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# The Impact of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 on the Administration of Elections for Federal Office 2009-2010 

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report to the United States Congress addresses the impact of the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA) of 1993 on the administration of elections for Federal office during the two-year period following the November 2008 elections through the November 2010 elections. The 2010 report is based on the results of a survey of all States, the District of Columbia, and two territories-American Samoa and Guam. ${ }^{1}$ Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands did not respond to the survey. As with past reports, responses from many States and territories varied significantly; six States and all territories are exempt from the provisions of the NVRA ${ }^{2}$ and other States did not collect voter registration data in a way that was compatible with a few of the survey questions. Jurisdictions in a few States faced challenges in collecting the data, hampering the States' abilities to provide complete data for all jurisdictions.

The survey shows that the number of registered voters decreased during the two years leading up to the 2010 elections after the strong surge in voter registration that occurred prior to the 2008 Presidential election. There were approximately 186.9 million total eligible and registered voters reported for the November 2010 elections, a decrease of nearly 3.6 million registered voters from the 2008 elections.

Puerto Rico, however, did not hold Federal elections in 2010. As such, the lack of reported registration data from Puerto Rico in 2010 somewhat exaggerates the drop in the number of registered voters from 2008 to 2010. There were only approximately 1.1 million fewer registered voters reported for the 2010 elections than for the 2008 elections when excluding Puerto Rico from the 2008 totals. Despite this decrease since 2008, the number of registered voters in

[^0]2010 represents an increase of more than 14 million voters since the last midterm elections held in $2006 .^{3}$

According to the responses to the survey and population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau, $85.9 \%$ of American citizens of voting age ( 18 years or older) were registered to vote in the 2010 elections.

A number of States report dramatic increases in active registrants compared to the previous midterm election held in 2006. Since the previous midterm election, 14 States reported an increase of over $10 \%$ in the number of active registrants, and two States, Arizona and New Mexico, indicated an increase of over $20 \%{ }^{4}$

Other highlights of the 2010 NVRA study include the following:

- States reported receiving nearly 45.5 million voter registration forms. Use of mail, fax, or email to submit forms was down from the previous election cycle, with $20.9 \%$ of registration forms being delivered through these means. Another $14.5 \%$ of applications were submitted in person at elections offices, and $37.1 \%$ through motor vehicle agencies. Seventeen States reported receiving voter registration applications over the Internet.
- Of the 45.5 million voter registration forms received, nearly 14.4 million of these applications were from new voters who were not previously registered in the local jurisdiction or had not previously registered in any jurisdiction. This represents fewer new registrants than in either of the two previous elections - there were 24.6 million new registrants in the 2006 to 2008 election cycle and slightly fewer than 17.3 million new registrants during the 2004 to 2006 election cycle. More than 18.4 million of the registration forms that were submitted requested a change of name, address, or party of the registrant.

[^1]- States found invalid or otherwise rejected nearly 1.4 million applications, and almost 2.9 million applications were duplicates of existing registrations. Altogether, $9.4 \%$ of registration applications were invalid or duplicates.
- More than 168,000 voter registration applications were "pre-registrations" from people under the age of 18 , who were registering under State laws that allow them to preregister to vote before the age of 18 and vote upon turning 18 (or in a primary if they would be 18 by the general election). This number is down from the 2008 election cycle when 273,000 pre-registrations were processed.
- States sent 14.5 million removal notices to names on their registration rolls, pursuant to provisions of the NVRA.
- States removed more than 15 million voters from voter registration lists, for reasons including death, felony conviction, failure to repond to a confirmation notice and failure to vote in consecutive Federal elections, having moved from one jurisdiction to another, or at the voter's request. More States were able to report the number of voters that were removed than the number of removal notices that were sent.
- The survey collected information on Election Day Registration, in which voters can register and vote on the same day, either during early voting periods or on Election Day itself. Sixteen States reported adding nearly 2.4 million new registrants to their voter lists on days during which voters could also cast their ballots.


## SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

This report addresses the impact of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 (NVRA), as amended (Pub. L. No. 103-31, 42 U.S.C. \$1973gg-1 et seq.) on the administration of elections for Federal office during the period following the November 2008 Federal elections through the November 2010 elections. It is the ninth in a series of reports submitted biennially pursuant to the provisions of the NVRA, as amended by the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA), (Pub. L. No. 107-252, 42 U.S.C. $\$ 15301$ et seq.) . The relevant portion of the NVRA reads, in part, as follows:

In general... the Election Assistance Commission... not later than June 30 of each odd-numbered year, shall submit to the Congress a report assessing the impact of this Act on the administration of elections for Federal office during the preceding 2-year period and including recommendations for improvements in Federal and State procedures, forms, and other matters affected by this subchapter... (42 U.S.C. \$1973gg-7(a)(3)).

Although this is the ninth NVRA report to Congress, it is the fourth submitted by the United States Election Assistance Commission (EAC). The previous five reports
were submitted by the Federal Election Commission (FEC), which in 1994 promulgated rules identifying the information considered necessary to obtain from the States to generate reports to Congress (11 CFR §9428.7). The FEC described and explained the need for these data elements in a communication to State election officials in October 1995.

With the passage of HAVA, the biennial survey and report were revised and expanded, and the survey has continued to evolve under EAC authority. For the report on the 2004 elections, EAC administered two separate surveys to collect and report the information required under the NVRA and Section §102(c) of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA). ${ }^{5}$ In addition, EAC conducted a third survey to collect other information regarding the November 2004 Federal general elections.

In 2006, EAC incorporated the three collections into a single survey instrument, the 2006 Election Administration and Voting Survey, in order to reduce the burden of responding to multiple collections of information, facilitate data collection and reporting, and encourage participation by States. The 2006 survey contained 58 questions; 28 questions required information at only the State level, and 30 questions required information at both the State and local levels.

The 2008 survey contained two different questionnaires, each with its own focus. The first was the Statutory Overview Questionnaire; administered for the first time in 2008, the Statutory Overview asked States about their election laws, procedures, and definitions. ${ }^{6}$ EAC designed this survey to provide a valuable overview of election administration in the States and to serve as a reference for evaluating and understanding the quantitative data submitted by the States on the second questionnaire, the Election Administration and Voting Survey (EAVS). The EAVS sought to collect data at the State and local levels on a range of issues, including the NVRA topics presented in this report.

The 2008 edition of the EAVS was the result of discussions with State and local election officials, political scientists, researchers, members of election and voter registration groups, and the public. EAC revised the draft survey following review by EAC's Standards Board and Board of Advisors, ${ }^{7}$ and a public comment period after the survey was published in the Federal Register.
${ }^{5}$ The Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (1986). EAC is required to collect information under this Act pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1973ff1 (c).
${ }^{6}$ A report summarizing results of the 2010 Statutory Overview was released by EAC in spring of 2011.
${ }^{7}$ The Standards Board comprises 110 State and local election officials from all of the States and territories, and the Board of Advisors comprises 37 members who represent various national associations, government agencies, and congressional leadership.

In 2010, as in 2008, EAC distributed two questionnaires to the States. In order to minimize the burden on States in preparing to respond to the survey, the 2010 survey contained only minor changes to both the Statutory Overview and the EAVS questionnaire from the 2008 versions. The 2010 Statutory Overview was identical to the 2008 version; however, in recognition of the Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment (MOVE) Act, ${ }^{8}$ which was signed into law in October 2009, EAC included an additional question on the methods and processes used by States to implement the Act. Changes to the 2010 EAVS questionnaire included the addition and deletion of a couple of questions and revisions to a few questions for clarification. EAC revised the questions on Election Day and Same Day Registration to focus on new registrations and to clarify States' Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration status. EAC also removed two questions about automatic ballot transmission for two subsequent general election cycles. ${ }^{9}$ EAC inserted a question to allow all vote-by-mail (VBM) jurisdictions to capture these numbers separately from their absentee numbers. In addition, EAC altered the wording of a question on first-time voters and added a definition of voting system anomaly to one question for clarification.

These changes to the questionnaire resulted from extensive conversations with election officials. EAC submitted both questionnaires to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the 60-day and 30-day public comment periods. Members of the public could comment on the proposed survey beginning in September 2009. EAC further revised the questionnaire in response to these comments. OMB approved the 2010 EAVS on May 7, 2010 (OMB Control No. 3265-0006, exp.5/31/2013). The final, approved version of the survey, posted on the EAC website in May 2010, contained 49 questions in the EAVS questionnaire and 23 questions in the Statutory Overview. A majority of the questions in both surveys contained subquestions.

In accordance with its obligation to report on the impact of the NVRA on the administration of Federal elections, EAC submits this report to Congress. However, readers should note that since December 11, 2010, EAC has lacked a quorum of commissioners necessary to conduct certain business, including the formal adoption of Commission reports and issuance of recommendations. In order for EAC to meet its responsibility to submit the NVRA report to Congress by the statutory deadline, EAC provides in this document the data that would be contained in a formally

[^2]adopted report. EAC's executive director will work with commissioners to adopt the formal report and recommendations once a quorum has been reestablished.

## States' Collection of NVRA Information

For 2010, EAC continued its effort to make the survey available to State officials earlier in the election cycle and to facilitate the task of responding by providing improved survey instruments and increased technical assistance. The primary survey instrument, designed to assist the States in collecting and reporting their statistical data, was a Microsoft Excel-based application. The application offered the States two different methods for entering data: a form-based method that resembled the look of the questionnaire, and a sheet-based view that used a familiar spreadsheet format similar to the 2008 data collection tool. Embedded in the Microsoft Excel-based application was a set of error-checking algorithms to help States check their data using logic and consistency rules before submitting their data to EAC. To further ease the data entry burden, the application was preloaded with each State's jurisdictions. ${ }^{10}$ Most States chose to submit their data using this application. Data were submitted via the project website or via email.

States were asked to send their responses to EAC by February 1, 2011. The data provided by the States were then checked for logic and consistency errors. Any errors or questions concerning the submitted data were referred back to the States for review and correction, if necessary. The States had two weeks to review and correct their submissions. Fifty-three States submitted their data to EAC.

## About the States' Data

In May 2010, EAC adopted a data policy to guide States' submission and verification of their survey data. The Guide to the Election Administration and Voting Survey document provides information to election officials responsible for completing the survey and offers EAC assurances about States' validation of the data. The Guide contains information about:

- EAC processes related to releasing the survey instrument and final reports based on the survey data;
- The technical assistance EAC provides to the States;
- Deadlines for submitting the survey data;
- The processes and procedures for States' submission of the data, including use of the data templates EAC provides;

[^3]- The processes and procedures for States' review, verification, and correction of the data; and
- Instructions on how to address errors in the data after the submission deadline has passed.
In response to both media and general public inquiries about State data cited in EAC's previous EAVS reports and the Federal government's recent policies related to data quality, EAC formally requested that States verify and certify in writing the data they submit. All but one State (Kentucky) submitted a signed certification page with their data.

Election data collection varied significantly in the 53 States that responded to the 2010 survey. ${ }^{11}$ Most States relied, at least to some degree, upon centralized voter-registration databases (VRDs) and voter history databases, which allowed State election officials to respond to the survey with information from the local level for each question. Other States, conversely, collected relatively little election data at the State level, and instead relied on cooperation from local jurisdiction election offices to complete the survey. States and local offices varied in resources devoted to data collection and in the emphasis placed on data collection. Some States did not provide data in all the categories requested in the survey and a few did not have data for all their local jurisdictions.

Section 3 of this report summarizes the results of the 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey and includes a set of detailed tables. A complete dataset of responses to the survey is available on EAC's website (www.eac.gov).

Caution is necessary when interpreting the survey data, particularly when comparing the data from year-to-year or State-to-State, due to changes in State data collection practices across time and the varying levels of completeness in many States' responses. In 2006, EAC began asking States to produce county-level data (or the equivalent) rather than the statewide totals asked for previously. Even in States with centralized VRDs, some data may be kept only at the local level, and the level of integration of information between local and State election offices varies across the country. Information on the number of jurisdictions in each State is provided in a number of tables and summarized in Table 6. ${ }^{12}$

[^4]There are also exceptions to reporting requirements that apply at the State level. North Dakota does not have voter registration and is exempt from the NVRA; therefore, it elected to provide no data for this NVRA report. U. S. territories are not subject to the NVRA, and the States of Idaho, Minnesota, New Hampshire, Wisconsin, and Wyoming are exempt because they had Election Day Registration in 1994 and continuously thereafter. These States have an asterisk by their entries in the tables included in this report as a reminder that they are exempt from the NVRA (though some of these States chose to submit data anyway). Some States did not track certain information requested by the survey, or they tracked it in ways that made answering parts of the survey difficult. For example, States may code their sources of registration applications differently than those asked for in the survey. Footnotes for each table provide further information on these issues. The national totals are not complete for all questions because of incomplete responses at the local and State level.

## SECTION 2. ABOUT THE NVRA

Purposes and Requirements of the National Voter Registration Act
The primary objectives of the NVRA are:

- To establish procedures that will increase the number of eligible citizens who register to vote in elections for Federal office;
- To protect the integrity of the electoral process by ensuring that accurate and current voter registration rolls are maintained; and
- To enhance the participation of eligible citizens as voters in elections for Federal office (42 U.S.C. §1973gg).
The NVRA pursues these objectives by:
- Expanding the number of locations and opportunities whereby eligible citizens may apply to register to vote;
- Requiring voter registration file maintenance procedures that, in a uniform and nondiscriminatory manner, identify and remove the names of only those individuals who are no longer eligible to vote; and
- Providing registration requirements and procedures to ensure that an individual's right to vote prevails over current bureaucratic or legal technicalities. ${ }^{13}$

[^5]
## Expanding Opportunities To Register To Vote

Before enactment of the NVRA, the locations and opportunities for eligible citizens to register to vote in Federal elections varied widely throughout the States. Evidence from State experimentation with different registration policies suggested that expanding the number of locations and opportunities for voter registration resulted in increased registration for Federal elections. ${ }^{14}$

Consequently, the NVRA requires that individuals be given a voter registration application when applying for or renewing a driver's license, or when applying for (or receiving) services at certain other public offices. ${ }^{15}$ The NVRA also requires States to accept registration by mail for Federal elections.

## Fair and Effective Voter Registration File Maintenance

The NVRA requires States to conduct a program to maintain the integrity of the electoral process by ensuring accurate and current voter registration rolls (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6). Such a program may not remove the name of a voter from the list of eligible voters due to a person's failure to vote. However, States are permitted to remove the names of eligible voters from the rolls at the request of the voter or as provided by State law for reason of mental incapacity or criminal conviction. In addition, States are required to conduct a general program that makes a reasonable effort to remove the names of ineligible voters from the official lists by reason of death or change of residence (42 U.S.C. \$1973gg-6). The NVRA requires that any such program be "uniform, nondiscriminatory, and in compliance with the Voting Rights Act of 1965..." (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(b)(1)).

## "Fail-Safe" Voting Procedures

Congress, in considering the NVRA, believed that registrants were sometimes denied the right to vote on Election Day, either because of some oversight on their part or because of a clerical error by an election official. ${ }^{16}$ Registrants who changed residence within a jurisdiction, for

[^6]example, often mistakenly assumed they were still entitled to vote, only to discover on Election Day that their failure to re-register at their new address had disenfranchised them. Similarly, registrants who may not have received or neglected to return certain election office mailings were often removed from voter lists. In addition, clerical errors, such as erroneous changes of address or data entry errors in voter registration files resulted in the loss of the opportunity to cast a ballot.

