723 | 830,071 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 230,489 | 1.9 | 455,915 | 3.5 | $(225,426)$ | (3.5) |
|  | 1998 |  | 8,394,000 | 7,114,305 | 84.8 |  | 7,114,305 | 6,058,808 | 1,055,497 | 85.2 | 14.8 | 272,033 | 2.6 | $(783,464)$ | (14.8) | 1,055,497 | 14.8 |
|  | 1996 |  | 8,332,000 | 6,842,272 | 82.1 |  | 6,842,272 | 6,842,272 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 591,727 | 6.5 | 591,727 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

[^20]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/nactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The " P " column indicates partial coverage for Active/lnactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State |  | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age Population <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | $\begin{gathered} \text { Active } \\ \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Reg. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Inactive <br> $\%$ of <br> Total <br> Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Ohio | 1994 |  | 8,265,000 | 6,250,545 | 75.6 |  | 6,250,545 | 6,250,545 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 8,207,000 | 6,542,931 | 79.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | 2012 | c | 2,877,457 | 2,114,489 | 73.5 |  | 2,114,489 | 1,811,650 | 302,839 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 32,061 | (0.3) | 37,675 | 0.5 | (5,614) | (0.5) |
|  | 2010 | c | 2,821,685 | 2,082,428 | 73.8 |  | 2,082,428 | 1,773,975 | 308,453 | 85.2 | 14.8 | $(101,658)$ | (6.0) | $(105,834)$ | (0.9) | 4,176 | 0.9 |
| (77 counties) | 2008 | c | 2,736,000 | 2,184,086 | 79.8 |  | 2,184,086 | 1,879,809 | 304,277 | 86.1 | 13.9 | 108,525 | 2.5 | 120,611 | 0.9 | $(2,346)$ | (0.9) |
|  | 2006 | c | 2,685,000 | 2,075,561 | 77.3 |  | 2,065,821 | 1,759,198 | 306,623 | 85.2 | 14.8 | $(68,417)$ | (3.2) | (80,830) | (0.7) | 2,673 | 0.7 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,664,000 | 2,143,978 | 80.5 |  | 2,143,978 | 1,840,028 | 303,950 | 85.8 | 14.2 | 71,812 | 1.0 | 152,551 | 4.4 | $(80,739)$ | (4.4) |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,608,000 | 2,072,166 | 79.5 |  | 2,072,166 | 1,687,477 | 384,689 | 81.4 | 18.6 | $(167,072)$ | (7.8) | (49,013) | 3.9 | $(118,059)$ | (3.9) |
|  | 2000 |  | 2,565,000 | 2,239,238 | 87.3 |  | 2,239,238 | 1,736,490 | 502,748 | 77.5 | 22.5 | 181,065 | 4.2 | (739) | (6.9) | 181,804 | 6.9 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,477,000 | 2,058,173 | 83.1 |  | 2,058,173 | 1,737,229 | 320,944 | 84.4 | 15.6 | 72,638 | 1.3 | $(248,306)$ | (15.6) | 320,944 | 15.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,427,000 | 1,985,535 | 81.8 |  | 1,985,535 | 1,985,535 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (58,057) | (3.9) | 279,341 | 16.5 | $(337,798)$ | (16.5) |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,384,000 | 2,043,592 | 85.7 |  | 2,043,592 | 1,706,194 | 337,398 | 83.5 | 16.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,352,000 | 2,302,279 | 97.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon | 2012 | A | 3,038,729 | 2,199,360 | 72.4 |  | 2,199,360 | 2,199,360 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 130,562 | 2.6 | 130,562 | 0.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2010 | A | 2,964,621 | 2,068,798 | 69.8 |  | 2,068,798 | 2,068,798 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(85,116)$ | (3.9) | $(85,116)$ | 18.1 | $(474,565)$ | (18.1) |
| (36 counties) | 2008 | A | 2,922,000 | 2,153,914 | 73.7 |  | 2,628,479 | 2,153,914 | 474,565 | 81.9 | 18.1 | 177,245 | 4.2 | 177,245 | 3.5 | $(68,991)$ | (3.5) |
|  | 2006 | A | 2,844,000 | 1,976,669 | 69.5 |  | 2,520,225 | 1,976,669 | 543,556 | 78.4 | 21.6 | $(164,580)$ | (8.6) | (164,580) | (1.1) | $(8,569)$ | 1.1 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,742,000 | 2,141,249 | 78.1 |  | 2,693,374 | 2,141,249 | 552,125 | 79.5 | 20.5 | 268,634 | 8.0 | 268,634 | (3.6) | 171,807 | 3.6 |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,670,000 | 1,872,615 | 70.1 |  | 2,252,933 | 1,872,615 | 380,318 | 83.1 | 16.9 | $(81,391)$ | (5.5) | $(81,391)$ | (8.2) | 194,501 | 8.2 |
|  | 2000 |  | 2,583,000 | 1,954,006 | 75.6 |  | 2,139,823 | 1,954,006 | 185,817 | 91.3 | 8.7 | $(11,975)$ | (4.1) | $(11,975)$ | 0.2 | $(5,508)$ | (0.2) |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,466,000 | 1,965,981 | 79.7 |  | 2,157,306 | 1,965,981 | 191,325 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 3,826 | (1.9) | 3,826 | (2.2) | 50,931 | 2.2 |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,404,000 | 1,962,155 | 81.6 |  | 2,102,549 | 1,962,155 | 140,394 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 707,890 | 27.4 | 707,890 | 24.9 | (438,115) | (24.9) |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,315,000 | 1,254,265 | 54.2 |  | 1,832,774 | 1,254,265 | 578,509 | 68.4 | 31.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,220,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania | 2012 | c | 10,024,150 | 8,352,342 | 83.3 |  | 8,352,342 | 7,771,517 | 580,825 | 93.0 | 7.0 | 131,583 | 0.4 | 272,334 | 1.8 | (140,748) | (1.8) |
|  | 2010 |  | 9,910,224 | 8,220,759 | 83.0 |  | 8,220,756 | 7,499,183 | 721,573 | 91.2 | 8.8 | $(534,829)$ | (7.4) | (359,424) | (0.2) | $(19,184)$ | 0.2 |
| (67 counties) | 2008 | C | 9,686,000 | 8,755,588 | 90.4 |  | 8,599,364 | 7,858,607 | 740,757 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 572,712 | 5.5 | 536,767 | 0.3 | 23,413 | (0.3) |
|  | 2006 | c | 9,636,000 | 8,182,876 | 84.9 |  | 8,039,184 | 7,321,840 | 717,344 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 392,483 | 3.5 | $(468,553)$ | (2.7) | 200,614 | 2.7 |
|  | 2004 |  | 9,569,000 | 7,790,393 | 81.4 |  | 8,307,123 | 7,790,393 | 516,730 | 93.8 | 6.2 | 1,016,015 | 9.9 | 1,016,015 | 4.5 | (299,479) | (4.5) |
|  | 2002 |  | 9,472,000 | 6,774,378 | 71.5 |  | 7,590,587 | 6,774,378 | 816,209 | 89.2 | 10.8 | $(354,548)$ | (4.6) | $(354,548)$ | (2.6) | 183,138 | 2.6 |
|  | 2000 |  | 9,371,000 | 7,128,926 | 76.1 |  | 7,761,997 | 7,128,926 | 633,071 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 162,465 | 0.0 | 162,465 | (4.1) | 340,710 | 4.1 |
|  | 1998 |  | 9,163,000 | 6,966,461 | 76.0 |  | 7,258,822 | 6,966,461 | 292,361 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 218,622 | 2.3 | 218,622 | (3.2) | 234,612 | 3.2 |
|  | 1996 |  | 9,153,000 | 6,747,839 | 73.7 |  | 6,805,588 | 6,747,839 | 57,749 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 868,746 | 9.5 | 868,746 | (0.8) | 57,749 | 0.8 |
|  | 1994 |  | 9,148,000 | 5,879,093 | 64.3 |  | 5,879,093 | 5,879,093 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 9,161,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active $\%$ of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Rhode Island | 2012 | C | 833,818 | 725,309 | 87.0 |  | 725,309 | 661,028 | 64,281 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 19,148 | 1.8 | 13,459 | (0.6) | 5,689 | 0.6 |
|  | 2010 | C | 828,611 | 706,161 | 85.2 |  | 706,161 | 647,569 | 58,592 | 91.7 | 8.3 | 4,854 | (0.1) | $(6,224)$ | (1.5) | 11,178 | 1.5 |
| ( 5 counties*) | 2008 |  | 822,000 | 701,307 | 85.3 |  | 701,207 | 653,793 | 47,414 | 93.2 | 6.8 | 18,963 | 3.1 | 63,641 | 2.9 | $(15,542)$ | (2.9) |
|  | 2006 | C | 830,000 | 682,344 | 82.2 |  | 653,108 | 590,152 | 62,956 | 90.4 | 9.6 | $(26,706)$ | (2.5) | $(117,082)$ | ....... | $(17,557)$ | ..... |
|  | 2004 |  | 837,000 | 709,050 | 84.7 |  | 787,747 | 707,234 | 80,513 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 36,100 | 3.0 | 707,234 | ....... | 80,513 | ....... |
|  | 2002 |  | 824,000 | 672,950 | 81.7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ….... | 7,526 | (1.2) | $(665,424)$ | . . . $1 .$. | $(6,188)$ | ....... |
|  | 2000 |  | 803,000 | 665,424 | 82.9 |  | 671,612 | 665,424 | 6,188 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 35,638 | (0.9) | 35,638 | (0.4) | 3,019 | 0.4 |
|  | 1998 |  | 752,000 | 629,786 | 83.7 |  | 632,955 | 629,786 | 3,169 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 27,094 | 3.5 | 27,094 | (0.5) | 3,169 | 0.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 751,000 | 602,692 | 80.3 |  | 602,692 | 602,692 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50,054 | 7.1 | 50,054 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 755,000 | 552,638 | 73.2 |  | 552,638 | 552,638 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 768,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Carolina | 2012 | A | 3,643,633 | 2,875,121 | 78.9 |  | 3,070,965 | 2,875,121 | 195,844 | 93.6 | 6.4 | 244,758 | 4.7 | 244,758 | 4.7 | $(131,348)$ | (4.7) |
|  | 2010 | A | 3,544,890 | 2,630,363 | 74.2 |  | 2,957,555 | 2,630,363 | 327,192 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 76,440 | (0.6) | 76,440 | 3.0 | $(92,175)$ | (3.0) |
| (46 counties) | 2008 | 0 | 3,414,000 | 2,553,923 | 74.8 |  | 2,973,290 | 2,553,923 | 419,367 | 85.9 | 14.1 | 101,205 | 0.1 | 97,958 | (10.1) | 317,231 | 10.1 |
|  | 2006 | A | 3,282,000 | 2,452,718 | 74.7 |  | 2,558,101 | 2,455,965 | 102,136 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 137,256 | 1.8 | 140,503 | 8.9 | $(240,095)$ | (8.9) |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,173,000 | 2,315,462 | 73.0 |  | 2,657,693 | 2,315,462 | 342,231 | 87.1 | 12.9 | 268,094 | 6.7 | 268,094 | 2.7 | $(35,662)$ | (2.7) |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,087,000 | 2,047,368 | 66.3 |  | 2,425,261 | 2,047,368 | 377,893 | 84.4 | 15.6 | $(222,645)$ | (9.0) | $(222,645)$ | (12.0) | 294,230 | 12.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,014,000 | 2,270,013 | 75.3 |  | 2,353,676 | 2,270,013 | 83,663 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 248,250 | 5.3 | 248,250 | (0.5) | 20,256 | 0.5 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,889,000 | 2,021,763 | 70.0 |  | 2,085,170 | 2,021,763 | 63,407 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 206,987 | 5.2 | 206,987 | 7.5 | $(150,192)$ | (7.5) |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,802,000 | 1,814,776 | 64.8 |  | 2,028,375 | 1,814,776 | 213,599 | 89.5 | 10.5 | 315,187 | 9.9 | 315,187 | (4.0) | 109,649 | 4.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,733,000 | 1,499,589 | 54.9 |  | 1,603,539 | 1,499,589 | 103,950 | 93.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,669,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Dakota | 2012 | 0 | 629,185 | 523,410 | 83.2 |  | 428,764 | 393,379 | 35,385 | 91.7 | 8.3 | $(51,740)$ | (10.9) | $(126,017)$ | 1.4 | $(20,369)$ | (1.4) |
|  | 2010 | A | 611,383 | 575,150 | 94.1 |  | 575,150 | 519,396 | 55,754 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 44,688 | 6.5 | $(11,066)$ | (1.8) | 10,584 | 1.8 |
| (66 counties) | 2008 | A | 606,000 | 530,462 | 87.5 |  | 575,632 | 530,462 | 45,170 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 27,376 | 1.8 | 23,330 | 0.6 | $(1,736)$ | (0.6) |
|  | 2006 | A | 587,000 | 503,086 | 85.7 |  | 554,038 | 507,132 | 46,906 | 91.5 | 8.5 | 693 | (0.9) | 4,739 | 0.5 | $(2,812)$ | (0.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 580,000 | 502,393 | 86.6 |  | 552,111 | 502,393 | 49,718 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 27,028 | 2.2 | 27,028 | 0.8 | $(2,173)$ | (0.8) |
|  | 2002 |  | 563,000 | 475,365 | 84.4 |  | 527,256 | 475,365 | 51,891 | 90.2 | 9.8 | 4,213 | (0.6) | 4,213 | (0.3) | 2,162 | 0.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 554,000 | 471,152 | 85.0 |  | 520,881 | 471,152 | 49,729 | 90.5 | 9.5 | 18,367 | 1.2 | 18,367 | (0.9) | 6,728 | 0.9 |
|  | 1998 |  | 540,000 | 452,785 | 83.8 |  | 495,786 | 452,785 | 43,001 | 91.3 | 8.7 | $(10,073)$ | (2.7) | $(10,073)$ | (5.3) | 26,914 | 5.3 |
|  | 1996 |  | 535,000 | 462,858 | 86.5 |  | 478,945 | 462,858 | 16,087 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 32,319 | 4.5 | 32,319 | (3.4) | 16,087 | 3.4 |
|  | 1994 |  | 525,000 | 430,539 | 82.0 |  | 430,539 | 430,539 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 505,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tennessee | 2012 | C | 4,962,227 | 4,024,960 | 81.1 |  | 4,024,959 | 3,571,628 | 453,331 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 72,556 | (0.4) | $(33,307)$ | (2.5) | 105,872 | 2.5 |
|  | 2010 | C | 4,850,104 | 3,952,404 | 81.5 |  | 3,952,394 | 3,604,935 | 347,459 | 91.2 | 8.8 | $(42,152)$ | (2.9) | $(59,918)$ | (0.5) | 17,756 | 0.5 |
| (95 counties) | 2008 | C | 4,736,000 | 3,994,556 | 84.3 |  | 3,994,556 | 3,664,853 | 329,703 | 91.7 | 8.3 | 255,853 | 3.0 | 230,730 | 0.7 | $(10,021)$ | (0.7) |

[^21]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The " R " column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/lnactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active $\%$ of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Tennessee | 2006 | C | 4,596,000 | 3,738,703 | 81.3 | P | 3,773,847 | 3,434,123 | 339,724 | 91.0 | 9.0 | $(33,185)$ | (2.3) | 45,550 | 1.2 | $(43,591)$ | (1.2) |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,510,000 | 3,771,888 | 83.6 |  | 3,771,888 | 3,388,573 | 383,315 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 317,361 | 5.1 | 254,469 | (0.9) | 62,892 | 0.9 |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,398,000 | 3,454,527 | 78.5 |  | 3,454,527 | 3,134,104 | 320,423 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 54,040 | (0.4) | $(47,004)$ | (2.8) | 101,044 | 2.8 |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,305,000 | 3,400,487 | 79.0 |  | 3,400,487 | 3,181,108 | 219,379 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 156,225 | 0.1 | 124,100 | (0.7) | 32,125 | 0.7 |
|  | 1998 |  | 4,114,000 | 3,244,262 | 78.9 |  | 3,244,262 | 3,057,008 | 187,254 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 146,926 | 1.6 | 45,813 | (3.0) | 101,113 | 3.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 4,007,000 | 3,097,336 | 77.3 |  | 3,097,336 | 3,011,195 | 86,141 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 404,333 | 8.0 | 318,192 | (2.8) | 86,141 | 2.8 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,885,000 | 2,693,003 | 69.3 |  | 2,693,003 | 2,693,003 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,796,000 | 2,726,449 | 71.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 2012 | C | 19,073,564 | 13,690,729 | 71.8 |  | 13,690,729 | 11,845,118 | 1,845,611 | 86.5 | 13.5 | 428,297 | (0.8) | 478,755 | 0.8 | $(42,151)$ | (0.8) |
|  | 2010 |  | 18,279,737 | 13,262,432 | 72.6 |  | 13,254,125 | 11,366,363 | 1,887,762 | 85.8 | 14.2 | $(312,630)$ | (4.6) | $(310,655)$ | (0.3) | $(10,282)$ | 0.3 |
| (254 counties) | 2008 | C | 17,601,000 | 13,575,062 | 77.1 |  | 13,575,062 | 11,677,018 | 1,898,044 | 86.0 | 14.0 | 500,783 | 0.3 | 770,519 | 2.6 | $(269,736)$ | (2.6) |
|  | 2006 | C | 17,014,000 | 13,074,279 | 76.8 | P | 13,074,279 | 10,906,499 | 2,167,780 | 83.4 | 16.6 | $(24,050)$ | (3.9) | $(94,179)$ | (0.6) | 70,129 | 0.6 |
|  | 2004 |  | 16,223,000 | 13,098,329 | 80.7 |  | 13,098,329 | 11,000,678 | 2,097,651 | 84.0 | 16.0 | 534,870 | 0.1 | 665,905 | 1.7 | $(131,035)$ | (1.7) |
|  | 2002 |  | 15,576,000 | 12,563,459 | 80.7 |  | 12,563,459 | 10,334,773 | 2,228,686 | 82.3 | 17.7 | 198,224 | (1.6) | 67,134 | (0.8) | 131,090 | 0.8 |
|  | 2000 |  | 15,040,000 | 12,365,235 | 82.2 |  | 12,365,235 | 10,267,639 | 2,097,596 | 83.0 | 17.0 | 827,000 | 1.1 | 685,134 | (0.0) | 141,866 | 0.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 14,223,000 | 11,538,235 | 81.1 |  | 11,538,235 | 9,582,505 | 1,955,730 | 83.1 | 16.9 | 997,557 | 3.9 | 31,314 | (7.6) | 966,243 | 7.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 13,643,000 | 10,540,678 | 77.3 |  | 10,540,678 | 9,551,191 | 989,487 | 90.6 | 9.4 | 1,898,830 | 11.6 | 909,343 | (9.4) | 989,487 | 9.4 |
|  | 1994 |  | 13,153,000 | 8,641,848 | 65.7 |  | 8,641,848 | 8,641,848 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 12,681,000 | 8,440,143 | 66.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utah | 2012 | C | 1,967,315 | 1,508,372 | 76.7 |  | 1,508,372 | 1,325,786 | 182,586 | 87.9 | 12.1 | 8,067 | (2.6) | $(12,961)$ | (1.3) | 21,028 | 1.3 |
|  | 2010 | C | 1,892,858 | 1,500,305 | 79.3 |  | 1,500,305 | 1,338,747 | 161,558 | 89.2 | 10.8 | $(75,005)$ | (4.2) | $(3,579)$ | 4.0 | $(71,426)$ | (4.0) |
| (29 counties) | 2008 | C | 1,887,000 | 1,575,310 | 83.5 |  | 1,575,310 | 1,342,326 | 232,984 | 85.2 | 14.8 | 272,905 | 9.4 | 62,601 | (0.6) | 21,702 | 0.6 |
|  | 2006 | A | 1,759,000 | 1,302,405 | 74.0 | P | 1,491,007 | 1,279,725 | 211,282 | 85.8 | 14.2 | 238,571 | 9.5 | 215,891 | 4.5 | $(33,140)$ | (4.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,649,000 | 1,063,834 | 64.5 |  | 1,308,256 | 1,063,834 | 244,422 | 81.3 | 18.7 | $(47,169)$ | (5.8) | $(47,169)$ | (3.6) | 46,797 | 3.6 |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,581,000 | 1,111,003 | 70.3 |  | 1,308,628 | 1,111,003 | 197,625 | 84.9 | 15.1 | $(9,758)$ | (3.4) | $(9,758)$ | (1.0) | 14,151 | 1.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,522,000 | 1,120,761 | 73.6 |  | 1,304,235 | 1,120,761 | 183,474 | 85.9 | 14.1 | 75,690 | (0.4) | 75,690 | (4.4) | 71,315 | 4.4 |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,411,000 | 1,045,071 | 74.1 |  | 1,157,230 | 1,045,071 | 112,159 | 90.3 | 9.7 | $(25,515)$ | (5.2) | $(25,515)$ | (9.7) | 112,159 | 9.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,350,000 | 1,070,586 | 79.3 |  | 1,070,586 | 1,070,586 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 148,605 | 7.6 | 148,605 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,285,000 | 921,981 | 71.7 |  | 921,981 | 921,981 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,169,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vermont | 2012 | 0 | 502,060 | 460,817 | 91.8 |  | 431,005 | 394,636 | 36,369 | 91.6 | 8.4 | 21,484 | 3.3 | 8,135 | (1.6) | 7,981 | 1.6 |
|  | 2010 | 0 | 496,508 | 439,333 | 88.5 | P | 414,889 | 386,501 | 28,388 | 93.2 | 6.8 | $(14,853)$ | (3.8) | $(44,415)$ | (1.6) | 4,617 | 1.6 |
| (14 counties*) | 2008 | C | 492,000 | 454,186 | 92.3 |  | 454,687 | 430,916 | 23,771 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 20,617 | 4.0 | 20,555 | 0.0 | 1,003 | (0.0) |
|  | 2006 | C | 491,000 | 433,569 | 88.3 |  | 433,129 | 410,361 | 22,768 | 94.7 | 5.3 | $(10,508)$ | (2.9) | $(33,716)$ | (5.3) | 22,768 | 5.3 |
|  | 2004 |  | 487,000 | 444,077 | 91.2 |  | 444,077 | 444,077 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 28,344 | 3.8 | 28,344 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 476,000 | 415,733 | 87.3 |  | 415,733 | 415,733 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(5,828)$ | (3.5) | $(5,828)$ | 1.4 | $(5,793)$ | (1.4) |

[^22]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/nactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. <br> Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active $\%$ of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent <br> Report. <br> Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Vermont | 2000 |  | 464,000 | 421,561 | 90.9 |  | 427,354 | 421,561 | 5,793 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 32,370 | 4.6 | 32,370 | 1.4 | $(5,237)$ | (1.4) |
|  | 1998 |  | 451,000 | 389,191 | 86.3 |  | 400,221 | 389,191 | 11,030 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 3,863 | (0.9) | 3,863 | (2.8) | 11,030 | 2.8 |
|  | 1996 |  | 442,000 | 385,328 | 87.2 |  | 385,328 | 385,328 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11,886 | 0.9 | 11,886 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 433,000 | 373,442 | 86.2 |  | 373,442 | 373,442 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 429,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia | 2012 | C | 6,329,130 | 5,428,091 | 85.8 |  | 5,428,091 | 4,847,630 | 580,461 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 395,956 | 3.9 | 127,179 | (4.5) | 268,777 | 4.5 |
|  | 2010 | C | 6,147,347 | 5,032,135 | 81.9 |  | 5,032,135 | 4,720,451 | 311,684 | 93.8 | 6.2 | $(2,529)$ | (2.8) | $(191,441)$ | (3.8) | 188,912 | 3.8 |
| (134 jurisdictions*) | 2008 | C | 5,946,000 | 5,034,664 | 84.7 |  | 5,034,664 | 4,911,892 | 122,772 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 478,724 | 6.6 | 664,647 | 4.3 | $(185,921)$ | (4.3) |
|  | 2006 | C | 5,836,000 | 4,555,940 | 78.1 |  | 4,555,938 | 4,247,245 | 308,693 | 93.2 | 6.8 | 40,265 | (1.8) | 67,941 | 0.7 | $(27,678)$ | (0.7) |
|  | 2004 |  | 5,655,000 | 4,515,675 | 79.9 |  | 4,515,675 | 4,179,304 | 336,371 | 92.6 | 7.4 | 457,351 | 5.9 | 120,980 | (7.4) | 336,371 | 7.4 |
|  | 2002 |  | 5,491,000 | 4,058,324 | 73.9 |  | 4,058,324 | 4,058,324 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(38,352)$ | (2.5) | 233,648 | 6.6 | $(270,511)$ | (6.6) |
|  | 2000 |  | 5,361,000 | 4,096,676 | 76.4 |  | 4,095,187 | 3,824,676 | 270,511 | 93.4 | 6.6 | 370,755 | 4.3 | 354,016 | 0.2 | 15,250 | (0.2) |
|  | 1998 |  | 5,168,000 | 3,725,921 | 72.1 |  | 3,725,921 | 3,470,660 | 255,261 | 93.1 | 6.9 | 404,149 | 6.5 | 289,798 | (2.6) | 114,351 | 2.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 5,066,000 | 3,321,772 | 65.6 |  | 3,321,772 | 3,180,862 | 140,910 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 321,212 | 5.0 | 180,302 | (4.2) | 140,910 | 4.2 |
|  | 1994 |  | 4,956,000 | 3,000,560 | 60.5 |  | 3,000,560 | 3,000,560 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 4,855,000 | 3,045,662 | 62.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington | 2012 | A | 5,312,045 | 3,904,959 | 73.5 |  | 4,319,827 | 3,904,959 | 414,868 | 90.4 | 9.6 | $(161,558)$ | (5.6) | 303,691 | 1.8 | $(50,381)$ | (1.8) |
|  | 2010 | C | 5,143,186 | 4,066,517 | 79.1 |  | 4,066,517 | 3,601,268 | 465,249 | 88.6 | 11.4 | 436,399 | 6.6 | $(28,850)$ | (1.6) | 71,032 | 1.6 |
| (39 counties) | 2008 | A | 5,008,000 | 3,630,118 | 72.5 |  | 4,024,335 | 3,630,118 | 394,217 | 90.2 | 9.8 | 365,607 | 5.5 | 365,531 | 5.7 | $(205,894)$ | (5.7) |
|  | 2006 | A | 4,870,000 | 3,264,511 | 67.0 |  | 3,864,698 | 3,264,587 | 600,111 | 84.5 | 15.5 | $(243,697)$ | (7.3) | $(243,621)$ | (3.8) | 131,964 | 3.8 |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,718,000 | 3,508,208 | 74.4 |  | 3,976,355 | 3,508,208 | 468,147 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 298,560 | 4.0 | 298,560 | 3.0 | $(87,203)$ | (3.0) |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,561,000 | 3,209,648 | 70.4 |  | 3,764,998 | 3,209,648 | 555,350 | 85.2 | 14.8 | 61,834 | (1.2) | 61,834 | (9.2) | 369,450 | 9.2 |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,398,000 | 3,147,814 | 71.6 |  | 3,333,714 | 3,147,814 | 185,900 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 28,252 | (2.1) | 28,252 | 2.3 | $(82,208)$ | (2.3) |
|  | 1998 |  | 4,237,000 | 3,119,562 | 73.6 |  | 3,387,670 | 3,119,562 | 268,108 | 92.1 | 7.9 | 41,434 | (1.3) | 41,434 | (3.3) | 120,875 | 3.3 |
|  | 1996 |  | 4,109,000 | 3,078,128 | 74.9 |  | 3,225,361 | 3,078,128 | 147,233 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 181,609 | 1.8 | 181,609 | (4.6) | 147,233 | 4.6 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,962,000 | 2,896,519 | 73.1 |  | 2,896,519 | 2,896,519 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,812,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Virginia | 2012 | C | 1,471,372 | 1,246,559 | 84.7 |  | 1,246,559 | 1,166,161 | 80,398 | 93.6 | 6.4 | 30,536 | 1.7 | 1,166,161 | $\ldots$ | 80,398 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2010 | C | 1,465,576 | 1,216,023 | 83.0 |  |  |  |  | ....... | ........ | 3,906 | (1.9) | $(1,160,245)$ | ....... | $(52,135)$ | ........ |
| (55 counties) | 2008 | C | 1,428,000 | 1,212,117 | 84.9 |  | 1,212,380 | 1,160,245 | 52,135 | 95.7 | 4.3 | 74,746 | 5.3 | 105,457 | 1.5 | $(12,572)$ | (1.5) |
|  | 2006 | C | 1,429,000 | 1,137,371 | 79.6 |  | 1,119,495 | 1,054,788 | 64,707 | 94.2 | 5.8 | $(31,323)$ | (2.1) | 224,139 | 23.1 | $(273,338)$ | (23.1) |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,431,000 | 1,168,694 | 81.7 |  | 1,168,694 | 830,649 | 338,045 | 71.1 | 28.9 | 107,802 | 6.5 | 125,441 | 4.6 | $(17,639)$ | (4.6) |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,411,000 | 1,060,892 | 75.2 |  | 1,060,892 | 705,208 | 355,684 | 66.5 | 33.5 | $(5,457)$ | (0.6) | $(326,528)$ | (30.3) | 321,071 | 30.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,407,000 | 1,066,349 | 75.8 |  | 1,066,349 | 1,031,736 | 34,613 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 58,538 | 4.4 | 80,155 | 2.3 | $(21,617)$ | (2.3) |


| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/lnactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| West Virginia | 1998 |  | 1,411,000 | 1,007,811 | 71.4 |  | 1,007,811 | 951,581 | 56,230 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 37,066 | 2.1 | 1,033 | (3.5) | 36,033 | 3.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,400,000 | 970,745 | 69.3 |  | 970,745 | 950,548 | 20,197 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 86,430 | 5.8 | 66,233 | (2.1) | 20,197 | 2.1 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,392,000 | 884,315 | 63.5 |  | 884,315 | 884,315 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,376,000 | 956,172 | 69.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin* | 2012 | A | 4,408,841 | 3,987,248 | 90.4 |  | 3,987,248 | 3,987,248 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 278,019 | 5.1 | 278,019 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2010 | A | 4,347,494 | 3,709,229 | 85.3 |  | 3,709,229 | 3,709,229 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(314,732)$ | (8.0) | $(45,306)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (72 counties*) | 2008 |  | 4,314,000 | 4,023,961 | 93.3 |  | 3,754,535 | 3,754,535 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 480,236 | 9.8 | 210,936 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2006 | A | 4,244,000 | 3,543,725 | 83.5 |  | 3,543,599 | 3,543,599 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | ........ | ........ | ........ | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,201,000 | 4,192,515 | 99.8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | ........ | ........ | $(2,308,923)$ | ........ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,099,000 | 4,115,452 | 100.4 |  | 2,308,923 | 2,308,923 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | ........ | ........ | 2,308,923 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,010,000 | 3,930,000 | 98.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . ....... | ........ | ........ | ........ | 0 | . ....... | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,888,000 | 3,877,000 | 99.7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ........ | . $\cdot$. $\ldots$. | ........ | 0 | ....... | 0 | . ....... |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,838,000 | 3,824,000 | 99.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ....... | ....... | ....... | 0 | ........ | 0 | . . . $*$. |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,766,000 | 3,777,000 | 100.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,675,000 | 3,675,000 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wyoming* | 2012 | A | 440,922 | 240,438 | 54.5 |  | 240,438 | 240,438 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(29,645)$ | (8.5) | $(29,645)$ | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2010 | A | 428,224 | 270,083 | 63.1 |  | 270,083 | 270,083 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25,265 | 2.5 | 270,083 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (23 counties) | 2008 | A | 404,000 | 244,818 | 60.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ….... | $(18,265)$ | (6.3) | N/A | N/A | 0 | N/A |
|  | 2006 | A | 393,000 | 263,083 | 66.9 |  | 257,715 | 257,715 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 47,709 | 11.7 | 42,341 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 390,000 | 215,374 | 55.2 |  | 215,374 | 215,374 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(14,003)$ | (5.8) | $(14,003)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 376,000 | 229,377 | 61.0 |  | 229,377 | 229,377 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 9,365 | 0.9 | 9,365 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 366,000 | 220,012 | 60.1 |  | 220,012 | 220,012 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(10,348)$ | (5.0) | $(10,348)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 354,000 | 230,360 | 65.1 |  | 230,360 | 230,360 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(10,351)$ | (4.3) | 1,806 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 347,000 | 240,711 | 69.4 |  | 228,554 | 228,554 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(97,152)$ | (30.0) | $(109,309)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 340,000 | 337,863 | 99.4 |  | 337,863 | 337,863 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 329,000 | 234,260 | 71.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Samoa* | 2012 | A | 0 | 17,764 | ........ |  | 17,764 | 17,764 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,640 | $\ldots$ | 1,640 | 0.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2010 | A |  | 16,124 | $\ldots$ |  | 16,124 | 16,124 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (656) | ........ | (656) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| (Total only*) | 2008 |  | 0 | 16,780 | ........ |  | 16,780 | 16,780 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,497 | ........ | 2,497 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2006 |  | 0 | 14,283 | ........ | P | 14,283 | 14,283 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(1,819)$ | ........ | $(1,819)$ | ........ | 0 | ....... |
|  | 2004 |  | 0 | 16,102 | ........ |  | 16,102 | 16,102 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,314 | ........ | 1,314 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 0 | 14,788 | ........ |  | 14,788 | 14,788 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14,788 | . ....... | 14,788 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2000 |  | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 1998 |  | 0 | 0 | $\ldots \ldots$. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |


| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/lnactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| American Samoa* | 1996 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots .$. |
|  | 1994 |  | 0 | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guam* | 2012 | A | 0 | 50,701 | ........ |  | 50,701 | 50,701 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(2,120)$ | $\ldots$ | $(2,120)$ | 0.0 | $\cdots$ | ........ |
|  | 2010 | A |  | 52,821 | ....... |  | 52,821 | 52,821 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,015 | ........ | 2,015 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| (Total only*) | 2008 | A | 0 | 50,806 | ....... |  | 50,806 | 50,806 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50,806 | ....... | 50,806 | ....... | 0 |  |
|  | 2006 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 2004 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ....... |
|  | 2002 |  | 0 | 0 | ..... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ...... |
|  | 2000 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | . ....... |
|  | 1998 |  | 0 | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | . . . $\ldots$. | 0 | . . . $*$. | 0 | . $.1 . .$. | 0 | . ....... |
|  | 1996 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ...... |
|  | 1994 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 0 |  | . $.1 . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puerto Rico* | 2012 | A | 2,817,721 | 2,402,941 | 85.3 |  | 2,733,843 | 2,402,941 | 330,902 | 87.9 | 12.1 | 2,402,941 | 85.3 | 2,402,941 | ........ | 330,902 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2010 |  | 2,822,494 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | ........ | . ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| (Total only*) | 2008 | A | 2,972,000 | 2,458,141 | 82.7 |  | 3,762,658 | 2,458,036 | 1,304,622 | 65.3 | 34.7 | 2,458,141 | ........ | 2,458,036 | ........ | 1,304,622 | ........ |
|  | 2006 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | ….... | ….... | $(2,440,131)$ | . ....... | $(2,440,131)$ | . $\cdot \ldots \ldots$ | 0 | . . . . . |
|  | 2004 |  | 0 | 2,440,131 | . . . $\ldots$.. |  | 2,440,131 | 2,440,131 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,440,131 | . . . $\ldots$.. | 2,440,131 | $\ldots \ldots$. | 0 | ….... |
|  | 2002 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 2000 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 1998 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | . ....... | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 1996 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . ....... | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | . ...... | 0 | ........ |
|  | 1994 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virgin Islands* | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  |  |  | ........ |  |  |  |  | ........ | . ....... |  | . ....... |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| (Total only*) | 2008 | A | 0 | 50,948 | ........ |  | 50,948 | 50,948 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(2,069)$ | ........ | $(2,069)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2006 |  | 0 | 53,017 | ........ | P | 53,017 | 53,017 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,286 | ........ | 2,286 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2004 |  | 0 | 50,731 | ........ |  | 50,731 | 50,731 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(3,537)$ | ....... | $(3,537)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 0 | 54,268 | ........ |  | 54,268 | 54,268 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 54,268 | ....... | 54,268 | ....... | 0 | ....... |
|  | 2000 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 1998 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 1996 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . ....... | . ....... | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 1994 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . ....... | . ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^23]| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The " R " column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/lnactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent <br> Report. <br> Reg. of <br> VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Sum of Above | 2012 |  | 243,003,673 | 194,198,928 | 79.9 |  | 193,576,017 | 170,434,383 | 23,141,634 | 88.0 | 12.0 | 7,324,771 | 1.2 | $(3,359,654)$ | (1.3) | 2,376,648 | 1.3 |
|  | 2010 |  | 237,386,565 | 186,874,157 | 78.7 | P | 191,683,902 | 170,918,916 | 20,764,986 | 89.2 | 10.8 | $(3,587,244)$ | (3.0) | $(4,994,239)$ | 1.1 | $(3,047,290)$ | (1.1) |
|  | 2008 |  | 233,087,000 | 190,461,401 | 81.7 | P | 199,725,431 | 175,913,155 | 23,812,276 | 88.1 | 11.9 | 17,651,395 | 5.1 | 15,608,784 | (0.2) | 2,605,169 | 0.2 |
|  | 2006 |  | 225,664,000 | 172,810,006 | 76.6 | P | 181,511,478 | 160,304,371 | 21,207,107 | 88.3 | 11.7 | (3,355,382) | (3.4) | $(3,449,302)$ | (0.9) | 1,504,783 | 0.9 |
|  | 2004 |  | 220,380,000 | 176,165,388 | 79.9 |  | 183,455,997 | 163,753,673 | 19,702,324 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 15,455,357 | 5.2 | 15,602,639 | 0.2 | 1,593,732 | (0.2) |
|  | 2002 |  | 215,075,000 | 160,710,031 | 74.7 |  | 166,259,626 | 148,151,034 | 18,108,592 | 89.1 | 10.9 | (1,789,748) | (2.7) | (1,325,671) | 0.0 | $(165,605)$ | (0.0) |
|  | 2000 |  | 209,827,000 | 162,499,779 | 77.4 |  | 167,750,902 | 149,476,705 | 18,274,197 | 89.1 | 10.9 | 8,494,226 | 0.9 | 8,530,197 | (0.9) | 2,565,157 | 0.9 |
|  | 1998 |  | 201,270,000 | 154,005,553 | 76.5 |  | 156,655,548 | 140,946,508 | 15,709,040 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 3,614,352 | 0.1 | $(2,037,191)$ | (5.3) | 8,625,246 | 5.3 |
|  | 1996 |  | 196,789,000 | 150,391,201 | 76.4 |  | 150,067,493 | 142,983,699 | 7,083,794 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 16,313,939 | 7.0 | 13,552,455 | (3.5) | 5,431,358 | 3.5 |
|  | 1994 |  | 193,010,000 | 134,077,262 | 69.5 |  | 131,083,680 | 129,431,244 | 1,652,436 | 98.7 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 189,529,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States Included | 2012 |  | 52 | 54 |  |  |  | 52 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  | 52 | 53 |  |  |  | 52 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 |  | 52 | 55 |  |  |  | 53 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 |  | 51 | 53 |  |  |  | 51 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 |  | 51 | 54 |  |  |  | 53 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 |  | 51 | 53 |  |  |  | 51 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 |  | 51 | 51 |  |  |  | 49 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 |  | 51 | 51 |  |  |  | 48 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1996 |  | 51 | 51 |  |  |  | 49 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1994 |  | 51 | 51 |  |  |  | 49 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 51 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Summary | 2012 |  | 242,458,653 | 193,585,443 | 79.8 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  | 236,863,845 | 186,282,492 | 78.6 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 |  | 232,589,000 | 189,844,867 | 81.6 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 |  | 225,173,000 | 172,251,706 | 76.5 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (adjusted by year | 2004 |  | 219,885,000 | 173,163,424 | 78.8 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for States with | 2002 |  | 214,591,000 | 160,156,975 | 74.6 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| both VAP and Reg.; | 2000 |  | 209,345,000 | 162,017,779 | 77.4 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| exc. ND, AS, GU, VI, | 1998 |  | 200,793,000 | 153,528,553 | 76.5 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and PR pre-2008) | 1996 |  | 196,313,000 | 149,915,201 | 76.4 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1994 |  | 192,540,000 | 133,607,262 | 69.4 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^24] active registered voters in their count of registered voters, whereas others indicated they include both active and inactive voters (QA2). However, the reported registration count includes both active and inactive voters.

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 1b. Registration Summary for 2012

The " R " column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes
The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers; see footnotes.

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentage |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active $\%$ of Report Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Report Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent <br> Report. <br> Reg. of <br> VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Alabama | 2012 | C | 3,697,617 | 3,162,135 | 85.5 |  | 3,162,135 | 2,833,938 | 328,197 | 89.6 | 10.4 | 198,065 | 4.3 | 247,656 | 2.4 | $(49,591)$ | (2.4) |
| Alaska | 2012 | A | 544,349 | 579,304 | 106.4 |  | 579,304 | 506,432 | 72,872 | 87.4 | 12.6 | 19,158 | (0.7) | 11,556 | (0.9) | 7,602 | 0.9 |
| Arizona | 2012 | 0 | 4,932,361 | 3,725,362 | 75.5 |  | 3,725,362 | 3,124,712 | 600,650 | 83.9 | 16.1 | 222,619 | 2.0 | $(21,706)$ | (6.0) | 244,325 | 6.0 |
| Arkansas | 2012 | C | 2,238,250 | 1,610,364 | 71.9 |  | 1,610,364 | 1,282,491 | 327,873 | 79.6 | 20.4 | $(27,771)$ | (2.4) | $(44,190)$ | (1.3) | 16,419 | 1.3 |
| California | 2012 | 0 | 28,801,211 | 18,996,338 | 66.0 |  | 25,599,182 | 18,255,385 | 7,343,797 | 96.1 | 38.7 | 1,696,991 | 4.1 | 956,038 | (1.8) | 976,680 | 1.8 |
| Colorado | 2012 | C | 3,956,224 | 3,651,091 | 92.3 |  | 3,651,091 | 2,612,360 | 1,038,731 | 71.6 | 28.4 | 357,149 | 5.7 | 135,158 | (3.7) | 221,991 | 3.7 |
| Connecticut | 2012 | C | 2,796,789 | 2,202,278 | 78.7 |  | 2,202,278 | 2,081,650 | 120,628 | 94.5 | 5.5 | 51,645 | 0.7 | 54,776 | 0.3 | $(3,131)$ | (0.3) |
| Delaware | 2012 | C | 712,042 | 632,805 | 88.9 |  | 632,805 | 594,200 | 38,605 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 9,380 | (1.2) | $(9,256)$ | (2.9) | 18,636 | 2.9 |
| District of Columbia | 2012 | C | 522,843 | 557,774 | 106.7 |  | 557,774 | 483,600 | 74,174 | 86.7 | 13.3 | 44,877 | 4.3 | 28,905 | (2.0) | 15,972 | 2.0 |
| Florida | 2012 | A | 15,315,088 | 11,934,446 | 77.9 |  | 11,934,446 | 11,934,446 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(617,523)$ | (6.9) | 705,765 | 10.5 | $\ldots$ | ..... |
| Georgia | 2012 | C | 7,429,820 | 6,050,050 | 81.4 |  | 6,036,864 | 5,389,596 | 647,268 | 89.1 | 10.7 | 301,591 | 1.5 | 362,166 | 1.8 | (73,761) | (1.8) |
| Hawaii | 2012 | C | 1,089,302 | 705,668 | 64.8 |  | 705,668 | 638,883 | 66,785 | 90.5 | 9.5 | 12,923 | (0.8) | 33,351 | 2.9 | $(18,428)$ | (2.9) |
| Idaho* | 2012 | A | 1,169,075 | 895,834 | 76.6 |  | 895,834 | 895,834 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 105,303 | 7.2 | 105,303 | 0.0 | ........ | ...... |
| Illinois | 2012 | 0 | 9,811,190 | 8,116,660 | 82.7 |  | 8,551,866 | 7,505,775 | 1,046,091 | 92.5 | 12.9 | $(425,737)$ | (5.3) | 50,699 | 0.5 | $(41,230)$ | (0.5) |
| Indiana | 2012 | C | 4,945,857 | 4,562,268 | 92.2 |  | 4,562,268 | 4,407,876 | 154,392 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 232,291 | 3.4 | 210,992 | (0.3) | 21,299 | 0.3 |
| lowa | 2012 | C | 2,351,233 | 2,236,068 | 95.1 |  | 2,169,779 | 1,960,086 | 209,693 | 87.7 | 9.4 | 119,898 | 3.8 | $(24,909)$ | (3.5) | 78,518 | 3.5 |
| Kansas | 2012 | C | 2,161,601 | 1,771,252 | 81.9 |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots . .$. | 46,240 | 0.8 | ........ | ........ | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Kentucky | 2012 | A | 3,362,177 | 3,037,153 | 90.3 |  | 3,128,264 | 3,037,153 | 91,111 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 151,378 | 3.3 | 156,998 | 1.9 | $(52,975)$ | (1.9) |
| Louisiana | 2012 | C | 3,484,090 | 2,965,751 | 85.1 |  | 2,965,751 | 2,786,355 | 179,396 | 94.0 | 6.0 | 30,689 | (0.8) | 74,381 | 1.6 | $(43,692)$ | (1.6) |
| Maine | 2012 | C | 1,063,274 | 1,026,086 | 96.5 |  | 1,026,086 | 984,750 | 41,336 | 96.0 | 4.0 | $(2,415)$ | (1.1) | 295 | 0.3 | $(2,710)$ | (0.3) |
| Maryland | 2012 | A | 4,540,763 | 3,694,658 | 81.4 |  | 3,694,658 | 3,694,658 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 226,371 | 2.9 | 226,371 | 0.0 | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Massachusetts | 2012 | C | 5,244,729 | 4,340,000 | 82.7 |  | 4,340,000 | 3,670,305 | 669,695 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 218,820 | 2.4 | $(14,016)$ | (4.8) | 232,836 | 4.8 |
| Michigan | 2012 | C | 7,616,490 | 7,454,553 | 97.9 |  | 7,454,553 | 6,537,332 | 917,221 | 87.7 | 12.3 | 178,316 | 1.4 | $(738,905)$ | (12.3) | 917,221 | 12.3 |
| Minnesota* | 2012 | A | 4,102,991 | 3,387,783 | 82.6 | P | 3,387,783 | 3,387,783 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 166,939 | 2.4 | 166,939 | 0.0 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Mississippi | 2012 | 0 | 2,239,593 | 1,399,209 | 62.5 | P | 1,398,591 | 1,328,196 | 70,395 | 94.9 | 5.0 | $(579,254)$ | (27.0) | $(296,785)$ | 1.0 | $(33,783)$ | (1.0) |
| Missouri | 2012 | C | 4,618,513 | 4,191,778 | 90.8 |  | 4,191,778 | 3,738,791 | 452,987 | 89.2 | 10.8 | 54,283 | 0.1 | 64,331 | 0.4 | $(10,048)$ | (0.4) |
| Montana | 2012 | C | 783,161 | 681,608 | 87.0 |  | 681,608 | 553,048 | 128,560 | 81.1 | 18.9 | 30,273 | 2.0 | 3,365 | (3.3) | 26,908 | 3.3 |
| Nebraska | 2012 | C | 1,392,120 | 1,163,871 | 83.6 |  | 1,163,871 | 1,035,285 | 128,586 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 21,624 | 0.1 | 14,648 | (0.4) | 6,976 | 0.4 |
| Nevada | 2012 | A | 2,095,348 | 1,258,409 | 60.1 |  | 1,497,822 | 1,258,409 | 239,413 | 100.0 | 19.0 | $(117,439)$ | (7.5) | 144,014 | 2.8 | $(17,538)$ | (2.8) |
| New Hampshire | 2012 | A | 1,045,878 | 878,136 | 84.0 |  | 878,135 | 878,135 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(67,205)$ | (7.9) | $(67,206)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |


| 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1b. Registration Summary for 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The " R " column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentage |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. $\%$ of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active $\%$ of Report Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Report Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent <br> Report. <br> Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| New Jersey | 2012 | C | 6,838,206 | 5,415,639 | 79.2 |  | 5,415,639 | 5,016,550 | 399,089 | 92.6 | 7.4 | 279,809 | 2.8 | 297,082 | 0.7 | $(17,273)$ | (0.7) |
| New Mexico | 2012 | 0 | 1,571,096 | 1,252,438 | 79.7 |  | 647,100 | 541,077 | 106,023 | 43.2 | 8.5 | 105,261 | 5.2 | $(525,893)$ | (9.4) | 25,816 | 9.4 |
| New York | 2012 | C | 15,307,107 | 11,720,541 | 76.6 |  | 5,913,605 | 5,403,806 | 509,799 | 46.1 | 4.3 | $(86,203)$ | (1.9) | $(5,276,730)$ | 0.9 | $(616,692)$ | (0.9) |
| North Carolina | 2012 | c | 7,465,545 | 6,655,291 | 89.1 |  | 6,655,291 | 5,986,515 | 668,776 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 448,198 | 3.6 | 230,112 | (2.8) | 218,086 | 2.8 |
| North Dakota* | 2012 | X | 545,020 | 545,020 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | ........ | 22,300 | 0.0 | ........ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Ohio | 2012 | C | 8,880,551 | 7,987,697 | 89.9 |  | 7,987,697 | 6,621,906 | 1,365,791 | 82.9 | 17.1 | $(56,618)$ | (1.4) | $(1,426,409)$ | (17.1) | 1,365,791 | 17.1 |
| Oklahoma | 2012 | C | 2,877,457 | 2,114,489 | 73.5 |  | 2,114,489 | 1,811,650 | 302,839 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 32,061 | (0.3) | 37,675 | 0.5 | $(5,614)$ | (0.5) |
| Oregon | 2012 | A | 3,038,729 | 2,199,360 | 72.4 |  | 2,199,360 | 2,199,360 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 130,562 | 2.6 | 130,562 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Pennsylvania | 2012 | C | 10,024,150 | 8,352,342 | 83.3 |  | 8,352,342 | 7,771,517 | 580,825 | 93.0 | 7.0 | 131,583 | 0.4 | 272,334 | 1.8 | $(140,748)$ | (1.8) |
| Rhode Island | 2012 | C | 833,818 | 725,309 | 87.0 |  | 725,309 | 661,028 | 64,281 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 19,148 | 1.8 | 13,459 | (0.6) | 5,689 | 0.6 |
| South Carolina | 2012 | A | 3,643,633 | 2,875,121 | 78.9 |  | 3,070,965 | 2,875,121 | 195,844 | 100.0 | 6.8 | 244,758 | 4.7 | 244,758 | 4.7 | $(131,348)$ | (4.7) |
| South Dakota | 2012 | 0 | 629,185 | 523,410 | 83.2 |  | 428,764 | 393,379 | 35,385 | 75.2 | 6.8 | $(51,740)$ | (10.9) | $(126,017)$ | 1.4 | $(20,369)$ | (1.4) |
| Tennessee | 2012 | C | 4,962,227 | 4,024,960 | 81.1 |  | 4,024,959 | 3,571,628 | 453,331 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 72,556 | (0.4) | $(33,307)$ | (2.5) | 105,872 | 2.5 |
| Texas | 2012 | C | 19,073,564 | 13,690,729 | 71.8 |  | 13,690,729 | 11,845,118 | 1,845,611 | 86.5 | 13.5 | 428,297 | (0.8) | 478,755 | 0.8 | $(42,151)$ | (0.8) |
| Utah | 2012 | C | 1,967,315 | 1,508,372 | 76.7 |  | 1,508,372 | 1,325,786 | 182,586 | 87.9 | 12.1 | 8,067 | (2.6) | $(12,961)$ | (1.3) | 21,028 | 1.3 |
| Vermont | 2012 | 0 | 502,060 | 460,817 | 91.8 |  | 431,005 | 394,636 | 36,369 | 85.6 | 7.9 | 21,484 | 3.3 | 8,135 | (1.6) | 7,981 | 1.6 |
| Virginia | 2012 | C | 6,329,130 | 5,428,091 | 85.8 |  | 5,428,091 | 4,847,630 | 580,461 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 395,956 | 3.9 | 127,179 | (4.5) | 268,777 | 4.5 |
| Washington | 2012 | A | 5,312,045 | 3,904,959 | 73.5 |  | 4,319,827 | 3,904,959 | 414,868 | 100.0 | 10.6 | $(161,558)$ | (5.6) | 303,691 | 1.8 | $(50,381)$ | (1.8) |
| West Virginia | 2012 | C | 1,471,372 | 1,246,559 | 84.7 |  | 1,246,559 | 1,166,161 | 80,398 | 93.6 | 6.4 | 30,536 | 1.7 | 1,166,161 | $\ldots$ | 80,398 | $\ldots$ |
| Wisconsin* | 2012 | A | 4,408,841 | 3,987,248 | 90.4 |  | 3,987,248 | 3,987,248 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 278,019 | 5.1 | 278,019 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* | 2012 | A | 440,922 | 240,438 | 54.5 |  | 240,438 | 240,438 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(29,645)$ | (8.5) | $(29,645)$ | 0.0 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| American Samoa* | 2012 | A | ........ | 17,764 | ....... |  | 17,764 | 17,764 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,640 | $\ldots$ | 1,640 | 0.0 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Guam* | 2012 | A | ........ | 50,701 | ....... |  | 50,701 | 50,701 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(2,120)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2,120)$ | 0.0 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots . .$. |
| Puerto Rico* | 2012 | A | 2,817,721 | 2,402,941 | 85.3 |  | 2,733,843 | 2,402,941 | 330,902 | 100.0 | 13.8 | 2,402,941.0 | 85.3 | 2,402,941.0 | $\ldots$ | 330,902.0 | ....... |
| Virgin Islands* | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above |  |  | 243,003,673 | 194,198,928 | 79.9 |  | 193,576,017 | 170,434,383 | 23,141,634 | 88.0 | 12.0 | 7,324,771 | 1.2 | $(484,533)$ | (1.1) | 2,376,648 | 1.1 |

## 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey

Table 1c. Registration Rates for Voting Age Population (VAP) Using Different Registration Bases

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  |  | Active + Inactive |  | Active Only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. $\%$ of VAP | Ranking of $\%$ of VAP | Active + Inactive \% of VAP | Ranking of $\%$ of VAP | Active Only \% of VAP | Ranking of $\%$ of VAP |
| Alabama | 2012 | C | 3,697,617 | 3,162,135 | 85.5 | 19 | 85.5 | 20 | 76.6 | 21 |
| Alaska | 2012 | A | 544,349 | 579,304 | 106.4 | 2 | 106.4 | 2 | 93.0 | 1 |
| Arizona | 2012 | 0 | 4,932,361 | 3,725,362 | 75.5 | 40 | 75.5 | 37 | 63.4 | 38 |
| Arkansas | 2012 | C | 2,238,250 | 1,610,364 | 71.9 | 44 | 71.9 | 40 | 57.3 | 44 |
| California | 2012 | 0 | 28,801,211 | 18,996,338 | 66.0 | 46 | 88.9 | 13 | 63.4 | 37 |
| Colorado | 2012 | C | 3,956,224 | 3,651,091 | 92.3 | 7 | 92.3 | 6 | 66.0 | 36 |
| Connecticut | 2012 | C | 2,796,789 | 2,202,278 | 78.7 | 35 | 78.7 | 33 | 74.4 | 26 |
| Delaware | 2012 | C | 712,042 | 632,805 | 88.9 | 15 | 88.9 | 14 | 83.5 | 9 |
| District of Columbia | 2012 | C | 522,843 | 557,774 | 106.7 | 1 | 106.7 | 1 | 92.5 | 3 |
| Florida | 2012 | A | 15,315,088 | 11,934,446 | 77.9 | 36 | 77.9 | 34 | 77.9 | 19 |
| Georgia | 2012 | C | 7,429,820 | 6,050,050 | 81.4 | 29 | 81.3 | 30 | 72.5 | 30 |
| Hawaii | 2012 | C | 1,089,302 | 705,668 | 64.8 | 47 | 64.8 | 44 | 58.7 | 43 |
| Idaho* | 2012 | A | 1,169,075 | 895,834 | 76.6 | 38 | 76.6 | 36 | 76.6 | 22 |
| Illinois | 2012 | 0 | 9,811,190 | 8,116,660 | 82.7 | 27 | 87.2 | 15 | 76.5 | 24 |
| Indiana | 2012 | C | 4,945,857 | 4,562,268 | 92.2 | 8 | 92.2 | 8 | 89.1 | 6 |
| lowa | 2012 | C | 2,351,233 | 2,236,068 | 95.1 | 6 | 92.3 | 7 | 83.4 | 10 |
| Kansas | 2012 | C | 2,161,601 | 1,771,252 | 81.9 | 28 | ...... | .... | ...... | ........ |
| Kentucky | 2012 | A | 3,362,177 | 3,037,153 | 90.3 | 12 | 93.0 | 5 | 90.3 | 5 |
| Louisiana | 2012 | C | 3,484,090 | 2,965,751 | 85.1 | 20 | 85.1 | 21 | 80.0 | 14 |
| Maine | 2012 | C | 1,063,274 | 1,026,086 | 96.5 | 5 | 96.5 | 4 | 92.6 | 2 |
| Maryland | 2012 | A | 4,540,763 | 3,694,658 | 81.4 | 30 | 81.4 | 28 | 81.4 | 11 |
| Massachusetts | 2012 | C | 5,244,729 | 4,340,000 | 82.7 | 26 | 82.7 | 27 | 70.0 | 34 |
| Michigan | 2012 | C | 7,616,490 | 7,454,553 | 97.9 | 4 | 97.9 | 3 | 85.8 | 7 |
| Minnesota* | 2012 | A | 4,102,991 | 3,387,783 | 82.6 | ........ | 82.6 | ........ | 82.6 | ..... |
| Mississippi | 2012 | 0 | 2,239,593 | 1,399,209 | 62.5 | ....... | 62.4 | ........ | 59.3 | .... |
| Missouri | 2012 | C | 4,618,513 | 4,191,778 | 90.8 | 10 | 90.8 | 9 | 81.0 | 12 |
| Montana | 2012 | C | 783,161 | 681,608 | 87.0 | 16 | 87.0 | 16 | 70.6 | 33 |

The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes.

This table indicates how the registration rate, that is, the percentage of the voting age population that are registered, may vary by the way each State reports its "total" registration number.

The methods vary because some States report only active voters and some report a combination of active and inactive as their "total" registration
The first section of this table reports the method used by the State to report their registration for 2012.
$A n$ " $A$ " indicates that the "total" registration reported includes active voters only.
A " C " indicates that the "total" registration reported includes a combination of active and inactive voters.
An " 0 " indicates that the state either allows local jurisdictions to determine whether to include the inactive voters, or the State uses some other method.

In the case of North Dakota, X indicates no voter registration.
The section entitled "Reported Registration" provides information on the registration rate for the reported registration.

The section entitled "Active + Inactive" provides information on the registration rate for a combined total. The section entitled "Active Only" provides information on the registration rate for active voters only. States with partial data (...) are not given a rank.

## 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey

Table 1c. Registration Rates for Voting Age Population (VAP) Using Different Registration Bases


The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes.

This table indicates how the registration rate, that is, the percentage of the voting age population that are registered, may vary by the way each State reports its "total" registration number.

The methods vary because some States report only active voters and some report a combination of active and inactive as their "total" registration.

The first section of this table reports the method used by the State to report their registration for 2012. $A n$ " A " indicates that the "total" registration reported includes active voters only.
A "C" indicates that the "total" registration reported includes a combination of active and inactive voters.
An " 0 " indicates that the state either allows local jurisdictions to determine whether to include the inactive voters, or the State uses some other method.

In the case of North Dakota, X indicates no voter registration.
The section entitled "Reported Registration" provides information on the registration rate for the reported registration.

The section entitled "Active + Inactive" provides information on the registration rate for a combined total. The section entitled "Active Only" provides information on the registration rate for active voters only. States with partial data (...) are not given a rank.