The NVRA allows registered voters to vote when they move to another location within the registrar's jurisdiction (42 U.S.C. \$1973gg-6). Additional fail-safe voting requirements were incorporated into Federal law by HAVA, which mandates the availability and use of provisional ballots.

## Role of the United States Election Assistance Commission

Section 802(a) of HAVA (42 U.S.C. §15532(a)) transferred to EAC all functions that the FEC exercised under Section 9(a) of the NVRA. Pursuant to this authority, EAC has incorporated the FEC's NVRA survey instrument into a more comprehensive survey that addresses UOCAVA information and other topics that HAVA requests that EAC study. The data below are drawn from Section A of EAC's 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey, which also captured information on overseas voting, provisional ballots, voting technology, absentee voting, poll workers, and other issues.

## SECTION 3. SURVEY RESULTS

This section summarizes the NVRA-related data collected in the 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey. It demonstrates the impact of the NVRA on the administration of elections for Federal offices for the period between the November 2008 elections and the November 2010 elections.

## Voter Registration

States reported that 186,874,157 voters were registered and eligible to cast ballots in the November 2010 general election. Approximately $78.7 \%$ of the nation's estimated voting age population of more than 237.3 million was registered for the 2010 election. ${ }^{17}$ The U.S. Census Bureau estimated the voting age population (VAP) for 2010. Table 1c presents the registration rates for States compared with estimated VAP. ${ }^{18}$

[^7]Since the VAP includes a significant number of ineligible voters, including non-citizens, a better picture of national registration rates can be obtained by using an estimate of citizen voting age population (CVAP). According to the EAC survey, $85.9 \%$ of the nation's approximately 217.5 million CVAP were registered for the 2010 election. Table 1d presents the registration rates for States compared with estimated CVAP. Note, however, that CVAP still includes some ineligible voters, such as those who have lost their right to vote because of felony convictions or a designation of mental incompetency.

The voting age population of the United States continues to increase at a rate of about one percent per year, according to estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. The VAP increased from about 233,087,000 to about 237,386,565 between 2008 and 2010, an increase of $1.8 \%$.

This report contains data from all States and territories covered by HAVA except Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, but some important caveats are worth noting in terms of voter registration. North Dakota has no voter registration; for the purposes of this report, all people of voting age are considered registered in that State. Most States reported registration data for all jurisdictions. A few States reported only partial totals for some of the voter registration data because some county data were unavailable or some counties reported incomplete information; these cases are indicated in the tables and the table notes.

## Active and Inactive Voters

The NVRA, which was not fully implemented until after the 1994 elections, prohibits the removal of names from the registration list solely for failure to vote. ${ }^{19}$ The NVRA allows election jurisdictions to move voters to an inactive voter list if, over a period of time, the registrant: (1) has not either notified the applicable registrar (in person or in writing) or responded during the period described in subparagraph (B) to the notice sent by the applicable registrar; and then (2) has not voted or appeared to vote in two or more consecutive general elections for Federal office (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(d)). Before moving voters to an inactive list, jurisdictions verify voter rolls through mailings or the U.S. Postal Service's National Change of Address (NCOA) service. In some States, people reported by the Postal Service to have moved are sent a confirmation mailing by local election officials and may be placed on an inactive list. This inactive status and the fail-safe provisions of the NVRA allow these people to vote if there was an error. As a result of the NVRA's requirement that States seek to remove ineligible voters from their

[^8]registration rolls, most States have programs in place to verify their voter registration lists, but those verifications occur at different times and are performed in different ways.

States report their registration numbers for different purposes and in different ways. According to EAC's 2004 survey, while 26 States used only active voters in their total number of registrations, another 20 States included both active and inactive voters, and four States left the decision to the discretion of local officials. EAC asked each State to report its number of "registered and eligible" voters and then asked for separate totals of active and inactive voters. In addition, the survey asked States how they reported the number of registered voters for "other official purposes." A total of 16 States responded that they only use active registered voters. Thirty States reported using both active and inactive registered voters, and four States had some jurisdictions report using only active voters while other jurisdictions reported using both active and inactive voters. North Dakota does not have voter registration and therefore does not make a distinction between active and inactive voters. The remaining two States did not respond to this question. Furthermore, in 39 States, the number of "registered and eligible" voters for the November 2010 election equals precisely the number of inactive plus active voters.

Analysis of the change in the number of active voters is perhaps the most reliable indicator of the growth or decline in registration. A couple of States actually experienced a marked increase in active registration despite the overall decline in reported registrations nationally. Not including Wyoming, which did not report active registration in 2008, three States reported an increase of over $10 \%$ in the number of active registrants since the 2008 elections: the District of Columbia (12.7\%), Ohio (20.1\%), and Oregon (18.1\%). By contrast, only Nebraska reported a drop in active registration that was greater than $10 \%(-10.6 \%)$.

Responses to the 2010 survey show that over 20.7 million registrants in the United States remain on the list of inactive voters. California had the largest proportion of eligible voters on its inactive list, with $26.9 \%$ of eligible voters on the inactive list. Colorado was the only other State with more than $20 \%$ of eligible voters on its inactive list. See Tables 1a and lb for data on active and inactive voters for all 53 States.

## Voter Registration Forms Received

Overall, 50 States reported receiving nearly 45.5 million voter registration forms between the 2008 and 2010 elections (see Table 2a). The States reported sources for more than 37.2 million registration forms, including the origin of new, duplicate, and invalid or rejected registrations (see Tables 2b, 2c and 2d).

The two largest sources of voter registration applications were through (1) motor vehicle offices or (2) mail, fax, and email. Nearly 16.9 million applications, $37.1 \%$ of the total, were received from State offices that issued driver's licenses. Just over half as many applications - 9.5 million, or 20.9\% - came from the second largest source, individual voters submitting applications by mail, fax, or email. ${ }^{20}$ Individual voters who appeared in person to register at election offices made up another 6.6 million applications, or $14.5 \%$. Together, these three sources provided nearly three-fourths of all registration applications that States received. The remaining sources included public assistance offices, disability services offices, Armed Forces recruitment offices, registration drives from advocacy groups and political parties, and other State agencies.

The ratio of mail registrations to those coming from driver's license offices changed from the last reporting period. From 2006 to 2008, nearly as many voters registered by mail, fax or email as the number of voters that registered through motor vehicle offices. From 2008 to 2010, similar to the period between 2004 and 2006, twice as many voters registered at motor vehicle offices than by mail, fax or email. This difference is likely a reflection of the surge in voter registration that traditionally accompanies presidential contests and then the subsequent waning of voter registration leading up to midterm elections. ${ }^{21}$

There was a substantial increase in the number of States that reported receiving voter registration applications directly over the Internet. ${ }^{22}$ In 2008, eight States reported receiving voter registration applications directly over the Internet, while in 201017 States reported receiving a total of 768,211 applications over the Internet. One State, Arizona, accounted for more than half of the total number of applications received from this source. The Internet accounted for $\mathbf{2 4 . 4 \%}$ of all applications processed in Arizona over the two years covered by the survey's registration applications. Other States that reported receiving more than $10 \%$ of their applications from the Internet were Oregon (11.4\%) and Washington (15.1\%). The number of applications received through the Internet in most of the other States was small (often less than $1 \%$ ).

The 2010 survey asked States to break down the applications they received into three categories: new applications,

[^9]duplicate applications, and invalid or rejected applications. There were nearly 14.4 million new applications reported by 49 States. Thirty-eight States reported receiving nearly 2.9 million duplicate applications of people already registered to vote. Thirty-seven States reported the number of invalid or rejected applications, which totaled nearly 1.4 million.

Nearly half of the invalid applications (42.3\%) came from driver's license offices, while another $9.9 \%$ came from mail applications. In-person registrations at election offices, which comprised $14.5 \%$ of total applications, made up only $4.9 \%$ of invalid or rejected applications.

## Voter Registration Forms Processed

States were asked to report on the kinds of applications they processed over the course of the two years leading up to the 2010 elections.

Of the nearly 45.5 million applications received, $31.6 \%$, or 14.4 million, were new, valid registrations. This represented at least $7.7 \%$ of the registered voters in the 2010 election. In addition, 25 States reported "pre-registering" 168,881 people under the age of 18 , who would then become eligible to vote on their 18th birthday.

Of the nearly 45.5 million applications received across 50 States, $40.5 \%$ ( 18.4 million) requested a change in the address, name, or party of a voter already registered in the jurisdiction. There were approximately 20.1 million change of address forms received and processed between the 2006 and 2008 elections.

Thirty-eight States reported receiving approximately 2.9 million applications that were duplicates of existing registrations. Alaska, Delaware, and Virginia reported that about a quarter of applications received between 2008 and 2010 were duplicates. Nationwide, $3.1 \%$ of applications were rejected for a cause other than being a duplicate; some States had substantially higher rates. Indiana reported the highest rate, rejecting $28.1 \%$, or 340,550 , of applications for a cause other than duplication. ${ }^{23}$

## Voter Registration List Maintenance

The NVRA prohibits States from removing names from the voter registration list for either of the following reasons:

- Failure to vote (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(b)(2)); or
- Change of address to another location within the registrar's jurisdiction (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(f)). The law requires registrars who receive information on a voter's change of address to another location within the registrar's jurisdiction to update the registrant's voting address.

[^10]List maintenance provisions are meant to ensure the accuracy and currency of the voter registration rolls. The NVRA states that any change of address submitted to a motor vehicle driver's license shall serve as notification of a change of address for voter registration unless the individual indicates that the change is not for voter registration purposes (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-3).

The law also requires States to conduct a uniform and nondiscriminatory general program (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6) to remove the names of ineligible voters, as follows:

- Upon the death of the registrant;
- Upon the registrant's written confirmation that his or her address has changed to a location outside the registrar's jurisdiction; or
- On the registrant's failure to respond to certain confirmation mailings along with failure to appear to vote in two consecutive Federal general elections subsequent to the mailing. (The confirmation mailings in this case are those mailed out to registrants who, based on information received from the Postal Service, have apparently changed address to a location outside the registrar's jurisdiction.)
The NVRA also permits States to remove the names of registrants as follows:
- On the request of the registrant (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(a)(3) (A));
- For mental incapacity of the registrant, as provided for in State law (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(a)(3)(B)); or
- On criminal conviction of the registrant, as provided for in State law (42 U.S.C. \$1973gg-6(a)(3)(B)).

Other than these provisions, the law grants States wide latitude as to when, where, and how these functions will be performed. Two tables at the end of this report (Table 4a, Voter List Maintenance: Removal Notices, and Table 4b, Voter List Maintenance: Removal Actions) provide data from the 2010 EAVS on the number of removal notices sent between the November 2008 and the November 2010 elections, the number of responses received from those notices, the number of registrants that were moved from active to inactive status on the voter lists, and the number of registrants that were removed from the voter lists. The tables also provide data on the reasons why registrants were removed from the voter lists, including death, failure to vote, a disqualifying felony conviction, and at the voter's request.

## Removal Notices

Removal notices are an important tool for the maintenance of accurate voter registration rolls. The 44 States that responded to this portion of the survey reported sending out nearly 14.6 million removal notices during the 2008-2010
period (Table 4a). The ratio of removal notices to number of registered voters was $7.8 \%$ nationally and varied significantly across the States. Two States sent out significantly more removal notices, relative to their total voter registration, than other States: Arizona sent out 1.6 million removal notices, equal to $47.0 \%$ of its total registration; and the District of Columbia sent out nearly 176,000 , equal to $34.3 \%$ of its total registration.

Voters confirmed their registration in response to only about $12.2 \%$ of removal notices sent, although this is likely an underestimate as several States did not provide data on the number of responses received. Another $3.6 \%$ of voters confirmed that they should be deleted from the rolls, although this percentage too is likely an underestimate given the missing data. There were differences across the States in reported response to removal notices. New Jersey reported that voters responded to three-quarters of notices and 11 other States reported response rates greater than $20 \%$.

States reported that $24.8 \%$ of removal notices were returned undeliverable, leaving another $31.9 \%$ of notices with status unknown. Texas ( $75.5 \%$ ), North Carolina ( $61.3 \%$ ), and Illinois ( $58.7 \%$ ) had particularly high rates of notices that were not deliverable. States either reported the resolution of the rest of the removal notices in miscellaneous categories or did not report what happened to the notices, suggesting that better tracking of removal notices may be needed to provide a more complete picture.

## Removal from Voter Rolls

Over 15 million voters were removed from registration rolls in the two years leading up to the 2010 Federal elections (see Table 4b). Forty-nine States provided data on their removal of voters from registration rolls. The number of voters removed from 2008 to 2010 was notably larger than the number of removals between 2006 and 2008, even though only two additional States reported data. In the two-year period prior to the previous election, approximately 12.6 million registrants from 47 States were removed.

States varied in the number of people removed relative to total registration. Eighteen States reported removing at least $10 \%$ of their 2010 registration, with the District of Columbia and Indiana reportedly removing over $20 \%$ of their 2010 registration. Overall, States removed $8.0 \%$ of the number of registered voters in 2010.

Of the 15 million voters removed from the rolls, nearly 3.8 million, or $25.2 \%$, were removed because they moved to other jurisdictions; South Carolina had the highest percentage of removals due to moving at $86.3 \%$. Overall, nearly 3.0 million voters, or $19.8 \%$ of all voters removed, were removed because they had died.

The leading cause of removal, however, was a failure to respond to a confirmation notice and failure to vote in the two most recent Federal elections, accounting for 6.1 million voters, or $40.7 \%$ of the total removals. These removals represented greater than $80 \%$ of removals in Wyoming and American Samoa. Felony conviction-a disqualifier in many States-removed 371,414 voters from the rolls, representing $2.5 \%$ of removals. In Florida, $39.4 \%$ of removals were due to felony convictions. Other reasons for removing voters from the rolls included requests by voters ( $3.0 \%$ of all removals) and mental incompetence ( $0.1 \%$ ).

## Election Day Registration ${ }^{24}$

EAC asked States to provide information on voters who registered to vote and cast their ballots on the same day. Data from this question are presented in Table 5. Voters are able to register and vote on the same day in varying ways, and the question was phrased to try to capture all those voters who registered and voted on the same day. Some States have formal same-day voting systems, while others limit sameday voting to certain contests or certain groups of voters. For example, Alaska limits Election Day registrants to voting only for Federal offices. Other States have special registration and voting provisions for new residents or recently discharged military. Finally, some States experience overlaps between early voting periods and the cutoff date for registration.

EAC asked a question to distinguish between States that did have Election Day or Same Day Registration in 2010 and States that did not have Election Day Registration, but allowed voters to register and vote on the same day for the 2010 election. Nine States including the District of Columbia, Idaho, Iowa, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Wisconsin, and Wyoming indicated that they had Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration for the November 2010 general election. California, Colorado, Illinois, Maine, Ohio, Vermont, and Washington reported a number of voters that were allowed to register and then to vote on the same day but did not indicate that they have Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration.

For 2010, the 16 States listed above reported that nearly 2.4 million new registration applications were filed on days in which it was possible to both register and vote. In California, Same Day Registration accounted for $11.0 \%$ of reported registration in 2010. In Idaho and Wisconsin, Same Day Registration accounted for more than $5 \%$ of reported

[^11]registration in 2010. Approximately $17.7 \%$ of new Election Day Registrations applications were from the nine States that reported having Election Day or Same Day Registration.

## SECTION 4. RECOMMENDATIONS

At the time of report production (May 2011), EAC lacked a quorum of commissioners necessary to conduct certain business, including the formal adoption of Commission reports and issuance of recommendations. EAC's executive director will work with commissioners to adopt the formal report and recommendations once a quorum has been reestablished.

## General Notes

State: In the interest of consistency in these tables, the term "State" refers to the 50 States, the four territories of American Samoa, Guam, Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, and the District of Columbia. However, by the provisions of the NVRA, the term "State" means a State of the United States and the District of Columbia; territories are exempt.

Jurisdictions in the Survey: For the 2010 survey, EAC requested information for each local election administration jurisdiction. Generally, this is the county or county equivalent in each State. The following exceptions to this are:
a) The information was compiled by town, city, or township in the six New England States of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont.
b) Some independent cities were treated as counties for reporting purposes in the States of Illinois, Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia. c) The response was one record for the whole entity for Alaska, the District of Columbia, and the reporting territories.
d) In Wisconsin, the information was collected from the towns, cities, or townships but was summarized by county.
e) In Hawaii, information for one county, Kalawao, was reported with Maui county.
These exceptions are noted by an asterisk below the State name in Table 1a. Table 6 contains more information on the coverage and reporting for each State.

Coverage of the NVRA: Even though coverage is nationwide, some States are exempt from coverage; this is one of the reasons for missing responses. The main reason for exemption relates to the availability of Election Day Registration. The six States that are exempt from the NVRA are Idaho, Minnesota, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Wisconsin,
and Wyoming. They are exempt because Election Day registration was in effect continuously on and after, or enacted prior to, August 1, 1994, or, in the case of North Dakota, have no voter registration at all (42 USC 1973gg-2(b)). The territories are not subject to the NVRA. These States have an asterisk in the tables included in this report as a reminder that they are exempt from the NVRA.

Missing Data: Information for several items remains unavailable for some States for many reasons. Missing data are presented in the tables by a blank data cell or a zero value depending on how the State answered the question. Note that a zero value may also indicate that the jurisdiction does not know or does not collect the information. The count of cases, included in most tables but not for all variables, reflects the presence of a response from the jurisdiction including reported zeros. For many questions, zero is a valid response. In some cases, however, it is unclear if a response of zero is a valid response or an indication of "Data Not Available" or "Data Not Applicable" options. Researchers should consult the jurisdiction-level dataset for more detail. If a calculation is impossible because of missing information, a separate symbol may be indicated, e.g., a series of periods (......).

Sum of Above: The information listed in the tables below the State level detail is, for most columns, simply the arithmetic sum of the information listed in the table. The number of States providing information is indicated as the count of States with information greater than or equal to zero, or in some cases, less than or equal to zero. The percentages indicated on this line are generally the result of a simple division based upon the appropriate numbers from this line. For the "Not Categorized" columns, the number and percentage in the "Sum of Above" line will generally reflect a calculation of the appropriate fields listed on this line.

Specific Notes for Tables: Notes specific to each table appear following each table or group of sub-tables.

## CROSS REFERENCE OF SURVEY QUESTIONS TO TABLES

| Question A1-Number of persons registered and eligible to vote |
| :---: |
| Tables 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d - Registration History, Registration Rates |
| Table 3 - Applications Processed |
| Table 4a - Voter List Maintenance: Removal Notices |
| Table 5 - Same Day Registration |
| Question A2 - Reporting status for active/inactive registrants |
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| Question A3 - Number of persons registered and eligible to vote by active/inactive status |
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| Tables 2a-Application Sources: Total Forms Received |
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| Question A10 - Removal notices sent to voters by status |
| Table 4a - Voter List Maintenance: Removal Notices |
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| 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/nactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/lnactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Active } \\ \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Reg. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Inactive <br> $\%$ of Total <br> Reg. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Alabama | 2010 | c | 3,647,277 | 2,964,070 | 81.3 |  | 2,964,070 | 2,586,282 | 377,788 | 87.3 | 12.7 | $(14,269)$ | (2.9) | $(220,389)$ | (7.0) | 206,120 | 7.0 |
|  | 2008 | c | 3,540,000 | 2,978,339 | 84.1 |  | 2,978,339 | 2,806,671 | 171,668 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 508,532 | 13.3 | 336,933 | 3.4 | (76,118) | (3.4) |
|  | 2006 | A | 3,485,000 | 2,469,807 | 70.9 | P | 2,717,524 | 2,469,738 | 247,786 | 90.9 | 9.1 | $(127,822)$ | (4.7) | $(127,891)$ | (0.5) | 2,784 | 0.5 |
| (67 counties) | 2004 |  | 3,436,000 | 2,597,629 | 75.6 |  | 2,842,631 | 2,597,629 | 245,002 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 439,861 | 11.6 | 439,861 | (4.7) | 157,457 | 4.7 |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,370,000 | 2,157,768 | 64.0 |  | 2,245,313 | 2,157,768 | 87,545 | 96.1 | 3.9 | $(382,134)$ | (12.2) | $(382,134)$ | 8.5 | $(273,264)$ | (8.5) |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,330,000 | 2,539,902 | 76.3 |  | 2,900,711 | 2,539,902 | 360,809 | 87.6 | 12.4 | 223,304 | 5.6 | 223,304 | 14.6 | (497,442) | (14.6) |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,280,000 | 2,316,598 | 70.6 |  | 3,174,849 | 2,316,598 | 858,251 | 73.0 | 27.0 | (160,757) | (6.3) | (160,757) | (17.7) | 603,017 | 17.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,221,000 | 2,477,355 | 76.9 |  | 2,732,589 | 2,477,355 | 255,234 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 170,936 | 4.2 | 170,936 | 3.1 | $(73,405)$ | (3.1) |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,172,000 | 2,306,419 | 72.7 |  | 2,635,058 | 2,306,419 | 328,639 | 87.5 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,080,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska | 2010 | A | 522,853 | 560,146 | 107.1 |  | 560,146 | 494,876 | 65,270 | 88.3 | 11.7 | 64,415 | 9.2 | (855) | 1.5 | $(9,665)$ | (1.5) |
|  | 2008 | A | 506,000 | 495,731 | 98.0 |  | 570,666 | 495,731 | 74,935 | 86.9 | 13.1 | 29,473 | 2.6 | 29,473 | (13.1) | 74,935 | 13.1 |
| (State totals only) | 2006 | A | 489,000 | 466,258 | 95.3 | P | 466,258 | 466,258 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(5,902)$ | (5.8) | $(5,902)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 467,000 | 472,160 | 101.1 |  | 472,160 | 472,160 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11,305 | (1.1) | 11,305 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 451,000 | 460,855 | 102.2 |  | 460,855 | 460,855 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (17,377) | (7.3) | (17,377) | 18.4 | $(107,699)$ | (18.4) |
|  | 2000 |  | 437,000 | 478,232 | 109.4 |  | 585,931 | 478,232 | 107,699 | 81.6 | 18.4 | 21,318 | 1.9 | 21,318 | (9.2) | 61,645 | 9.2 |
|  | 1998 |  | 425,000 | 456,914 | 107.5 |  | 502,968 | 456,914 | 46,054 | 90.8 | 9.2 | 42,099 | 8.5 | 42,099 | 2.4 | (8,162) | (2.4) |
|  | 1996 |  | 419,000 | 414,815 | 99.0 |  | 469,031 | 414,815 | 54,216 | 88.4 | 11.6 | 78,589 | 17.6 | 78,589 | (11.6) | 54,216 | 11.6 |
|  | 1994 |  | 413,000 | 336,226 | 81.4 |  | 336,226 | 336,226 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 405,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | 2010 | A | 4,763,003 | 3,502,743 | 73.5 |  | 3,502,743 | 3,146,418 | 356,325 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 515,292 | 11.2 | 158,967 | 3.0 | $(97,365)$ | (3.0) |
|  | 2008 | A | 4,793,000 | 2,987,451 | 62.3 |  | 3,441,141 | 2,987,451 | 453,690 | 86.8 | 13.2 | 419,050 | 5.7 | 419,050 | 2.9 | $(39,449)$ | (2.9) |
| (15 counties) | 2006 | A | 4,538,000 | 2,568,401 | 56.6 |  | 3,061,540 | 2,568,401 | 493,139 | 83.9 | 16.1 | (77,404) | (6.4) | (77,404) | (7.5) | 243,536 | 7.5 |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,97,000 | 2,645,805 | 63.0 |  | 2,895,408 | 2,645,805 | 249,603 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 430,703 | 7.1 | 430,703 | 9.5 | $(241,650)$ | (9.5) |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,959,000 | 2,215,102 | 56.0 |  | 2,706,355 | 2,215,102 | 491,253 | 81.8 | 18.2 | 21,335 | (2.0) | 21,335 | (1.0) | 36,867 | 1.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,788,000 | 2,193,767 | 57.9 |  | 2,648,153 | 2,193,767 | 454,386 | 82.8 | 17.2 | (72,112) | (8.3) | (72,112) | (4.5) | 127,282 | 4.5 |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,421,000 | 2,265,879 | 66.2 |  | 2,592,983 | 2,265,879 | 327,104 | 87.4 | 12.6 | 18,217 | (3.0) | 18,217 | (2.4) | 72,172 | 2.4 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,245,000 | 2,247,662 | 69.3 |  | 2,502,594 | 2,247,662 | 254,932 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 174,220 | 1.5 | 174,220 | 0.3 | 12,612 | (0.3) |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,059,000 | 2,073,442 | 67.8 |  | 2,315,762 | 2,073,442 | 242,320 | 89.5 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,812,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. <br> Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Arkansas | 2010 | C | 2,204,443 | 1,638,135 | 74.3 |  | 1,638,135 | 1,326,681 | 311,454 | 81.0 | 19.0 | $(46,105)$ | (3.9) | $(38,060)$ | (0.0) | $(8,045)$ | 0.0 |
|  | 2008 | C | 2,153,000 | 1,684,240 | 78.2 |  | 1,684,240 | 1,364,741 | 319,499 | 81.0 | 19.0 | 68,969 | 2.0 | $(89,968)$ | (7.0) | 121,170 | 7.0 |
| (75 counties) | 2006 | C | 2,120,000 | 1,615,271 | 76.2 |  | 1,653,038 | 1,454,709 | 198,329 | 88.0 | 12.0 | $(48,534)$ | (4.0) | $(18,314)$ | (0.5) | 7,547 | 0.5 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,076,000 | 1,663,805 | 80.1 |  | 1,663,805 | 1,473,023 | 190,782 | 88.5 | 11.5 | 82,340 | 2.0 | 17,141 | (3.5) | 65,199 | 3.5 |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,025,000 | 1,581,465 | 78.1 |  | 1,581,465 | 1,455,882 | 125,583 | 92.1 | 7.9 | 37,788 | 0.8 | 14,669 | (1.3) | 23,119 | 1.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,998,000 | 1,543,677 | 77.3 |  | 1,543,677 | 1,441,213 | 102,464 | 93.4 | 6.6 | 71,706 | (0.6) | 28,596 | (2.6) | 43,110 | 2.6 |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,891,000 | 1,471,971 | 77.8 |  | 1,471,971 | 1,412,617 | 59,354 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 102,512 | 3.9 | 43,158 | (4.0) | 59,354 | 4.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,852,000 | 1,369,459 | 73.9 |  | 1,369,459 | 1,369,459 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 94,574 | 3.7 | 94,574 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,814,000 | 1,274,885 | 70.3 |  | 1,274,885 | 1,274,885 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,774,000 | 1,317,944 | 74.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | 2010 | A | 27,958,916 | 17,299,347 | 61.9 |  | 23,666,464 | 17,299,347 | 6,367,117 | 73.1 | 26.9 | $(94,853)$ | (1.6) | $(94,879)$ | (1.2) | 337,182 | 1.2 |
|  | 2008 | A | 27,392,000 | 17,394,200 | 63.5 |  | 23,424,161 | 17,394,226 | 6,029,935 | 74.3 | 25.7 | 1,557,092 | 4.7 | 2,314,006 | 1.0 | 522,388 | (1.0) |
| (58 counties) | 2006 | L | 26,925,000 | 15,837,108 | 58.8 | P | 20,587,767 | 15,080,220 | 5,507,547 | 73.2 | 26.8 | $(627,618)$ | (3.8) | $(1,384,506)$ | (1.3) | $(114,201)$ | 1.3 |
|  | 2004 |  | 26,297,000 | 16,464,726 | 62.6 |  | 22,086,474 | 16,464,726 | 5,621,748 | 74.5 | 25.5 | 1,684,801 | 4.9 | 1,684,801 | 1.5 | 160,104 | (1.5) |
|  | 2002 |  | 25,611,000 | 14,779,925 | 57.7 |  | 20,241,569 | 14,779,925 | 5,461,644 | 73.0 | 27.0 | $(927,382)$ | (5.8) | $(927,382)$ | (10.1) | 2,271,163 | 10.1 |
|  | 2000 |  | 24,728,000 | 15,707,307 | 63.5 |  | 18,897,788 | 15,707,307 | 3,190,481 | 83.1 | 16.9 | 723,357 | 0.8 | 723,357 | (3.0) | 775,245 | 3.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 23,902,000 | 14,983,950 | 62.7 |  | 17,399,186 | 14,983,950 | 2,415,236 | 86.1 | 13.9 | $(678,125)$ | (5.4) | $(678,125)$ | (7.7) | 1,389,284 | 7.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 23,002,000 | 15,662,075 | 68.1 |  | 16,688,027 | 15,662,075 | 1,025,952 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 938,291 | 3.1 | 938,291 | (6.1) | 1,025,952 | 6.1 |
|  | 1994 |  | 22,653,000 | 14,723,784 | 65.0 |  | 14,723,784 | 14,723,784 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 22,521,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado | 2010 | C | 3,803,587 | 3,293,942 | 86.6 |  | 3,293,942 | 2,477,202 | 816,740 | 75.2 | 24.8 | 79,560 | 0.5 | $(168,591)$ | (7.1) | 248,151 | 7.1 |
|  | 2008 | 0 | 3,732,000 | 3,214,382 | 86.1 |  | 3,214,382 | 2,645,793 | 568,589 | 82.3 | 17.7 | 213,546 | 2.4 | 253,726 | 1.9 | $(15,189)$ | (1.9) |
| (64 counties) | 2006 | C | 3,584,000 | 3,000,836 | 83.7 |  | 2,975,845 | 2,392,067 | 583,778 | 80.4 | 19.6 | $(96,955)$ | (6.8) | $(5,867)$ | 3.0 | $(116,079)$ | (3.0) |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,423,000 | 3,097,791 | 90.5 |  | 3,097,791 | 2,397,934 | 699,857 | 77.4 | 22.6 | 207,633 | 4.3 | 149,990 | (0.4) | 57,643 | 0.4 |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,351,000 | 2,890,158 | 86.2 |  | 2,890,158 | 2,247,944 | 642,214 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 6,210 | (3.3) | (912) | (0.2) | 7,122 | 0.2 |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,219,000 | 2,883,948 | 89.6 |  | 2,883,948 | 2,248,856 | 635,092 | 78.0 | 22.0 | 320,507 | 2.6 | 149,492 | (3.9) | 171,015 | 3.9 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,946,000 | 2,563,441 | 87.0 |  | 2,563,441 | 2,099,364 | 464,077 | 81.9 | 18.1 | 217,188 | 4.3 | 187,713 | 0.4 | 29,475 | (0.4) |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,837,000 | 2,346,253 | 82.7 |  | 2,346,253 | 1,911,651 | 434,602 | 81.5 | 18.5 | 313,159 | 7.8 | $(121,443)$ | (18.5) | 434,602 | 18.5 |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,715,000 | 2,033,094 | 74.9 |  | 2,033,094 | 2,033,094 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,579,000 | 2,003,375 | 77.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut | 2010 | C | 2,757,082 | 2,150,633 | 78.0 |  | 2,150,633 | 2,026,874 | 123,759 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 59,845 | 0.3 | $(63,914)$ | (3.3) | 70,246 | 3.3 |
|  | 2008 | A | 2,689,000 | 2,090,788 | 77.8 |  | 2,144,301 | 2,090,788 | 53,513 | 97.5 | 2.5 | 149,321 | 5.5 | 168,131 | 3.3 | $(65,116)$ | (3.3) |
| (8 counties*) | 2006 | A | 2,687,000 | 1,941,467 | 72.3 |  | 2,041,286 | 1,922,657 | 118,629 | 94.2 | 5.8 | $(102,714)$ | (4.5) | $(121,524)$ | (0.6) | 5,601 | 0.6 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,665,000 | 2,044,181 | 76.7 |  | 2,157,209 | 2,044,181 | 113,028 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 201,716 | 6.4 | 201,716 | 2.4 | $(40,191)$ | (2.4) |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,620,000 | 1,842,465 | 70.3 |  | 1,995,684 | 1,842,465 | 153,219 | 92.3 | 7.7 | $(58,738)$ | (3.7) | $(58,738)$ | (0.0) | $(4,162)$ | 0.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 2,570,000 | 1,901,203 | 74.0 |  | 2,058,584 | 1,901,203 | 157,381 | 92.4 | 7.6 | 94,453 | 1.4 | 94,453 | 1.8 | $(32,151)$ | (1.8) |