## 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey

Table 1d. Registration Rates for Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) Using Different Registration Bases

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  |  | Active + Inactive |  | Active Only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (CVAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of CVAP | Ranking of \% of CVAP | Active + Inactive \% of CVAP | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ranking } \\ \text { of } \\ \% \text { of } \\ \text { CVAP } \end{array}$ | Active Only \% of CVAP | Ranking of \% of CVAP |
| Alabama | 2012 | C | 3,595,400 | 3,162,135 | 87.9 | 25 | 87.9 | 24 | 78.8 | 27 |
| Alaska | 2012 | A | 519,629 | 579,304 | 111.5 | 2 | 111.5 | 2 | 97.5 | 2 |
| Arizona | 2012 | 0 | 4,376,217 | 3,725,362 | 85.1 | 35 | 85.1 | 34 | 71.4 | 38 |
| Arkansas | 2012 | C | 2,159,446 | 1,610,364 | 74.6 | 46 | 74.6 | 42 | 59.4 | 44 |
| California | 2012 | 0 | 23,546,880 | 18,996,338 | 80.7 | 41 | 108.7 | 3 | 77.5 | 31 |
| Colorado | 2012 | C | 3,654,799 | 3,651,091 | 99.9 | 5 | 99.9 | 5 | 71.5 | 37 |
| Connecticut | 2012 | C | 2,565,067 | 2,202,278 | 85.9 | 33 | 85.9 | 32 | 81.2 | 21 |
| Delaware | 2012 | C | 672,175 | 632,805 | 94.1 | 11 | 94.1 | 13 | 88.4 | 9 |
| District of Columbia | 2012 | C | 473,487 | 557,774 | 117.8 | 1 | 117.8 | 1 | 102.1 | 1 |
| Florida | 2012 | A | 13,534,127 | 11,934,446 | 88.2 | 22 | 88.2 | 22 | 88.2 | 10 |
| Georgia | 2012 | C | 6,867,525 | 6,050,050 | 88.1 | 23 | 87.9 | 25 | 78.5 | 28 |
| Hawaii | 2012 | C | 993,045 | 705,668 | 71.1 | 47 | 71.1 | 43 | 64.3 | 42 |
| Idaho | 2012 | A | 1,114,631 | 895,834 | 80.4 | 42 | 80.4 | 39 | 80.4 | 22 |
| Illinois | 2012 | 0 | 8,916,661 | 8,116,660 | 91.0 | 18 | 95.9 | 7 | 84.2 | 15 |
| Indiana | 2012 | C | 4,780,336 | 4,562,268 | 95.4 | 8 | 95.4 | 8 | 92.2 | 6 |
| lowa | 2012 | C | 2,280,022 | 2,236,068 | 98.1 | 7 | 95.2 | 10 | 86.0 | 13 |
| Kansas | 2012 | C | 2,053,815 | 1,771,252 | 86.2 | 31 | ........ | ....... | ....... | ....... |
| Kentucky | 2012 | A | 3,283,865 | 3,037,153 | 92.5 | 15 | 95.3 | 9 | 92.5 | 5 |
| Louisiana | 2012 | C | 3,396,443 | 2,965,751 | 87.3 | 28 | 87.3 | 29 | 82.0 | 19 |
| Maine | 2012 | C | 1,046,057 | 1,026,086 | 98.1 | 6 | 98.1 | 6 | 94.1 | 3 |
| Maryland | 2012 | A | 4,153,057 | 3,694,658 | 89.0 | 21 | 89.0 | 20 | 89.0 | 8 |
| Massachusetts | 2012 | C | 4,784,241 | 4,340,000 | 90.7 | 19 | 90.7 | 18 | 76.7 | 32 |
| Michigan | 2012 | C | 7,347,850 | 7,454,553 | 101.5 | 4 | 101.5 | 4 | 89.0 | 7 |
| Minnesota* | 2012 | A | 3,920,519 | 3,387,783 | 86.4 | ........ | 86.4 | ..... | 86.4 | $\ldots \ldots$. |
| Mississippi | 2012 | 0 | 2,200,437 | 1,399,209 | 63.6 | $\ldots$ | 63.6 | ....... | 60.4 | ..... |
| Missouri | 2012 | C | 4,505,205 | 4,191,778 | 93.0 | 14 | 93.0 | 15 | 83.0 | 17 |
| Montana | 2012 | C | 774,966 | 681,608 | 88.0 | 24 | 88.0 | 23 | 71.4 | 39 |
| Nebraska | 2012 | C | 1,329,041 | 1,163,871 | 87.6 | 26 | 87.6 | 28 | 77.9 | 30 |
| Nevada | 2012 | A | 1,804,094 | 1,258,409 | 69.8 | 48 | 83.0 | 36 | 69.8 | 40 |
| New Hampshire* | 2012 | A | 1,014,537 | 878,136 | 86.6 | 29 | 86.6 | 30 | 86.6 | 11 |

The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes.

## Notes

This table indicates how the registration rate, that is, the percentage of those citizens of voting age population that are registered may vary both by the way each State reports the registration totals and by the estimate of the universe of citizen voting age population (CVAP).
The Bureau of the Census provides information from which an approximation of the CVAP may be obtained. However, while the estimate of the total voting age population (VAP) is based on the 2010 U.S. Census (with the estimated change in population between 2010 and 2012 taken into account), the corresponding information for the CVAP estimate lags behind due to the release schedule of the American Community Survey (ACS) data periodically released by the Bureau.

The estimate for CVAP in this table has been derived from the most recent ACS data which is for the year 2011.

The rate of the total voting age that is a citizen is taken from the 20113 -year ACS data. It is applied to the 2012 VAP to estimate CVAP for 2012.
The first section of this table reports the method used by the State to report their registration for 2012.
An " $A$ " indicates that the "total" registration reported includes active voters only.
A "C" indicates that the "total" registration reported includes a combination of active and inactive voters. An "0" indicates that the State either allows local jurisdictions to determine whether to include the inactive voters, or the State uses some other method.
The section entitled "Reported Registration" provides information on the registration rate for the reported registration.
The section entitled "Active + Inactive" provides information on the registration rate for a combined total. The section entitled "Active Only" provides information on the registration rate for active voters only. States with partial data ( ...) are not given a rank.

## 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey

Table 1d. Registration Rates for Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) Using Different Registration Bases

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  |  | Active + Inactive |  | Active Only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (CVAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of CVAP | Ranking of \% of CVAP | Active + Inactive \% of CVAP | Ranking of \% of CVAP | Active Only \% of CVAP | Ranking of \% of CVAP |
| New Jersey | 2012 | C | 6,012,270 | 5,415,639 | 90.1 | 20 | 90.1 | 19 | 83.4 | 16 |
| New Mexico | 2012 | 0 | 1,448,740 | 1,252,438 | 86.5 | 30 | 44.7 | 46 | 37.3 | 47 |
| New York | 2012 | C | 13,408,596 | 11,720,541 | 87.4 | 27 | 44.1 | 47 | 40.3 | 46 |
| North Carolina | 2012 | C | 7,013,407 | 6,655,291 | 94.9 | 9 | 94.9 | 11 | 85.4 | 14 |
| North Dakota* | 2012 | X | 536,097 | 545,020 | 101.7 | 3 | ....... | ...... | ..... | .... |
| Ohio | 2012 | C | 8,678,945 | 7,987,697 | 92.0 | 17 | 92.0 | 17 | 76.3 | 33 |
| Oklahoma | 2012 | C | 2,757,440 | 2,114,489 | 76.7 | 45 | 76.7 | 41 | 65.7 | 41 |
| Oregon | 2012 | A | 2,822,652 | 2,199,360 | 77.9 | 44 | 77.9 | 40 | 77.9 | 29 |
| Pennsylvania | 2012 | C | 9,700,796 | 8,352,342 | 86.1 | 32 | 86.1 | 31 | 80.1 | 24 |
| Rhode Island | 2012 | C | 768,684 | 725,309 | 94.4 | 10 | 94.4 | 12 | 86.0 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 2012 | A | 3,506,606 | 2,875,121 | 82.0 | 40 | 87.6 | 27 | 82.0 | 20 |
| South Dakota | 2012 | 0 | 619,251 | 523,410 | 84.5 | 36 | 69.2 | 44 | 63.5 | 43 |
| Tennessee | 2012 | C | 4,790,345 | 4,024,960 | 84.0 | 37 | 84.0 | 35 | 74.6 | 34 |
| Texas | 2012 | C | 16,518,813 | 13,690,729 | 82.9 | 38 | 82.9 | 37 | 71.7 | 36 |
| Utah | 2012 | C | 1,829,834 | 1,508,372 | 82.4 | 39 | 82.4 | 38 | 72.5 | 35 |
| Vermont | 2012 | 0 | 491,789 | 460,817 | 93.7 | 12 | 87.6 | 26 | 80.2 | 23 |
| Virginia | 2012 | C | 5,883,341 | 5,428,091 | 92.3 | 16 | 92.3 | 16 | 82.4 | 18 |
| Washington | 2012 | A | 4,879,174 | 3,904,959 | 80.0 | 43 | 88.5 | 21 | 80.0 | 25 |
| West Virginia | 2012 | C | 1,460,372 | 1,246,559 | 85.4 | 34 | 85.4 | 33 | 79.9 | 26 |
| Wisconsin* | 2012 | A | 4,271,926 | 3,987,248 | 93.3 | 13 | 93.3 | 14 | 93.3 | 4 |
| Wyoming* | 2012 | A | 430,996 | 240,438 | 55.8 | 49 | 55.8 | 45 | 55.8 | 45 |
| American Samoa* | 2012 | A | 0.0 | 17,764 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots .$. | $\ldots$ | .... | ... |
| Guam* | 2012 | A | 0.0 | 50,701 | ..... | ........ | ...... | ....... | ........ | ...... |
| Puerto Rico* | 2012 | A | 2,756,939 | 2,402,941 | 87.2 | $\ldots$ | 99.2 | ........ | 87.2 | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 2012 |  | 222,250,587 | 194,198,928 | 87.4 |  | 87.1 |  | 76.7 |  |

The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes.

## Notes

This table indicates how the registration rate, that is, the percentage of those citizens of voting age population that are registered may vary both by the way each State reports the registration totals and by the estimate of the universe of citizen voting age population (CVAP).
The Bureau of the Census provides information from which an approximation of the CVAP may be obtained. However, while the estimate of the total voting age population (VAP) is based on the 2010 U.S. Census (with the estimated change in population between 2010 and 2012 taken into account), the corresponding information for the CVAP estimate lags behind due to the release schedule of the American Community Survey (ACS) data periodically released by the Bureau.

The estimate for CVAP in this table has been derived from the most recent ACS data which is for the year 2011.

The rate of the total voting age that is a citizen is taken from the 20113 -year ACS data. It is applied to the 2012 VAP to estimate CVAP for 2012

The first section of this table reports the method used by the State to report their registration for 2012 $A n$ " $A$ " indicates that the "total" registration reported includes active voters only.
A "C" indicates that the "total" registration reported includes a combination of active and inactive voters. An " 0 " indicates that the State either allows local jurisdictions to determine whether to include the inactive voters, or the State uses some other method
The section entitled "Reported Registration" provides information on the registration rate for the reported registration.
The section entitled "Active + Inactive" provides information on the registration rate for a combined total. The section entitled "Active Only" provides information on the registration rate for active voters only. States with partial data (...) are not given a rank.

## TABLES 1A. 1B. 1C. 1D. REGISTRATION HISTORY

Questions A1, A2 and A3. Number of registered voters and active/inactive status

Registered Voters and Reported Registration: For past years, the numbers were drawn from previous EAC and FEC reports on the NVRA. For 2012, the total is a report of responses to question A1 of the Election Administration and Voting Survey. An exception is North Dakota because it does not have voter registration EAC estimates reported registration for North Dakota by using the U.S. Census Bureau's estimate of voting age population (VAP). There may be some differences between 2012, 2010, and 2008 totals and the reported registration numbers for previous years; before 2008, the registration totals for all States were not collected through the survey mechanism but from State publications. In addition, for many States, consistent totals are difficult to determine due to the difference in reporting dates as well as the variety of purposes for which this information is reported.

Components of Total Registration: The column labeled " R " indicates the way States responded to question A2. This information is designed to reflect how a State normally reports its total registration numbers for official purposes, in particular whether it includes active and inactive registration in its reported totals. States that responded that they include both active and inactive voters are labeled "C," for Combination. Those that only include Active voters are labeled "A." States that are labeled "O," for Other, generally have local jurisdictions determine the inclusion of Inactive voters. States with no code did not respond to the question. The status of a State for the " $R$ " column may change over time. In addition, the survey response to this question may not reflect the actual status given the numeric survey responses to related questions. In some cases, the Total Registration does not equal the sum of the Active and Inactive voters due to missing data.

Inactive voters, generally, are those who have not voted in recent elections, who have been reported as having moved, or have been mailed a confirmation notice but
did not respond. States and local jurisdictions vary in their management of Inactive voters on their lists.

Coverage: The column labeled as " P " indicates that the information provided for the registration counts was partial. Only Minnesota and Mississippi indicated that the information provided for registration counts was partial, indicating some jurisdictions reported that the number of reported registrations was missing some number of registrations.

Voting Age Population (VAP): Voting age population refers to the number of persons 18 years of age and older. These estimates are provided by the U.S. Census Bureau from the 2010 Census (with the estimated change in population between 2010 and 2012 taken into account). For more information, please see the website http://www.census.gov/popest/data/datasets.html.

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP): Citizen voting age population is the estimated number of U.S. citizens 18 years and older. It was derived for this report from the estimated VAP and the State-level CVAP population collected by the Census Bureau in its American Community Survey (ACS). Unlike the VAP data which was estimated based on the 2010 Census, the ACS represents a survey that included responses from one or more previous years. The ACS provides an estimate of the percentage of residents in a State that are U.S. citizens. This percentage was applied to the VAP data to calculate the CVAP

State Summary: Table la summarizes the historical registration information. Table 1 l replicates Table la, but only presents the information for 2012. Tables lc and $1 d$ apply the registration totals to different bases: VAP in the case of Table 1c and CVAP in the case of Table 1d. Neither table represents the true number of potential voters in the State, but they provide a consistent basis for comparing registration numbers between States.

SPECIFIC NOTES SUPPLIED BY THE STATES ON COVERAGE FOR RESPONSES OF THE ACTIVE/INACTIVE VOTERS FOR 2012:

| Alaska | Alaska law maintains voters purged from the voter list, due to list <br> maintenance, in an inactive status for approximately four years <br> in the state's database. This allows for the State to reactivate the <br> voter's record if the voter contacts the division in any manner <br> during this period of time. Therefore, the State of Alaska rolls, <br> when including purged voters in the total eligible, will exceed the <br> voting age population. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Arizona | One jurisdiction reported that the total number of active voters <br> was what was reported on canvass (1,817,832). |
| California | One jurisdiction noted its total number of registrations (A1) <br> included voters that registered on time but were not initially <br> included in the 15-day close of voting registration report to Califor- <br> nia's Secretary of State. Another jurisdiction noted its total number <br> of registrations (Al) was based on its 15-day close of registration <br> numbers reported to the California Secretary of State's Office. |
| Hawaii | All data for Kalawao County are included in Maui County data. |
| Idaho | All jurisdictions noted the Al total includes voters who were regis- <br> tered prior to the registration cut-off and those who registered on <br> Election Day. For question A2, Idaho only maintains Active voters. |
| Minnesota | Results may be higher than actual if not all counties have com- <br> pleted Election Day Registration (EDR) entry. A1 Total = (the |
| number of voters pre-registered on rosters) plus (the number of |  |
| EDRs as canvassed) minus (the number of EDRs moved from |  |
| county as processed in SVRS) minus (EDR updates received |  |
| within the county from SVRS). |  |


| New |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mexico | One jurisdiction reported that the voters included in its total regis- <br> tration count (A1) all registered prior to October 9, 2012. Another <br> jurisdiction noted its total registration count was current as of <br> November 3, 2012. A third jurisdiction reported that its total number <br> of registrations (A1), number of active voters (A2), and number of <br> inactive (A3) were based on "PSR Report" eligible voters. A fourth <br> jurisdiction noted the status and number of voters changes after re- <br> registration opens. A fifth jurisdiction did not distinguish between <br> active and inactive voters and reported that these numbers were <br> combined together in its total count. |
| New York | All data for Bronx County, Kings County, Queens County, and <br> Richmond County are included in New York County. |
| Ohio | One county noted that inactive means active-confirmation status. |
| Puerto Rico | Puerto Rico is exempt from the application of the National Voter <br> Registration Act (NVRA). |
| Vermont | One jurisdiction reported three voters need ID verified, six <br> voters need oath, and 18 voters need SSN verified. Two counties <br> reported they did not separate active and inactive voters on the <br> checklist used on Election Day. Six counties noted the inactive <br> voter count includes "Challenged" Voters, such as those where <br> driver's license, SSN, and/or oath has not been confirmed. One <br> jurisdiction stated that A2a refers to HAVA only. Another juris- <br> diction noted that for its total registrations, 919 were originally <br> on voter checklist with one voter added on Election Day, using <br> the voter affirmation form. One jurisdiction stated its number of <br> total registrations includes eight voters whose registrations had <br> not been received from the DMV prior to Election Day but were <br> eligible to vote, and two voters who voted by Absentee Ballot but <br> died prior to Election Day. In a third county, two individuals died, <br> but were not purged from the checklist before the election, so <br> on paper the jurisdiction had a total of 72 voters. Three counties <br> reported adding 17 voters on Election Day, three voters at the <br> polls, and seven voters on Election Day, respectively. |
| Wyoming | All jurisdictions have Election Day Registration so anyone who <br> was inactive can re-register and vote at the polls, but the poll <br> books only show active registered voters. |

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2a. Application Sources: Total Forms Received

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Total <br> Applications <br> Received <br> 2010 to 2012 | Cases | Applications Received From Individual Voters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mail Registration Applications |  |  | In-Person Registration Applications |  |  | Internet Registration Applications |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. |
| Alabama | 67 | 1,605,409 | 66 | 486,341 | 66 | 30.3 | 553,746 | 66 | 34.5 |  | 0 | ....... |
| Alaska | 1 | 249,863 | 1 | 60,477 | 1 | 24.2 | 90,698 | 1 | 36.3 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 1,946,771 | 15 | 391,273 | 15 | 20.1 | 12,906 | 13 | 0.7 | 959,080 | 14 | 49.3 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 568,631 | 75 | 138,866 | 75 | 24.4 | 210,191 | 75 | 37.0 |  | 0 | ........ |
| California | 58 | 5,098,027 | 56 | 1,626,567 | 50 | 31.9 | 739,611 | 46 | 14.5 | 703,118 | 53 | 13.8 |
| Colorado | 64 | 2,063,275 | 64 | 539,230 | 64 | 26.1 | 204,942 | 64 | 9.9 | 475,154 | 64 | 23.0 |
| Connecticut | 169 | 427,323 | 169 | 156,737 | 169 | 36.7 | 128,013 | 169 | 30.0 |  | 0 | ....... |
| Delaware | 3 | 252,649 | 3 | 17,202 | 3 | 6.8 | 6,613 | 3 | 2.6 | 10,863 | 3 | 4.3 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 145,814 | 1 | 11,643 | 1 | 8.0 | 7,559 | 1 | 5.2 | 19,810 | 1 | 13.6 |
| Florida | 67 | 1,605,488 | 67 | 312,853 | 67 | 19.5 | 303,849 | 67 | 18.9 |  | 0 | ........ |
| Georgia | 159 | 797,396 | 159 | 178,375 | 159 | 22.4 | 105,340 | 159 | 13.2 |  | 0 | ........ |
| Hawaii | 4 | 184,867 | 4 | 49,011 | 4 | 26.5 | 12,736 | 4 | 6.9 | 16,076 | 4 | 8.7 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 413,337 | 44 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ |
| Illinois | 110 | 2,065,485 | 110 | 430,093 | 110 | 20.8 | 559,055 | 108 | 27.1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Indiana | 92 | 2,085,483 | 92 | 1,638 | 3 | 0.1 | 1,900 | 3 | 0.1 | 154,735 | 92 | 7.4 |
| lowa | 99 | 2,534,566 | 99 | 51,509 | 99 | 2.0 | 79,564 | 99 | 3.1 |  | 0 | ...... |
| Kansas | 105 | 615,146 | 105 | 96,982 | 105 | 15.8 | 63,810 | 105 | 10.4 | 64,488 | 105 | 10.5 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 1,183,054 | 120 | 45,924 | 120 | 3.9 | 284,870 | 120 | 24.1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Louisiana | 64 | 1,246,033 | 64 | 227,699 | 64 | 18.3 | 259,252 | 64 | 20.8 | 129,217 | 64 | 10.4 |
| Maine | 500 | 252,356 | 500 | 14,720 | 500 | 5.8 | 167,027 | 500 | 66.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Maryland | 24 | 2,678,449 | 24 | 540,547 | 24 | 20.2 | 65,509 | 24 | 2.4 | 134,323 | 24 | 5.0 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 1,557,538 | 351 | 273,452 | 351 | 17.6 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Michigan | 83 | 2,775,388 | 83 | 120,513 | 83 | 4.3 | 279,963 | 83 | 10.1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 1,282,404 | 87 | 97,387 | 87 | 7.6 | 828,114 | 87 | 64.6 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Mississippi | 64 | 276,582 | 58 | 82,606 | 57 | 29.9 | 108,500 | 57 | 39.2 | 83 | 26 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 116 | 1,285,207 | 116 | 174,661 | 116 | 13.6 | 84,352 | 116 | 6.6 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Montana | 56 | 246,737 | 56 | 52,198 | 56 | 21.2 | 61,215 | 56 | 24.8 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Nebraska | 93 | 452,455 | 93 | 3,415 | 93 | 0.8 | 149,959 | 93 | 33.1 |  | 0 | ........ |
| Nevada | 17 | 490,085 | 17 | 113,330 | 12 | 23.1 | 18,071 | 16 | 3.7 | 50,986 | 17 | 10.4 |
| New Hampshire* | 320 | 680,241 | 320 | 2,498 | 320 | 0.4 | 677,743 | 320 | 99.6 | 0 | 320 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 21 | 1,211,041 | 21 | 55,527 | 21 | 4.6 | 8,332 | 21 | 0.7 |  | 0 | ........ |
| New Mexico | 33 | 413,241 | 33 | 8,674 | 12 | 2.1 | 14,710 | 11 | 3.6 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| New York | 58 | 1,019,746 | 47 | 855,937 | 57 | 83.9 | 82,537 | 56 | 8.1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| North Carolina | 100 | 2,652,104 | 100 | 528,641 | 100 | 19.9 | 1,035,966 | 100 | 39.1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| North Dakota* | 53 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Ohio | 88 | 3,182,442 | 88 | 634,429 | 85 | 19.9 | 543,290 | 84 | 17.1 | 100,729 | 86 | 3.2 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 563,770 | 77 | 170,081 | 77 | 30.2 | 132,997 | 77 | 23.6 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Oregon | 36 | 800,425 | 36 | 138,831 | 36 | 17.3 | 173,303 | 36 | 21.7 | 201,196 | 36 | 25.1 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 3,246,470 | 67 | 479,296 | 67 | 14.8 | 68,773 | 67 | 2.1 | 14 | 67 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 119,435 | 39 | 19,674 | 39 | 16.5 | 23,620 | 39 | 19.8 |  | 0 |  |
| South Carolina | 46 | 1,426,202 | 46 | 432,511 | 46 | 30.3 | 360,561 | 46 | 25.3 | 17,040 | 46 | 1.2 |
| South Dakota | 66 | 108,178 | 52 | 18,642 | 66 | 17.2 | 37,988 | 66 | 35.1 | 216 | 66 | 0.2 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 843,366 | 95 | 210,511 | 94 | 25.0 | 125,579 | 94 | 14.9 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Texas | 254 |  | 0 | 3,531,674 | 254 | ....... | 1,004,657 | 254 | $\cdots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Utah | 29 | 1,196,390 | 29 | 211,387 | 29 | 17.7 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 143,045 | 29 | 12.0 |
| Vermont | 246 | 65,582 | 219 | 8,815 | 206 | 13.4 | 20,522 | 203 | 31.3 | 169 | 162 | 0.3 |
| Virginia | 134 | 3,020,073 | 134 | 773,937 | 134 | 25.6 | 258,494 | 134 | 8.6 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Washington | 39 | 1,129,472 | 39 | 133,782 | 36 | 11.8 | 34,059 | 38 | 3.0 | 148,848 | 39 | 13.2 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 289,545 | 55 | 41,320 | 55 | 14.3 | 32,656 | 55 | 11.3 |  | 0 | ........ |
| Wisconsin* | 3,541 | 1,083,046 | 3,541 | 39,065 | 3,541 | 3.6 | 129,847 | 3,541 | 12.0 |  | 0 | ........ |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 93,359 | 23 | 1,750 | 23 | 1.9 | 89,427 | 23 | 95.8 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 7,730 | 1 |  | 0 | ........ | 7,704 | 1 | 99.7 | 26 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Guam* | 1 | 5,168 | 1 |  | 0 | ........ | 5,168 | 1 | 100.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* | 1 | 2,989,120 | 1 | 201 | 1 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 8,131 | 62,531,964 | 7,763 | 14,592,432 | 7,853 | 23.3 | 10,255,299 | 7,467 | 16.4 | 3,329,216 | 1,324 | 5.3 |
| States Included |  | 52 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| Question |  | A5a |  | A6a |  |  | A6b |  |  | A6c |  |  |

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2a. Application Sources: Total Forms Received

| State | Applications Received From Different Voter Registration Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Motor Vehicle Offices |  |  | Public Assistance Offices |  |  | Disability Services Offices |  |  | Armed Forces Recruitment Offices |  |  | Other State Agencies |  |  |
|  | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. |
| Alabama | 23,023 | 60 | 1.4 | 4,986 | 25 | 0.3 | 4,644 | 55 | 0.3 | 1,382 | 61 | 0.1 | 26,444 | 66 | 1.6 |
| Alaska | 82,224 | 1 | 32.9 | 7,261 | 1 | 2.9 | 399 | 1 | 0.2 | 2,393 | 1 | 1.0 | 878 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Arizona | 394,446 | 15 | 20.3 | 15,224 | 14 | 0.8 | 2,853 | 14 | 0.1 | 6,872 | 13 | 0.4 | 31,234 | 13 | 1.6 |
| Arkansas | 179,919 | 75 | 31.6 | 9,285 | 75 | 1.6 | 1,125 | 75 | 0.2 | 203 | 75 | 0.0 | 7,022 | 75 | 1.2 |
| California | 703,751 | 57 | 13.8 | 115,746 | 53 | 2.3 | 46,658 | 43 | 0.9 | 4,254 | 41 | 0.1 | 154,210 | 37 | 3.0 |
| Colorado | 469,786 | 64 | 22.8 | 40,793 | 62 | 2.0 | 170 | 64 | 0.0 | 22 | 64 | 0.0 | 5,888 | 64 | 0.3 |
| Connecticut | 20,537 | 169 | 4.8 | 7,682 | 169 | 1.8 | 108 | 169 | 0.0 | 980 | 169 | 0.2 | 6,532 | 169 | 1.5 |
| Delaware | 197,777 | 3 | 78.3 | 826 | 3 | 0.3 | 42 | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 | 733 | 3 | 0.3 |
| District of Columbia | 93,174 | 1 | 63.9 | 993 | 1 | 0.7 | 214 | 1 | 0.1 | 248 | 1 | 0.2 | 670 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Florida | 681,185 | 67 | 42.4 | 15,671 | 67 | 1.0 | 763 | 41 | 0.0 | 231 | 17 | 0.0 | 22,388 | 62 | 1.4 |
| Georgia | 431,759 | 159 | 54.1 | 17,790 | 159 | 2.2 | 119 | 159 | 0.0 | 367 | 159 | 0.0 | 24,258 | 159 | 3.0 |
| Hawaii | 74,411 | 4 | 40.3 | 502 | 4 | 0.3 | 199 | 4 | 0.1 | 2,651 | 4 | 1.4 | 20,105 | 4 | 10.9 |
| Idaho* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Illinois | 808,272 | 109 | 39.1 | 76,470 | 108 | 3.7 | 11,976 | 109 | 0.6 | 770 | 108 | 0.0 | 92,539 | 109 | 4.5 |
| Indiana | 694,386 | 92 | 33.3 | 52,845 | 92 | 2.5 | 1,362 | 92 | 0.1 | 661 | 92 | 0.0 | 861 | 92 | 0.0 |
| lowa | 113,525 | 99 | 4.5 | 6,203 | 99 | 0.2 | 251 | 99 | 0.0 | 84 | 99 | 0.0 | 652 | 99 | 0.0 |
| Kansas | 273,224 | 105 | 44.4 | 6,704 | 105 | 1.1 | 306 | 105 | 0.0 | 139 | 105 | 0.0 | 3,751 | 105 | 0.6 |
| Kentucky | 647,063 | 120 | 54.7 | 89,185 | 120 | 7.5 | 3,049 | 120 | 0.3 | 1,183 | 120 | 0.1 | 6,914 | 120 | 0.6 |
| Louisiana | 576,577 | 64 | 46.3 | 29,233 | 64 | 2.3 | 1,795 | 64 | 0.1 | 3,111 | 64 | 0.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Maine | 27,820 | 500 | 11.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Maryland | 925,948 | 24 | 34.6 | 34,423 | 24 | 1.3 | 1,902 | 24 | 0.1 | 239 | 24 | 0.0 | 6,889 | 24 | 0.3 |
| Massachusetts | 293,432 | 351 | 18.8 | 14,471 | 351 | 0.9 | 1,982 | 350 | 0.1 |  | 0 | ........ | 1,579 | 351 | 0.1 |
| Michigan | 2,350,209 | 83 | 84.7 | 2,571 | 83 | 0.1 | 213 | 83 | 0.0 | 1,474 | 83 | 0.1 | 20,445 | 83 | 0.7 |
| Minnesota* | 98,651 | 87 | 7.7 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Mississippi | 19,239 | 53 | 7.0 | 32,109 | 51 | 11.6 | 7,179 | 39 | 2.6 | 380 | 40 | 0.1 | 1,633 | 27 | 0.6 |
| Missouri | 268,191 | 116 | 20.9 | 103,215 | 116 | 8.0 | 616 | 104 | 0.0 | 1,304 | 113 | 0.1 | 143 | 91 | 0.0 |
| Montana | 36,534 | 56 | 14.8 | 5,526 | 56 | 2.2 | 355 | 56 | 0.1 | 294 | 56 | 0.1 | 19 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 162,286 | 93 | 35.9 |  | 0 | ........ | 1,388 | 93 | 0.3 | 74 | 93 | 0.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Nevada | 138,368 | 17 | 28.2 | 9,057 | 17 | 1.8 | 553 | 16 | 0.1 | 992 | 16 | 0.2 | 484 | 16 | 0.1 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | 320 | 0.0 | 0 | 320 | 0.0 | 0 | 320 | 0.0 | 0 | 320 | 0.0 | 0 | 320 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 520,206 | 21 | 43.0 | 18,348 | 21 | 1.5 | 7,473 | 21 | 0.6 | 5,472 | 21 | 0.5 | 553,041 | 21 | 45.7 |
| New Mexico | 24,572 | 15 | 5.9 | 11,211 | 10 | 2.7 | 901 | 8 | 0.2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 41 | 4 | 0.0 |
| New York | 638,065 | 62 | 62.6 | 163,201 | 62 | 16.0 | 6,834 | 62 | 0.7 | 0 | 62 | 0.0 | 11,692 | 62 | 1.1 |
| North Carolina | 616,206 | 100 | 23.2 | 91,332 | 100 | 3.4 | 2,610 | 100 | 0.1 | 65 | 100 | 0.0 | 3,129 | 100 | 0.1 |
| North Dakota* |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 447,946 | 88 | 14.1 | 399,214 | 84 | 12.5 | 60,834 | 83 | 1.9 | 2,043 | 83 | 0.1 | 184,967 | 84 | 5.8 |
| Oklahoma | 144,183 | 77 | 25.6 | 11,122 | 77 | 2.0 | 92 | 77 | 0.0 | 26 | 77 | 0.0 | 1,706 | 77 | 0.3 |
| Oregon | 244,283 | 36 | 30.5 | 14,516 | 36 | 1.8 | 7,818 | 36 | 1.0 |  | 0 | ........ | 20,478 | 36 | 2.6 |
| Pennsylvania | 2,187,386 | 67 | 67.4 | 2,385 | 67 | 0.1 | 267 | 67 | 0.0 | 509 | 67 | 0.0 | 3,093 | 67 | 0.1 |
| Rhode Island | 67,099 | 39 | 56.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ...... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Carolina | 555,496 | 46 | 38.9 | 38,341 | 46 | 2.7 |  | 0 | ........ | 601 | 46 | 0.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Dakota | 40,389 | 66 | 37.3 | 4,034 | 66 | 3.7 | 792 | 66 | 0.7 | 89 | 66 | 0.1 | 646 | 66 | 0.6 |
| Tennessee | 300,432 | 94 | 35.6 | 80,347 | 94 | 9.5 | 13,876 | 11 | 1.6 | 3,823 | 93 | 0.5 | 20,927 | 89 | 2.5 |
| Texas | 1,547,626 | 254 | ........ | 219,688 | 254 | ........ | 257,707 | 254 | ........ | 511 | 254 | ........ | 99,713 | 254 | $\cdots$ |
| Utah | 591,119 | 29 | 49.4 |  | 0 | ........ | 1,916 | 21 | 0.2 | 1,193 | 18 | 0.1 | 116,416 | 29 | 9.7 |
| Vermont | 12,440 | 204 | 19.0 | 607 | 166 | 0.9 | 249 | 163 | 0.4 | 53 | 165 | 0.1 | 120 | 150 | 0.2 |
| Virginia | 1,206,659 | 134 | 40.0 | 42,698 | 134 | 1.4 | 394 | 134 | 0.0 | 236 | 134 | 0.0 | 88,144 | 134 | 2.9 |
| Washington | 352,290 | 39 | 31.2 | 0 | 39 | 0.0 | 21,019 | 39 | 1.9 | 3,209 | 36 | 0.3 | 9,124 | 33 | 0.8 |
| West Virginia |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Wisconsin* |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 78 | 3,541 | 0.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Wyoming* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| American Samoa* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Guam* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 20,282,114 | 4,335 | 32.4 | 1,795,815 | 3,495 | 2.9 | 473,003 | 3,445 | 0.8 | 48,216 | 6,706 | 0.1 | 1,549,508 | 3,298 | 2.5 |
| States Included | 46 |  |  | 41 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 40 |  |  |
| Question | A6d |  |  | A6e |  |  | A6f |  |  | A6g |  |  | A6h |  |  |