[^12]| 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Connecticut | 1998 |  | 2,489,000 | 1,806,750 | 72.6 |  | 1,996,282 | 1,806,750 | 189,532 | 90.5 | 9.5 | $(74,573)$ | (3.4) | (74,573) | (4.7) | 94,106 | 4.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,475,000 | 1,881,323 | 76.0 |  | 1,976,749 | 1,881,323 | 95,426 | 95.2 | 4.8 | 89,638 | 3.7 | 89,638 | (4.8) | 95,426 | 4.8 |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,476,000 | 1,791,685 | 72.4 |  | 1,791,685 | 1,791,685 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,508,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware | 2010 | C | 692,169 | 623,425 | 90.1 |  | 623,425 | 603,456 | 19,969 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 20,699 | (0.3) | 42,751 | 3.8 | $(22,052)$ | (3.8) |
|  | 2008 | C | 667,000 | 602,726 | 90.4 |  | 602,726 | 560,705 | 42,021 | 93.0 | 7.0 | 44,990 | 4.6 | 39,959 | (0.3) | 5,050 | 0.3 |
| (3 counties) | 2006 | C | 650,000 | 557,736 | 85.8 |  | 557,717 | 520,746 | 36,971 | 93.4 | 6.6 | 3,542 | (1.2) | 410 | (0.6) | 3,390 | 0.6 |
|  | 2004 |  | 637,000 | 554,194 | 87.0 |  | 553,917 | 520,336 | 33,581 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 34,530 | 1.9 | 672 | (6.1) | 33,581 | 6.1 |
|  | 2002 |  | 611,000 | 519,664 | 85.1 |  | 519,664 | 519,664 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 15,992 | (0.0) | 42,071 | 5.2 | $(26,079)$ | (5.2) |
|  | 2000 |  | 592,000 | 503,672 | 85.1 |  | 503,672 | 477,593 | 26,079 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 36,284 | 2.5 | 32,526 | (0.4) | 3,758 | 0.4 |
|  | 1998 |  | 566,000 | 467,388 | 82.6 |  | 467,388 | 445,067 | 22,321 | 95.2 | 4.8 | 66,306 | 9.9 | 25,559 | (0.6) | 3,895 | 0.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 552,000 | 401,082 | 72.7 |  | 437,934 | 419,508 | 18,426 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 52,960 | 7.7 | 71,386 | (4.2) | 18,426 | 4.2 |
|  | 1994 |  | 536,000 | 348,122 | 64.9 |  | 348,122 | 348,122 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 521,000 | 342,088 | 65.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 2010 | C | 500,908 | 512,897 | 102.4 |  | 512,897 | 454,695 | 58,202 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 86,226 | 13.5 | 28,024 | 12.7 | $(76,798)$ | (12.7) |
|  | 2008 | A | 480,000 | 426,671 | 88.9 |  | 561,671 | 426,671 | 135,000 | 76.0 | 24.0 | 30,745 | 4.1 | 30,745 | (4.5) | 38,844 | 4.5 |
| (Total only) | 2006 | A | 467,000 | 395,926 | 84.8 |  | 492,082 | 395,926 | 96,156 | 80.5 | 19.5 | 12,007 | (1.7) | 12,007 | 10.0 | $(65,085)$ | (10.0) |
|  | 2004 |  | 444,000 | 383,919 | 86.5 |  | 545,160 | 383,919 | 161,241 | 70.4 | 29.6 | 20,751 | 6.7 | 20,751 | (2.9) | 29,098 | 2.9 |
|  | 2002 |  | 455,000 | 363,168 | 79.8 |  | 495,311 | 363,168 | 132,143 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 8,758 | 2.1 | 8,758 | (8.8) | 54,737 | 8.8 |
|  | 2000 |  | 456,000 | 354,410 | 77.7 |  | 431,816 | 354,410 | 77,406 | 82.1 | 17.9 | 907 | (6.2) | 907 | (2.2) | 11,424 | 2.2 |
|  | 1998 |  | 421,000 | 353,503 | 84.0 |  | 419,485 | 353,503 | 65,982 | 84.3 | 15.7 | $(7,916)$ | (0.5) | $(7,916)$ | (7.1) | 31,709 | 7.1 |
|  | 1996 |  | 428,000 | 361,419 | 84.4 |  | 395,692 | 361,419 | 34,273 | 91.3 | 8.7 | (471) | 3.5 | (471) | (8.7) | 34,273 | 8.7 |
|  | 1994 |  | 447,000 | 361,890 | 81.0 |  | 361,890 | 361,890 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 467,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida | 2010 | C | 14,799,219 | 12,551,969 | 84.8 |  | 12,551,969 | 11,228,681 | 1,323,288 | 89.5 | 10.5 | $(11,009)$ | (2.9) | $(22,433)$ | (0.1) | 11,424 | 0.1 |
|  | 2008 | A | 14,324,000 | 12,562,978 | 87.7 |  | 12,562,978 | 11,251,114 | 1,311,864 | 89.6 | 10.4 | 2,129,830 | 13.5 | 817,265 | (7.7) | 1,013,088 | 7.7 |
| (67 counties) | 2006 | A | 14,068,000 | 10,433,148 | 74.2 | P | 10,732,625 | 10,433,849 | 298,776 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 51,902 | (3.3) | 52,603 | (2.8) | 298,776 | 2.8 |
|  | 2004 |  | 13,394,000 | 10,381,246 | 77.5 |  | 10,381,246 | 10,381,246 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,078,886 | 5.0 | 1,078,886 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 12,824,000 | 9,302,360 | 72.5 |  | 9,302,360 | 9,302,360 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 872,100 | 4.5 | 872,100 | 3.7 | $(322,457)$ | (3.7) |
|  | 2000 |  | 12,383,000 | 8,430,260 | 68.1 |  | 8,752,717 | 8,430,260 | 322,457 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 936,255 | 2.3 | 936,255 | 5.2 | $(403,804)$ | (5.2) |
|  | 1998 |  | 11,398,000 | 7,494,005 | 65.7 |  | 8,220,266 | 7,494,005 | 726,261 | 91.2 | 8.8 | 9,664 | (1.8) | 9,664 | (1.5) | 132,725 | 1.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 11,078,000 | 7,484,341 | 67.6 |  | 8,077,877 | 7,484,341 | 593,536 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 924,743 | 6.4 | 924,743 | (7.3) | 593,536 | 7.3 |
|  | 1994 |  | 10,721,000 | 6,559,598 | 61.2 |  | 6,559,598 | 6,559,598 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 10,422,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey

## Table 1a. Registration History

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | $\begin{gathered} \text { Active } \\ \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Inactive <br> $\%$ of Tota Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Georgia | 2010 | C | 7,196,101 | 5,748,459 | 79.9 |  | 5,748,459 | 5,027,430 | 721,029 | 87.5 | 12.5 | $(7,291)$ | (0.8) | $(157,482)$ | (2.6) | 150,191 | 2.6 |
|  | 2008 | C | 7,137,000 | 5,755,750 | 80.6 |  | 5,755,750 | 5,184,912 | 570,838 | 90.1 | 9.9 | 1,346,910 | 16.8 | 777,794 | 4.2 | $(154,948)$ | (4.2) |
| (159 counties) | 2006 | A | 6,909,000 | 4,408,840 | 63.8 |  | 5,132,904 | 4,407,118 | 725,786 | 85.9 | 14.1 | 160,003 | (1.6) | 158,281 | 0.1 | 22,633 | (0.1) |
|  | 2004 |  | 6,497,000 | 4,248,837 | 65.4 |  | 4,951,990 | 4,248,837 | 703,153 | 85.8 | 14.2 | 491,205 | 5.5 | 491,205 | 6.3 | $(264,212)$ | (6.3) |
|  | 2002 |  | 6,273,000 | 3,757,632 | 59.9 |  | 4,724,997 | 3,757,632 | 967,365 | 79.5 | 20.5 | $(9,044)$ | (3.8) | $(99,044)$ | (3.4) | 175,831 | 3.4 |
|  | 2000 |  | 6,050,000 | 3,856,676 | 63.7 |  | 4,648,210 | 3,856,676 | 791,534 | 83.0 | 17.0 | $(54,064)$ | (5.5) | $(54,064)$ | (10.3) | 509,567 | 10.3 |
|  | 1998 |  | 5,647,000 | 3,910,740 | 69.3 |  | 4,192,707 | 3,910,740 | 281,967 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 99,456 | (1.1) | 99,456 | (6.7) | 281,967 | 6.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 5,420,000 | 3,811,284 | 70.3 |  | 3,811,284 | 3,811,284 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 807,757 | 12.5 | 807,757 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 5,198,000 | 3,003,527 | 57.8 |  | 3,003,527 | 3,003,527 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 5,006,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hawaii | 2010 | c | 1,056,483 | 692,745 | 65.6 |  | 690,745 | 605,532 | 85,213 | 87.7 | 12.3 | 1,389 | (3.4) | 78,860 | (1.3) | 19,766 | 1.3 |
|  | 2008 | c | 1,003,000 | 691,356 | 68.9 |  | 592,119 | 526,672 | 65,447 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 28,628 | 1.8 | $(58,018)$ | 0.7 | (12,591) | (0.7) |
| (4 jurisdictions*) | 2006 | c | 987,000 | 662,728 | 67.1 |  | 662,728 | 584,690 | 78,038 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 15,490 | 0.0 | 4,655 | (1.4) | 10,835 |  |
|  | 2004 |  | 964,000 | 647,238 | 67.1 |  | 647,238 | 580,035 | 67,203 | 89.6 | 10.4 | $(29,004)$ | (4.9) | 28,879 | 8.1 | $(57,883)$ | (8.1) |
|  | 2002 |  | 939,000 | 676,242 | 72.0 |  | 676,242 | 551,156 | 125,086 | 81.5 | 18.5 | 38,893 | 2.5 | 17,296 | (2.3) | 21,597 | 2.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 917,000 | 637,349 | 69.5 |  | 637,349 | 533,860 | 103,489 | 83.8 | 16.2 | 35,945 | 2.8 | $(67,544)$ | (16.2) | 103,489 | 16.2 |
|  | 1998 |  | 902,000 | 601,404 | 66.7 |  | 601,404 | 601,404 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 39,361 | 3.2 | 56,488 | 3.0 | $(17,127)$ | (3.0) |
|  | 1996 |  | 886,000 | 562,043 | 63.4 |  | 562,043 | 544,916 | 17,127 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 11,534 | 0.5 | 56,027 | 8.1 | $(44,493)$ | (8.1) |
|  | 1994 |  | 875,000 | 550,509 | 62.9 |  | 550,509 | 488,889 | 61,620 | 88.8 | 11.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 866,000 | 464,495 | 53.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Idaho | 2010 | A | 1,138,510 | 790,531 | 69.4 |  | 790,531 | 790,531 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(71,338)$ | (8.1) | $(71,338)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2008 | A | 1,111,000 | 861,869 | 77.6 |  | 861,869 | 861,869 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 96,989 | 6.2 | 96,989 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (44 counties) | 2006 | A | 1,072,000 | 764,880 | 71.4 |  | 764,880 | 764,880 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(33,135)$ | (6.8) | $(3,135)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,021,000 | 798,015 | 78.2 |  | 798,015 | 798,015 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 166,979 | 13.1 | 166,979 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 970,000 | 631,036 | 65.1 |  | 631,036 | 631,036 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(97,049)$ | (13.2) | $(97,049)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 930,000 | 728,085 | 78.3 |  | 728,085 | 728,085 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66,652 | 3.4 | 66,652 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 883,000 | 661,433 | 74.9 |  | 661,433 | 661,433 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(38,997)$ | (8.0) | $(38,997)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 845,000 | 700,430 | 82.9 |  | 700,430 | 700,430 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 74,627 | 4.9 | 74,627 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 802,000 | 625,803 | 78.0 |  | 625,803 | 625,803 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 750,000 | 611,121 | 81.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illinois | 2010 | 0 | 9,701,453 | 8,542,397 | 88.1 |  | 8,542,397 | 7,455,076 | 1,087,321 | 87.3 | 12.7 | 841,872 | 8.8 | (145,753) | 1.8 | (207,434) | (1.8) |
|  | 2008 | 0 | 9,722,000 | 7,700,525 | 79.2 |  | 8,895,584 | 7,600,829 | 1,294,755 | 85.4 | 14.6 | 324,837 | 2.5 | 297,298 | (3.3) | 366,679 | 3.3 |
| (110 jurisdictions*) | 2006 | A | 9,617,000 | 7,375,688 | 76.7 | P | 8,231,607 | 7,303,531 | 928,076 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 1,221,845 | 11.7 | 1,149,688 | 8.5 | (588,101) | (8.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 9,475,000 | 6,153,843 | 64.9 |  | 7,670,020 | 6,153,843 | 1,516,177 | 80.2 | 19.8 | 344,198 | 2.8 | 344,198 | (19.8) | 1,516,177 | 19.8 |
|  | 2002 |  | 9,353,000 | 5,809,645 | 62.1 |  | 5,809,645 | 5,809,645 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(1,340,823)$ | (15.7) | $(1,340,823)$ | 20.0 | $(1,790,076)$ | (20.0) |
|  | 2000 |  | 9,192,000 | 7,150,468 | 77.8 |  | 8,940,544 | 7,150,468 | 1,790,076 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 656,587 | 4.8 | 656,587 | (4.6) | 603,933 | 4.6 |
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| 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
|  | 1998 |  | 8,894,000 | 6,493,881 | 73.0 |  | 7,680,024 | 6,493,881 | 1,186,143 | 84.6 | 15.4 | $(169,420)$ | (2.8) | $(169,420)$ | (4.8) | 388,630 | 4.8 |
|  | 1996 |  | 8,787,000 | 6,663,301 | 75.8 |  | 7,460,814 | 6,663,301 | 797,513 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 544,300 | 5.6 | 544,300 | (10.7) | 797,513 | 10.7 |
|  | 1994 |  | 8,717,000 | 6,119,001 | 70.2 |  | 6,119,001 | 6,119,001 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 8,598,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indiana | 2010 | C | 4,875,504 | 4,329,977 | 88.8 |  | 4,329,977 | 4,196,884 | 133,093 | 96.9 | 3.1 | $(185,080)$ | (5.4) | 59,278 | 5.3 | $(244,358)$ | (5.3) |
|  | 2008 | C | 4,792,000 | 4,515,057 | 94.2 |  | 4,515,057 | 4,137,606 | 377,451 | 91.6 | 8.4 | 219,370 | 3.5 | 535,740 | 7.9 | $(319,561)$ | (7.9) |
| (92 counties) | 2006 | C | 4,736,000 | 4,295,687 | 90.7 |  | 4,298,878 | 3,601,866 | 697,012 | 83.8 | 16.2 | (915) | (2.0) | $(694,736)$ | (16.2) | 697,012 | 16.2 |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,637,000 | 4,296,602 | 92.7 |  | 4,296,602 | 4,296,602 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 287,966 | 4.8 | 287,966 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,560,000 | 4,008,636 | 87.9 |  | 4,008,636 | 4,008,636 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 178,540 | 3.1 | 178,540 | 4.3 | $(170,713)$ | (4.3) |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,515,000 | 3,830,096 | 84.8 |  | 4,000,809 | 3,830,096 | 170,713 | 95.7 | 4.3 | 452,140 | 8.0 | 452,140 | 4.3 | $(145,313)$ | (4.3) |
|  | 1998 |  | 4,399,000 | 3,377,956 | 76.8 |  | 3,693,982 | 3,377,956 | 316,026 | 91.4 | 8.6 | $(110,132)$ | (3.6) | $(110,132)$ | (8.6) | 316,026 | 8.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 4,340,000 | 3,488,088 | 80.4 |  | 3,488,088 | 3,488,088 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 511,833 | 10.8 | 511,833 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 4,276,000 | 2,976,255 | 69.6 |  | 2,976,255 | 2,976,255 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 4,209,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa | 2010 | C | 2,318,362 | 2,116,170 | 91.3 |  | 2,116,170 | 1,984,995 | 131,175 | 93.8 | 6.2 | $(27,495)$ | (2.3) | $(18,906)$ | 0.3 | $(8,589)$ | (0.3) |
|  | 2008 | C | 2,290,000 | 2,143,665 | 93.6 |  | 2,143,665 | 2,003,901 | 139,764 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 66,426 | 2.2 | 71,589 | 0.4 | $(4,573)$ | (0.4) |
| (99 counties) | 2006 | C | 2,272,000 | 2,077,239 | 91.4 |  | 2,076,649 | 1,932,312 | 144,337 | 93.0 | 7.0 | $(29,419)$ | (1.2) | $(6,345)$ | 1.0 | $(23,664)$ | (1.0) |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,274,000 | 2,106,658 | 92.6 |  | 2,106,658 | 1,938,657 | 168,001 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 140,199 | 4.5 | 128,833 | (0.0) | 11,366 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,232,000 | 1,966,459 | 88.1 |  | 1,966,459 | 1,809,824 | 156,635 | 92.0 | 8.0 | $(2,740)$ | (1.5) | $(31,522)$ | (1.5) | 28,782 | 1.5 |
|  | 2000 |  | 2,198,000 | 1,969,199 | 89.6 |  | 1,969,199 | 1,841,346 | 127,853 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 107,779 | 2.9 | 77,519 | (1.2) | 30,260 | 1.2 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,148,000 | 1,861,420 | 86.7 |  | 1,861,420 | 1,763,827 | 97,593 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 84,987 | 3.1 | 21,878 | (3.3) | 63,129 | 3.3 |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,126,000 | 1,776,433 | 83.6 |  | 1,776,413 | 1,741,949 | 34,464 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 135,900 | 5.8 | 101,416 | (1.9) | 34,464 | 1.9 |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,109,000 | 1,640,533 | 77.8 |  | 1,640,533 | 1,640,533 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,073,000 | 1,703,532 | 82.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas | 2010 | C | 2,126,179 | 1,725,012 | 81.1 |  | 1,725,012 | 1,580,688 | 144,324 | 91.6 | 8.4 | $(24,744)$ | (2.1) | 760 | 1.3 | $(25,504)$ | (1.3) |
|  | 2008 | C | 2,102,000 | 1,749,756 | 83.2 |  | 1,749,756 | 1,579,928 | 169,828 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 86,739 | 2.8 | $(83,089)$ | (9.7) | 169,828 | 9.7 |
| (105 counties) | 2006 | C | 2,068,000 | 1,663,017 | 80.4 | P | 1,663,017 | 1,663,017 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(29,115)$ | (2.0) | $(29,115)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,052,000 | 1,692,132 | 82.5 |  | 1,692,132 | 1,692,132 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 76,436 | 2.2 | 76,436 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,013,000 | 1,615,696 | 80.3 |  | 1,615,696 | 1,615,696 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(7,927)$ | (1.7) | 109,982 | 7.3 | $(117,909)$ | (7.3) |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,981,000 | 1,623,623 | 82.0 |  | 1,623,623 | 1,505,714 | 117,909 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 109,938 | 3.9 | 102,032 | 0.0 | 7,906 | (0.0) |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,940,000 | 1,513,685 | 78.0 |  | 1,513,685 | 1,403,682 | 110,003 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 74,791 | 2.4 | $(35,212)$ | (7.3) | 110,003 | 7.3 |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,902,000 | 1,438,894 | 75.7 |  | 1,438,894 | 1,438,894 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 124,681 | 5.6 | 124,681 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,877,000 | 1,314,213 | 70.0 |  | 1,314,213 | 1,314,213 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,840,000 | 1,365,847 | 74.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Table 1a. Registration History