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2a. Application Sources: Total Forms Received

| State | Difference Agencies (continued) |  |  |  |  |  | Not Categorized <br> Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Registration Drives from Advocacy Groups or Parties |  |  | Other Sources |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 322,103 | 65 | 20.1 | 223,927 | 66 | 13.9 | $(41,187)$ | (2.6) |
| Alaska | 5,533 | 1 | 2.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 104,362 | 11 | 5.4 | 28,521 | 9 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 21,990 | 75 | 3.9 | 27 | 3 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| California | 229,109 | 34 | 4.5 | 456,879 | 26 | 9.0 | 318,124 | 6.2 |
| Colorado | 277,407 | 62 | 13.4 | 49,883 | 64 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut | 5,903 | 169 | 1.4 | 59,312 | 169 | 13.9 | 41,519 | 9.7 |
| Delaware | 1,417 | 3 | 0.6 | 17,176 | 3 | 6.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 11,025 | 1 | 7.6 | 478 | 0.3 |
| Florida | 236,005 | 65 | 14.7 | 32,543 | 66 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Georgia |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 10,399 | 159 | 1.3 | 28,989 | 3.6 |
| Hawaii | 9,051 | 2 | 4.9 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 125 | 0.1 |
| Idaho* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 413,337 | 100.0 |
| Illinois | 18,283 | 109 | 0.9 |  | 0 | ........ | 68,027 | 3.3 |
| Indiana | 22 | 3 | 0.0 | 252,085 | 92 | 12.1 | 924,988 | 44.4 |
| lowa |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 222,195 | 99 | 8.8 | 2,060,583 | 81.3 |
| Kansas | 20,660 | 105 | 3.4 | 86,259 | 105 | 14.0 | $(1,177)$ | (0.2) |
| Kentucky |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 97,002 | 120 | 8.2 | 7,864 | 0.7 |
| Louisiana | 19,149 | 64 | 1.5 |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 19,441 | 500 | 7.7 | 23,348 | 500 | 9.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 6 | 24 | 0.0 | 90,644 | 24 | 3.4 | 878,019 | 32.8 |
| Massachusetts |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 972,621 | 62.4 |
| Michigan |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 26,020 | 87 | 2.0 | 232,232 | 87 | 18.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 6,608 | 16 | 2.4 | 5,097 | 26 | 1.8 | 13,148 | 4.8 |
| Missouri | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 9,981 | 2 | 0.8 | 642,744 | 50.0 |
| Montana | 37,020 | 56 | 15.0 | 53,539 | 56 | 21.7 | 37 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 181 | 93 | 0.0 | 25,618 | 93 | 5.7 | 109,534 | 24.2 |
| Nevada | 121,035 | 13 | 24.7 | 37,209 | 17 | 7.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | 320 | 0.0 | 0 | 320 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 20 | 21 | 0.0 | 40,046 | 21 | 3.3 | 2,576 | 0.2 |
| New Mexico | 2,712 | 3 | 0.7 | 29,480 | 3 | 7.1 | 320,940 | 77.7 |
| New York |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | $(738,520)$ | (72.4) |
| North Carolina |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 374,155 | 100 | 14.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| Ohio | 263,283 | 84 | 8.3 | 368,965 | 76 | 11.6 | 176,742 | 5.6 |
| Oklahoma |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 103,563 | 77 | 18.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oregon |  | 0 | $\cdots$ |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 309,566 | 67 | 9.5 | 172,641 | 67 | 5.3 | 22,540 | 0.7 |
| Rhode Island |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 6,644 | 39 | 5.6 | 2,398 | 2.0 |
| South Carolina |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 21,457 | 46 | 1.5 | 195 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 4,044 | 66 | 3.7 | 731 | 66 | 0.7 | 607 | 0.6 |
| Tennessee | 14 | 1 | 0.0 | 12,382 | 4 | 1.5 | 75,475 | 8.9 |
| Texas | 1,414,646 | 254 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | $\cdots$ | ........ |
| Utah |  | 0 | ........ | 76,004 | 28 | 6.4 | 55,310 | 4.6 |
| Vermont | 1,709 | 167 | 2.6 | 1,404 | 37 | 2.1 | 19,494 | 29.7 |
| Virginia |  | 0 | ........ | 649,511 | 134 | 21.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 90,742 | 36 | 8.0 | 23,524 | 39 | 2.1 | 312,875 | 27.7 |
| West Virginia |  | 0 | $\cdots$ | 42,256 | 55 | 14.6 | 173,313 | 59.9 |
| Wisconsin* | 780 | 3,541 | 0.1 | 913,276 | 3,541 | 84.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 2,182 | 23 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Puerto Rico* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | 2,988,919 | 100.0 |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 3,568,821 | 6,119 | 5.7 | 4,863,122 | 6,463 | 7.8 | 1,774,418 | 2.8 |
| States Included | 34 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 33 |  |
| Question | A6i |  |  | A6j-0 |  |  | calc |  |

## TABLE 2A. APPLICATION SOURCES: TOTAL FORMS RECEIVED

Questions A5 and A6. Registration applications: total forms received during the two-year period by means and source

General note: The Balance/Not Categorized column on the table compares the sum of all the categorical responses with the total indicated. If the balance is a positive number, the difference is treated as uncategorized responses. If the balance is a negative number (indicated by a red number in parentheses) the difference indicates that the sum of the responses is greater than the total indicated; this could occur by an error in data entry or by the inability to correctly categorize some responses, resulting in some over-counting.

## Notes from States:

Responses to A5 are included in several tables including Tables 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, and 3. EAC included comments presented in A5 with the notes from the table that includes the relevant data without duplication, but in some cases repeating the comment for two tables was necessary to explain the data included in the table.

| Arizona | One county commented its numbers might differ due to a mass street file project they have been working on for the last 4 years. Another county stated, "For A5c, we did receive a total of 266 'pre' registrations through the November 2010 to November 2012 time period for persons under 18. However, those individuals were eligible and active to participate by the November 2012 General Election and are therefore considered 'New valid registrations' and part of that A 5b total. We do not track any 'New 'pre' registrations of persons under age 18' that are not going to be eligible for the next coming General Election. Any voter submitting who would not be 18 and eligible to vote by the next General Election is not keyed into the system as 'active' and therefore not tracked." A third county indicated that for A5d it cannot separate Motor Vehicle Division (MVD) and Internet. |
| :---: | :---: |
| California | One jurisdiction indicated that its responses for A5f and A5g are included in A5d. A second jurisdiction commented, "Forms received for address changes that cross jurisdiction borders (A5g) are included in the total for new valid registrations (A5b). Data for A5e, invalid or rejected (other than duplicates) was only collected for and through the online voter registration system which was implemented on 9/17/2012. Data not available for all other registration forms received from all other sources." In regards to A5h, a third jurisdiction commented that Statewide on-line registration is not broken down between new registrations and duplicates of existing valid registrations. Another jurisdiction noted, "For A5e if the registration form is invalid or rejected it does not get put into DIMS and therefore cannot be counted. When this happens we send the applicant a new registration form requesting the missing or rejected information. A5f is left blank because we include all these reasons under re-registration and don't distinguish between them." A fifth jurisdiction reported that although A5a is more than $50 \%$ of Ala, the number reported is correct; in addition data for A5b-A5g for this jurisdiction is not available due to the transaction-based operation and historical tracking ability of the voter registration system. One jurisdiction reported that its count for those under 18 years old is not an aggregate due to under-18 individuals becoming eligible on Election Day. Another jurisdiction commented that its policy is to hold registration cards until the voter reaches age 18; the exception is for voters who turn 18 after the 15-day close of registration but before election day, which will be keyed in as needed. One jurisdiction stated its number of invalid or rejected application forms (A5e) is pending. |
| Colorado | All counties commented, "The numbers provided in response to A5e and A9 include incomplete or pending applications where the elector failed to provide required information to complete the application." |
| Connecticut | All counties noted, "A5f includes changes of address that cross jurisdiction borders." |
| Delaware | State's 16-year-old pre-registration program began January 1, 2012. |
| Iowa | All counties noted, "Response to A5a error: As per the instructions for this question, we included duplicate registration forms and changes in registration information received during this cycle. To gather this data, we included all registration changes received from any source, which includes party changes from the Iowa caucuses and the primary and general elections as well as registration changes made on absentee ballot request forms during the time period." |


| Idaho | Regarding A5, all counties commented, "Idaho implemented a Closed Primary in 2012. This required voters to select a party affiliation prior to voting in the Primary Election, causing some voters to submit a new voter registration card to the County Clerk's Office. So, A5f includes Party Affiliation Changes due to the newly implemented Closed Primary. A5h are changes made to voter records by the County Clerk. These changes are due to Reapportionment, which only occurs every 10 years, and E-911 ReAddressing. A5i are changes to a voter's registration status, which are usually due to mis-cancellation and changing a voter's status back to Active." Regarding A6, all counties noted, "The Idaho Statewide Voter Registration System does not track how the registration forms are received by the County Clerks. Also, Idaho Code does not allow registration cards to be submitted via the Internet or email. Idaho is not covered by the NVRA because of Election Day Registration. So there are no cards from any of the agencies that NVRA requires." |
| :---: | :---: |
| Kentucky | Nine counties commented, "A5a value is correct; it is high due to a high number of voters being redistricted within the jurisdiction." |
| Louisiana | All jurisdictions noted, "Address changes across jurisdictions are counted as new registrations. Question e, the number of applications received and marked PA are reported. The Department of State does not track the method of receipt of the registration applications by the public assistance offices." |
| Massachusetts | All jurisdictions stated they were "unable to accurately calculate the total number of registration forms received since statewide database only captures those that are processed and cannot include any forms that were rejected because they were incomplete and could not be processed in the database or when an applicant submitted multiple forms in the same time period that were rejected as duplicates or as incomplete." |
| Maryland | All jurisdictions stated, "The rule does not contemplate that voters submit multiple forms each election cycle. It was not uncommon this election cycle to receive four or more forms from a voter. Additionally, the numbers represent the number of changes, not the number of forms." |
| Maine | One jurisdiction commented that a nearby jurisdiction is a college, which had numerous voter registration drives. |
| Michigan | All jurisdictions noted, "A5b reflects voters who registered for first time in Michigan and voters who moved from one jurisdiction to another in Michigan." In addition, all jurisdictions commented, "A6-9h Registrations from advocacy groups or political parties are included in A6-9a. Michigan does not track the source of mail-in registration forms." |
| Missouri | Regarding A5, all jurisdictions commented, "The statewide database does not report this data in the same manner as requested by these series of questions. Address changes and name changes are not processed as new registrations but are a large part of workflow. Missouri went through the redistricting process during the last election cycle; as a result of that process A5 numbers will be inflated to reflect this process." Regarding A6, one jurisdiction also noted that disability agencies in its area have been using postcards and are not coded separately. |
| North Carolina | All jurisdictions stated, "For 6b, NC deems "In-Person" forms to include voters registering in person at county boards of elections' offices and forms received from voter registration drives. Thus the number of forms received as a result of question 6 i are included in question 6 b ." |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| New Hampshire | All jurisdictions commented, "NH uses Voter Registration forms for Name, Party, and Address Changes." |
| New Mexico | One jurisdiction commented, "A5h. Included because these are processed with the other mail." Another jurisdiction commented "registrant search results - PSR Report - Activity Statistics Report" and "SOI Report/PSR Report/Registrant search results" in regard to A5 and A6, respectively. For A5, a third jurisdiction commented, "Monthly Archived." |
| Nevada | For A6, one jurisdiction commented, "29 updates + the 94 equals the 123." |


| New York | New York County also includes Bronx County, Kings County, Queens County, and Richmond County data. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ohio | For A5, 13 jurisdictions commented, "Per vendor's report." |
| Oregon | All jurisdictions noted, "Do not track A5e." |
| Pennsylvania | 5 jurisdictions stated, "This county had E-911 readdressing changes that occurred between November 2010 and November 2012 which is why A5a exceeds more than 50\% of Ala." |
| Puerto Rico | Puerto Rico does not have midterm elections. The new registrations provided are for the term 20082012. There were 201 UOCAVA voters who registered through mail/email using a FPCA, and not through in-person registration. Those voters were sent only Federal Ballots for the island's only Federal Office running in the General Election, a non-voting Representative to Congress, Resident Commissioner to the House of Representatives. |
| Rhode Island | All jurisdictions commented, "Question A6e: Since March of 2011 all voter registrations from public assistance offices mandated as registration sites under the NVRA have been tracked and reported to the Department of Justice to ensure compliance with the NVRA. These statistics are compiled statewide and not on a city/town basis to ensure the privacy of the registrant. From March of 2011 to November of 2012 12,233 voters registered at public assistance offices mandated as registration sites under the NVRA." |
| South Carolina | All jurisdictions noted, "The number used for Total Registration Forms received is more accurately described as changes to voter records. Most times, a change to a voter record is the result of a new voter registration application or a change of address form being received. However, redistricting would also result in a change to a voter record, and SC conducted redistricting in 2011-2012. The number used for 'new valid' are only new registrations received." |
| Tennessee | One jurisdiction noted, "A5h - Change of address are generally within - we use a moved out of county for outside border moves." |
| Vermont | For A5, one jurisdiction commented, "Total does not include innumerable number of address changes." A second jurisdiction noted, "A5e was a postcard from the Secretary of State's Office inquiring on behalf of a person whether they were on the checklist, and if they were not, to send them info on how to register." For A5, another jurisdiction noted that breakdowns are not done on the voting system. A fourth jurisdiction stated that all duplicates were DMV. A fifth jurisdiction stated, "These numbers are incomplete due to most personnel not tracking how many forms were duplicates or rejected. If they did not enter the voter they did not count it." Another jurisdiction noted that given the transition in the clerk position this year, its data were only verifiable in the aggregate. One jurisdiction commented that if A5f is a larger than normal number, it is because they changed the emergency numbering address system for the entire town, and these numbers reflect this change. |
| Washington | For A6, all jurisdictions commented, "Transfers between counties are considered new registrations." One jurisdiction noted, "[A5g numbers] included in A5f." |
| Wisconsin | All jurisdictions noted, "For question A5d, this total includes approved and denied duplicates. For questions A5f \& A5g, jurisdiction refers to municipality. There were 13,065 registrations whose address could not be related to a particular reporting unit. Registrations from MyVote.wi.gov were only included if the voter submitted the paper form to the election office to be processed." |
| West Virginia | Three jurisdictions commented, "The high number of address changes is due to an increased effort in list maintenance." For A6, all jurisdictions stated, "When entering a new registration into the Statewide Voter Registration System, staff may choose one of the following sources of receipt: mail, in person at the election office, agency, and other. It is also possible that some registrations were entered without a value for the registration source, hence the other category 'No Value.' The 'Agency,' includes totals for such sources as motor vehicle offices and public assistance offices, and the 'Other' category includes totals for such sources as registration drives." |
| Wyoming | Five jurisdictions stated, "Voter Registration Drives are addressed in the Secretary of State's Rules for Voter Registration Chapter 16." |

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2b. Application Sources: New Registrations

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Total New Applications Received 2010 to 2012 | Cases | Applications Received From Individual Voters |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mail Registration Applications |  | In-Person Registration Applications |  | Internet Registration Applications |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 67 | 347,494 | 66 | 127,718 | 36.8 | 121,454 | 35.0 |  | ........ |
| Alaska | 1 | 55,183 | 1 | 9,249 | 16.8 | 9,141 | 16.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 576,085 | 15 | 52,225 | 9.1 | 3,291 | 0.6 | 267,538 | 46.4 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 209,397 | 75 | 54,587 | 26.1 | 54,492 | 26.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| California | 58 | 2,111,659 | 51 | 635,270 | 30.1 | 380,725 | 18.0 | 375,750 | 17.8 |
| Colorado | 64 | 458,323 | 64 | 40,997 | 8.9 | 23,678 | 5.2 | 75,106 | 16.4 |
| Connecticut | 169 | 277,025 | 169 | 120,089 | 43.3 | 93,802 | 33.9 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Delaware | 3 | 71,181 | 3 | 6,170 | 8.7 | 3,151 | 4.4 | 5,384 | 7.6 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 72,555 | 1 | 8,291 | 11.4 | 4,741 | 6.5 | 10,427 | 14.4 |
| Florida | 67 | 1,385,380 | 67 |  | ..... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Georgia | 159 | 768,407 | 159 | 178,375 | 23.2 | 105,340 | 13.7 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Hawaii | 4 | 58,804 | 4 | 17,720 | 30.1 | 5,106 | 8.7 | 4,839 | 8.2 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 85,512 | 44 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Illinois | 110 | 996,607 | 110 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ...... |
| Indiana | 92 | 354,598 | 92 | 1,367 | 0.4 | 660 | 0.2 | 50,489 | 14.2 |
| Iowa | 99 | 159,017 | 99 | 25,176 | 15.8 | 36,784 | 23.1 |  |  |
| Kansas | 105 | 235,322 | 105 | 30,490 | 13.0 | 21,445 | 9.1 | 35,057 | 14.9 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 367,227 | 120 | 17,021 | 4.6 | 64,984 | 17.7 |  | ...... |
| Louisiana | 64 | 375,809 | 64 | 90,658 | 24.1 | 39,254 | 10.4 | 51,517 | 13.7 |
| Maine | 500 | 83,341 | 500 | 4,101 | 4.9 | 65,235 | 78.3 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Maryland | 24 | 408,588 | 24 | 91,322 | 22.4 | 7,188 | 1.8 | 46,513 | 11.4 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 436,019 | 351 | 162,040 | 37.2 |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Michigan | 83 | 1,512,220 | 83 | 57,708 | 3.8 | 92,219 | 6.1 |  | ........ |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 483,637 | 87 | 50,477 | 10.4 | 378,563 | 78.3 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Mississippi | 64 | 151,026 | 56 | 37,333 | 24.7 | 40,623 | 26.9 | 37 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 116 | 518,995 | 116 | 5,008 | 1.0 | 1,420 | 0.3 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Montana | 56 | 75,132 | 56 | 12,006 | 16.0 | 18,342 | 24.4 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Nebraska | 93 | 133,552 | 93 | 122 | 0.1 | 46,411 | 34.8 |  | ........ |
| Nevada | 17 | 239,755 | 17 | 34,011 | 14.2 | 8,095 | 3.4 | 33,224 | 13.9 |
| New Hampshire* | 320 | 173,601 | 320 | 1,391 | 0.8 | 172,257 | 99.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 21 | 451,684 | 21 | 9,745 | 2.2 | 583 | 0.1 |  | $\ldots$ |
| New Mexico | 33 | 125,553 | 33 | 2,839 | 2.3 | 4,014 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New York | 58 | 1,261,747 | 58 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| North Carolina | 100 | 1,127,141 | 100 | 179,893 | 16.0 | 429,788 | 38.1 |  | ........ |
| North Dakota* | 53 |  | 0 |  | ...... |  | ....... |  | ........ |
| Ohio | 88 | 1,000,018 | 88 | 211,963 | 21.2 | 151,762 | 15.2 | 22,498 | 2.2 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 278,827 | 77 | 94,341 | 33.8 | 60,685 | 21.8 |  | ........ |
| Oregon | 36 | 245,315 | 36 | 39,101 | 15.9 | 50,521 | 20.6 | 38,317 | 15.6 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 640,555 | 67 | 228,541 | 35.7 | 28,529 | 4.5 | 3 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 77,169 | 39 | 10,945 | 14.2 | 15,313 | 19.8 |  | ........ |
| South Carolina | 46 | 255,445 | 46 | 71,162 | 27.9 | 23,228 | 9.1 | 11,748 | 4.6 |
| South Dakota | 66 | 62,208 | 38 | 7,514 | 12.1 | 17,435 | 28.0 | 180 | 0.3 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 598,888 | 95 | 146,522 | 24.5 | 102,825 | 17.2 |  | $\ldots . . .$. |
| Texas | 254 | 2,159,391 | 254 | 899,005 | 41.6 | 217,069 | 10.1 |  | ........ |
| Utah | 29 | 217,657 | 29 | 50,207 | 23.1 |  | $\ldots$ | 58,209 | 26.7 |
| Vermont | 246 | 44,040 | 209 | 3,497 | 7.9 | 13,152 | 29.9 | 149 | 0.3 |
| Virginia | 134 | 564,445 | 134 | 198,262 | 35.1 | 88,769 | 15.7 |  | ....... |
| Washington | 39 | 697,507 | 39 | 131,406 | 18.8 | 34,483 | 4.9 | 128,605 | 18.4 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 113,298 | 55 | 40,003 | 35.3 | 32,059 | 28.3 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Wisconsin* | 3,541 | 449,538 | 3,541 | 15,552 | 3.5 | 53,807 | 12.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 49,235 | 23 | 1,162 | 2.4 | 46,650 | 94.7 |  | ....... |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 3,425 | 1 |  | $\ldots$ | 3,399 | 99.2 | 26 | 0.8 |
| Guam* | 1 | 4,238 | 1 |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* | 1 | 234,721 | 1 | 201 | 0.1 | 234,520 | 99.9 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 8,131 | 23,843,496 | 7,997 | 4,212,783 | 17.7 | 3,406,992 | 14.3 | 1,215,616 | 5.1 |
| States Included |  | 53 |  | 47 |  | 46 |  | 24 |  |
| Question |  | A5b |  | A7a |  | A7b |  | A7c |  |

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2b. Application Sources: New Registrations

| State | Applications Received From Different Voter Registration Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Motor Vehicle Offices |  | Public Assistance Offices |  | Disability Services Offices |  | Armed ForcesRecruitment Offices |  | Other State Agencies |  | Registration Drives from Advocacy Groups or Parties |  | Other Sources |  |
|  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 9,714 | 2.8 | 528 | 0.2 | 777 | 0.2 | 275 | 0.1 | 7,395 | 2.1 | 38,772 | 11.2 | 40,863 | 11.8 |
| Alaska | 33,371 | 60.5 | 2,380 | 4.3 | 192 | 0.3 | 384 | 0.7 | 461 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.0 |  | ........ |
| Arizona | 150,342 | 26.1 | 5,362 | 0.9 | 737 | 0.1 | 861 | 0.1 | 11,107 | 1.9 | 36,440 | 6.3 | 7,075 | 1.2 |
| Arkansas | 83,703 | 40.0 | 3,893 | 1.9 | 534 | 0.3 | 36 | 0.0 | 3,209 | 1.5 | 8,917 | 4.3 | 26 | 0.0 |
| California | 271,314 | 12.8 | 19,919 | 0.9 | 25,813 | 1.2 | 1,053 | 0.0 | 76,506 | 3.6 | 41,408 | 2.0 | 170,022 | 8.1 |
| Colorado | 207,437 | 45.3 | 13,371 | 2.9 | 65 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 545 | 0.1 | 94,318 | 20.6 | 2,804 | 0.6 |
| Connecticut | 7,908 | 2.9 | 5,168 | 1.9 | 67 | 0.0 | 756 | 0.3 | 3,923 | 1.4 | 3,192 | 1.2 | 42,016 | 15.2 |
| Delaware | 53,014 | 74.5 | 429 | 0.6 | 18 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 492 | 0.7 | 666 | 0.9 | 1,316 | 1.8 |
| District of Columbia | 41,914 | 57.8 | 613 | 0.8 | 196 | 0.3 | 213 | 0.3 | 573 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 5,587 | 7.7 |
| Florida |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| Georgia | 431,759 | 56.2 | 17,790 | 2.3 | 119 | 0.0 | 367 | 0.0 | 24,258 | 3.2 |  | $\ldots$ | 10,399 | 1.4 |
| Hawaii | 22,074 | 37.5 | 278 | 0.5 | 127 | 0.2 | 1,452 | 2.5 | 7,006 | 11.9 | 202 | 0.3 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Idaho* |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |
| Illinois |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |
| Indiana | 178,165 | 50.2 | 15,499 | 4.4 | 448 | 0.1 | 145 | 0.0 | 332 | 0.1 | 22 | 0.0 | 31,878 | 9.0 |
| lowa | 81,359 | 51.2 | 1,832 | 1.2 | 169 | 0.1 | 39 | 0.0 | 245 | 0.2 |  | $\ldots$ | 13,304 | 8.4 |
| Kansas | 100,966 | 42.9 |  | $\cdots$ | 11 | 0.0 | 41 | 0.0 | 1,456 | 0.6 | 10,613 | 4.5 | 7,832 | 3.3 |
| Kentucky | 241,006 | 65.6 | 35,733 | 9.7 | 1,610 | 0.4 | 423 | 0.1 | 6,220 | 1.7 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |
| Louisiana | 178,267 | 47.4 | 10,918 | 2.9 | 427 | 0.1 | 785 | 0.2 |  | $\ldots$ | 3,983 | 1.1 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Maine | 1,546 | 1.9 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ | 7,447 | 8.9 | 5,012 | 6.0 |
| Maryland | 218,018 | 53.4 | 18,364 | 4.5 | 214 | 0.1 | 169 | 0.0 | 692 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 26,108 | 6.4 |
| Massachusetts | 182,776 | 41.9 | 8,044 | 1.8 | 980 | 0.2 |  | $\ldots$ | 1,132 | 0.3 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |
| Michigan | 1,359,015 | 89.9 | 873 | 0.1 | 41 | 0.0 | 179 | 0.0 | 2,185 | 0.1 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Minnesota* | 27,730 | 5.7 |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ | 16,999 | 3.5 | 9,822 | 2.0 |
| Mississippi | 12,282 | 8.1 | 15,180 | 10.1 | 4,855 | 3.2 | 168 | 0.1 | 1,281 | 0.8 | 872 | 0.6 | 939 | 0.6 |
| Missouri | 6,119 | 1.2 | 1,371 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Montana | 18,190 | 24.2 | 5,526 | 7.4 | 355 | 0.5 | 98 | 0.1 | 19 | 0.0 | 12,372 | 16.5 | 8,224 | 10.9 |
| Nebraska | 82,561 | 61.8 |  | $\ldots$ | 622 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 52 | 0.0 | 3,702 | 2.8 |
| Nevada | 48,924 | 20.4 | 3,923 | 1.6 | 90 | 0.0 | 156 | 0.1 | 388 | 0.2 | 69,559 | 29.0 | 11,036 | 4.6 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 134,697 | 29.8 | 10,539 | 2.3 | 3,114 | 0.7 | 2,568 | 0.6 | 281,981 | 62.4 | 3 | 0.0 | 8,454 | 1.9 |
| New Mexico | 6,860 | 5.5 | 2,814 | 2.2 | 202 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 965 | 0.8 | 9,038 | 7.2 |
| New York |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| North Carolina | 428,031 | 38.0 | 49,770 | 4.4 | 1,540 | 0.1 | 48 | 0.0 | 1,528 | 0.1 |  | $\ldots$ | 36,543 | 3.2 |
| North Dakota* |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 244,391 | 24.4 | 124,395 | 12.4 | 24,081 | 2.4 | 763 | 0.1 | 62,536 | 6.3 | 95,272 | 9.5 | 28,085 | 2.8 |
| Oklahoma | 87,225 | 31.3 | 7,604 | 2.7 | 54 | 0.0 | 20 | 0.0 | 1,182 | 0.4 |  | $\ldots$ | 27,616 | 9.9 |
| Oregon | 99,913 | 40.7 | 5,896 | 2.4 | 3,230 | 1.3 |  | $\ldots$ | 7,155 | 2.9 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Pennsylvania | 232,658 | 36.3 | 1,177 | 0.2 | 112 | 0.0 | 205 | 0.0 | 1,646 | 0.3 | 134,185 | 20.9 | 3,848 | 0.6 |
| Rhode Island | 46,344 | 60.1 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ | 4,567 | 5.9 |
| South Carolina | 133,054 | 52.1 | 2,298 | 0.9 |  | ........ | 6 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ | 13,949 | 5.5 |
| South Dakota | 9,776 | 15.7 | 1,020 | 1.6 | 521 | 0.8 | 21 | 0.0 | 196 | 0.3 | 899 | 1.4 | 15 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 185,914 | 31.0 | 53,892 | 9.0 | 9,600 | 1.6 | 1,261 | 0.2 | 17,797 | 3.0 | 13 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Texas | 733,090 | 33.9 | 45,814 | 2.1 | 58,908 | 2.7 | 146 | 0.0 | 26,252 | 1.2 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |
| Utah | 104,020 | 47.8 |  | $\ldots$ | 473 | 0.2 | 82 | 0.0 |  | ........ | 4,666 | 2.1 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Vermont | 6,463 | 14.7 | 331 | 0.8 | 231 | 0.5 | 34 | 0.1 | 100 | 0.2 | 1,178 | 2.7 | 1,193 | 2.7 |
| Virginia | 244,655 | 43.3 | 11,187 | 2.0 | 97 | 0.0 | 58 | 0.0 | 453 | 0.1 |  | $\ldots$ | 20,964 | 3.7 |
| Washington | 289,606 | 41.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 17,100 | 2.5 | 6,192 | 0.9 | 1,419 | 0.2 | 77,031 | 11.0 | 11,665 | 1.7 |
| West Virginia |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ | 41,233 | 36.4 |
| Wisconsin* |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ | 34 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 446 | 0.1 | 379,699 | 84.5 |
| Wyoming* |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots . . .$. |  | ........ | 1,423 | 2.9 |
| American Samoa* |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Guam* |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 7,036,171 | 29.5 | 503,731 | 2.1 | 157,730 | 0.7 | 19,067 | 0.1 | 551,672 | 2.3 | 660,497 | 2.8 | 986,257 | 4.1 |
| States Included | 43 |  | 37 |  | 39 |  | 36 |  | 36 |  | 31 |  | 36 |  |
| Question | A7d |  | A7e |  | A7f |  | A7g |  | A7h |  | A7i |  | A7j-0 |  |
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| State | Not Categorized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balance: (See Notes) |  |
|  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | (2) | (0.0) |
| Alaska | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 41,107 | 7.1 |
| Arkansas | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 113,879 | 5.4 |
| Colorado | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut | 104 | 0.0 |
| Delaware | 541 | 0.8 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 1,385,380 | 100.0 |
| Georgia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | 85,512 | 100.0 |
| Illinois | 996,607 | 100.0 |
| Indiana | 75,593 | 21.3 |
| lowa | 109 | 0.1 |
| Kansas | 27,411 | 11.6 |
| Kentucky | 230 | 0.1 |
| Louisiana | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 81,047 | 18.6 |
| Michigan | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 46 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 37,456 | 24.8 |
| Missouri | 505,056 | 97.3 |
| Montana | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 76 | 0.1 |
| Nevada | 30,349 | 12.7 |
| New Hampshire* | (47) | (0.0) |
| New Jersey | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 98,819 | 78.7 |
| New York | 1,261,747 | 100.0 |
| North Carolina | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 34,272 | 3.4 |
| Oklahoma | 100 | 0.0 |
| Oregon | 1,182 | 0.5 |
| Pennsylvania | 9,651 | 1.5 |
| Rhode Island | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 24,631 | 39.6 |
| Tennessee | 81,064 | 13.5 |
| Texas | 179,107 | 8.3 |
| Utah | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 17,712 | 40.2 |
| Virginia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 0 | 0.0 |
| West Virginia | 3 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* | 4,238 | 100.0 |
| Puerto Rico* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 5,092,980 | 21.4 |
| States Included | 31 |  |
| Question | calc |  |