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R |  | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Kentucky | 2010 | A | 3,315,996 | 2,885,775 | 87.0 |  | 3,024,241 | 2,880,155 | 144,086 | 95.2 | 4.8 | $(21,034)$ | (2.1) | $(26,654)$ | (0.2) | 5,037 | 0.2 |
|  | 2008 | A | 3,261,000 | 2,906,809 | 89.1 |  | 3,045,858 | 2,906,809 | 139,049 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 140,521 | 2.9 | 140,521 | (4.6) | 139,049 | 4.6 |
| (120 counties) | 2006 | A | 3,207,000 | 2,766,288 | 86.3 |  | 2,766,288 | 2,766,288 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(27,998)$ | (2.0) | $(27,998)$ | 3.0 | $(87,51)$ | (3.0) |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,166,000 | 2,794,286 | 88.3 |  | 2,881,837 | 2,794,286 | 87,551 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 145,202 | 2.8 | 145,202 | 2.7 | (72,362) | (2.7) |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,100,000 | 2,649,084 | 85.5 |  | 2,808,997 | 2,649,084 | 159,913 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 92,269 | 1.8 | 92,269 | 0.4 | $(5,829)$ | (0.4) |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,055,000 | 2,556,815 | 83.7 |  | 2,722,557 | 2,556,815 | 165,742 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 44,497 | (1.2) | 44,497 | (4.2) | 117,721 | 4.2 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,959,000 | 2,512,318 | 84.9 |  | 2,560,339 | 2,512,318 | 48,021 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 121,128 | 3.0 | 121,128 | (1.7) | 43,125 | 1.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,918,000 | 2,391,190 | 81.9 |  | 2,396,086 | 2,391,190 | 4,896 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 259,038 | 7.5 | 259,038 | (0.2) | 4,896 | 0.2 |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,864,000 | 2,132,152 | 74.4 |  | 2,132,152 | 2,132,152 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,798,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana | 2010 | c | 3,415,357 | 2,935,062 | 85.9 |  | 2,935,062 | 2,711,974 | 223,088 | 92.4 | 7.6 | $(7,098)$ | (3.1) | $(2,612)$ | 0.1 | $(4,486)$ | (0.1) |
|  | 2008 | c | 3,303,000 | 2,942,160 | 89.1 |  | 2,942,160 | 2,714,586 | 227,574 | 92.3 | 7.7 | 51,269 | (1.3) | $(2,527)$ | (2.1) | 64,700 | 2.1 |
| (64 parishes) | 2006 | C | 3,198,000 | 2,890,891 | 90.4 | P | 2,879,987 | 2,717,113 | 162,874 | 94.3 | 5.7 | $(41,251)$ | 2.9 | 23,427 | 2.5 | (75,582) | (2.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,351,000 | 2,932,142 | 87.5 |  | 2,932,142 | 2,693,686 | 238,456 | 91.9 | 8.1 | 125,940 | 2.2 | 169,499 | 1.9 | $(43,559)$ | (1.9) |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,291,000 | 2,806,202 | 85.3 |  | 2,806,202 | 2,524,187 | 282,015 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 9,651 | (0.7) | $(42,415)$ | (1.8) | 52,066 | 1.8 |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,253,000 | 2,796,551 | 86.0 |  | 2,796,551 | 2,566,602 | 229,949 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 109,990 | 1.9 | 55,461 | (1.7) | 54,529 | 1.7 |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,195,000 | 2,686,561 | 84.1 |  | 2,686,561 | 2,511,141 | 175,420 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 127,890 | 2.3 | 31,108 | (3.5) | 96,782 | 3.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,129,000 | 2,558,671 | 81.8 |  | 2,558,671 | 2,480,033 | 78,638 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 406,716 | 12.0 | 328,078 | (3.1) | 78,638 | 3.1 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,085,000 | 2,151,955 | 69.8 |  | 2,151,955 | 2,151,955 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,045,000 | 2,292,129 | 75.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine | 2010 | c | 1,053,828 | 1,028,501 | 97.6 |  | 1,028,501 | 984,455 | 44,046 | 95.7 | 4.3 | $(36,563)$ | (4.6) | $(2,976)$ | 3.0 | $(33,587)$ | (3.0) |
|  | 2008 | C | 1,042,000 | 1,065,064 | 102.2 |  | 1,065,064 | 987,431 | 77,633 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 71,316 | 6.8 | $(4,188)$ | (1.9) | 21,629 | 1.9 |
| (16 counties*) | 2006 | A | 1,041,000 | 993,748 | 95.5 |  | 1,047,623 | 991,619 | 56,004 | 94.7 | 5.3 | $(31,990)$ | (3.6) | $(34,119)$ | (0.3) | 1,254 | 0.3 |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,035,000 | 1,025,738 | 99.1 |  | 1,080,488 | 1,025,738 | 54,750 | 94.9 | 5.1 | 75,679 | 4.8 | 75,679 | 0.9 | $(5,525)$ | (0.9) |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,007,000 | 950,059 | 94.3 |  | 1,010,334 | 950,059 | 60,275 | 94.0 | 6.0 | 2,870 | (2.5) | 2,870 | 5.0 | $(56,904)$ | (5.0) |
|  | 2000 |  | 978,000 | 947,189 | 96.8 |  | 1,064,368 | 947,189 | 117,179 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 64,860 | 4.5 | 64,860 | (4.6) | 56,979 | 4.6 |
|  | 1998 |  | 955,000 | 882,329 | 92.4 |  | 942,529 | 882,329 | 60,200 | 93.6 | 6.4 | $(118,963)$ | (14.0) | (118,963) | (6.4) | 60,200 | 6.4 |
|  | 1996 |  | 941,000 | 1,001,292 | 106.4 |  | 1,001,292 | 1,001,292 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60,723 | 5.3 | 60,723 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 930,000 | 940,569 | 101.1 |  | 940,569 | 940,569 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 932,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maryland | 2010 | A | 4,420,588 | 3,468,287 | 78.5 |  | 3,468,287 | 3,468,287 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 35,642 | (1.5) | 35,642 | 5.7 | $(209,083)$ | (5.7) |
|  | 2008 | A | 4,293,000 | 3,432,645 | 80.0 |  | 3,641,728 | 3,432,645 | 209,083 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 290,054 | 6.1 | 290,094 | 0.6 | $(2,167)$ | (0.6) |
| (24 counties*) | 2006 | A | 4,255,000 | 3,142,591 | 73.9 |  | 3,353,801 | 3,142,551 | 211,250 | 93.7 | 6.3 | 67,702 | (0.0) | 67,662 | 0.5 | $(11,833)$ | (0.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,163,000 | 3,074,889 | 73.9 |  | 3,297,972 | 3,074,889 | 223,083 | 93.2 | 6.8 | 300,276 | 5.7 | 300,276 | 1.2 | $(17,816)$ | (1.2) |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,069,000 | 2,774,613 | 68.2 |  | 3,015,512 | 2,774,613 | 240,899 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 49,429 | (0.8) | 49,429 | 0.9 | $(24,685)$ | (0.9) |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,953,000 | 2,725,184 | 68.9 |  | 2,990,768 | 2,725,184 | 265,584 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 155,868 | 2.4 | 155,868 | (0.3) | 23,700 | 0.3 |
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| 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The " R " column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,862,000 | 2,569,316 | 66.5 |  | 2,811,200 | 2,569,316 | 241,884 | 91.4 | 8.6 | $(7,875)$ | (1.3) | $(7,875)$ | (4.5) | 131,824 | 4.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,799,000 | 2,577,191 | 67.8 |  | 2,687,251 | 2,577,191 | 110,060 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 277,611 | 6.3 | 277,611 | (4.1) | 110,060 | 4.1 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,737,000 | 2,299,580 | 61.5 |  | 2,299,580 | 2,299,580 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,705,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 2010 | C | 5,128,706 | 4,121,180 | 80.4 |  | 4,121,180 | 3,684,321 | 436,859 | 89.4 | 10.6 | $(99,308)$ | (2.9) | $(198,710)$ | (2.6) | 99,402 | 2.6 |
|  | 2008 | C | 5,071,000 | 4,220,488 | 83.2 |  | 4,220,488 | 3,883,031 | 337,457 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 229,983 | 3.2 | 403,726 | 4.8 | $(173,632)$ | (4.8) |
| (14 counties*) | 2006 | C | 4,988,000 | 3,990,505 | 80.0 |  | 3,990,394 | 3,479,305 | 511,089 | 87.2 | 12.8 | $(108,129)$ | (2.8) | $(209,388)$ | (2.8) | 101,148 | 2.8 |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,952,000 | 4,098,634 | 82.8 |  | 4,098,634 | 3,688,693 | 409,941 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 126,012 | 2.2 | 185,978 | 1.8 | $(59,966)$ | (1.8) |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,929,000 | 3,972,622 | 80.6 |  | 3,972,622 | 3,502,715 | 469,907 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 195,471 | 2.9 | 55,120 | (3.1) | 140,351 | 3.1 |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,864,000 | 3,777,151 | 77.7 |  | 3,777,151 | 3,447,595 | 329,556 | 91.3 | 8.7 | 58,623 | (1.4) | 69,430 | 0.4 | $(10,807)$ | (0.4) |
|  | 1998 |  | 4,701,000 | 3,718,528 | 79.1 |  | 3,718,528 | 3,378,165 | 340,363 | 90.8 | 9.2 | $(106,148)$ | (3.1) | $(116,762)$ | (0.5) | 10,614 | 0.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 4,652,000 | 3,824,676 | 82.2 |  | 3,824,676 | 3,494,927 | 329,749 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 671,335 | 13.9 | 341,586 | (8.6) | 329,749 | 8.6 |
|  | 1994 |  | 4,616,000 | 3,153,341 | 68.3 |  | 3,153,341 | 3,153,341 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 4,616,000 | 3,351,918 | 72.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Michigan | 2010 | C | 7,539,572 | 7,276,237 | 96.5 |  | 7,276,237 | 7,276,237 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(194,527)$ | (1.6) | $(194,527)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2008 | C | 7,613,000 | 7,470,764 | 98.1 |  | 7,470,764 | 7,470,764 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 289,986 | 3.9 | 289,986 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (83 counties) | 2006 | A | 7,617,000 | 7,180,778 | 94.3 |  | 7,180,778 | 7,180,778 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16,731 | (0.3) | 16,731 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 7,579,000 | 7,164,047 | 94.5 |  | 7,164,047 | 7,164,047 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 366,754 | 3.7 | 366,754 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 7,482,000 | 6,797,293 | 90.8 |  | 6,797,293 | 6,797,293 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(62,039)$ | (2.3) | $(13,074)$ | 0.7 | $(48,965)$ | (0.7) |
|  | 2000 |  | 7,362,000 | 6,859,332 | 93.2 |  | 6,859,332 | 6,810,367 | 48,965 | 99.3 | 0.7 | 20,474 | (0.6) | $(28,491)$ | 0.4 | $(27,790)$ | (0.4) |
|  | 1998 |  | 7,294,000 | 6,838,858 | 93.8 |  | 6,915,613 | 6,838,858 | 76,755 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 161,779 | 1.1 | 161,779 | (1.1) | 76,755 | 1.1 |
|  | 1996 |  | 7,207,000 | 6,677,079 | 92.6 |  | 6,677,079 | 6,677,079 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 469,417 | 5.1 | 469,417 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 7,091,000 | 6,207,662 | 87.5 |  | 6,207,662 | 6,207,662 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 6,947,000 | 6,147,083 | 88.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota | 2010 | A | 4,019,862 | 3,220,844 | 80.1 | P | 3,220,844 | 3,220,844 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(251,468)$ | (7.4) | $(251,468)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2008 | A | 3,966,000 | 3,472,312 | 87.6 |  | 3,472,312 | 3,472,312 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 353,914 | 7.8 | 353,914 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (87 counties) | 2006 | A | 3,910,000 | 3,118,398 | 79.8 |  | 3,118,398 | 3,118,398 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 140,902 | 2.6 | 140,902 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,861,000 | 2,977,496 | 77.1 |  | 2,977,496 | 2,977,496 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 133,068 | 1.5 | 133,068 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,763,000 | 2,844,428 | 75.6 |  | 2,844,428 | 2,844,428 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(420,896)$ | (13.9) | $(420,896)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,650,000 | 3,265,324 | 89.5 |  | 3,265,324 | 3,265,324 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 597,632 | 12.8 | 597,632 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,480,000 | 2,667,692 | 76.7 |  | 2,667,692 | 2,667,692 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(400,110)$ | (13.2) | $(400,110)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,415,000 | 3,067,802 | 89.8 |  | 3,067,802 | 3,067,802 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 210,339 | 4.4 | 210,339 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,343,000 | 2,857,463 | 85.5 |  | 2,857,463 | 2,857,463 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,272,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey

## Table 1a. Registration History

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive <br> \% of <br> Total <br> Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Mississippi | 2010 | 0 | 2,211,742 | 1,978,463 | 89.5 |  | 1,729,159 | 1,624,981 | 104,178 | 94.0 | 6.0 | 82,880 | 2.2 | 591,753 | 0.3 | 34,318 | (0.3) |
|  | 2008 | 0 | 2,172,000 | 1,895,583 | 87.3 | P | 1,103,088 | 1,033,228 | 69,860 | 93.7 | 6.3 | 117,338 | 4.6 | (713,237) | 0.6 | $(60,064)$ | (0.6) |
| (82 counties) | 2006 | A | 2,151,000 | 1,778,245 | 82.7 | $P$ | 1,876,389 | 1,746,465 | 129,924 | 93.1 | 6.9 | $(23,779)$ | (1.0) | $(5,559)$ | (2.4) | 44,558 | 2.4 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,153,000 | 1,802,024 | 83.7 |  | 1,887,390 | 1,802,024 | 85,366 | 95.5 | 4.5 | $(56,358)$ | (4.5) | 1,189,019 | 4.3 | 25,987 | (4.3) |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,107,000 | 1,858,382 | 88.2 |  | 672,384 | 613,005 | 59,379 | 91.2 | 8.8 | 361,968 | 16.1 | $(883,409)$ | 5.2 | $(184,065)$ | (5.2) |
|  | 2000 |  | 2,076,000 | 1,496,414 | 72.1 |  | 1,739,858 | 1,496,414 | 243,444 | 86.0 | 14.0 | (232,786) | (14.2) | (232,786) | (9.7) | 165,526 | 9.7 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,005,000 | 1,729,200 | 86.2 |  | 1,807,118 | 1,729,200 | 77,918 | 95.7 | 4.3 | $(2,652)$ | (2.1) | $(2,652)$ | 0.8 | $(16,183)$ | (0.8) |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,960,000 | 1,731,852 | 88.4 |  | 1,825,953 | 1,731,852 | 94,101 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 106,212 | 3.6 | 106,212 | (5.2) | 94,101 | 5.2 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,917,000 | 1,625,640 | 84.8 |  | 1,625,640 | 1,625,640 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,873,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri | 2010 | c | 4,563,491 | 4,137,495 | 90.7 |  | 4,137,495 | 3,674,460 | 463,035 | 88.8 | 11.2 | (16,618) | (1.9) | (95,733) | (1.9) | 79,115 | 1.9 |
|  | 2008 | c | 4,490,000 | 4,154,113 | 92.5 |  | 4,154,113 | 3,770,193 | 383,920 | 90.8 | 9.2 | 146,939 | 2.0 | 155,979 | 1.3 | $(43,122)$ | (1.3) |
| (116 jurisdictions*) | 2006 | c | 4,426,000 | 4,007,174 | 90.5 |  | 4,041,256 | 3,614,214 | 427,042 | 89.4 | 10.6 | $(33,167)$ | (1.9) | 60,583 | 1.5 | $(59,668)$ | (1.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,370,000 | 4,040,341 | 92.5 |  | 4,040,341 | 3,553,631 | 486,710 | 88.0 | 12.0 | 268,805 | 4.2 | 244,913 | 0.2 | 23,892 | (0.2) |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,271,000 | 3,771,536 | 88.3 |  | 3,771,536 | 3,308,718 | 462,818 | 87.7 | 12.3 | (89, 136) | (4.0) | (106,518) | (0.7) | 17,382 | 0.7 |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,182,000 | 3,860,672 | 92.3 |  | 3,860,672 | 3,415,236 | 445,436 | 88.5 | 11.5 | 224,681 | 2.5 | 174,579 | (0.7) | 50,102 | 0.7 |
|  | 1998 |  | 4,046,000 | 3,635,991 | 89.9 |  | 3,635,991 | 3,240,657 | 395,334 | 89.1 | 10.9 | 293,142 | 6.0 | (102,192) | (10.9) | 395,334 | 10.9 |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,984,000 | 3,342,849 | 83.9 |  | 3,342,849 | 3,342,849 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 390,207 | 8.8 | 390,207 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,932,000 | 2,952,642 | 75.1 |  | 2,952,642 | 2,952,642 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,851,000 | 3,067,955 | 79.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana | 2010 | c | 765,852 | 651,335 | 85.0 |  | 651,335 | 549,683 | 101,652 | 84.4 | 15.6 | (16,750) | (4.4) | (12,458) | 0.3 | $(4,292)$ | (0.3) |
|  | 2008 | C | 747,000 | 668,085 | 89.4 |  | 668,085 | 562,141 | 105,944 | 84.1 | 15.9 | 18,649 | 0.1 | 23,767 | 0.4 | 1,313 | (0.4) |
| (56 counties) | 2006 | c | 727,000 | 649,436 | 89.3 |  | 643,005 | 538,374 | 104,631 | 83.7 | 16.3 | 10,961 | 0.5 | 18,318 | 2.3 | $(13,788)$ | (2.3) |
|  | 2004 |  | 719,000 | 638,475 | 88.8 |  | 638,475 | 520,056 | 118,419 | 81.5 | 18.5 | 13,927 | (1.5) | 5,388 | (1.0) | 8,539 | 1.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 692,000 | 624,548 | 90.3 |  | 624,548 | 514,668 | 109,880 | 82.4 | 17.6 | (73,712) | (13.2) | 2,152 | 9.0 | $(75,864)$ | (9.0) |
|  | 2000 |  | 675,000 | 698,260 | 103.4 |  | 698,260 | 512,516 | 185,744 | 73.4 | 26.6 | 59,019 | 6.4 | 17,753 | (4.0) | 41,266 | 4.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 659,000 | 639,241 | 97.0 |  | 639,241 | 494,763 | 144,478 | 77.4 | 22.6 | 48,490 | 5.8 | $(95,988)$ | (22.6) | 144,478 | 22.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 648,000 | 590,751 | 91.2 |  | 590,751 | 590,751 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 76,700 | 8.9 | 76,700 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 625,000 | 514,051 | 82.2 |  | 514,051 | 514,051 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 600,000 | 529,822 | 88.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska | 2010 | c | 1,367,120 | 1,142,247 | 83.6 |  | 1,142,247 | 1,020,637 | 121,610 | 89.4 | 10.6 | (14,787) | (3.1) | (136,397) | (10.6) | 121,610 | 10.6 |
|  | 2008 | c | 1,336,000 | 1,157,034 | 86.6 |  | 1,157,034 | 1,157,034 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 18,612 | 0.6 | 18,614 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (93 counties) | 2006 | A | 1,323,000 | 1,138,422 | 86.0 |  | 1,138,420 | 1,138,420 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(22,371)$ | (2.4) | $(22,373)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,313,000 | 1,160,793 | 88.4 |  | 1,160,793 | 1,160,793 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 77,249 | 4.0 | 77,249 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,884,000 | 1,083,544 | 84.4 |  | 1,083,544 | 1,083,544 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (1,673) | (1.5) | 43,521 | 4.2 | $(45,194)$ | (4.2) |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,264,000 | 1,085,217 | 85.9 |  | 1,085,217 | 1,040,023 | 45,194 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 28,866 | (0.6) | 58,863 | 3.0 | $(29,997)$ | (3.0) |
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| 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,222,000 | 1,056,351 | 86.4 |  | 1,056,351 | 981,160 | 75,191 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 41,295 | 2.4 | $(33,896)$ | (7.1) | 75,191 | 7.1 |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,208,000 | 1,015,056 | 84.0 |  | 1,015,056 | 1,015,056 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 95,735 | 6.5 | 95,735 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,186,000 | 919,321 | 77.5 |  | 919,321 | 919,321 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,164,000 | 951,395 | 81.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nevada | 2010 | 0 | 2,035,543 | 1,375,848 | 67.6 |  | 1,371,346 | 1,114,395 | 256,951 | 81.3 | 18.7 | $(70,690)$ | (7.3) | $(93,987)$ | (2.2) | 18,287 | 2.2 |
|  | 2008 | C | 1,932,000 | 1,446,538 | 74.9 |  | 1,447,046 | 1,208,382 | 238,664 | 83.5 | 16.5 | 455,484 | 21.6 | 217,328 | 2.8 | 1,169 | (2.8) |
| (17 jurisdictions*) | 2006 | A | 1,861,000 | 991,054 | 53.3 | P | 1,228,549 | 991,054 | 237,495 | 80.7 | 19.3 | $(85,857)$ | (9.0) | $(85,857)$ | (5.7) | 66,866 | 5.7 |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,731,000 | 1,076,911 | 62.2 |  | 1,247,540 | 1,076,911 | 170,629 | 86.3 | 13.7 | 207,052 | 7.9 | 207,052 | 2.8 | $(1,571)$ | (2.8) |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,602,000 | 869,859 | 54.3 |  | 1,042,059 | 869,859 | 172,200 | 83.5 | 16.5 | 106,975 | 3.4 | 106,975 | (3.3) | 56,114 | 3.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,500,000 | 762,884 | 50.9 |  | 878,970 | 762,884 | 116,086 | 86.8 | 13.2 | $(135,015)$ | (19.0) | 762,884 | ........ | 116,086 | ..... |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,285,000 | 897,899 | 69.9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | ....... | 175,291 | 9.6 | $(722,608)$ | ...... | $(56,416)$ |  |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,199,000 | 722,608 | 60.3 |  | 779,024 | 722,608 | 56,416 | 92.8 | 7.2 | 96,766 | 3.2 | 96,766 | (7.2) | 56,416 | 7.2 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,097,000 | 625,842 | 57.1 |  | 625,842 | 625,842 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,011,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Hampshire | 2010 | A | 1,029,236 | 945,341 | 91.8 |  | 945,341 | 945,341 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(13,187)$ | (1.9) | $(13,187)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2008 | A | 1,022,000 | 958,528 | 93.8 |  | 958,528 | 958,528 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 110,211 | 10.4 | 958,528 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
| (10 counties*) | 2006 | A | 1,017,000 | 848,317 | 83.4 | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ...... | $(7,544)$ | (2.6) | $(855,861)$ | $\ldots$ | 0 |  |
|  | 2004 |  | 995,000 | 855,861 | 86.0 |  | 855,861 | 855,861 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 165,702 | 14.7 | 165,702 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 968,000 | 690,159 | 71.3 |  | 690,159 | 690,159 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(166,360)$ | (20.7) | $(166,360)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 931,000 | 856,519 | 92.0 |  | 856,519 | 856,519 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 92,674 | 6.1 | 92,674 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 889,000 | 763,845 | 85.9 |  | 763,845 | 763,845 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 9,074 | (0.9) | 9,074 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 869,000 | 754,771 | 86.9 |  | 754,771 | 754,771 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 77,151 | 6.8 | 77,151 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 846,000 | 677,620 | 80.1 |  | 677,620 | 677,620 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 838,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Jersey | 2010 | C | 6,726,680 | 5,135,830 | 76.4 |  | 5,135,830 | 4,719,468 | 416,362 | 91.9 | 8.1 | $(250,597)$ | (4.8) | $(198,304)$ | 0.6 | $(52,281)$ | (0.6) |
|  | 2008 | C | 6,635,000 | 5,386,427 | 81.2 |  | 5,386,415 | 4,917,772 | 468,643 | 91.3 | 8.7 | 537,471 | 8.1 | 307,595 | 5.0 | $(263,943)$ | (5.0) |
| (21 counties) | 2006 | C | 6,635,000 | 4,848,956 | 73.1 | P | 5,342,763 | 4,610,177 | 732,586 | 86.3 | 13.7 | $(253,610)$ | (4.9) | $(32,884)$ | (4.7) | 273,081 | 4.7 |
|  | 2004 |  | 6,543,000 | 5,102,566 | 78.0 |  | 5,102,566 | 4,643,061 | 459,505 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 21,417 | (0.7) | $(11,836)$ | (0.6) | 33,253 | 0.6 |
|  | 2002 |  | 6,458,000 | 5,081,149 | 78.7 |  | 5,081,149 | 4,654,897 | 426,252 | 91.6 | 8.4 | 367,604 | 4.4 | 388,681 | 1.1 | $(21,077)$ | (1.1) |
|  | 2000 |  | 6,342,000 | 4,713,545 | 74.3 |  | 4,713,545 | 4,266,216 | 447,329 | 90.5 | 9.5 | 180,293 | 0.5 | 139,434 | (0.5) | 40,859 | 0.5 |
|  | 1998 |  | 6,144,000 | 4,533,252 | 73.8 |  | 4,533,252 | 4,126,782 | 406,470 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 223,432 | 2.5 | 15,751 | (4.4) | 207,681 | 4.4 |
|  | 1996 |  | 6,042,000 | 4,309,820 | 71.3 |  | 4,309,820 | 4,111,031 | 198,789 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 404,385 | 6.0 | 205,596 | (4.6) | 198,789 | 4.6 |
|  | 1994 |  | 5,979,000 | 3,905,435 | 65.3 |  | 3,905,435 | 3,905,435 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 5,964,000 | 4,060,337 | 68.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey

## Table 1a. Registration History

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) Estimat <br> Estimated Voting Age <br> Population <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Inactive } \\ \text { Registration } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| New Mexico | 2010 | c | 1,540,507 | 1,147,177 | 74.5 |  | 1,147,177 | 1,066,970 | 80,207 | 93.0 | 7.0 | $(38,262)$ | (5.5) | 324,069 | 0.8 | 17,446 | (0.8) |
|  | 2008 | c | 1,482,000 | 1,185,439 | 80.0 | P | 805,662 | 742,901 | 62,761 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 96,462 | 4.7 | $(121,351)$ | 12.2 | (152,569) | (12.2) |
| (33 counties) | 2006 | c | 1,446,000 | 1,088,977 | 75.3 | P | 1,079,582 | 864,252 | 215,330 | 80.1 | 19.9 | $(154,817)$ | (12.8) | (241,120) | (8.8) | 76,908 | 8.8 |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,411,000 | 1,243,794 | 88.1 |  | 1,243,794 | 1,105,372 | 138,422 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 209,513 | 11.6 | 154,629 | (3.1) | 54,884 | 3.1 |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,352,000 | 1,034,281 | 76.5 |  | 1,034,281 | 950,743 | 83,538 | 91.9 | 8.1 | 60,748 | 2.5 | 67,691 | 1.2 | $(6,943)$ | (1.2) |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,315,000 | 973,533 | 74.0 |  | 973,533 | 883,052 | 90,481 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 57,347 | 0.2 | 62,046 | 1.1 | $(4,699)$ | (1.1) |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,241,000 | 916,186 | 73.8 |  | 916,186 | 821,006 | 95,180 | 89.6 | 10.4 | 78,392 | 4.8 | 82,481 | 1.5 | $(4,089)$ | (1.5) |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,214,000 | 837,794 | 69.0 |  | 837,794 | 738,525 | 99,269 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 124,149 | 8.1 | 24,880 | (11.8) | 99,269 | 11.8 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,171,000 | 713,645 | 60.9 |  | 713,645 | 713,645 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,121,000 | 706,966 | 63.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York | 2010 | c | 15,053,173 | 11,806,744 | 78.4 |  | 11,807,027 | 10,680,536 | 1,126,491 | 90.5 | 9.5 | (224,568) | (1.3) | (135,964) | 0.6 | (88,321) | (0.6) |
|  | 2008 | A | 15,082,000 | 12,031,312 | 79.8 |  | 12,031,312 | 10,816,500 | 1,214,812 | 89.9 | 10.1 | 361,739 | 0.9 | 579,773 | 2.2 | (218,368) | (2.2) |
| (62 counties) | 2006 | c | 14,792,000 | 11,669,573 | 78.9 | P | 11,669,907 | 10,236,727 | 1,433,180 | 87.7 | 12.3 | $(167,495)$ | (1.9) | (398,998) | .... | 231,837 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2004 |  | 14,655,000 | 11,837,068 | 80.8 |  | 11,837,068 | 10,635,725 | 1,201,343 | 89.9 | 10.1 | 590,979 | 3.6 | 455,362 | (0.7) | 135,617 | 0.7 |
|  | 2002 |  | 14,572,000 | 11,246,089 | 77.2 |  | 11,246,089 | 10,180,363 | 1,065,726 | 90.5 | 9.5 | (16,727) | (1.5) | 152,978 | 1.5 | $(169,705)$ | (1.5) |
|  | 2000 |  | 14,314,000 | 11,262,816 | 78.7 |  | 11,262,816 | 10,027,385 | 1,235,431 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 522,028 | 0.4 | 473,720 | 0.1 | 48,308 | (0.1) |
|  | 1998 |  | 13,721,000 | 10,740,788 | 78.3 |  | 10,740,788 | 9,553,665 | 1,187,123 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 580,665 | 3.6 | $(14,323)$ | (5.2) | 594,988 | 5.2 |
|  | 1996 |  | 13,606,000 | 10,160,123 | 74.7 |  | 10,160,123 | 9,567,988 | 592,135 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 1,341,432 | 10.0 | 749,297 | (5.8) | 592,135 | 5.8 |
|  | 1994 |  | 13,633,000 | 8,818,691 | 64.7 |  | 8,818,691 | 8,818,691 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 13,705,000 | 9,193,391 | 67.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Carolina | 2010 | c | 7,253,848 | 6,207,093 | 85.6 |  | 6,207,093 | 5,756,403 | 450,690 | 92.7 | 7.3 | $(19,111)$ | (3.6) | $(91,053)$ | (0.6) | 33,413 | 0.6 |
|  | 2008 | C | 6,979,000 | 6,226,204 | 89.2 |  | 6,264,733 | 5,847,456 | 417,277 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 658,780 | 6.1 | 802,024 | ...... | $(116,829)$ | $\ldots$ |
| (100 counties) | 2006 | c | 6,701,000 | 5,567,424 | 83.1 |  | 5,579,538 | 5,045,432 | 534,106 | 90.4 | 9.6 | 40,443 | (3.0) | 64,006 | 0.3 | $(11,449)$ | (0.3) |
|  | 2004 |  | 6,423,000 | 5,526,981 | 86.0 |  | 5,526,981 | 4,981,426 | 545,555 | 90.1 | 9.9 | 246,288 | 1.6 | 205,068 | (0.3) | 41,220 | 0.3 |
|  | 2002 |  | 6,254,000 | 5,280,693 | 84.4 |  | 5,280,693 | 4,776,358 | 504,335 | 90.4 | 9.6 | 74,642 | (0.9) | 54,003 | (0.3) | 20,639 | 0.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 6,104,000 | 5,206,051 | 85.3 |  | 5,206,051 | 4,722,355 | 483,696 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 453,438 | 1.1 | 373,065 | (0.8) | 80,373 | 0.8 |
|  | 1998 |  | 5,645,000 | 4,752,613 | 84.2 |  | 4,752,613 | 4,349,290 | 403,323 | 91.5 | 8.5 | 434,605 | 5.7 | 123,525 | (6.4) | 311,080 | 6.4 |
|  | 1996 |  | 5,501,000 | 4,318,008 | 78.5 |  | 4,318,008 | 4,225,765 | 92,443 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 682,133 | 10.3 | 589,890 | (2.1) | 92,44 | 2.1 |
|  | 1994 |  | 5,331,000 | 3,635,875 | 68.2 |  | 3,635,875 | 3,635,875 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 5,190,000 | 3,817,380 | 73.6 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Dakota | 2010 | x | 522,720 | 522,720 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 24,720 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 2008 | x | 498,000 | 498,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | ........ | 7,000 | 0.0 | 0 | ....... | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| (53 counties) | 2006 | x | 491,000 | 491,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $(4,000)$ | 0.0 | 0 | ....... | 0 | ...... |
|  | 2004 |  | 495,000 | 495,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 11,000 | 0.0 | 0 |  | 0 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2002 |  | 484,000 | 484,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | $\ldots$ | 2,000 | 0.0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 2000 |  | 482,000 | 482,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 5,000 | 0.0 | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
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| 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
|  | 1998 |  | 477,000 | 477,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 1,000 | 0.0 | 0 | ........ | 0 |  |
|  | 1996 |  | 476,000 | 476,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ....... | 6,000 | 0.0 | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 1994 |  | 470,000 | 470,000 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 462,000 | 462,000 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio | 2010 | A | 8,805,753 | 8,044,315 | 91.4 | P | 8,048,315 | 8,048,315 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(243,350)$ | (3.3) | 2,527,112 | 20.1 | $(1,388,165)$ | (20.1) |
|  | 2008 | 0 | 8,756,000 | 8,287,665 | 94.7 | P | 6,909,368 | 5,521,203 | 1,388,165 | 79.9 | 20.1 | 427,613 | 4.4 | (1,563,118) | (9.9) | 585,515 | 9.9 |
| (88 counties) | 2006 | C | 8,708,000 | 7,860,052 | 90.3 | P | 7,886,971 | 7,084,321 | 802,650 | 89.8 | 10.2 | $(121,426)$ | (1.7) | $(897,157)$ | (10.2) | 802,650 | 10.2 |
|  | 2004 |  | 8,680,000 | 7,981,478 | 92.0 |  | 7,981,478 | 7,981,478 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 870,577 | 9.1 | 1,477,247 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 8,580,000 | 7,110,901 | 82.9 |  | 6,504,231 | 6,504,231 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(233,893)$ | (3.7) | $(10,492)$ | 11.3 | $(830,071)$ | (11.3) |
|  | 2000 |  | 8,480,000 | 7,344,794 | 86.6 |  | 7,344,794 | 6,514,723 | 830,071 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 230,489 | 1.9 | 455,915 | 3.5 | $(225,426)$ | (3.5) |
|  | 1998 |  | 8,394,000 | 7,114,305 | 84.8 |  | 7,114,305 | 6,058,808 | 1,055,497 | 85.2 | 14.8 | 272,033 | 2.6 | $(783,464)$ | (14.8) | 1,055,497 | 14.8 |
|  | 1996 |  | 8,332,000 | 6,842,272 | 82.1 |  | 6,842,272 | 6,842,272 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 591,727 | 6.5 | 591,727 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 8,265,000 | 6,250,545 | 75.6 |  | 6,250,545 | 6,250,545 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 8,207,000 | 6,542,931 | 79.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | 2010 | C | 2,821,685 | 2,082,428 | 73.8 |  | 2,082,428 | 1,773,975 | 308,453 | 85.2 | 14.8 | $(101,658)$ | (6.0) | $(105,834)$ | (0.9) | 4,176 | 0.9 |
|  | 2008 | C | 2,736,000 | 2,184,086 | 79.8 |  | 2,184,086 | 1,879,809 | 304,277 | 86.1 | 13.9 | 108,525 | 2.5 | 120,611 | 0.9 | $(2,346)$ | (0.9) |
| (77 counties) | 2006 | C | 2,685,000 | 2,075,561 | 77.3 |  | 2,065,821 | 1,759,198 | 306,623 | 85.2 | 14.8 | $(68,417)$ | (3.2) | $(80,830)$ | (0.7) | 2,673 | 0.7 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,664,000 | 2,143,978 | 80.5 |  | 2,143,978 | 1,840,028 | 303,950 | 85.8 | 14.2 | 71,812 | 1.0 | 152,551 | 4.4 | $(80,739)$ | (4.4) |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,608,000 | 2,072,166 | 79.5 |  | 2,072,166 | 1,687,477 | 384,689 | 81.4 | 18.6 | $(167,072)$ | (7.8) | $(49,013)$ | 3.9 | $(118,059)$ | (3.9) |
|  | 2000 |  | 2,565,000 | 2,239,238 | 87.3 |  | 2,239,238 | 1,736,490 | 502,748 | 77.5 | 22.5 | 181,065 | 4.2 | (739) | (6.9) | 181,804 | 6.9 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,477,000 | 2,058,173 | 83.1 |  | 2,058,173 | 1,737,229 | 320,944 | 84.4 | 15.6 | 72,638 | 1.3 | $(248,306)$ | (15.6) | 320,944 | 15.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,427,000 | 1,985,535 | 81.8 |  | 1,985,535 | 1,985,535 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(58,057)$ | (3.9) | 279,341 | 16.5 | $(337,398)$ | (16.5) |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,384,000 | 2,043,592 | 85.7 |  | 2,043,592 | 1,706,194 | 337,398 | 83.5 | 16.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,352,000 | 2,302,279 | 97.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon | 2010 | A | 2,964,621 | 2,068,798 | 69.8 |  | 2,068,798 | 2,068,798 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(85,116)$ | (3.9) | $(85,116)$ | 18.1 | $(474,565)$ | (18.1) |
|  | 2008 | A | 2,922,000 | 2,153,914 | 73.7 |  | 2,628,479 | 2,153,914 | 474,565 | 81.9 | 18.1 | 177,245 | 4.2 | 177,245 | 3.5 | $(68,991)$ | (3.5) |
| (36 counties) | 2006 | A | 2,844,000 | 1,976,669 | 69.5 |  | 2,520,225 | 1,976,669 | 543,556 | 78.4 | 21.6 | $(164,580)$ | (8.6) | $(164,580)$ | (1.1) | $(8,569)$ | 1.1 |
|  | 2004 |  | 2,742,000 | 2,141,249 | 78.1 |  | 2,693,374 | 2,141,249 | 552,125 | 79.5 | 20.5 | 268,634 | 8.0 | 268,634 | (3.6) | 171,807 | 3.6 |
|  | 2002 |  | 2,670,000 | 1,872,615 | 70.1 |  | 2,252,933 | 1,872,615 | 380,318 | 83.1 | 16.9 | $(81,391)$ | (5.5) | $(81,391)$ | (8.2) | 194,501 | 8.2 |
|  | 2000 |  | 2,583,000 | 1,954,006 | 75.6 |  | 2,139,823 | 1,954,006 | 185,817 | 91.3 | 8.7 | $(11,975)$ | (4.1) | $(11,975)$ | 0.2 | $(5,508)$ | (0.2) |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,466,000 | 1,965,981 | 79.7 |  | 2,157,306 | 1,965,981 | 191,325 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 3,826 | (1.9) | 3,826 | (2.2) | 50,931 | 2.2 |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,404,000 | 1,962,155 | 81.6 |  | 2,102,549 | 1,962,155 | 140,394 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 707,890 | 27.4 | 707,890 | 24.9 | $(438,115)$ | (24.9) |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,315,000 | 1,254,265 | 54.2 |  | 1,832,774 | 1,254,265 | 578,509 | 68.4 | 31.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,220,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Table 1a. Registration History