## TABLE 2B. APPLICATION SOURCES: NEW REGISTRATIONS

## Question A7. Registrations applications: new registrations by means and source

General note: The Balance/Not Categorized column on the table compares the sum of all the categorical responses with the total indicated. If the balance is a positive number, the difference is treated as uncategorized responses. If the balance is a negative number (indicated by a red number in parentheses) the difference indicates that the sum of the responses is greater than the total indicated; this could occur by an error in data entry or by the inability to correctly categorize some responses, resulting in some over-counting.

Notes from States:

| Arizona | One jurisdiction noted that it could not separate MVD and Internet for question A7c. |
| :---: | :---: |
| California | One jurisdiction reported that its system does not track this data. A third jurisdiction noted in response to A7a that it does not allow fax or email applications; it always requests the original. A fourth jurisdiction commented the miscellaneous includes forms from businesses, churches and schools. Another jurisdiction stated that its county tracks by source code, not by delivery method. |
| Idaho | The Idaho Statewide Voter Registration System does not track how the registration forms are received by the County Clerks. Also, Idaho Code does not allow registration cards to be submitted via the Internet or email. Idaho is not covered by the NVRA because of Election Day Registration, so there are no cards from any of the agencies that NVRA requires. |
| Louisiana | All jurisdictions commented, "Question e, the number of applications received and marked PA are reported. The Department of State does not track the method of receipt of the registration applications by the public assistance offices." |
| Mississippi | One jurisdiction reported that adding A5b (new valid registrations - excluding pre-registered persons under 18) and A5c (new pre-registered person under 18) will equal A7. |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| New Hampshire | Data not available for all jurisdictions. |
| New Jersey | Each jurisdiction indicated its own "actual A5b" count in A7_Comments section. |
| New Mexico | One jurisdiction indicated, "NVRA statistics/PSR reports." |
| Nevada | One jurisdiction reported that this number includes the 5401 pre-registrations. A second jurisdiction commented that this number includes 10 pre-registrations. |
| Oregon | Six jurisdictions reported that they did not track the origin of new registration applications. |
| Puerto Rico | Puerto Rico commented, "b. New registrations between 2008-2012." |
| Vermont | One jurisdiction reported that seven DMV registrations were not sent to town in a timely manner, and voters were required to fill out an affidavit on election day. Another jurisdiction stated that it received a total of 107 new registrations and did not track how these were received. A third jurisdiction indicated the numbers submitted are estimates only; its HAVA program does not track this level of detail. |
| West Virginia | All jurisdictions reported that when entering a new registration into the Statewide Voter Registration System, staff may choose one of the following sources of receipt: mail, in person at the election office, agency, and other. It is also possible that some registrations were entered without a value for the registration source, hence the other category 'No Value.' The 'Agency' includes totals for such sources as motor vehicle offices and public assistance offices, and the 'Other' category includes totals for such sources as registration drives. |
| Wyoming | Two jurisdictions commented, "Voter Registration Drives are addressed in the Secretary of State's Rules for Voter Registration Chapter 16." |
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| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Total Duplicate Applications Received 2010 to 2012 | Cases | Applications Received From Individual Voters |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mail Registration Applications |  | In-Person Registration Applications |  | Internet Registration Applications |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 67 | 336 | 9 | 149 | 44.3 | 81 | 24.1 |  | ........ |
| Alaska | 1 | 55,842 | 1 | 16,849 | 30.2 | 27,529 | 49.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 176,186 | 13 | 8,377 | 4.8 | 129 | 0.1 | 141,380 | 80.2 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 19 | 50 | 1 | 5.3 | 1 | 5.3 |  | $\ldots$ |
| California | 58 | 410,858 | 48 | 118,775 | 28.9 | 67,999 | 16.6 | 29,372 | 7.1 |
| Colorado | 64 | 106,377 | 64 | 50,706 | 47.7 | 11,732 | 11.0 | 14,914 | 14.0 |
| Connecticut | 169 |  | 0 |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\cdots$ |
| Delaware | 3 | 12,128 | 3 | 674 | 5.6 | 31 | 0.3 | 298 | 2.5 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 587 | 1 | 42 | 7.2 | 52 | 8.9 | 149 | 25.4 |
| Florida | 67 | 16,033 | 65 |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |
| Georgia | 159 |  | 0 | 182,733 | ........ | 109,017 | ........ |  | ........ |
| Hawaii | 4 | 42,915 | 4 | 5,976 | 13.9 | 1,229 | 2.9 | 1,806 | 4.2 |
| Idaho* | 44 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\cdots$ |
| Illinois | 110 | 74,498 | 110 |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Indiana | 92 | 88,146 | 92 | 266 | 0.3 | 98 | 0.1 | 8,496 | 9.6 |
| lowa | 99 | 202,681 | 99 | 18,844 | 9.3 | 31,965 | 15.8 |  | $\cdots$ |
| Kansas | 105 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Kentucky | 120 | 0 | 120 |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |
| Louisiana | 64 | 20,170 | 64 | 5,160 | 25.6 | 203 | 1.0 | 594 | 2.9 |
| Maine | 500 | 5,292 | 500 | 433 | 8.2 | 1,585 | 30.0 |  | ........ |
| Maryland | 24 | 17,315 | 24 | 6,826 | 39.4 | 210 | 1.2 | 725 | 4.2 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 106,631 | 351 | 4,322 | 4.1 |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Michigan | 83 | 412,072 | 83 | 3,509 | 0.9 | 8,117 | 2.0 |  | ........ |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 128,227 | 87 | 10,168 | 7.9 | 72,208 | 56.3 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Mississippi | 64 | 7,075 | 18 | 3,461 | 48.9 | 7,416 | 104.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 116 | 3,394 | 4 | 1,475 | 43.5 | 876 | 25.8 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Montana | 56 | 3,661 | 56 | 1,001 | 27.3 | 128 | 3.5 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Nebraska | 93 | 15,069 | 93 | 0 | 0.0 | 4,235 | 28.1 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Nevada | 17 | 42,982 | 14 | 9,164 | 21.3 | 668 | 1.6 | 4,459 | 10.4 |
| New Hampshire* | 320 | 2,284 | 320 | 30 | 1.3 | 2,254 | 98.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 21 | 2,576 | 21 | 35 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| New Mexico | 33 | 1,200 | 5 | 14 | 1.2 | 22 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New York | 58 | 142,535 | 58 |  | $\ldots \ldots .$. |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots . . . .$. |
| North Carolina | 100 | 393,337 | 100 | 174,930 | 44.5 | 117,373 | 29.8 |  | $\ldots$ |
| North Dakota* | 53 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 88 | 389,381 | 85 | 90,239 | 23.2 | 44,542 | 11.4 | 481 | 0.1 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 2,421 | 77 | 322 | 13.3 | 217 | 9.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Oregon | 36 | 1,236 | 36 | 225 | 18.2 | 219 | 17.7 | 31 | 2.5 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 210,903 | 67 | 29,945 | 14.2 | 2,913 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 39 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| South Carolina | 46 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| South Dakota | 66 | 3,830 | 38 | 251 | 6.6 | 150 | 3.9 | 36 | 0.9 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 58,000 | 90 | 8,829 | 15.2 | 2,579 | 4.4 |  | ........ |
| Texas | 254 | 100,363 | 254 | 28,461 | 28.4 | 5,561 | 5.5 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Utah | 29 | 13,610 | 29 | 4,899 | 36.0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Vermont | 246 | 2,984 | 188 | 39 | 1.3 | 29 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Virginia | 134 | 167,893 | 134 | 41,282 | 24.6 | 8,220 | 4.9 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Washington | 39 | 116,939 | 30 | 33,115 | 28.3 | 3,850 | 3.3 | 12,017 | 10.3 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 2,577 | 55 | 1,124 | 43.6 | 533 | 20.7 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Wisconsin* | 3,541 | 25,944 | 3,541 | 2,125 | 8.2 | 2,583 | 10.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Wyoming* | 23 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ........ | 0 | ....... | 0 | ....... |
| Guam* | 1 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* | 1 | 96,626 | 1 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 8,131 | 3,683,133 | 7,103 | 864,776 | 23.5 | 536,555 | 14.6 | 214,758 | 5.8 |
| States Included |  | 45 |  | 41 |  | 39 |  | 21 |  |
| Question |  | A5d |  | A8a |  | A8b |  | A8c |  |
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| State | Applications Received From Different Voter Registration Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Motor Vehicle Offices |  | Public Assistance Offices |  | Disability Services Offices |  | Armed Forces Recruitment Offices |  | Other State Agencies |  | Registration Drives from Advocacy Groups or Parties |  | Other Sources |  |
|  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama |  | ........ |  | ........ | 2 | 0.6 |  | ........ | 7 | 2.1 | 16 | 4.8 | 81 | 24.1 |
| Alaska | 6,237 | 11.2 | 466 | 0.8 | 11 | 0.0 | 265 | 0.5 | 30 | 0.1 | 4,455 | 8.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Arizona | 8,739 | 5.0 | 307 | 0.2 | 38 | 0.0 | 3,562 | 2.0 | 2,624 | 1.5 | 7,563 | 4.3 | 1,180 | 0.7 |
| Arkansas | 14 | 73.7 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 10.5 |  | ....... |
| California | 37,949 | 9.2 | 6,933 | 1.7 | 378 | 0.1 | 1,305 | 0.3 | 8,145 | 2.0 | 23,186 | 5.6 | 54,406 | 13.2 |
| Colorado | 19,918 | 18.7 | 1,235 | 1.2 | 12 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 166 | 0.2 | 5,049 | 4.7 | 2,240 | 2.1 |
| Connecticut |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Delaware | 10,065 | 83.0 | 44 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 0.2 | 163 | 1.3 | 710 | 5.9 |
| District of Columbia | 332 | 56.6 | 5 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |
| Georgia | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ........ |  | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Hawaii | 30,626 | 71.4 | 64 | 0.1 | 25 | 0.1 | 463 | 1.1 | 2,466 | 5.7 | 75 | 0.2 |  | ........ |
| Idaho* |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Illinois |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |
| Indiana | 49,342 | 56.0 | 5,707 | 6.5 | 120 | 0.1 | 256 | 0.3 | 73 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 17,633 | 20.0 |
| lowa | 21,311 | 10.5 | 2,189 | 1.1 | 48 | 0.0 | 38 | 0.0 | 147 | 0.1 |  | ........ | 110,842 | 54.7 |
| Kansas |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Kentucky |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Louisiana | 13,546 | 67.2 | 436 | 2.2 | 21 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 207 | 1.0 |  | ........ |
| Maine | 1,087 | 20.5 |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ | 936 | 17.7 | 1,251 | 23.6 |
| Maryland | 7,422 | 42.9 | 79 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.0 | 67 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,972 | 11.4 |
| Massachusetts | 100,823 | 94.6 | 283 | 0.3 | 32 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 18 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Michigan | 397,451 | 96.5 | 72 | 0.0 | 48 | 0.0 | 89 | 0.0 | 2,786 | 0.7 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Minnesota* | 32,510 | 25.4 |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ | 2,214 | 1.7 | 11,127 | 8.7 |
| Mississippi | 371 | 5.2 | 2,444 | 34.5 | 18 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.1 | 144 | 2.0 |
| Missouri | 374 | 11.0 | 472 | 13.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | ........ | 8 | 0.2 |
| Montana | 218 | 6.0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ | 1 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 1,760 | 48.1 | 551 | 15.1 |
| Nebraska | 10,161 | 67.4 |  | . | 95 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 1 | 0.0 | 577 | 3.8 |
| Nevada | 5,185 | 12.1 | 809 | 1.9 | 12 | 0.0 | 228 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 10,750 | 25.0 | 5,963 | 13.9 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 816 | 31.7 | 44 | 1.7 | 6 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.3 | 1,642 | 63.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 25 | 1.0 |
| New Mexico | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 1.7 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| New York |  | $\ldots$ |  | ...... |  | ....... |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |
| North Carolina | 26,537 | 6.7 | 8,117 | 2.1 | 174 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 633 | 0.2 |  | $\ldots$ | 65,567 | 16.7 |
| North Dakota* |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\cdots$ |
| Ohio | 34,787 | 8.9 | 62,461 | 16.0 | 5,387 | 1.4 | 679 | 0.2 | 7,260 | 1.9 | 16,072 | 4.1 | 31,999 | 8.2 |
| Oklahoma | 308 | 12.7 | 88 | 3.6 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 355 | 14.7 |
| Oregon | 684 | 55.3 | 23 | 1.9 | 13 | 1.1 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |
| Pennsylvania | 137,687 | 65.3 | 144 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 154 | 0.1 | 36,371 | 17.2 | 2,260 | 1.1 |
| Rhode Island |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Carolina |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ |  | ........ |
| South Dakota | 1,243 | 32.5 | 145 | 3.8 | 4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.3 | 28 | 0.7 | 24 | 0.6 |
| Tennessee | 24,559 | 42.3 | 5,126 | 8.8 | 20 | 0.0 | 188 | 0.3 | 756 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Texas | 34,294 | 34.2 | 1,766 | 1.8 | 2,177 | 2.2 | 11 | 0.0 | 881 | 0.9 |  | ........ | 27,212 | 27.1 |
| Utah | 3,437 | 25.3 |  | ........ | 20 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.1 |  | ........ | 5,245 | 38.5 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Vermont | 1,051 | 35.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.4 | 30 | 1.0 |
| Virginia | 81,504 | 48.5 | 2,200 | 1.3 | 25 | 0.0 | 15 | 0.0 | 124 | 0.1 |  | $\ldots$ | 34,523 | 20.6 |
| Washington | 45,856 | 39.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,403 | 2.1 | 791 | 0.7 | 1,566 | 1.3 | 13,472 | 11.5 | 3,869 | 3.3 |
| West Virginia |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\cdots$ |  | ........ |  | $\cdots$ | 918 | 35.6 |
| Wisconsin* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ | 1 | 0.0 |  | ........ | 13 | 0.1 | 21,222 | 81.8 |
| Wyoming* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |
| American Samoa* | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ....... | 0 | ........ | 0 | ....... | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
| Guam* |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 1,146,444 | 31.1 | 101,682 | 2.8 | 11,114 | 0.3 | 7,930 | 0.2 | 29,595 | 0.8 | 127,595 | 3.5 | 396,689 | 10.8 |
| States Included | 38 |  | 33 |  | 35 |  | 34 |  | 31 |  | 29 |  | 32 |  |
| Question | A8d |  | A8e |  | A8f |  | A8g |  | A8h |  | A8i |  | A8j-0 |  |

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2c. Application Sources: Duplicate Registrations

| State | Not Categorized Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alaska | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 2,287 | 1.3 |
| Arkansas | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 62,410 | 15.2 |
| Colorado | 405 | 0.4 |
| Connecticut | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Delaware | 109 | 0.9 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 16,033 | 100.0 |
| Georgia | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Hawaii | 185 | 0.4 |
| Idaho* | . | $\ldots$ |
| Illinois | 74,498 | 100.0 |
| Indiana | 6,155 | 7.0 |
| lowa | 17,297 | 8.5 |
| Kansas | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| Kentucky | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Louisiana | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 1,153 | 1.1 |
| Michigan | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | $(6,784)$ | (95.9) |
| Missouri | 189 | 5.6 |
| Montana | 2 | 0.1 |
| Nebraska | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 5,744 | 13.4 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 1,144 | 95.3 |
| New York | 142,535 | 100.0 |
| North Carolina | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 95,474 | 24.5 |
| Oklahoma | 1,129 | 46.6 |
| Oregon | 41 | 3.3 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,411 | 0.7 |
| Rhode Island | ........ | ........ |
| South Carolina | ....... | $\ldots$ |
| South Dakota | 1,938 | 50.6 |
| Tennessee | 15,942 | 27.5 |
| Texas | 0 | 0.0 |
| Utah | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 1,820 | 61.0 |
| Virginia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 0 | 0.0 |
| West Virginia | 2 | 0.1 |
| Wisconsin* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* | ....... | ........ |
| American Samoa* | 0 | $\ldots \ldots$ |
| Guam* | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* | 96,626 | 100.0 |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 245,995 | 6.7 |
| States Included | 25 |  |
| Question | calc |  |

Question A8: Registration applications, duplicates of existing registrations by means and source
General note: The Balance/Not Categorized column on the table compares the sum of all the categorical responses with the total indicated. If the balance is a positive number, the difference is treated as uncategorized responses. If the balance is a negative number (indicated by a red number in parentheses) the difference indicates that the sum of the responses is greater than the total indicated; this could occur by an error in data entry or by the inability to correctly categorize some responses, resulting in some over-counting.

Notes from States:

| Arizona | One jurisdiction reported that it could not separate Motor Vehicle Division and Internet cases for <br> question A8c. |
| :--- | :--- |
| California | One jurisdiction reported that item a. also includes the totals from items b. and c. Another jurisdic- <br> tion noted that its system does not track this information. One jurisdiction stated that it was unable to <br> source the majority of its invalid registration. In addition, it is likely that a majority of this jurisdiction's <br> miscellaneous forms are from individual voters, but it is unable to trace the source. |
| Idaho | The Idaho Statewide Voter Registration System does not track how the registration forms are received <br> by the County Clerks. Also, Idaho Code does not allow registration cards to be submitted via the Inter- <br> net or email. Idaho is not covered by the NVRA because of Election Day Registration, so there are no <br> cards from any of the agencies that NVRA requires. |
| Louisiana | In all jurisdictions, for question e, the number of applications received and marked PA are reported. <br> The Department of State does not track the method of receipt of the registration applications by the <br> public assistance offices. |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| Oregon | Six jurisdictions reported that they did not track the origin of duplicate registration applications. |
| South Carolina | All jurisdictions stated that duplicate registrations are not tracked. |
| Vermont | In one jurisdiction, duplicate registrations are not necessary, so they are not tracked. Another jurisdic- <br> tion noted that it does not keep separate totals as to the source of each duplicate registration. A third <br> jurisdiction reported its elections office does not differentiate how duplicates are received, however |
| the majority of them are from the DMV. A fourth jurisdiction stated it had a total of six duplicate regis- |  |
| trations, but how these were received was not tracked. |  |$|$

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2d. Application Sources: Invalid or Rejected Forms

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Total Invalid Applications Received 2010 to 2012 | Cases | Applications Received From Individual Voters |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mail Registration Applications |  | In-Person Registration Applications |  | Internet Registration Applications |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 67 | 1,290 | 37 | 270 | 20.9 | 62 | 4.8 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Alaska | 1 | 5,932 | 1 | 1,704 | 28.7 | 883 | 14.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 32,028 | 13 | 21,891 | 68.3 | 171 | 0.5 | 2,973 | 9.3 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 5,237 | 53 | 1,463 | 27.9 | 1,110 | 21.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 58 | 269,590 | 40 | 59,448 | 22.1 | 27,295 | 10.1 | 21,187 | 7.9 |
| Colorado | 64 | 7,824 | 64 | 1,799 | 23.0 | 653 | 8.3 | 633 | 8.1 |
| Connecticut | 169 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Delaware | 3 | 3,081 | 3 | 441 | 14.3 | 228 | 7.4 | 236 | 7.7 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 389 | 1 | 33 | 8.5 | 62 | 15.9 | 33 | 8.5 |
| Florida | 67 | 93,132 | 67 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Georgia | 159 |  | 0 | 592 | $\ldots$ | 126 | ........ |  | ........ |
| Hawaii | 4 | 8,572 | 1 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |
| Idaho* | 44 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Illinois | 110 | 38,253 | 109 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Indiana | 92 | 896,523 | 92 | 5 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 661 | 0.1 |
| lowa | 99 | 138,216 | 99 | 7,489 | 5.4 | 10,815 | 7.8 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Kansas | 105 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |
| Kentucky | 120 | 97,002 | 120 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Louisiana | 64 | 31,799 | 64 | 6,461 | 20.3 | 202 | 0.6 | 584 | 1.8 |
| Maine | 500 | 1,406 | 500 | 61 | 4.3 | 2 | 0.1 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Maryland | 24 | 2,077 | 24 | 434 | 20.9 | 6 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.5 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 121,702 | 351 | 2,163 | 1.8 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Michigan | 83 | 4,176 | 83 | 365 | 8.7 | 730 | 17.5 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 432 | 87 | 192 | 44.4 | 207 | 47.9 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Mississippi | 64 | 4,290 | 39 | 46 | 1.1 | 10 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 116 | 47,829 | 108 | 160 | 0.3 | 18 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Montana | 56 | 837 | 56 | 236 | 28.2 | 30 | 3.6 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Nebraska | 93 | 458 | 93 | 3 | 0.7 | 39 | 8.5 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Nevada | 17 | 9,748 | 15 | 1,867 | 19.2 | 67 | 0.7 | 51 | 0.5 |
| New Hampshire* | 320 | 0 | 320 | 0 | ........ | 0 | ....... | 0 | ........ |
| New Jersey | 21 | 42,513 | 21 | 671 | 1.6 | 111 | 0.3 |  | $\ldots$ |
| New Mexico | 33 | 15,330 | 6 | 15 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New York | 58 | 66,493 | 58 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |
| North Carolina | 100 | 50,635 | 100 | 9,166 | 18.1 | 19,515 | 38.5 |  | $\ldots$ |
| North Dakota* | 53 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 88 | 74,887 | 71 | 6,979 | 9.3 | 2,310 | 3.1 | 102 | 0.1 |
| Oklahoma | 77 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Oregon | 36 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 18,208 | 67 | 6,500 | 35.7 | 316 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 39 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| South Carolina | 46 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| South Dakota | 66 | 41 | 38 | 9 | 22.0 | 11 | 26.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 33,978 | 63 | 5,384 | 15.8 | 863 | 2.5 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Texas | 254 | 2,860,942 | 254 | 1,563,351 | 54.6 | 578,356 | 20.2 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Utah | 29 | 7,492 | 29 | 3,919 | 52.3 |  | $\ldots$ | 2,159 | 28.8 |
| Vermont | 246 | 438 | 172 | 6 | 1.4 | 4 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Virginia | 134 | 14,159 | 132 | 3,119 | 22.0 | 305 | 2.2 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Washington | 39 | 14,301 | 25 | 2,316 | 16.2 | 87 | 0.6 | 809 | 5.7 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 362 | 38 | 193 | 53.3 | 64 | 17.7 |  |  |
| Wisconsin* | 3,541 | 550 | 3,541 | 92 | 16.7 | 122 | 22.2 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Wyoming* | 23 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | . |  | ....... |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ........ | 0 | ....... | 0 | ........ |
| Guam* | 1 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* | 1 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 8,131 | 5,022,152 | 7,056 | 1,708,843 | 34.0 | 644,781 | 12.8 | 29,438 | 0.6 |
| States Included |  | 42 |  | 38 |  | 36 |  | 21 |  |
| Question |  | A5e |  | A9a |  | A9b |  | A9c |  |
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Table 2d. Application Sources: Invalid or Rejected Forms

| State | Applications Received From Different Voter Registration Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Motor Vehicle Offices |  | Public Assistance Offices |  | Disability Services Offices |  | Armed Forces Recruitment Offices |  | Other State Agencies |  | Registration Drives from Advocacy Groups or Parties |  | Other Sources |  |
|  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 11 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.6 |  | ........ | 375 | 29.1 | 274 | 21.2 | 287 | 22.2 |
| Alaska | 2,593 | 43.7 | 405 | 6.8 | 13 | 0.2 | 318 | 5.4 | 15 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Arizona | 235 | 0.7 | 642 | 2.0 | 44 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.0 | 2,728 | 8.5 | 2,340 | 7.3 | 757 | 2.4 |
| Arkansas | 1,976 | 37.7 | 57 | 1.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 74 | 1.4 | 550 | 10.5 | 1 | 0.0 |
| California | 35,470 | 13.2 | 1,127 | 0.4 | 4,410 | 1.6 | 58 | 0.0 | 6,492 | 2.4 | 42,763 | 15.9 | 40,449 | 15.0 |
| Colorado | 1,269 | 16.2 | 561 | 7.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 25 | 0.3 | 1,551 | 19.8 | 1,333 | 17.0 |
| Connecticut |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Delaware | 2,086 | 67.7 | 7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.4 | 52 | 1.7 |
| District of Columbia | 258 | 66.3 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ...... |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |
| Georgia | 196 | $\ldots$ | 67 | ....... | 0 | $\ldots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ | 99 | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ | 10 |  |
| Hawaii |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ | 8,572 | 100.0 |
| Idaho* |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Illinois |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |
| Indiana | 7,394 | 0.8 | 1,052 | 0.1 | 29 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 28 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,103 | 0.2 |
| lowa | 10,855 | 7.9 | 2,182 | 1.6 | 34 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.0 | 260 | 0.2 |  | ........ | 98,049 | 70.9 |
| Kansas |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Kentucky |  | ....... |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ | 97,002 | 100.0 |
| Louisiana | 23,121 | 72.7 | 987 | 3.1 | 103 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 340 | 1.1 |  | ....... |
| Maine | 1 | 0.1 |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ | 5 | 0.4 | 1,337 | 95.1 |
| Maryland | 1,479 | 71.2 | 88 | 4.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.3 | 48 | 2.3 |
| Massachusetts | 59,220 | 48.7 | 101 | 0.1 | 19 | 0.0 |  | ....... | 6 | 0.0 |  | ........ | 60,195 | 49.5 |
| Michigan | 2,967 | 71.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.1 | 107 | 2.6 |  | ........ |  | ....... |
| Minnesota* | 13 | 3.0 |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ | 14 | 3.2 | 6 | 1.4 |
| Mississippi | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 0.5 | 18 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | .... |
| Missouri | 17 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | ........ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Montana | 192 | 22.9 |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |  | ........ | 282 | 33.7 | 97 | 11.6 |
| Nebraska | 89 | 19.4 |  | $\ldots$ | 6 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 | 300 | 65.5 |
| Nevada | 835 | 8.6 | 557 | 5.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4,605 | 47.2 | 165 | 1.7 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ....... | 0 | ....... | 0 | ....... | 0 | .. ..... |
| New Jersey | 9,468 | 22.3 | 842 | 2.0 | 690 | 1.6 | 602 | 1.4 | 21,801 | 51.3 | 4 | 0.0 | 8,324 | 19.6 |
| New Mexico | 90 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.1 |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| New York |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| North Carolina | 11,304 | 22.3 | 3,646 | 7.2 | 80 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.0 | 36 | 0.1 |  | $\ldots$ | 6,884 | 13.6 |
| North Dakota* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 4,310 | 5.8 | 18,759 | 25.0 | 1,912 | 2.6 | 7 | 0.0 | 1,351 | 1.8 | 5,312 | 7.1 | 1,961 | 2.6 |
| Oklahoma |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\cdots$ |
| Oregon |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| Pennsylvania | 2,506 | 13.8 | 67 | 0.4 | 18 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 53 | 0.3 | 8,108 | 44.5 | 205 | 1.1 |
| Rhode Island |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Carolina |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| South Dakota | 5 | 12.2 | 10 | 24.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 14.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 14,066 | 41.4 | 4,638 | 13.7 | 71 | 0.2 | 357 | 1.1 | 785 | 2.3 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Texas | 65,054 | 2.3 | 107,040 | 3.7 | 125,055 | 4.4 | 227 | 0.0 | 47,610 | 1.7 | 374,249 | 13.1 |  | ....... |
| Utah | 1,366 | 18.2 |  | $\ldots$ | 16 | 0.2 |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ | 32 | 0.4 |  |  |
| Vermont | 150 | 34.2 | 2 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.9 | 34 | 7.8 |
| Virginia | 10,139 | 71.6 | 170 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ | 417 | 2.9 |
| Washington | 445 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 995 | 7.0 | 15 | 0.1 | 51 | 0.4 | 1,593 | 11.1 | 7,990 | 55.9 |
| West Virginia |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ | 104 | 28.7 |
| Wisconsin* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ | 1 | 0.2 |  | ........ | 6 | 1.1 | 329 | 59.8 |
| Wyoming* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| American Samoa* | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ..... | 0 | ....... | 0 | ....... | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Guam* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 269,180 | 5.4 | 143,057 | 2.8 | 133,529 | 2.7 | 1,614 | 0.0 | 81,918 | 1.6 | 442,056 | 8.8 | 337,011 | 6.7 |
| States Included | 36 |  | 31 |  | 32 |  | 31 |  | 29 |  | 28 |  | 33 |  |
| Question | A9d |  | A9e |  | A9f |  | A9g |  | A9h |  | A9i |  | A9j-0 |  |
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Table 2d. Application Sources: Invalid or Rejected Forms

| State | Not Categorized Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alaska | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 242 | 0.8 |
| Arkansas | 3 | 0.1 |
| California | 30,891 | 11.5 |
| Colorado | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Delaware | 10 | 0.3 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 93,132 | 100.0 |
| Georgia | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Illinois | 38,253 | 100.0 |
| Indiana | 885,248 | 98.7 |
| lowa | 8,525 | 6.2 |
| Kansas | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Kentucky | 0 | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 0 | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 4,195 | 97.8 |
| Missouri | 47,623 | 99.6 |
| Montana | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 21 | 4.6 |
| Nevada | 1,601 | 16.4 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| New Jersey | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 15,213 | 99.2 |
| New York | 66,493 | 100.0 |
| North Carolina | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* | ........ | ....... |
| Ohio | 31,884 | 42.6 |
| Oklahoma | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Oregon | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Pennsylvania | 434 | 2.4 |
| Rhode Island | $\ldots . . . . .$. | $\ldots$ |
| South Carolina | $\ldots$ | ....... |
| South Dakota | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 7,814 | 23.0 |
| Texas | 0 | 0.0 |
| Utah | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 235 | 53.7 |
| Virginia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 0 | 0.0 |
| West Virginia | 1 | 0.3 |
| Wisconsin* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* | $\ldots$ | ...... |
| American Samoa* | 0 |  |
| Guam* | $\ldots$ | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* | ....... |  |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 1,230,727 | 24.5 |
| States Included | 19 |  |
| Question | calc |  |

## Question A9: Registration applications, invalid or rejected forms by means and source

General note: The Balance/Not Categorized column on the table compares the sum of all the categorical responses with the total indicated. If the balance is a positive number the difference is treated as uncategorized responses. If the balance is a negative number (indicated by a red number in parentheses) the difference indicates that the sum of the responses is greater than the total indicated; this could occur by an error in data entry or by the inability to correctly categorize some responses, resulting in some over-counting.

Notes from States:
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { Arizona } & \begin{array}{l}\text { One jurisdiction stated that the number of Internet registrations in 'c' is 506, but it does not know the } \\ \text { values of those submitted. Another jurisdiction reported that it cannot separate MVD and Internet } \\ \text { cases for A9c. A third jurisdiction reported that Internet applications through the Arizona EZ Voter site } \\ \text { are unable to be identified in such categories at this time for A9c. Another jurisdiction indicated, "A6, } \\ \text { A7, A8, and A9 Comments: For c \& d transactions, our Voter Registration system does not allow us to } \\ \text { separate the registrations received electronically from the motor vehicle offices versus those submitted } \\ \text { via EZ Voter (internet) through the MVD online voter registration site. We do still occasionally receive } \\ \text { paper forms from the MVD office and the total noted in "d" (1604) is reflective of that. A9 - Invalid } \\ \text { (Dead-end) forms are tracked by source code. Rejected (Prop 200) forms aren't tracked by source } \\ \text { code but will begin tracking and the net total has been included in column A9 row A. For j. (FEDERAL } \\ \text { FORMS), these submissions are required to be handled differently than standard registration forms } \\ \text { submitted and as such, the method of receipt (e.g., mail, in person, etc.) is not captured because the pri- } \\ \text { mary identification needing to be noted for these forms is their "type" versus their "received" method." }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { California } & \begin{array}{l}\text { One jurisdiction indicated its A9a through A9i reason codes are not reflected in the survey. A second } \\ \text { jurisdiction stated that data for invalid or rejected registrations is only collected through its online reg- } \\ \text { istration system, which was implemented on 9/7/2012. Another jurisdiction noted that it did not keep } \\ \text { statistics on registration sources for invalid or rejected registration within this specified time period. } \\ \text { A fourth jurisdiction commented, "A9j: The sum of A7j, A8j and A9j do not equal A6j because these are } \\ \text { not tracked in our EMIS but are kept track manually, so they do not show up anywhere else." A fifth } \\ \text { jurisdiction reported that its system does not track this information. A sixth jurisdiction commented }\end{array} \\ \text { that its responses to questions A7 through A9 are best estimates. One jurisdiction stated, "For A5e if the } \\ \text { registration form is invalid or rejected it does not get put into DIMS and therefore cannot be counted. } \\ \text { When this happens we send the applicant a new registration form requesting the missing or rejected }\end{array}\right\}$

| New York | New York County also includes Bronx County, Kings County, Queens County, and Richmond County <br> data. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Oregon | All jurisdictions indicated they do not track this information. |
| Puerto Rico | "Puerto Rico is exempt from NVRA like the rest of the territories." |
| South Carolina | All jurisdictions indicated that invalid registrations are not tracked. |
| Vermont | One jurisdiction commented, "Information was entered on HAVA. Entered on 'session statistics."' Another <br> jurisdiction reported that it had a discrepancy of two cases due to pre-registration under the age of 18. A <br> third jurisdiction indicated that its new applications are not broken down into these categories. |
| West Virginia | All jurisdictions reported that when entering a new registration into the Statewide Voter Registration <br> System, staff may choose one of the following sources of receipt: mail, in person at the election office, <br> agency, and other. It is also possible that some registrations were entered without a value for the reg- <br> istration source, hence the other category 'No Value.' The 'agency,' includes totals for such sources as <br> istres <br> motor vehicle offices and public assistance offices, and the 'Other' category includes totals for such <br> sources as registration drives. |

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
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| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Reported Registration | Registration Forms Received |  | Change of Address, etc. Within Jurisdiction |  |  | Duplicate Applications |  |  | Invalid or Rejected Applications |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 | 2010 to 2012 | Cases | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. |
| Alabama | 67 | 3,162,135 | 1,605,409 | 66 | 1,297,476 | 66 | 80.8 | 336 | 9 | 0.0 | 1,290 | 37 | 0.1 |
| Alaska | 1 | 579,304 | 249,863 | 1 | 132,906 | 1 | 53.2 | 55,842 | 1 | 22.3 | 5,932 | 1 | 2.4 |
| Arizona | 15 | 3,725,362 | 1,946,771 | 15 | 1,162,250 | 15 | 59.7 | 176,186 | 13 | 9.1 | 32,028 | 13 | 1.6 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 1,610,364 | 568,631 | 75 | 353,978 | 75 | 62.3 | 19 | 50 | 0.0 | 5,237 | 53 | 0.9 |
| California | 58 | 18,996,338 | 5,098,027 | 56 | 1,057,448 | 44 | 20.7 | 410,858 | 48 | 8.1 | 269,590 | 40 | 5.3 |
| Colorado | 64 | 3,651,091 | 2,063,275 | 64 | 805,044 | 64 | 39.0 | 106,377 | 64 | 5.2 | 7,824 | 64 | 0.4 |
| Connecticut | 169 | 2,202,278 | 427,323 | 169 | 149,637 | 169 | 35.0 |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Delaware | 3 | 632,805 | 252,649 | 3 | 95,456 | 3 | 37.8 | 12,128 | 3 | 4.8 | 3,081 | 3 | 1.2 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 557,774 | 145,814 | 1 | 71,805 | 1 | 49.2 | 587 | 1 | 0.4 | 389 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Florida | 67 | 11,934,446 | 1,605,488 | 67 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 16,033 | 65 | 1.0 | 93,132 | 67 | 5.8 |
| Georgia | 159 | 6,050,050 | 797,396 | 159 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Hawaii | 4 | 705,668 | 184,867 | 4 | 62,776 | 4 | 34.0 | 42,915 | 4 | 23.2 | 8,572 | 1 | 4.6 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 895,834 | 413,337 | 44 | 199,687 | 44 | 48.3 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Illinois | 110 | 8,116,660 | 2,065,485 | 110 | 504,452 | 109 | 24.4 | 74,498 | 110 | 3.6 | 38,253 | 109 | 1.9 |
| Indiana | 92 | 4,562,268 | 2,085,483 | 92 | 713,960 | 92 | 34.2 | 88,146 | 92 | 4.2 | 896,523 | 92 | 43.0 |
| lowa | 99 | 2,236,068 | 2,534,566 | 99 | 1,922,855 | 99 | 75.9 | 202,681 | 99 | 8.0 | 138,216 | 99 | 5.5 |
| Kansas | 105 | 1,771,252 | 615,146 | 105 | 552,877 | 105 | 89.9 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Kentucky | 120 | 3,037,153 | 1,183,054 | 120 | 0 | 120 | 0.0 | 0 | 120 | 0.0 | 97,002 | 120 | 8.2 |
| Louisiana | 64 | 2,965,751 | 1,246,033 | 64 | 360,726 | 64 | 28.9 | 20,170 | 64 | 1.6 | 31,799 | 64 | 2.6 |
| Maine | 500 | 1,026,086 | 252,356 | 500 | 72,774 | 500 | 28.8 | 5,292 | 500 | 2.1 | 1,406 | 500 | 0.6 |
| Maryland | 24 | 3,694,658 | 2,678,449 | 24 | 1,344,754 | 24 | 50.2 | 17,315 | 24 | 0.6 | 2,077 | 24 | 0.1 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 4,340,000 | 1,557,538 | 351 | 999,817 | 351 | 64.2 | 106,631 | 351 | 6.8 | 121,702 | 351 | 7.8 |
| Michigan | 83 | 7,454,553 | 2,775,388 | 83 | 826,405 | 83 | 29.8 | 412,072 | 83 | 14.8 | 4,176 | 83 | 0.2 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 3,387,783 | 1,282,404 | 87 | 417,916 | 87 | 32.6 | 128,227 | 87 | 10.0 | 432 | 87 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 64 | 1,399,209 | 276,582 | 58 | 144,279 | 44 | 52.2 | 7,075 | 18 | 2.6 | 4,290 | 39 | 1.6 |
| Missouri | 116 | 4,191,778 | 1,285,207 | 116 | 2,436,576 | 115 | 189.6 | 3,394 | 4 | 0.3 | 47,829 | 108 | 3.7 |
| Montana | 56 | 681,608 | 246,737 | 56 | 119,989 | 56 | 48.6 | 3,661 | 56 | 1.5 | 837 | 56 | 0.3 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 1,163,871 | 452,455 | 93 | 303,347 | 93 | 67.0 | 15,069 | 93 | 3.3 | 458 | 93 | 0.1 |
| Nevada | 17 | 1,258,409 | 490,085 | 17 | 190,168 | 17 | 38.8 | 42,982 | 14 | 8.8 | 9,748 | 15 | 2.0 |
| New Hampshire* | 320 | 878,136 | 680,241 | 320 | 426,489 | 320 | 62.7 | 2,284 | 320 | 0.3 | 0 | 320 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 21 | 5,415,639 | 1,211,041 | 21 | 183,921 | 21 | 15.2 | 2,576 | 21 | 0.2 | 42,513 | 21 | 3.5 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 1,252,438 | 413,241 | 33 | 237,843 | 33 | 57.6 | 1,200 | 5 | 0.3 | 15,330 | 6 | 3.7 |
| New York | 58 | 11,720,541 | 1,019,746 | 47 | 890,734 | 58 | 87.3 | 142,535 | 58 | 14.0 | 66,493 | 58 | 6.5 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 6,655,291 | 2,652,104 | 100 | 985,884 | 100 | 37.2 | 393,337 | 100 | 14.8 | 50,635 | 100 | 1.9 |
| North Dakota* | 53 | 545,020 |  | 0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 88 | 7,987,697 | 3,182,442 | 88 | 980,032 | 79 | 30.8 | 389,381 | 85 | 12.2 | 74,887 | 71 | 2.4 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 2,114,489 | 563,770 | 77 | 282,522 | 77 | 50.1 | 2,421 | 77 | 0.4 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Oregon | 36 | 2,199,360 | 800,425 | 36 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 1,236 | 36 | 0.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 8,352,342 | 3,246,470 | 67 | 1,342,646 | 67 | 41.4 | 210,903 | 67 | 6.5 | 18,208 | 67 | 0.6 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 725,309 | 119,435 | 39 | 39,879 | 39 | 33.4 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Carolina | 46 | 2,875,121 | 1,426,202 | 46 | 1,170,757 | 46 | 82.1 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Dakota | 66 | 523,410 | 108,178 | 52 | 20,223 | 38 | 18.7 | 3,830 | 38 | 3.5 | 41 | 38 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 4,024,960 | 843,366 | 95 | 100,813 | 26 | 12.0 | 58,000 | 90 | 6.9 | 33,978 | 63 | 4.0 |
| Texas | 254 | 13,690,729 |  | 0 | 2,590,404 | 254 | ........ | 100,363 | 254 | ........ | 2,860,942 | 254 | $\ldots$ |
| Utah | 29 | 1,508,372 | 1,196,390 | 29 | 939,462 | 29 | 78.5 | 13,610 | 29 | 1.1 | 7,492 | 29 | 0.6 |
| Vermont | 246 | 460,817 | 65,582 | 219 | 10,253 | 177 | 15.6 | 2,984 | 188 | 4.6 | 438 | 172 | 0.7 |
| Virginia | 134 | 5,428,091 | 3,020,073 | 134 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 167,893 | 134 | 5.6 | 14,159 | 132 | 0.5 |
| Washington | 39 | 3,904,959 | 1,129,472 | 39 | 162,235 | 17 | 14.4 | 116,939 | 30 | 10.4 | 14,301 | 25 | 1.3 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 1,246,559 | 289,545 | 55 | 3,667 | 55 | 1.3 | 2,577 | 55 | 0.9 | 362 | 38 | 0.1 |
| Wisconsin* | 3,541 | 3,987,248 | 1,083,046 | 3,541 | 263,701 | 3,541 | 24.3 | 25,944 | 3,541 | 2.4 | 550 | 3,541 | 0.1 |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 240,438 | 93,359 | 23 | 44,124 | 23 | 47.3 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| American Samo** | 1 | 17,764 | 7,730 | 1 | 462 | 1 | 6.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Guam* | 1 | 50,701 | 5,168 | 1 | 930 | 1 | 18.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* | 1 | 2,402,941 | 2,989,120 | 1 | 517,434 | 1 | 17.3 | 96,626 | 1 | 3.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 8,131 | 194,198,928 | 62,531,964 | 7,763 | 27,493,773 | 7,448 | 44.0 | 3,683,133 | 7,103 | 5.9 | 5,022,152 | 7,056 | 8.0 |
| States Included |  | 54 | 52 |  | 49 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 42 |  |  |
| Question |  | A1 | A5a |  | A5f |  |  | A5d |  |  | A5e |  |  |
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| State | New "pre" Registration Applications |  |  | Change of Address, etc. Cross Jurisdictions |  |  | Other Applications |  |  | New Registrants Added to Voter List |  |  | \% of Report. Reg. | Not Categorized Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | 2010 to 2012 | Cases |  |  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 347,494 | 66 | 21.6 | 11.0 | $(41,187)$ | (2.6) |
| Alaska |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 55,183 | 1 | 22.1 | 9.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 222 | 7 | 0.0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ........ | 576,085 | 15 | 29.6 | 15.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 209,397 | 75 | 36.8 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 15,488 | 29 | 0.3 | 80,863 | 32 | 1.6 | 671,644 | 27 | 13.2 | 2,111,659 | 51 | 41.4 | 11.1 | 480,477 | 9.4 |
| Colorado | 7,847 | 64 | 0.4 | 276,771 | 64 | 13.4 | 401,089 | 64 | 19.4 | 458,323 | 64 | 22.2 | 12.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut | 327 | 169 | 0.1 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 277,025 | 169 | 64.8 | 12.6 | 334 | 0.1 |
| Delaware | 6,742 | 3 | 2.7 | 7,153 | 3 | 2.8 | 56,908 | 3 | 22.5 | 71,181 | 3 | 28.2 | 11.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 478 | 1 | 0.3 |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 72,555 | 1 | 49.8 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 71,292 | 67 | 4.4 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 39,651 | 67 | 2.5 | 1,385,380 | 67 | 86.3 | 11.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Georgia | 28,989 | 159 | 3.6 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\cdots$ | 768,407 | 159 | 96.4 | 12.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 3,339 | 4 | 1.8 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 8,461 | 3 | 4.6 | 58,804 | 4 | 31.8 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 16,223 | 44 | 3.9 | 111,915 | 44 | 27.1 | 85,512 | 44 | 20.7 | 9.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Illinois |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 996,607 | 110 | 48.3 | 12.3 | 451,675 | 21.9 |
| Indiana | 32,256 | 92 | 1.5 |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 354,598 | 92 | 17.0 | 7.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Iowa | 558 | 99 | 0.0 | 111,239 | 99 | 4.4 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 159,017 | 99 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kansas |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 235,322 | 105 | 38.3 | 13.3 | $(173,053)$ | (28.1) |
| Kentucky | 0 | 120 | 0.0 | 0 | 120 | 0.0 | 718,735 | 120 | 60.8 | 367,227 | 120 | 31.0 | 12.1 | 90 | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 16,797 | 64 | 1.3 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 440,732 | 64 | 35.4 | 375,809 | 64 | 30.2 | 12.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 1,022 | 500 | 0.4 | 69,697 | 500 | 27.6 | 18,824 | 500 | 7.5 | 83,341 | 500 | 33.0 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 32,635 | 24 | 1.2 | 184,415 | 24 | 6.9 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 408,588 | 24 | 15.3 | 11.1 | 688,665 | 25.7 |
| Massachusetts |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 436,019 | 351 | 28.0 | 10.0 | $(106,631)$ | (6.8) |
| Michigan | 20,509 | 83 | 0.7 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 1,512,220 | 83 | 54.5 | 20.3 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 4,190 | 87 | 0.3 | 248,002 | 87 | 19.3 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 483,637 | 87 | 37.7 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 16,524 | 40 | 6.0 | 875 | 9 | 0.3 | 5,975 | 7 | 2.2 | 151,026 | 56 | 54.6 | 10.8 | $(53,462)$ | (19.3) |
| Missouri | 174 | 1 | 0.0 | 134,749 | 109 | 10.5 | 6,148 | 3 | 0.5 | 518,995 | 116 | 40.4 | 12.4 | $(1,862,658)$ | (144.9) |
| Montana | 3,065 | 56 | 1.2 | 44,053 | 56 | 17.9 |  | 0 | ....... | 75,132 | 56 | 30.5 | 11.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | 133,552 | 93 | 29.5 | 11.5 | 29 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 7,432 | 17 | 1.5 | 0 | 14 | 0.0 | 0 | 17 | 0.0 | 239,755 | 17 | 48.9 | 19.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* | 47 | 320 | 0.0 | 77,820 | 320 | 11.4 | 0 | 320 | 0.0 | 173,601 | 320 | 25.5 | 19.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 2,635 | 21 | 0.2 | 326,412 | 21 | 27.0 | 198,724 | 21 | 16.4 | 451,684 | 21 | 37.3 | 8.3 | 2,576 | 0.2 |
| New Mexico | 31 | 4 | 0.0 | 28,213 | 33 | 6.8 | 17,784 | 2 | 4.3 | 125,553 | 33 | 30.4 | 10.0 | $(12,713)$ | (3.1) |
| New York |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 375,076 | 57 | 36.8 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 1,261,747 | 58 | 123.7 | 10.8 | $(1,716,839)$ | (168.4) |
| North Carolina | 95,107 | 100 | 3.6 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 1,127,141 | 100 | 42.5 | 16.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 9,561 | 35 | 0.3 | 276,437 | 26 | 8.7 | 421,281 | 47 | 13.2 | 1,000,018 | 88 | 31.4 | 12.5 | 30,845 | 1.0 |
| Oklahoma |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 278,827 | 77 | 49.5 | 13.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oregon | 22,110 | 36 | 2.8 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 531,764 | 36 | 66.4 | 245,315 | 36 | 30.6 | 11.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 0 | 67 | 0.0 | 538,267 | 67 | 16.6 | 0 | 67 | 0.0 | 640,555 | 67 | 19.7 | 7.7 | 495,891 | 15.3 |
| Rhode Island | 2,387 | 39 | 2.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 39 | 0.0 | 77,169 | 39 | 64.6 | 10.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 255,445 | 46 | 17.9 | 8.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 21 | 38 | 0.0 | 329 | 38 | 0.3 | 987 | 66 | 0.9 | 62,208 | 38 | 57.5 | 11.9 | 20,539 | 19.0 |
| Tennessee | 1,230 | 1 | 0.1 | 4,572 | 3 | 0.5 | 45,885 | 1 | 5.4 | 598,888 | 95 | 71.0 | 14.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Texas | 13,695 | 254 | $\ldots$ | 460,550 | 254 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 2,159,391 | 254 | ........ | 15.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Utah |  | 0 | ........ | 18,169 | 27 | 1.5 |  | 0 | ........ | 217,657 | 29 | 18.2 | 14.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 380 | 186 | 0.6 | 2,757 | 159 | 4.2 | 266 | 20 | 0.4 | 44,040 | 209 | 67.2 | 9.6 | 4,464 | 6.8 |
| Virginia |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 2,273,576 | 134 | 75.3 | 564,445 | 134 | 18.7 | 10.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 21,826 | 23 | 1.9 | 116,664 | 33 | 10.3 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 697,507 | 39 | 61.8 | 17.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| West Virginia | 0 | 55 | 0.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 169,641 | 55 | 58.6 | 113,298 | 55 | 39.1 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 62 | 3,541 | 0.0 | 343,251 | 3,541 | 31.7 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 449,538 | 3,541 | 41.5 | 11.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 49,235 | 23 | 52.7 | 20.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 3,843 | 1 | 49.7 | 3,425 | 1 | 44.3 | 19.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 4,238 | 1 | 82.0 | 8.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Puerto Rico* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 2,140,339 | 1 | 71.6 | 234,721 | 1 | 7.9 | 9.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 438,978 | 6,407 | 0.7 | 3,742,557 | 5,743 | 6.0 | 8,284,172 | 1,730 | 13.2 | 23,843,496 | 7,997 | 38.1 | 12.3 | $(9,976,297)$ | (16.0) |
| States Included | 37 |  |  | 28 |  |  | 27 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  | 19 |  |
| Question | A5c |  |  | A5g |  |  | A5h-I |  |  | A5b |  |  |  | calc |  |

TABLE 3. APPLICATIONS PROCESSED

Question A5: Registration applications by type of application

Notes from States:
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { Arizona } & \begin{array}{l}\text { One jurisdiction stated that its numbers may differ due to a mass street file project that it has worked } \\ \text { on for the last four years. Another jurisdiction commented, "For A5c, we did receive a total of 266 'pre' } \\ \text { registrations through the November 2010 to November 2012 time period for persons under 18. How- } \\ \text { ever, those individuals were eligible and active to participate by the November 2012 General Election } \\ \text { and are therefore considered 'New valid registrations' and part of that A 5b total. We do not track any } \\ \text { 'New 'pre' registrations of persons under age 18' that are not going to be eligible for the next coming } \\ \text { General Election. Any voter submitting who would not be 18 and eligible to vote by the next General } \\ \text { Election is not keyed into the system as 'active' and therefore not tracked." A third jurisdiction stated } \\ \text { that it cannot separate MVD and Internet for A5d. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { California } & \begin{array}{l}\text { One jurisdiction commented that A5f and A5g are included in A5d. Another jurisdiction stated, "Forms } \\ \text { received for address changes that cross jurisdiction borders (A5g) are included in the total for new } \\ \text { valid registrations (A5b). Data for A5e, Invalid or rejected (other than duplicates) was only collected } \\ \text { for and through the online voter registration system which was implemented on 9/17/2012. Data not } \\ \text { available for all other registration forms received from all other sources." A third jurisdiction noted that } \\ \text { for A5h statewide on-line registration is not broken down between new registrations and duplicates of } \\ \text { existing valid registrations. A fourth jurisdiction stated, "For A5e if the registration form is invalid or } \\ \text { rejected it does not get put into DIMS and therefore cannot be counted. When this happens we send } \\ \text { the applicant a new registration form requesting the missing or rejected information. A5f is left blank } \\ \text { because we include all these reasons under re-registration and don't distinguish between them." A } \\ \text { fifth jurisdiction stated "1) County claims that A5a being more than 50\% of Ala is the correct number } \\ \text { 2) Data for A5b-A5g is not available due to the transaction-based operation and historical tracking } \\ \text { ability of the voter registration system." A sixth jurisdiction commented that the under 18 years old } \\ \text { category is not an aggregate due to those under 18 becoming eligible by Election Day. Another jurisdic- } \\ \text { tion stated that its policy is to hold registration cards until the voter reaches age 18; the exception is for } \\ \text { voters who turn 18 after the 15-day close of registration but before Election Day, who are keyed in as }\end{array} \\ \text { needed. One jurisdiction stated that A5e is pending. }\end{array}\right\}$

| Maryland | It was not uncommon this election cycle to receive four or more forms from a voter. Additionally, the numbers represent the number of changes, not the number of forms. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maine | One jurisdiction noted, "Orono is a college town which had numerous voter registration drives." |
| Michigan | For all jurisdictions, A5b reflects voters who registered for the first time in Michigan and voters who moved from one jurisdiction to another in Michigan. |
| Missouri | The statewide database does not report this data in the same manner as requested by these series of questions. Address changes and name changes are not processed as new registrations but are a large part of workflow. Missouri went through the redistricting process during the last election cycle; as a result of that process Af numbers will be inflated to reflect this process. |
| Mississippi | One jurisdiction commented, "A5f - Continuous work on non-standard addresses." |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| New Hampshire | New Hampshire uses Voter Registration forms for Name, Party, and Address Changes. |
| New Mexico | One jurisdiction commented, "A5h included because these are processed with the other mail." A second jurisdiction commented, "Registrant Search results - PSR Report - Activity statistics Report." |
| New York | New York County also includes Bronx County, Kings County, Queens County, and Richmond County data. |
| Ohio | 13 jurisdictions commented, "Per vendor's report." |
| Oregon | Oregon does not track A5e. |
| Pennsylvania | Five jurisdictions had E-911 readdressing changes that occurred between November 2010 and November 2012, which is why A5a exceeds more than $50 \%$ of Ala. |
| Puerto Rico | Puerto Rico does not have midterm elections. The new registrations provided are for the term 20082012. |
| South Carolina | The number used for Total Registration Forms received is more accurately described as changes to voter records. Most times, a change to a voter record is the result of a new voter registration application or a change of address form being received. However, redistricting would also result in a change to a voter record, and SC conducted redistricting in 2011-2012. The number used for "new valid" are only new registrations received. |
| Tennessee | One jurisdiction noted that, for A5h, changes of address are generally within jurisdiction; it uses a "moved out of county" for outside border moves. |
| Vermont | One jurisdiction noted that the total does not include innumerable number of address changes. Another jurisdiction stated A5e was a postcard from the Secretary of State's Office inquiring on behalf of someone else about whether they were on the checklist, and if they were not, to send them information on how to register. A third jurisdiction stated that breakdowns are not done on system. A fourth jurisdiction commented that all duplicates were DMV. A fifth jurisdiction noted that these numbers are incomplete due to most personnel not tracking how many forms were duplicates or rejected; if they did not enter the voter, they did not count it. Another jurisdiction commented that these data are firmly verifiable only in the aggregate, given the transition at the clerk position this year. One jurisdiction stated that A5f is a larger-than-normal number; it changed the emergency numbering address system for the entire town, and these numbers reflect the change. |
| Wisconsin | All jurisdictions noted that for question A5d, this total includes approved and denied duplicates. For questions A5f \& A5g jurisdiction refers to municipality. There were 13065 registrations whose address could not be related to a particular reporting unit. Registrations from MyVote.wi.gov were only included if the voter submitted the paper form to the election office to be processed. |
| West Virginia | Three jurisdictions commented that the high number of address changes is due to an increased effort in list maintenance. |

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 4a. Voter List Maintenance: Confirmation Notices

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Total Registration 2012 | Confirmation Notices Sent |  | \% of <br> Reported Registration | Received confirmation from voter |  |  | Received confirmation invalid |  |  | Returned undeliverable |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Cases |  | Total | Cases | $\%$ of <br> Notices | Total | Cases |  | Total | Cases |  |
| Alabama | 67 | 3,162,135 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Alaska | 1 | 579,304 | 29,452 | 1 | 5.1 | 819 | 1 | 2.8 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Arizona | 15 | 3,725,362 | 3,649,241 | 15 | 98.0 | 168,848 | 15 | 4.6 | 81,859 | 14 | 2.2 | 941,211 | 14 | 25.8 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 1,610,364 | 347,904 | 71 | 21.6 | 155,517 | 69 | 44.7 | 1,032 | 2 | 0.3 | 522 | 1 | 0.2 |
| California | 58 | 18,996,338 | 853,647 | 37 | 4.5 | 169,659 | 29 | 19.9 | 65,977 | 28 | 7.7 | 43,185 | 27 | 5.1 |
| Colorado | 64 | 3,651,091 | 1,301,833 | 64 | 35.7 | 608,408 | 64 | 46.7 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Connecticut | 169 | 2,202,278 | 136,399 | 169 | 6.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\cdots$ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Delaware | 3 | 632,805 | 64,447 | 3 | 10.2 | 25,744 | 3 | 39.9 | 38,631 | 3 | 59.9 | 48 | 3 | 0.1 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 557,774 | 82,051 | 1 | 14.7 | 729 | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 957 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Florida | 67 | 11,934,446 | 838,948 | 67 | 7.0 | 133,684 | 64 | 15.9 | 30,824 | 61 | 3.7 | 237,509 | 61 | 28.3 |
| Georgia | 159 | 6,050,050 | 6 | 159 | 0.0 | 3 | 159 | 50.0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ....... |
| Hawaii | 4 | 705,668 | 38,137 | 4 | 5.4 | 4,939 | 4 | 13.0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ....... |
| Idaho* | 44 | 895,834 | 30,365 | 44 | 3.4 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Illinois | 110 | 8,116,660 | 839,557 | 110 | 10.3 | 155,906 | 110 | 18.6 | 213,316 | 108 | 25.4 | 343,981 | 109 | 41.0 |
| Indiana | 92 | 4,562,268 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| lowa | 99 | 2,236,068 | 121,768 | 99 | 5.4 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Kansas | 105 | 1,771,252 | 263,197 | 86 | 14.9 | 41,989 | 87 | 16.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 23,698 | 66 | 9.0 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 3,037,153 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Louisiana | 64 | 2,965,751 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Maine | 500 | 1,026,086 | 45,306 | 500 | 4.4 | 3,633 | 500 | 8.0 | 6,312 | 500 | 13.9 | 4,136 | 500 | 9.1 |
| Maryland | 24 | 3,694,658 | 523,870 | 24 | 14.2 | 5,432 | 24 | 1.0 | 34,724 | 24 | 6.6 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 4,340,000 | 751,084 | 351 | 17.3 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Michigan | 83 | 7,454,553 | 310,098 | 83 | 4.2 | 15,119 | 83 | 4.9 | 28,426 | 83 | 9.2 | 92,893 | 83 | 30.0 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 3,387,783 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ |
| Mississippi | 64 | 1,399,209 | 43,865 | 50 | 3.1 | 2,733 | 18 | 6.2 | 746 | 13 | 1.7 | 4,609 | 18 | 10.5 |
| Missouri | 116 | 4,191,778 | 429,726 | 116 | 10.3 | 71,659 | 115 | 16.7 | 17,176 | 113 | 4.0 | 51,592 | 27 | 12.0 |
| Montana | 56 | 681,608 | 121,358 | 56 | 17.8 | 13,765 | 56 | 11.3 | 12,585 | 56 | 10.4 | 20,256 | 56 | 16.7 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 1,163,871 | 152,028 | 93 | 13.1 | 78,571 | 93 | 51.7 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Nevada | 17 | 1,258,409 | 196,869 | 11 | 15.6 | 6,417 | 8 | 3.3 | 2,689 | 8 | 1.4 | 3,325 | 8 | 1.7 |
| New Hampshire* | 320 | 878,136 | 0 | 320 | 0.0 | 0 | 320 | ....... | 0 | 320 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 320 | ... |
| New Jersey | 21 | 5,415,639 | 326,932 | 21 | 6.0 | 59,228 | 21 | 18.1 | 503 | 21 | 0.2 | 6,419 | 21 | 2.0 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 1,252,438 | 182,917 | 33 | 14.6 | 182 | 2 | 0.1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 10,784 | 3 | 5.9 |
| New York | 58 | 11,720,541 | 201,583 | 57 | 1.7 | 10,353 | 57 | 5.1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |
| North Carolina | 100 | 6,655,291 | 811,632 | 100 | 12.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 448,293 | 100 | 55.2 |
| North Dakota* | 53 | 545,020 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Ohio | 88 | 7,987,697 | 1,494,495 | 74 | 18.7 | 235,540 | 61 | 15.8 | 60,497 | 42 | 4.0 | 156,654 | 53 | 10.5 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 2,114,489 | 211,245 | 77 | 10.0 | 36,802 | 77 | 17.4 | 1,317 | 77 | 0.6 | 75,321 | 77 | 35.7 |
| Oregon | 36 | 2,199,360 | 262,952 | 36 | 12.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 8,352,342 | 387,635 | 67 | 4.6 | 49,316 | 67 | 12.7 | 5,770 | 67 | 1.5 | 74,887 | 67 | 19.3 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 725,309 |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Carolina | 46 | 2,875,121 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Dakota | 66 | 523,410 | 21,850 | 66 | 4.2 | 1,001 | 66 | 4.6 | 576 | 65 | 2.6 | 9,703 | 66 | 44.4 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 4,024,960 | 403,554 | 95 | 10.0 | 141,390 | 92 | 35.0 | 2,775 | 30 | 0.7 | 81,294 | 33 | 20.1 |
| Texas | 254 | 13,690,729 | 635,330 | 254 | 4.6 | 587,396 | 254 | 92.5 | 17,803 | 254 | 2.8 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Utah | 29 | 1,508,372 | 81,637 | 29 | 5.4 | 67,373 | 29 | 82.5 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 14,219 | 29 | 17.4 |
| Vermont | 246 | 460,817 | 32,518 | 163 | 7.1 | 3,624 | 123 | 11.1 | 4,736 | 124 | 14.6 | 5,321 | 122 | 16.4 |
| Virginia | 134 | 5,428,091 | 686,668 | 134 | 12.7 | 142,833 | 134 | 20.8 |  | 0 | $\cdots$ | 157,241 | 134 | 22.9 |
| Washington | 39 | 3,904,959 | 557,243 | 29 | 14.3 | 107,972 | 22 | 19.4 | 58,818 | 15 | 10.6 | 51,092 | 20 | 9.2 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 1,246,559 |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | $\ldots . .$. |
| Wisconsin* | 3,541 | 3,987,248 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 240,438 | 31,246 | 19 | 13.0 | 4,680 | 18 | 15.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ...... |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 17,764 | 1,627 | 1 | 9.2 | 1,127 | 1 | 69.3 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 500 | 1 | 30.7 |
| Guam* | 1 | 50,701 | 5,757 | 1 | 11.4 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* | 1 | 2,402,941 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ...... |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 8,131 | 194,198,928 | 17,507,977 | 3,790 | 9.0 | 3,112,391 | 2,847 | 17.8 | 687,092 | 2,030 | 3.9 | 2,859,650 | 2,020 | 16.3 |
| States Included |  | 54 | 43 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 28 |  |  |
| Question |  | A1 | A10a |  |  | A10b |  |  | A10c |  |  | A10d |  |  |
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| State | Status Unknown |  |  | Other |  |  | Not Categorized Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Cases | $\%$ of Notices | Total | Cases | \% of |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Alaska |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | 28,633 | 97.2 |
| Arizona | 2,450,868 | 14 | 67.2 | 6,455 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 190,481 | 69 | 54.8 |  | 0 | ........ | 352 | 0.1 |
| California | 359,798 | 28 | 42.1 | 25,317 | 6 | 3.0 | 189,711 | 22.2 |
| Colorado | 461,841 | 64 | 35.5 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 231,584 | 17.8 |
| Connecticut |  | 0 | ....... | 62,284 | 169 | 45.7 | 74,115 | 54.3 |
| Delaware | 14 | 3 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ........ | 10 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 80,365 | 1 | 97.9 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 328,606 | 65 | 39.2 | 23,293 | 32 | 2.8 | 85,032 | 10.1 |
| Georgia | 3 | 159 | 50.0 | 0 | 159 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 24,217 | 2 | 63.5 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 8,981 | 23.5 |
| Idaho* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 30,365 | 100.0 |
| Illinois |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 126,354 | 15.1 |
| Indiana |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| lowa | 121,768 | 99 | 100.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kansas |  | 0 | ........ | 19,214 | 84 | 7.3 | 178,296 | 67.7 |
| Kentucky |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ........ |
| Louisiana |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | ....... |
| Maine | 27,975 | 500 | 61.7 | 3,250 | 500 | 7.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 483,714 | 24 | 92.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 751,084 | 100.0 |
| Michigan | 173,660 | 83 | 56.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Mississippi | 6,396 | 16 | 14.6 | 1,241 | 1 | 2.8 | 28,140 | 64.2 |
| Missouri | 32,683 | 20 | 7.6 | 15,173 | 2 | 3.5 | 241,443 | 56.2 |
| Montana | 74,046 | 56 | 61.0 | 573 | 4 | 0.5 | 133 | 0.1 |
| Nebraska |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 73,457 | 48.3 |
| Nevada | 6,093 | 8 | 3.1 | 1,375 | 17 | 0.7 | 176,970 | 89.9 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | 320 | ........ | 0 | 320 | ....... | ........ | ........ |
| New Jersey | 260,782 | 21 | 79.8 |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 851 | 1 | 0.5 |  | 0 | ........ | 171,100 | 93.5 |
| New York |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 |  | 191,230 | 94.9 |
| North Carolina | 315,466 | 100 | 38.9 | 47,873 | 100 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 920,511 | 59 | 61.6 | 10,554 | 14 | 0.7 | 110,739 | 7.4 |
| Oklahoma | 97,805 | 77 | 46.3 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oregon |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 262,952 | 100.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 256,423 | 67 | 66.2 | 1,239 | 67 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 39 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| South Carolina |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ........ |
| South Dakota | 7,945 | 65 | 36.4 | 4 | 66 | 0.0 | 2,621 | 12.0 |
| Tennessee | 177,294 | 90 | 43.9 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 801 | 0.2 |
| Texas | 30,131 | 254 | 4.7 |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Utah |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 45 | 0.1 |
| Vermont | 14,094 | 118 | 43.3 | 196 | 14 | 0.6 | 4,547 | 14.0 |
| Virginia |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 386,594 | 56.3 |
| Washington | 339,361 | 27 | 60.9 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| West Virginia |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Wisconsin* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Wyoming* | 25,415 | 19 | 81.3 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 1,151 | 3.7 |
| American Samoa* | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | 5,757 | 100.0 |
| Puerto Rico* |  |  | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 6,784,892 | 2,406 | 38.8 | 701,755 | 1,620 | 4.0 | 3,362,197 | 19.2 |
| States Included | 30 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 28 |  |
| Question | A10e |  |  | A10f-h |  |  | calc |  |

## TABLE 4A. VOTER LIST MAINTENANCE-CONFIRMATION NOTICES

Question A10. Confirmation notices sent to voters by states

Notes from States:

| Arizona | One jurisdiction commented, "This includes notices that might have been delivered and received by the voter because they are at the address on file and didn't require any action by the voters. For A 10 e , due to redistricting, a mass 'confirmation' mailing (Voter ID card mailing) was sent to all voters on file in 2012 and the total noted in A10e is indicative of that. For A10, the grand total noted $(3,383,136)$ reflects all 'confirmation' notices sent between the 2 year periods requested (November 2010 election through November 2012 election) and is indicative of the fact that a given voter on file may very well have been sent a confirmation mailing in both 2010 and in 2012 and this is why the total exceeds the number of persons in our jurisdiction who were registered and eligible to vote in the November 2012 general election, as shown in A la $(2,191,522)$." |
| :---: | :---: |
| California | One jurisdiction indicated that it tracks 8D2 cards (address confirmation cards), but no data is available to separate these into categories. Two jurisdictions indicated this data was unavailable. Another jurisdiction commented that it can only find these by reason code, so if the person has registered since then, it will show up. A fifth jurisdiction indicated that though it had sent notices to those who have not voted in at least two previous federal elections, it did not make any of these voters inactive. A sixth jurisdiction reported for A10b that the notice sent to these voters did not request returning the card if the voter still resided at the address printed on the card. Another jurisdiction indicated that during this time period its elections office did not send out Alternate Residency Confirmation cards; instead this jurisdiction sends out address confirmation cards (8D2) based upon NCOA data, Sample Ballot buy-back, undeliverable ballots, and other election materials. A different jurisdiction indicated, "Per stipulated order." One jurisdiction reported that it does not remove voters under 8D2. Two jurisdictions stated that this information is not collected by their Election Management Systems. |
| Florida | One jurisdiction indicated that the numbers for the breakdown of question A10a (i.e., Al0b through A10h) are all "not applicable." |
| Guam | Notice is required by Guam law. |
| Idaho | 37 jurisdictions reported, "The information for 10 b through 10 e is not tracked by the Statewide Voter Registration System or the counties." Six jurisdictions stated, "This county did not meet the deadline for purging voters and therefore was not able to complete the purge. The information for 10b through 10e is not tracked by the Statewide Voter Registration System or the counties." One jurisdiction commented that the Idaho Statewide Voter Registration System does not track how the registration forms are received by the County Clerks. |
| Louisiana | Confirmation notices are not sent "because the person had not voted or appeared to vote in the two previous federal elections." They are sent pursuant to 42 USC Sec. 1973gg-6. |
| Massachusetts | The number of confirmation notices sent could include multiple notices to the same voter during the time period as each city and town in Massachusetts conducts an annual street listing and for those voters who do not respond, a confirmation notice is sent. Furthermore, Massachusetts elections officials are unable to determine those numbers of persons who become "active" from returning the confirmation notice since the updating of a voter status from inactive to active could be because the voter signed a nomination paper, re-registered or voted. |
| Michigan | All jurisdictions commented, "A10a This question is inaccurately phrased in accordance with NVRA rules. Confirmation notices are not sent out AFTER a voter has not participated in two previous federal elections." |
| Minnesota | Minnesota is NVRA exempt. |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { Missouri } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Two jurisdictions indicated they used outside vendors to do the initial mailings for the canvass, so } \\ \text { there are Resident Confirmation Notices sent that are not recorded in MCVR as being sent. Another } \\ \text { jurisdiction reported that its A10a total does not match Al0b through Al0e because the undeliverable } \\ \text { or the unknown are not in the formula. A third jurisdiction stated that second mailings were sent to } \\ \text { those for whom the county had mailing addresses, and most were never returned to the elections office, } \\ \text { by neither the post office nor the addressee. Another jurisdiction also reported that not all confirma- } \\ \text { tion notices were returned to the county clerk's office. A fifth jurisdiction indicated none of these data } \\ \text { were available. One jurisdiction stated these are the NVRA numbers that were sent and returned in the } \\ \text { second mailing. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Mississippi } & \begin{array}{l}\text { One jurisdiction indicated that it does not generate its confirmation cards through SEMS, as its Elec- } \\ \text { tion Commissioners do them manually. Another jurisdiction reported that the election commission } \\ \text { routinely sends out confirmation cards after returned jury summons and also works with the NCOA } \\ \text { reports as a part of their ongoing maintenance. A third jurisdiction stated that its Election Commis- } \\ \text { sioners did not enter information into SEMS. A fourth jurisdiction commented, "Courthouse burned } \\ \text { 1/17/2013." }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Montana } & \begin{array}{l}\text { One jurisdiction reported that some notices are not returned until one to two years later. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { North } \\ \text { Carolina }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { In North Carolina, address confirmation notices are not only sent to voters because the person had not } \\ \text { voted or appeared to vote in two previous federal elections, but may also be sent to voters if the person } \\ \text { had a non-forwardable verification mailing returned as undeliverable by the U.S. Postal Service. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Wyoming } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Nirginia }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { New Dakota jurisdictions commented, "A10a only reflects those that failed to vote in the 2010 General Election, } \\ \text { per W.S. 22-3-115, grounds for removal includes electors who have failed to vote in any general election." }\end{array}\right\}$

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 4b. Voter List Maintenance: Removal Actions

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Voters Removed for 2010 to 2012 | Cases | \% of Rept. Reg. | Removed Due to Moved from Jurisdiction |  |  | Removed Due to Death |  |  | Removed Due to Failure to Vote |  |  | Removed Due to Request of Voter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Cases | $\%$ of <br> Rem. | Total | Cases | $\% \text { of }$ <br> Rem. | Total | Cases | $\% \text { of }$ <br> Rem. | Total | Cases | $\% \text { of }$ <br> Rem. |
| Alabama | 67 | 192,417 | 66 | 6.1 | 12,922 | 66 | 6.7 | 64,043 | 66 | 33.3 | 582 | 32 | 0.3 | 152 | 33 | 0.1 |
| Alaska | 1 | 26,196 | 1 | 4.5 | 4,140 | 1 | 15.8 | 5,225 | 1 | 19.9 | 15,480 | 1 | 59.1 | 787 | 1 | 3.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 371,893 | 15 | 10.0 | 133,291 | 15 | 35.8 | 66,780 | 15 | 18.0 | 84,054 | 15 | 22.6 | 22,899 | 15 | 6.2 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 227,694 | 75 | 14.1 | 20,314 | 75 | 8.9 | 35,422 | 75 | 15.6 | 156,904 | 75 | 68.9 | 1,495 | 75 | 0.7 |
| California | 58 | 906,528 | 55 | 4.8 | 437,855 | 55 | 48.3 | 223,441 | 55 | 24.6 | 91,343 | 42 | 10.1 | 33,973 | 51 | 3.7 |
| Colorado | 64 | 106,586 | 64 | 2.9 | 40,160 | 64 | 37.7 | 44,908 | 64 | 42.1 | 7,324 | 64 | 6.9 | 7,462 | 64 | 7.0 |
| Connecticut | 169 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Delaware | 3 | 56,167 | 3 | 8.9 | 9,213 | 3 | 16.4 | 11,551 | 3 | 20.6 | 33,487 | 3 | 59.6 | 164 | 3 | 0.3 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 27,139 | 1 | 4.9 | 7,546 | 1 | 27.8 | 4,546 | 1 | 16.8 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 67 | 42,106 | 67 | 0.4 | 1,523 | 40 | 3.6 | 4,094 | 64 | 9.7 |  | 0 | ........ | 548 | 31 | 1.3 |
| Georgia | 159 | 355,930 | 159 | 5.9 | 27,404 | 159 | 7.7 | 104,717 | 159 | 29.4 | 171,780 | 159 | 48.3 | 1,331 | 159 | 0.4 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 46,461 | 4 | 6.6 | 2,643 | 4 | 5.7 | 11,130 | 4 | 24.0 | 29,869 | 4 | 64.3 | 2,634 | 4 | 5.7 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 50,744 | 44 | 5.7 | 5,699 | 44 | 11.2 | 8,316 | 44 | 16.4 | 30,365 | 44 | 59.8 | 69 | 44 | 0.1 |
| Illinois | 110 | 797,884 | 110 | 9.8 | 264,764 | 109 | 33.2 | 140,990 | 109 | 17.7 | 204,420 | 109 | 25.6 | 27,706 | 109 | 3.5 |
| Indiana | 92 | 801,605 | 92 | 17.6 | 13,401 | 92 | 1.7 | 77,517 | 92 | 9.7 | 22,782 | 92 | 2.8 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| lowa | 99 | 130,255 | 99 | 5.8 | 42,496 | 99 | 32.6 | 50,100 | 99 | 38.5 | 31,599 | 99 | 24.3 | 776 | 99 | 0.6 |
| Kansas | 105 | 127,679 | 105 | 7.2 | 30,242 | 105 | 23.7 | 38,468 | 105 | 30.1 | 43,818 | 105 | 34.3 | 391 | 105 | 0.3 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 127,935 | 120 | 4.2 | 7,454 | 120 | 5.8 | 82,555 | 120 | 64.5 | 23,535 | 120 | 18.4 | 3,983 | 120 | 3.1 |
| Louisiana | 64 | 360,325 | 64 | 12.1 | 117,410 | 64 | 32.6 | 52,890 | 64 | 14.7 | 121,744 | 64 | 33.8 | 19,142 | 64 | 5.3 |
| Maine | 500 | 186,330 | 500 | 18.2 | 112,849 | 500 | 60.6 | 21,011 | 500 | 11.3 | 30,871 | 500 | 16.6 | 711 | 500 | 0.4 |
| Maryland | 24 | 211,002 | 24 | 5.7 | 41,747 | 24 | 19.8 | 63,031 | 24 | 29.9 | 97,188 | 24 | 46.1 | 453 | 24 | 0.2 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 572,263 | 351 | 13.2 | 297,629 | 351 | 52.0 | 79,634 | 351 | 13.9 | 55,978 | 351 | 9.8 | 7,671 | 351 | 1.3 |
| Michigan | 83 | 288,236 | 83 | 3.9 | 73,440 | 83 | 25.5 | 166,828 | 83 | 57.9 | 29,638 | 83 | 10.3 | 18,330 | 83 | 6.4 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 269,137 | 87 | 7.9 | 115,129 | 87 | 42.8 | 49,622 | 87 | 18.4 | 102,922 | 87 | 38.2 |  | 0 |  |
| Mississippi | 64 | 100,664 | 60 | 7.2 | 22,936 | 49 | 22.8 | 26,486 | 49 | 26.3 | 21,914 | 35 | 21.8 | 3,330 | 43 | 3.3 |
| Missouri | 116 | 436,985 | 116 | 10.4 | 155,909 | 116 | 35.7 | 101,947 | 116 | 23.3 | 146,541 | 116 | 33.5 | 9,588 | 115 | 2.2 |
| Montana | 56 | 41,663 | 56 | 6.1 | 3,536 | 56 | 8.5 | 10,272 | 56 | 24.7 | 25,744 | 56 | 61.8 | 1,625 | 56 | 3.9 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 82,247 | 93 | 7.1 | 26,414 | 93 | 32.1 | 23,573 | 93 | 28.7 | 24,321 | 93 | 29.6 | 514 | 93 | 0.6 |
| Nevada | 17 | 137,395 | 17 | 10.9 | 25,130 | 13 | 18.3 | 23,464 | 13 | 17.1 | 65,418 | 12 | 47.6 | 11,309 | 13 | 8.2 |
| New Hampshire* | 320 | 182,008 | 320 | 20.7 | 6,350 | 320 | 3.5 | 12,661 | 320 | 7.0 | 160,721 | 320 | 88.3 | 143 | 320 | 0.1 |
| New Jersey | 21 | 319,231 | 21 | 5.9 | 4,054 | 21 | 1.3 | 105,127 | 21 | 32.9 | 118,778 | 21 | 37.2 | 77,840 | 21 | 24.4 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 17,915 | 14 | 1.4 | 1,941 | 11 | 10.8 | 12,262 | 12 | 68.4 | 1 | 5 | 0.0 | 143 | 10 | 0.8 |
| New York | 58 | 914,642 | 57 | 7.8 | 212,740 | 57 | 23.3 | 165,603 | 57 | 18.1 | 266,182 | 50 | 29.1 | 2,063 | 57 | 0.2 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 680,450 | 100 | 10.2 | 351,461 | 100 | 51.7 | 131,505 | 100 | 19.3 | 141,324 | 100 | 20.8 | 1,437 | 100 | 0.2 |
| North Dakota* | 53 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 88 | 954,534 | 85 | 12.0 | 141,556 | 84 | 14.8 | 162,527 | 84 | 17.0 | 492,514 | 81 | 51.6 | 9,238 | 83 | 1.0 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 246,474 | 77 | 11.7 | 79,862 | 77 | 32.4 | 41,975 | 77 | 17.0 | 110,098 | 77 | 44.7 | 768 | 77 | 0.3 |
| Oregon | 36 | 163,895 | 36 | 7.5 | 13,249 | 36 | 8.1 | 46,506 | 36 | 28.4 | 89,496 | 36 | 54.6 | 14,096 | 36 | 8.6 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 880,395 | 67 | 10.5 | 327,873 | 67 | 37.2 | 174,811 | 67 | 19.9 | 364,557 | 67 | 41.4 | 2,366 | 67 | 0.3 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 41,844 | 39 | 5.8 | 2,633 | 39 | 6.3 | 8,919 | 39 | 21.3 | 27,356 | 39 | 65.4 | 646 | 39 | 1.5 |
| South Carolina | 46 | 195,844 | 46 | 6.8 | 12,001 | 46 | 6.1 | 49,199 | 46 | 25.1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 43 | 46 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 66 | 38,068 | 66 | 7.3 | 12,438 | 66 | 32.7 | 7,214 | 66 | 19.0 | 9,567 | 66 | 25.1 | 1,267 | 66 | 3.3 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 385,282 | 95 | 9.6 | 100,193 | 95 | 26.0 | 80,393 | 95 | 20.9 | 174,493 | 94 | 45.3 | 16,423 | 95 | 4.3 |
| Texas | 254 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Utah | 29 | 43,919 | 29 | 2.9 | 392 | 29 | 0.9 | 1,176 | 23 | 2.7 | 0 | 29 | 0.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Vermont | 246 | 37,530 | 202 | 8.1 | 14,755 | 186 | 39.3 | 5,272 | 188 | 14.0 | 6,677 | 154 | 17.8 | 2,340 | 109 | 6.2 |
| Virginia | 134 | 530,817 | 134 | 9.8 | 229,045 | 134 | 43.1 | 81,994 | 134 | 15.4 | 103,930 | 134 | 19.6 | 8,317 | 134 | 1.6 |
| Washington | 39 | 299,779 | 39 | 7.7 | 131,332 | 39 | 43.8 | 61,226 | 39 | 20.4 | 69,898 | 26 | 23.3 | 22,754 | 37 | 7.6 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 70,174 | 55 | 5.6 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 26,300 | 55 | 37.5 | 25,191 | 55 | 35.9 | 30 | 44 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 3,541 | 580,532 | 3,541 | 14.6 | 13,952 | 3,541 | 2.4 | 97,147 | 3,541 | 16.7 | 261,368 | 3,541 | 45.0 | 1,163 | 3,541 | 0.2 |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 33,129 | 23 | 13.8 | 225 | 16 | 0.7 | 331 | 21 | 1.0 | 32,534 | 23 | 98.2 | 16 | 8 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 321 | 1 | 1.8 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 147 | 1 | 45.8 |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 |  |
| Guam* | 1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ...... |
| Puerto Rico* | 1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 87,309 | 1 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 8,131 | 13,698,249 | 7,578 | 7.1 | 3,709,248 | 7,452 | 27.1 | 2,952,165 | 7,535 | 21.6 | 4,124,306 | 7,304 | 30.1 | 338,136 | 7,146 | 2.5 |
| States Included |  | 49 |  |  | 47 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 45 |  |  |
| Question |  | A11a |  |  | A11b |  |  | A11c |  |  | A11e |  |  | A11g |  |  |

## 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey <br> Table 4b. Voter List Maintenance: Removal Actions

| State | Removed Due to Felony Conviction |  |  | Removed Due to Mental Incompetence |  |  | Removed Due to Other Reasons |  |  | Not Categorized Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Cases | $\% \text { of }$Rem. | Total | Cases | \% of Rem. | Total | Cases | $\%$ of Rem. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 4,840 | 66 | 2.5 | 191 | 23 | 1.5 | 8,922 | 66 | 4.6 | 100,765 | 52.4 |
| Alaska | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 564 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 16,598 | 15 | 4.5 | 331 | 14 | 0.2 | 47,926 | 14 | 12.9 | 14 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 4,372 | 75 | 1.9 | 83 | 74 | 0.4 | 8,504 | 73 | 3.7 | 600 | 0.3 |
| California | 15,887 | 55 | 1.8 | 697 | 46 | 0.2 | 103,332 | 33 | 11.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Colorado | 4,964 | 64 | 4.7 |  | 0 | $\cdots$ | 1,768 | 64 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut |  | 0 | $\cdots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ....... |
| Delaware | 1,745 | 3 | 3.1 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 | 7 | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 31 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 15,016 | 1 | 55.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 16,746 | 67 | 39.8 | 35 | 16 | 2.3 | 19,160 | 64 | 45.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Georgia | 35,670 | 159 | 10.0 | 193 | 159 | 0.7 | 14,835 | 159 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 185 | 4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | 882 | 44 | 1.7 | 0 | 44 | 0.0 | 5,291 | 44 | 10.4 | 122 | 0.2 |
| Illinois |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 157,127 | 109 | 19.7 | 2,877 | 0.4 |
| Indiana | 9,835 | 92 | 1.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 9,133 | 92 | 1.1 | 668,937 | 83.4 |
| lowa | 5,220 | 99 | 4.0 | 64 | 99 | 0.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kansas | 5,536 | 105 | 4.3 | 61 | 22 | 0.2 | 6,761 | 105 | 5.3 | 2,402 | 1.9 |
| Kentucky | 9,463 | 120 | 7.4 | 945 | 120 | 12.7 |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 17,822 | 64 | 4.9 | 402 | 64 | 0.3 | 30,915 | 64 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 20,888 | 500 | 11.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 4,336 | 24 | 2.1 | 35 | 24 | 0.1 | 4,212 | 24 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 1,226 | 351 | 0.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 130,125 | 22.7 |
| Michigan |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 0 | 87 | 0.0 | 0 | 87 | 0.0 | 1,464 | 87 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 1,065 | 47 | 1.1 | 27 | 26 | 0.1 | 3,859 | 16 | 3.8 | 21,047 | 20.9 |
| Missouri | 18,402 | 116 | 4.2 | 2,267 | 116 | 1.5 | 2,331 | 27 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Montana | 68 | 56 | 0.2 | 2 | 56 | 0.1 | 416 | 56 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 3,126 | 93 | 3.8 | 5 | 93 | 0.0 | 4,294 | 93 | 5.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 2,132 | 13 | 1.6 | 54 | 13 | 0.2 | 6,429 | 17 | 4.7 | 3,459 | 2.5 |
| New Hampshire* | 45 | 320 | 0.0 | 0 | 320 | 0.0 | 2,088 | 320 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey | 13,412 | 21 | 4.2 | 20 | 21 | 0.5 |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 1,185 | 10 | 6.6 | 1 | 5 | 0.1 | 1,783 | 4 | 10.0 | 599 | 3.3 |
| New York | 12,008 | 57 | 1.3 | 336 | 56 | 0.2 |  | 0 | ........ | 255,710 | 28.0 |
| North Carolina | 19,424 | 100 | 2.9 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 35,299 | 100 | 5.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 15,228 | 84 | 1.6 | 24 | 80 | 0.0 | 131,427 | 45 | 13.8 | 2,020 | 0.2 |
| Oklahoma | 5,329 | 77 | 2.2 | 67 | 77 | 0.1 | 8,375 | 77 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oregon |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 548 | 36 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 226 | 67 | 0.1 | 10,562 | 67 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 1,343 | 39 | 3.2 | 0 | 39 | 0.0 | 947 | 39 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina | 14,091 | 46 | 7.2 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | 120,510 | 61.5 |
| South Dakota | 772 | 66 | 2.0 | 3 | 66 | 0.0 | 302 | 66 | 0.8 | 6,505 | 17.1 |
| Tennessee | 13,529 | 95 | 3.5 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 246 | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.0 |
| Texas |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Utah | 59 | 29 | 0.1 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 42,292 | 29 | 96.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 62 | 126 | 0.2 | 10 | 122 | 0.1 | 2,589 | 49 | 6.9 | 5,825 | 15.5 |
| Virginia | 18,388 | 134 | 3.5 | 946 | 134 | 0.4 | 88,197 | 134 | 16.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 4,355 | 39 | 1.5 | 258 | 26 | 0.2 | 9,956 | 39 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| West Virginia | 360 | 55 | 0.5 | 2 | 55 | $\ldots$ | 18,288 | 55 | 26.1 | 3 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 9,218 | 3,541 | 1.6 | 99 | 3,541 | 0.7 | 197,585 | 3,541 | 34.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 9 | 0.1 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ....... | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 174 | 1 | 54.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 614 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 330,902 | 1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 308,797 | 6,665 | 2.3 | 7,999 | 5,712 | 0.1 | 1,354,899 | 6,321 | 9.9 | 902,699 | 6.6 |
| States Included | 42 |  |  | 37 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 18 |  |
| Question | A11d |  |  | A11f |  |  | A11h-k |  |  | calc |  |

## TABLE 4B. VOTER LIST MAINTENANCE-REMOVAL ACTIONS

Question A11. Voters removed from the registration rolls by reason for removal

Notes from States:

| Alabama | Alabama noted that information reported was produced by the Voter Registration \& Election Management System as available to the Office of the Alabama Secretary of State and the responsibility for the accuracy of this data lies with the county elections officials. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | One county indicated that Alli includes those who filled out a jury questionnaire and marked that they are a felon, not a US citizen, or no longer live in the county. Another county indicated total reported for A11h (later registrations) are cancellations that occurred when two forms made it into the system for the same voter (duplicates) and one of those records was later cancelled. One county indicated A11h includes involuntary cancellations (those who either provided unverifiable proof of United States citizenship or fraudulent address information). One county indicated that cancellations without a category on the survey cancelled for various reasons not listed, such as duplicates (same jurisdiction), invalid residence address, or no correspondence from the voter. |
| California | One county indicated Alla, the total number of voters removed from the voter registration rolls between the close of registration for the November 2010 general election and the close of registration for the November 2012 general election, exceeds $20 \%$ of A1 because they conducted a major clean-up of the voter rolls; another county indicated that Alla has exceeded the $20 \%$ threshold, but did not indicate a reason why. One county indicated Allg includes all voters who requested to be removed as they do not keep track of the reason for the request. Another county indicated Alle, failure to respond to notice sent and failure to vote in the two most recent federal elections, includes all notices returned as undeliverable by the post office. Another county indicated A11h, duplicate records, includes voters who were registered in other counties and duplicates found within their county database management system. One county indicated A1ld, disqualifying felony conviction, includes both cancellations for Felony Convictions and Mentally Incompetent (i.e., these are grouped together in their reporting system). The number shown in category A11d reflects both of these categories. |
| Idaho | In Idaho, A11h is the number of registrations cancelled due to a lack of response to the County Clerk for a challenge of a voter's registration. Alli is the number of registrations cancelled due to being a duplicate in the system. |
| Indiana | Although Indiana does not send the removal notices referenced by the EAC Survey, Indiana provided the number of voter records cancelled due to being in inactive status for more than two Federal General Elections for Question Alle, failure to respond to notice sent and failure to vote in the two most recent federal elections. These statistics represent the majority of cancellations for this reason, based on the county user selecting the option to run this process in batch. However, county users have the option to cancel voters one-by-one for this reason, but those statistics are not included in the counts for Question Alle. |
| Louisiana | Two counties referred to Hurricane Katrina, but did not provide additional details. |
| Maryland | Maryland indicated that some responses received are from mailings sent in previous reporting periods. |
| Maine | Maine indicated that, due to many data clean-up efforts, many towns exceeded the 20\% EAC threshold. |
| Minnesota | Minnesota indicated that voters are not removed for A11d, disqualifying felony conviction, or A11f, declared mentally incompetent, but their status is changed to "challenged." Alle, failure to respond to notice sent and failure to vote in the two most recent federal elections on the survey, includes those who did not vote or update their registration in the prior four years. Allg, voter requests to be removed, is not tracked separately and is included in A11h. |
| Missouri | Seven counties indicated that the data is recorded from a voter registration database by LEA. |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| New <br> Hampshire | New Hampshire had a 10-year purge in 2011 (RSA654:39). |


| New Mexico | One county indicated the information requested is not easily extractable from the system. Another <br> county indicated some removable voters had been archived and completed data is not available. <br> Another county simply indicated, "NVRA Statistics Report." |
| :--- | :--- |
| New York | Four counties indicated their data is included in the New York County data; another county indicated <br> their data includes those four counties. |
| Pennsylvania | Two counties reported they had not conducted the cancellation process for voters coded as inactive in <br> the past which resulted in a high number of inactive voters cancelled within the date range. |
| Puerto Rico | Felons and inmates have a right to vote in Puerto Rico. |
| Vermont | One county indicated that the total number of voters removed from the voter registration in their juris- <br> diction (All) was reported as 58, but only 55 were really removed - one was a duplicate entry removed <br> from the checklist, one was removed from the checklist in error and reinstated, and one was removed <br> because they moved to another jurisdiction and then returned. One county reported that some voters <br> were listed on the voter registration rolls twice, so one entry was purged. Another county indicated the <br> number of voters removed because they moved outside of the jurisdiction (Allb) includes those who <br> asked to be removed and those who registered elsewhere. One county indicated HAVA offers an "other" <br> option which previous town clerks had used. One county noted they have two continuing care for the <br> elderly facilities as well as a nursing home which explains the numbers of voters removed due to death <br> (Allc). Another county indicated that, in most cases, the voter is moved to inactive status or purged to <br> the HAVA archive, and all changes have a notated reason for the change in status; however, if there is an <br> error the voter can be returned to active status. |
| West Virginia | West Virginia indicated an authorized cancellation is often used interchangeably with other categories <br> of removed voters (e.g., a county may label voters cancelled for failure to respond to notices or vote as an <br> "Authorized Cancellation"). |
| Wyoming | Wyoming indicated that Alle, voters removed due to failure to respond to notice sent and failure to vote <br> in the two most recent federal elections, only reflects those that failed to vote in the 2010 General Election <br> (per W.S. 22-3-115, grounds for removal includes electors who have failed to vote in any general election). |

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 5. Same Day Registration

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Reported Registration 2012 | Same Day Applications |  | \% of Reported Registration | Total Applications Received | \% of <br> Total <br> Application <br> Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Cases |  | 2010 to 2012 |  |
| Alabama | 67 | 3,162,135 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,605,409 | 0.0 |
| Alaska | 1 | 579,304 | 4,828 | 1 | 0.8 | 249,863 | 1.9 |
| Arizona | 15 | 3,725,362 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,946,771 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 1,610,364 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 568,631 | 0.0 |
| California | 58 | 18,996,338 | 226,773 | 30 | 1.2 | 5,098,027 | 4.4 |
| Colorado | 64 | 3,651,091 | 1,116 | 64 | 0.0 | 2,063,275 | 0.1 |
| Connecticut | 169 | 2,202,278 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 427,323 | 0.0 |
| Delaware | 3 | 632,805 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 252,649 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 557,774 | 5,387 | 1 | 1.0 | 145,814 | 3.7 |
| Florida | 67 | 11,934,446 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,605,488 | 0.0 |
| Georgia | 159 | 6,050,050 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 797,396 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 705,668 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 184,867 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 895,834 | 117,861 | 44 | 13.2 | 413,337 | 28.5 |
| Illinois | 110 | 8,116,660 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 2,065,485 | 0.0 |
| Indiana | 92 | 4,562,268 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 2,085,483 | 0.0 |
| lowa | 99 | 2,236,068 | 66,289 | 99 | 3.0 | 2,534,566 | 2.6 |
| Kansas | 105 | 1,771,252 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 615,146 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 3,037,153 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,183,054 | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 64 | 2,965,751 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,246,033 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 500 | 1,026,086 | 58,639 | 500 | 5.7 | 252,356 | 23.2 |
| Maryland | 24 | 3,694,658 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 2,678,449 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 4,340,000 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,557,538 | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 83 | 7,454,553 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 2,775,388 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 3,387,783 | 279,302 | 87 | 8.2 | 1,282,404 | 21.8 |
| Mississippi | 64 | 1,399,209 | 1,190 | 9 | 0.1 | 276,582 | 0.4 |
| Missouri | 116 | 4,191,778 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,285,207 | 0.0 |
| Montana | 56 | 681,608 | 20,003 | 56 | 2.9 | 246,737 | 8.1 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 1,163,871 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 452,455 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 17 | 1,258,409 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 490,085 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* | 320 | 878,136 | 88,881 | 320 | 10.1 | 680,241 | 13.1 |
| New Jersey | 21 | 5,415,639 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,211,041 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 1,252,438 | 1,572 | 6 | 0.1 | 413,241 | 0.4 |
| New York | 58 | 11,720,541 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,019,746 | 0.0 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 6,655,291 | 98,430 | 100 | 1.5 | 2,652,104 | 3.7 |
| North Dakota* | 53 | 545,020 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 88 | 7,987,697 | 5,844 | 88 | 0.1 | 3,182,442 | 0.2 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 2,114,489 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 563,770 | 0.0 |
| Oregon | 36 | 2,199,360 | 29 | 36 | 0.0 | 800,425 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 8,352,342 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 3,246,470 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 725,309 | 11,459 | 39 | 1.6 | 119,435 | 9.6 |
| South Carolina | 46 | 2,875,121 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,426,202 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 66 | 523,410 | 0 | 66 | 0.0 | 108,178 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 4,024,960 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 843,366 | 0.0 |
| Texas | 254 | 13,690,729 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Utah | 29 | 1,508,372 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,196,390 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 246 | 460,817 | 3,764 | 113 | 0.8 | 65,582 | 5.7 |
| Virginia | 134 | 5,428,091 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 3,020,073 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 39 | 3,904,959 | 24,473 | 25 | 0.6 | 1,129,472 | 2.2 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 1,246,559 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 289,545 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 3,541 | 3,987,248 | 337,006 | 3,541 | 8.5 | 1,083,046 | 31.1 |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 240,438 | 28,017 | 23 | 11.7 | 93,359 | 30.0 |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 17,764 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 7,730 | 0.0 |
| Guam* | 1 | 50,701 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 5,168 | 0.0 |
| Puerto Rico* | 1 | 2,402,941 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 2,989,120 | 0.0 |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 8,131 | 194,198,928 | 1,380,863 | 5,248 | 0.7 | 62,531,964 | 2.2 |
| States Included |  | 54 | 21 |  |  | 52 |  |
| Question |  | A1 | A4a |  |  | A5a |  |

TABLE 5. SAME DAY REGISTRATION

Question A4. Same Day registration

Notes from States:

| Alaska | Alaska allows for same day registration for Presidential/Vice Presidential race. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alabama | Every jurisdiction indicated the "Information contained herein was produced by the Voter Registration <br> \& Election Management System as available to the Office of Alabama." |
| California | One county indicated that they allowed 75 voters to register on Election Day because they were new <br> citizens. Another county indicated voters could register and were eligible to vote the same day by Vote- <br> By-Mail (VBM) ballot. Another county indicated that although they do not have Election Day Registra- <br> tion, those who appear in person during early voting (E-29 and E-15) can register to vote and then <br> receive and vote via a VBM Ballot (in office only). One county confirmed that they only allow voters to <br> change their address, but they may not register and vote on Election Day. Another county reported that <br> only citizens who turn 18 on Election Day are entitled to vote on Election Day without being previously <br> registered. One county indicated that QA4a reflects registrations received between October 9th and <br> November 6th, 2012, and that some voters registered and voted via a Vote-By-Mail Ballot the same day, <br> whereas others were pending due to birthdate, but were able to vote on Election Day. One county indi- <br> cated it was the first year on-line registration was offered and that many of the on-line registrations were <br> re-registrations due to minor changes. Another county noted that the data reported for QA4a includes <br> total new registrations received 29 days before the election, and until Election Day - "those voters can <br> vote the same day they register if they come into our office, but this number includes all voters who <br> registered in this time frame." One county indicated only new citizens and discharged military could <br> register and vote on the same day via provisional ballots, whereas another county indicated only new <br> citizens and court order approved registrations could register and vote on the same day. One county <br> reported that the information provided for QA4a reflects information during early voting (E-29 and |
| E-15). Another county indicated the information provided for QA4a reflects the count of voters with a |  |
| registration date between October 9th and November 6th, 2012. Another county reported that the new |  |
| citizen registration period included Election Day. |  |


| Virginia | All jurisdictions indicated "Virginia does not have Same Day Registration and voting." |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vermont | Several counties indicated they allow same day registration if the voter indicated they registered else- <br> where (i.e., DMV, social services, registration drive, etc.); some indicated they require the voter to com- <br> plete and sign an affidavit attesting such (Voter Affirmation Form). Several counties also indicated they <br> did not receive voter registrations from the DMV prior to Election Day; one county indicated the DMV <br> lost the registrations received. One county indicated they do not have same day registration. Another <br> county noted that Early Voting overlapped with Voter Registration from September 21, 2012 through <br> October 31, 2012, thus residents were able to register and vote at the same time during this period. <br> Another county indicated they had one voter who applied before the deadline, but their registration had <br> not been received - they were able to take the oath and vote on Election Day. Another county indicated <br> students from Vermont Law School came in claiming to have been added during a voter registration <br> drive and were added the same day to vote as it was believed that someone forgot to get the forms back <br> to the clerk. |
| Washington | Washington allows new registrants to register in person up until eight days before the election. <br> UOCAVA voters can register through election day. |

2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 6. Jurisdictions Conducting Registration

| State | Units | Jurisdictions Conducting Registration | Jurisdictions in Survey |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Counties unless indicated otherwise) Notes | Juris. in Survey | Include Indep. Cities | Diff. Juris. |  |
| Alabama | 67 |  | 67 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Alaska | 4 | Regional divisions | 1 |  | (3) | One response covers the State; Alaska does not have counties |
| Arizona | 15 |  | 15 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Arkansas | 75 |  | 75 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| California | 58 |  | 58 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Colorado | 64 |  | 64 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Connecticut | 169 | Towns and cities | 169 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Delaware | 3 |  | 3 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| District of Columbia | 1 | District | 1 |  | $\ldots$ | The District is treated as a county equivalent |
| Florida | 67 |  | 67 |  | .... |  |
| Georgia | 159 |  | 159 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Hawaii | 4 |  | 4 |  | $\ldots$ | Data for Kalawao county is included in Maui county |
| Idaho* | 44 |  | 44 |  | .... |  |
| Illinois | 110 |  | 110 | Y | .... | Responses include whole counties and several election authorities |
| Indiana | 92 |  | 92 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| lowa | 99 |  | 99 |  | .... |  |
| Kansas | 105 |  | 105 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Kentucky | 120 |  | 120 |  | .... |  |
| Louisiana | 64 |  | 64 |  | $\ldots$ | County equivalents are called parishes |
| Maine | 501 | Towns and cities | 500 |  | (1) | Some municipal units are combined for voter registration |
| Maryland | 24 |  | 24 | Y | .... | Baltimore City treated as county equivalent |
| Massachusetts | 351 | Towns and cities | 351 |  | .... |  |
| Michigan | 1,516 | Municipalities | 83 |  | $(1,433)$ | All townships summed to counties |
| Minnesota* | 87 |  | 87 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Mississippi | 82 |  | 64 |  | (18) |  |
| Missouri | 116 |  | 116 | Y | $\ldots$ | St. Louis and Kansas City treated as county equivalents |
| Montana | 56 |  | 56 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Nebraska | 93 |  | 93 |  | .... |  |
| Nevada | 17 |  | 17 | Y | .... | Carson City treated as county equivalent |
| New Hampshire* | 323 | Towns and cities | 320 |  | (3) | Survey response unit is the ward/precinct |
| New Jersey | 21 |  | 21 |  | .... |  |
| New Mexico | 33 |  | 33 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| New York | 62 |  | 58 | Y | (4) |  |
| North Carolina | 100 |  | 100 |  | .... |  |
| North Dakota* |  |  | 53 |  | 53 | No voter registration |
| Ohio | 88 |  | 88 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Oklahoma | 77 |  | 77 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Oregon | 36 |  | 36 |  | .... |  |
| Pennsylvania | 67 |  | 67 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Rhode Island | 39 | Towns and cities | 39 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| South Carolina | 46 |  | 46 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| South Dakota | 66 |  | 66 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Tennessee | 95 |  | 95 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Texas | 254 |  | 254 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Utah | 29 |  | 29 |  | .... |  |
| Vermont | 246 | Towns and cities | 246 |  | .... |  |
| Virginia | 134 |  | 134 | Y | $\ldots$ | Independent cities treated as county equivalents |
| Washington | 39 |  | 39 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| West Virginia | 55 |  | 55 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Wisconsin* | 1,851 | Municipalities | 3,541 |  | 1,690 | Municipalities were the reporting unit for the first time in 2012 |
| Wyoming* | 23 |  | 23 |  | .... |  |
| American Samoa* | 1 |  | 1 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Guam* | 1 |  | 1 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Puerto Rico* | 78 |  | 1 |  | (77) |  |
| Virgin Islands* | 1 |  | 0 |  | (1) |  |
| Sum of Above | 7,928 |  | 8,131 |  |  |  |

# APPENDIX B: QUESTIONNAIREVOTER REGISTRATION QUESTIONS 

## U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

## 2012 Election Administration \& Voting Survey

The ongoing process of improving America's election systems relies in part on having accurate data about the way Americans cast their ballots. In 2002, Congress chartered the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) to collect information on the state of American elections and make it widely available to policy makers, advocates, scholars, journalists and the general public. Since 2004, the Commission has sponsored a biennial survey as its primary tool for fulfilling that mission. We are pleased to present the 2012 Election Administration and Voting Survey, and we ask for your help in making it the most complete and accurate survey in its history.

The questions below ask for information about ballots cast; voter registration; overseas and military voting; Election Day activities; voting technology; and other important issues. The section concerning the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Voting Act (UOCAVA) serves as the EAC's standardized format for state reporting of UOCAVA voting information as required by 42 U.S.C. §1973ff-1. States that complete and timely submit this section to the EAC will fulfill their UOCAVA reporting requirement under 42 U.S.C. $\$ 1973 f f-1$ (c). Additionally, EAC is mandated by the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA) to collection information from states concerning the impact of that statute on the administration of Federal elections. With this information EAC is required to make a report to Congress and provide recommendations for the improvement of Federal and State procedures, forms, and other NVRA matters. States that timely respond to all questions in this survey concerning voter registration related matters will meet their NVRA reporting requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 1973gg-7 and EAC regulations.The EAC recognizes the burden that asking for this data places on state and local election officials, and we have worked to minimize that burden as much as possible.

The EAC recognizes the burden that asking for this data places on state and local election officials, and we have worked to minimize that burden as much as possible.

In advance, we thank you for your cooperation and look forward to answering any questions you might have.
Information supplied by:

| Name | Title |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Office/Agency name |  |  |  |  |
| Address 1 | State | Zip Code |  |  |
| Address 2 |  |  |  |  |
| City |  |  |  |  |
| E-mail address | Fax number (area code and number) |  |  |  |
| Telephone (area code and number) | Extension |  |  |  |

## SECTION A

## VOTER REGISTRATION

EAC is mandated by the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA) to collect information from states concerning the impact of that statute on the administration of Federal elections. With this information EAC is required to make a report to Congress and provide recommendations for the improvement of Federal and State procedures, forms, and other NVRA matters. States that timely respond to all questions in this survey concerning voter registration related matters will meet their NVRA reporting requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 1973gg-7 and EAC regulations.

## Roadmap to Section A:

- A1, A2 and A3 ask for information about the number of registered voters in your jurisdiction and how you calculate those statistics.
- A4 asks for information about registration activity on days in which it was possible for a person to both register and vote on the same day.
- A5 asks for information on all registration forms for all types of registration transactions (successful and unsuccessful) received by your office.
- A6 asks for the sources of all registration forms (both successful and unsuccessful).
- A7 asks for the sources of new registrations.
- A8 asks for the sources of duplicate registrations.
- A9 asks for the sources of invalid or rejected registrations.
- A10 asks for information on removal notices sent under NVRA Section 8(d) 2.
- A11 asks for the number of voters removed from the voter registration rolls and the reason for their removal.

A1. Enter the total number of persons in your jurisdiction who were registered and eligible to vote in the November $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ general election. Include all persons eligible to vote in the election including special categories of voters with extended deadlines (such as returning military). Do not include any persons under the age of 18 who may be registered under a "pre-registration" program.

A1a. Total $\qquad$
$\square$
$\square$ Data not available

## A1 Comments

$\square$

A2. When you report the number of registered voters in your jurisdiction for the November 2012 general election (as in A1a) do you include both active and inactive voters in the count, or does your jurisdiction only include active voters? (Select only one)

A2a. Jurisdiction uses both active and inactive registered voters $\qquad$
A2b. Jurisdiction only uses active registered voters

A2c. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
$\square$

## A2 Comments

A3. Enter the total number of persons who were registered and eligible to vote in the November 2012 general election into the following categories. Do not include any persons under the age of 18 who may be registered under a "pre-registration" program.

```
Data not
available
    V
```

A3a. Active

A3b. Inactive


A3 Comments


A4. If your state's laws allowed any voters to register and then to vote on the same day, enter the total number of registration forms received on those days in which it was possible to both register for and vote in the November 2012 general election on the same day. This question includes jurisdictions in states that have formal Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration and those states that have other situations that provide Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration. This question includes jurisdictions in states that permit Election Day Registration for voting for office of President, such as Connecticut and Rhode Island.

A4a. Total new Same Day registrations... $\square$
Data not available
$\qquad$

A4b. Are the numbers you provided for question A4a because your state allows Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration for all voters, or does your answer come from a different circumstance?..Yes, our state has Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration.
.... No, our state does not have formal Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration, but some voters were able to register and vote on the same day for the 2012 election.... Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$... Not applicable.

## A4 Comments

A5. In order to evaluate the workflow of your office over the last election cycle, enter the total number of forms your jurisdiction received from all sources during the period from the close of registration for the November 2010 general election until the close of registration for the November $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ general election. Include any forms that were processed, such as changes to name, party or address, duplicates, or pre-registrations. Include here any Election Day or Same Day registrations, if applicable. Also include any special categories of voters who may have extended deadlines such as returning military personnel, if applicable.

A5a. Total


Next, divide the total number of registration application forms received (as entered in A5a) into the following categories. The amounts should sum to the total provided in A5a.

Data not available

V

A5b. New valid registrations (excluding pre-registrations of persons under 18) $\square$
$\square$

A5c. New "pre" registrations of persons under age 18 $\qquad$
$\square$

A5d. Duplicate of existing valid registration


A5e. Invalid or rejected (other than duplicates)
A5f. Changes to name, party or within-jurisdiction address change. $\qquad$
A5g. Address changes that cross jurisdiction borders $\square$
$\square$


## A5 Comments

A6a through A6o: Divide the total number of all registration forms received (as entered in A5a) into the following sources. A7a through A7o: Divide the total number of new registration forms received (as entered in A5b) into the following sources. A8a through A80: Divide the total number of duplicate registration forms received (as entered in A5d) into the following sources. A9a through A90: Divide the total number of invalid or rejected registration forms (as entered in A5e) received into the following sources.
a. Individual voters submitting applications by mail, fax, or email
b. Individual voters registering in person at the election/registrar's office.
c. Individual voters submitting registration forms via the Internet
d. Motor vehicle offices or other offices that issue drivers licenses
e. Public assistance offices mandated as registration sites under NVRA
f. State funded agencies primarily serving persons with disabilities.
g. Armed forces recruitment offices
h. Other agencies designated by the State not mandated by NVRA
i. Registration drives from advocacy groups or political parties
j. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
k. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$ ..
I. Other $\rightarrow$ comments:
m. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
n. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
o. Other $\rightarrow$ comments:

TOTAL

| (from A5a) | (from A5b) | (from A5d) | (from A5e) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A6. Total forms received | A7. New registrations | A8. Duplicate of existing registrations | A9. Invalid or rejected |
| $\stackrel{N}{\text { NA }}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\text { NA }}$ | NA $\nabla$ | NA |
|  |  |  |  |

A10. Enter the total number of confirmation notices sent to voters in the period between the close of registration for the November 2010 general election and the close of registration for the November 2012 general election, because the person had not voted or appeared to vote in the two previous federal elections (per NVRA Section 8 (d) (2)).

A10a. Total $\square$
$\square$ Data not available

Next, divide the total number of confirmation notices mailed (as entered in A10a) into the following categories. The amounts should sum to the total provided in A10a.

Data not available

V

A10b. Received back from voters confirming registration. $\square$
$\square$
A10c. Received back confirming registration should be invalidated. $\qquad$
$\square$
...........

A10d. Returned back as undeliverable


## A10 Comments

A11. Enter the total number of voters removed from the voter registration rolls in your jurisdiction in the period between the close of registration for the November 2010 general election and the close of registration for the November 2012 general election. Note this question asks for those ineligible to vote, not merely those moved into an "inactive" status.

A11a. Total


Next, divide the total number of voters removed (as entered in A11a) into the following categories. The amounts should sum to the total provided in A11a.

A11b. Moved outside jurisdiction

A11c. Death

A11d. Disqualifying felony conviction


A11e. Failure to respond to notice sent and failure to vote in the two most recent federal elections


A11f. Declared mentally incompetent


A11g. Voter requested to be removed for reasons other than felony conviction, mental status, or moved outside jurisdiction $\square$


A11h. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
A11i. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
$\square$

A11j. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
$\square$

A11k. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$ $\square$ TOTAL

A11a

## A11 Comments


U.S. Election Assistance Commission

1201 New York Avenue, NW • Suite 300 • Washington, DC 20005 • 866-747-1471 (toll free) • HAVAinfo@eac.gov • www.eac.gov


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The six States are Idaho, Minnesota, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. These States and the four territories are marked with an asterisk in the tables as a reminder that they are exempt from the NVRA.
    ${ }^{2}$ Throughout this report, the word "States" includes "States, territories, and the District of Columbia."
    ${ }^{3}$ While data for 2008, 2010, and 2012 are cited for illustrative purposes throughout this report, the 2008 and 2012 elections were presidential elections, which make them more comparable.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ It is important to note that some of these differences may be due in part to changes in the accuracy and completeness of data provided in 2008 and 2010.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Implementing the National Voter Registration Act of 1993:
    Requirements, Issues, Approaches, and Examples, prepared by the National Clearinghouse on Election Administration, Federal Election Commission, Washington, DC, 1994 (FEC Guide, page I-1).
    ${ }_{6}$ The NVRA allows States to designate other State offices for voter registration, including public libraries, city and county clerks' offices, public schools, and fishing and hunting license bureaus (Pub. L. No. 103-31, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §1973gg-5).

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ States were allowed to change the list of jurisdictions to match their own reporting and administration systems. Some States, particularly those with township systems, may change the number of local jurisdictions administering elections from year to year, as towns run joint elections to ease the administrative burden.
    ${ }^{8}$ The Virgin Islands was the only jurisdiction that did not respond. Its name appears in the tables but without any data.

[^4]:    9 States were given the option of answering "data not available" and, for some questions, "data not applicable." The "cases" columns provided in the tables report all local jurisdictions in which a State responded with a number, whether zero or higher. In some cases, States may have responded zero instead of "data not available" or "data not applicable," or vice versa. A blank cell in the table could represent missing data or the selection of "data not available" or "data not applicable" across all jurisdictions in the State. The "States Included" rows report the number of States in which a State responded with a number, whether zero or higher. Complete data for the NVRA section of the survey can be downloaded at www.eac.gov.
    ${ }^{10}$ § 42 USC 1973gg-2(b)

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ EAC uses the U.S. Census Bureau's estimate of voting age population for the number of voters registered to vote in North Dakota for purposes of this report because North Dakota does not have voter registration.
    ${ }^{12}$ States were asked to provide the number of voters "eligible and registered" at the time of the Federal election. In reality, this number is an estimate in some States and not applicable in others.
    ${ }^{13}$ The Bureau of the Census provides information from which an approximation of the Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) may be obtained. The estimate for CVAP has been derived from the 2011 threeyear ACS data. It is applied to the 2012 VAP to estimate CVAP for 2012. See Table 1d for more details.
    ${ }^{14} 42$ U.S.C. §1973gg-6(b)(2)

[^6]:    ${ }^{15} 42$ U.S.C. \$1973gg-6(d)
    ${ }^{16}$ See the "Voter Registration File Maintenance" section of this report (on pages 2 to 3 ) for a discussion of the NVRA's treatment of active and inactive voters.

[^7]:    ${ }^{19}$ States vary in their definition of Internet (or online) voter registration. Some States define it as offering a fillable PDF; for others, it includes the ability to email the registration form. The 2012 Statutory Overview results indicated that during the 2012 election cycle, 11 States offered online voter registration and 38 States offered a voter registration form online that voters could download and complete, with most requiring the form to be submitted by mail or in-person. For more information, see EAC's 2012 Statutory Overview report, available at www.eac.gov.

[^8]:    ${ }^{20}$ The survey did not ask States to provide the reasons for these rejections.

[^9]:    ${ }^{21}$ Note that in Maricopa County, a mass confirmation mailing was sent to all voters on file in 2012, accounting for the large majority of confirmation notices sent in the State.

[^10]:    ${ }^{22}$ Results from the 2012 Statutory Overview indicate that fifty-one States disenfranchise felons in some manner. Some States restore voting rights after unconditional discharge or a specified period of time. For more details, see the 2012 Statutory Overview report, available at www.eac.gov.
    ${ }^{23}$ As used here, and in the survey, Election Day Registration refers to any day (prior to and including Election Day itself) when eligible voters could register and cast their ballots on the same day. Because of the question's wording, data were collected from some States that do not consider themselves to have Election Day Registration.

[^11]:    ${ }^{24}$ States obtaining a waiver could postpone implementation until 2006.
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