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Pennsylvania | 2010 |  | 9,910,224 | 8,220,759 | 83.0 |  | 8,220,756 | 7,499,183 | 721,573 | 91.2 | 8.8 | $(534,829)$ | (7.4) | (359,424) | (0.2) | $(19,184)$ | 0.2 |
|  | 2008 | c | 9,686,000 | 8,755,588 | 90.4 |  | 8,599,364 | 7,858,607 | 740,757 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 572,712 | 5.5 | 536,767 | 0.3 | 23,413 | (0.3) |
| (67 counties) | 2006 | c | 9,636,000 | 8,182,876 | 84.9 |  | 8,039,184 | 7,321,840 | 717,344 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 392,483 | 3.5 | $(468,553)$ | (2.7) | 200,614 | 2.7 |
|  | 2004 |  | 9,569,000 | 7,790,393 | 81.4 |  | 8,307,123 | 7,790,393 | 516,730 | 93.8 | 6.2 | 1,016,015 | 9.9 | 1,016,015 | 4.5 | (299,479) | (4.5) |
|  | 2002 |  | 9,472,000 | 6,774,378 | 71.5 |  | 7,590,587 | 6,774,378 | 816,209 | 89.2 | 10.8 | $(354,548)$ | (4.6) | $(354,548)$ | (2.6) | 183,138 | 2.6 |
|  | 2000 |  | 9,371,000 | 7,128,926 | 76.1 |  | 7,761,997 | 7,128,926 | 633,071 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 162,465 | 0.0 | 162,465 | (4.1) | 340,710 | 4.1 |
|  | 1998 |  | 9,163,000 | 6,966,461 | 76.0 |  | 7,258,822 | 6,966,461 | 292,361 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 218,622 | 2.3 | 218,622 | (3.2) | 234,612 | 3.2 |
|  | 1996 |  | 9,153,000 | 6,747,839 | 73.7 |  | 6,805,588 | 6,747,839 | 57,749 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 868,746 | 9.5 | 868,746 | (0.8) | 57,749 | 0.8 |
|  | 1994 |  | 9,148,000 | 5,879,093 | 64.3 |  | 5,879,093 | 5,879,093 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 9,161,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhode Island | 2010 | c | 828,611 | 706,161 | 85.2 |  | 706,161 | 647,569 | 58,592 | 91.7 | 8.3 | 4,854 | (0.1) | (6,224) | (1.5) | 11,178 | 1.5 |
|  | 2008 |  | 822,000 | 701,307 | 85.3 |  | 701,207 | 653,793 | 47,414 | 93.2 | 6.8 | 18,963 | 3.1 | 63,641 | 2.9 | (15,542) | (2.9) |
| (5 counties*) | 2006 | c | 830,000 | 682,344 | 82.2 |  | 653,108 | 590,152 | 62,956 | 90.4 | 9.6 | $(26,706)$ | (2.5) | (117,082) | ... | (17,557) | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2004 |  | 837,000 | 709,050 | 84.7 |  | 787,747 | 707,234 | 80,513 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 36,100 | 3.0 | 707,234 | $\ldots$ | 80,513 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2002 |  | 824,000 | 672,950 | 81.7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ....... | 7.526 | (1.2) | (665,424) | ....... | (6,188) | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2000 |  | 803,000 | 665,424 | 82.9 |  | 671,612 | 665,424 | 6,188 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 35,638 | (0.9) | 35,638 | (0.4) | 3,019 | 0.4 |
|  | 1998 |  | 752,000 | 629,786 | 83.7 |  | 632,955 | 629,786 | 3,169 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 27,094 | 3.5 | 27,094 | (0.5) | 3,169 | 0.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 751,000 | 602,692 | 80.3 |  | 602,692 | 602,692 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50,054 | 7.1 | 50,054 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 755,000 | 552,638 | 73.2 |  | 552,638 | 552,638 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 768,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Carolina | 2010 | A | 3,544,890 | 2,630,363 | 74.2 |  | 2,957,555 | 2,630,363 | 327,192 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 76,440 | (0.6) | 76,440 | 3.0 | (92,175) | (3.0) |
|  | 2008 | 0 | 3,414,000 | 2,553,923 | 74.8 |  | 2,973,290 | 2,553,923 | 419,367 | 85.9 | 14.1 | 101,205 | 0.1 | 97,958 | (10.1) | 317,231 | 10.1 |
| (46 counties) | 2006 | A | 3,882,000 | 2,452,718 | 74.7 |  | 2,558,101 | 2,455,965 | 102,136 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 137,256 | 1.8 | 140,503 | 8.9 | $(240,095)$ | (8.9) |
|  | 2004 |  | 3,173,000 | 2,315,462 | 73.0 |  | 2,657,693 | 2,315,462 | 342,231 | 87.1 | 12.9 | 268,094 | 6.7 | 268,094 | 2.7 | $(35,662)$ | (2.7) |
|  | 2002 |  | 3,087,000 | 2,047,368 | 66.3 |  | 2,425,261 | 2,047,368 | 377,893 | 84.4 | 15.6 | $(222,645)$ | (9.0) | $(222,645)$ | (12.0) | 294,230 | 12.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 3,014,000 | 2,270,013 | 75.3 |  | 2,353,676 | 2,270,013 | 83,663 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 248,250 | 5.3 | 248,250 | (0.5) | 20,256 | 0.5 |
|  | 1998 |  | 2,889,000 | 2,021,763 | 70.0 |  | 2,085,170 | 2,021,763 | 63,407 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 206,987 | 5.2 | 206,987 | 7.5 | (150,192) | (7.5) |
|  | 1996 |  | 2,802,000 | 1,814,776 | 64.8 |  | 2,028,375 | 1,814,776 | 213,599 | 89.5 | 10.5 | 315,187 | 9.9 | 315,187 | (4.0) | 109,649 | 4.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 2,733,000 | 1,499,589 | 54.9 |  | 1,603,539 | 1,499,589 | 103,950 | 93.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 2,669,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Dakota | 2010 | A | 611,383 | 575,150 | 94.1 |  | 575,150 | 519,396 | 55,754 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 44,688 | 6.5 | $(11,066)$ | (1.8) | 10,584 | 1.8 |
|  | 2008 | A | 606,000 | 530,462 | 87.5 |  | 575,632 | 530,462 | 45,170 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 27,376 | 1.8 | 23,330 | 0.6 | (1,736) | (0.6) |
| (66 counties) | 2006 | A | 587,000 | 503,086 | 85.7 |  | 554,038 | 507,132 | 46,906 | 91.5 | 8.5 | 693 | (0.9) | 4,739 | 0.5 | $(2,812)$ | (0.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 580,000 | 502,393 | 86.6 |  | 552,111 | 502,393 | 49,718 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 27,028 | 2.2 | 27,028 | 0.8 | (2,173) | (0.8) |
|  | 2002 |  | 563,000 | 475,365 | 84.4 |  | 527,256 | 475,365 | 51,891 | 90.2 | 9.8 | 4,213 | (0.6) | 4,213 | (0.3) | 2,162 | 0.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 554,000 | 471,152 | 85.0 |  | 520,881 | 471,152 | 49,729 | 90.5 | 9.5 | 18,367 | 1.2 | 18,367 | (0.9) | 6,728 | 0.9 |
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| 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The " R " column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
|  | 1998 |  | 540,000 | 452,785 | 83.8 |  | 495,786 | 452,785 | 43,001 | 91.3 | 8.7 | $(10,073)$ | (2.7) | $(10,073)$ | (5.3) | 26,914 | 5.3 |
|  | 1996 |  | 535,000 | 462,858 | 86.5 |  | 478,945 | 462,858 | 16,087 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 32,319 | 4.5 | 32,319 | (3.4) | 16,087 | 3.4 |
|  | 1994 |  | 525,000 | 430,539 | 82.0 |  | 430,539 | 430,539 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 505,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tennessee | 2010 | C | 4,850,104 | 3,952,404 | 81.5 |  | 3,952,394 | 3,604,935 | 347,459 | 91.2 | 8.8 | $(42,152)$ | (2.9) | $(59,918)$ | (0.5) | 17,756 | 0.5 |
|  | 2008 | C | 4,736,000 | 3,994,556 | 84.3 |  | 3,994,556 | 3,664,853 | 329,703 | 91.7 | 8.3 | 255,853 | 3.0 | 230,730 | 0.7 | $(10,021)$ | (0.7) |
| (95 counties) | 2006 | C | 4,596,000 | 3,738,703 | 81.3 | P | 3,773,847 | 3,434,123 | 339,724 | 91.0 | 9.0 | $(33,185)$ | (2.3) | 45,550 | 1.2 | $(43,591)$ | (1.2) |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,510,000 | 3,771,888 | 83.6 |  | 3,771,888 | 3,388,573 | 383,315 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 317,361 | 5.1 | 254,469 | (0.9) | 62,892 | 0.9 |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,398,000 | 3,454,527 | 78.5 |  | 3,454,527 | 3,134,104 | 320,423 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 54,040 | (0.4) | $(47,004)$ | (2.8) | 101,044 | 2.8 |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,305,000 | 3,400,487 | 79.0 |  | 3,400,487 | 3,181,108 | 219,379 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 156,225 | 0.1 | 124,100 | (0.7) | 32,125 | 0.7 |
|  | 1998 |  | 4,114,000 | 3,244,262 | 78.9 |  | 3,244,262 | 3,057,008 | 187,254 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 146,926 | 1.6 | 45,813 | (3.0) | 101,113 | 3.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 4,007,000 | 3,097,336 | 77.3 |  | 3,097,336 | 3,011,195 | 86,141 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 404,333 | 8.0 | 318,192 | (2.8) | 86,141 | 2.8 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,885,000 | 2,693,003 | 69.3 |  | 2,693,003 | 2,693,003 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,796,000 | 2,726,449 | 71.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 2010 |  | 18,279,737 | 13,262,432 | 72.6 |  | 13,254,125 | 11,366,363 | 1,887,762 | 85.8 | 14.2 | $(312,630)$ | (4.6) | $(310,655)$ | (0.3) | $(10,282)$ | 0.3 |
|  | 2008 | C | 17,601,000 | 13,575,062 | 77.1 |  | 13,575,062 | 11,677,018 | 1,898,044 | 86.0 | 14.0 | 500,783 | 0.3 | 770,519 | 2.6 | $(269,736)$ | (2.6) |
| (254 counties) | 2006 | C | 17,014,000 | 13,074,279 | 76.8 | P | 13,074,279 | 10,906,499 | 2,167,780 | 83.4 | 16.6 | $(24,050)$ | (3.9) | $(94,179)$ | (0.6) | 70,129 | 0.6 |
|  | 2004 |  | 16,223,000 | 13,098,329 | 80.7 |  | 13,098,329 | 11,000,678 | 2,097,651 | 84.0 | 16.0 | 534,870 | 0.1 | 665,905 | 1.7 | $(131,035)$ | (1.7) |
|  | 2002 |  | 15,576,000 | 12,563,459 | 80.7 |  | 12,563,459 | 10,334,773 | 2,228,686 | 82.3 | 17.7 | 198,224 | (1.6) | 67,134 | (0.8) | 131,090 | 0.8 |
|  | 2000 |  | 15,040,000 | 12,365,235 | 82.2 |  | 12,365,235 | 10,267,639 | 2,097,596 | 83.0 | 17.0 | 827,000 | 1.1 | 685,134 | (0.0) | 141,866 | 0.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 14,223,000 | 11,538,235 | 81.1 |  | 11,538,235 | 9,582,505 | 1,955,730 | 83.1 | 16.9 | 997,557 | 3.9 | 31,314 | (7.6) | 966,243 | 7.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 13,643,000 | 10,540,678 | 77.3 |  | 10,540,678 | 9,551,191 | 989,487 | 90.6 | 9.4 | 1,898,830 | 11.6 | 909,343 | (9.4) | 989,487 | 9.4 |
|  | 1994 |  | 13,153,000 | 8,641,848 | 65.7 |  | 8,641,848 | 8,641,848 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 12,681,000 | 8,440,143 | 66.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utah | 2010 | C | 1,892,858 | 1,500,305 | 79.3 |  | 1,500,305 | 1,338,747 | 161,558 | 89.2 | 10.8 | $(75,005)$ | (4.2) | $(3,579)$ | 4.0 | $(71,426)$ | (4.0) |
|  | 2008 | C | 1,887,000 | 1,575,310 | 83.5 |  | 1,575,310 | 1,342,326 | 232,984 | 85.2 | 14.8 | 272,905 | 9.4 | 62,601 | (0.6) | 21,702 | 0.6 |
| (29 counties) | 2006 | A | 1,759,000 | 1,302,405 | 74.0 | P | 1,491,007 | 1,279,725 | 211,282 | 85.8 | 14.2 | 238,571 | 9.5 | 215,891 | 4.5 | $(33,140)$ | (4.5) |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,649,000 | 1,063,834 | 64.5 |  | 1,308,256 | 1,063,834 | 244,422 | 81.3 | 18.7 | $(47,169)$ | (5.8) | $(47,169)$ | (3.6) | 46,797 | 3.6 |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,581,000 | 1,111,003 | 70.3 |  | 1,308,628 | 1,111,003 | 197,625 | 84.9 | 15.1 | $(9,758)$ | (3.4) | $(9,758)$ | (1.0) | 14,151 | 1.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,522,000 | 1,120,761 | 73.6 |  | 1,304,235 | 1,120,761 | 183,474 | 85.9 | 14.1 | 75,690 | (0.4) | 75,690 | (4.4) | 71,315 | 4.4 |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,411,000 | 1,045,071 | 74.1 |  | 1,157,230 | 1,045,071 | 112,159 | 90.3 | 9.7 | $(25,515)$ | (5.2) | $(25,515)$ | (9.7) | 112,159 | 9.7 |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,350,000 | 1,070,586 | 79.3 |  | 1,070,586 | 1,070,586 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 148,605 | 7.6 | 148,605 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,285,000 | 921,981 | 71.7 |  | 921,981 | 921,981 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,169,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Table 1a. Registration History

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | $\begin{gathered} \text { Active } \\ \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{gathered}$ | Inactive <br> $\%$ of Total Reg. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Reported } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Active } \\ \text { Reg. } \end{array}$ | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Vermont | 2010 | 0 | 496,508 | 439,333 | 88.5 | P | 414,889 | 386,501 | 28,388 | 93.2 | 6.8 | (14,853) | (3.8) | $(44,415)$ | (1.6) | 4,617 | 1.6 |
|  | 2008 | c | 492,000 | 454,186 | 92.3 |  | 454,687 | 430,916 | 23,771 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 20,617 | 4.0 | 20,555 | 0.0 | 1,003 | (0.0) |
| (14 counties*) | 2006 | c | 491,000 | 433,569 | 88.3 |  | 433,129 | 410,361 | 22,768 | 94.7 | 5.3 | $(10,508)$ | (2.9) | (33,716) | (5.3) | 22,768 | 5.3 |
|  | 2004 |  | 487,000 | 444,077 | 91.2 |  | 444,077 | 444,077 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 28,344 | 3.8 | 28,344 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 476,000 | 415,733 | 87.3 |  | 415,733 | 415,733 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (5,828) | (3.5) | $(5,828)$ | 1.4 | $(5,793)$ | (1.4) |
|  | 2000 |  | 464,000 | 421,561 | 90.9 |  | 427,354 | 421,561 | 5,793 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 32,370 | 4.6 | 32,370 | 1.4 | $(5,237)$ | (1.4) |
|  | 1998 |  | 451,000 | 389,191 | 86.3 |  | 400,221 | 389,191 | 11,030 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 3,863 | (0.9) | 3,863 | (2.8) | 11,030 | 2.8 |
|  | 1996 |  | 442,000 | 385,328 | 87.2 |  | 385,328 | 385,328 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11,886 | 0.9 | 11,886 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 433,000 | 373,442 | 86.2 |  | 373,442 | 373,442 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 429,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia | 2010 | c | 6,147,347 | 5,032,135 | 81.9 |  | 5,032,135 | 4,720,451 | 311,684 | 93.8 | 6.2 | $(2,529)$ | (2.8) | (191,441) | (3.8) | 188,912 | 3.8 |
|  | 2008 | C | 5,946,000 | 5,034,664 | 84.7 |  | 5,034,664 | 4,911,892 | 122,772 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 478,724 | 6.6 | 664,647 | 4.3 | (185,921) | (4.3) |
| (134 jurisdictions*) | 2006 | c | 5,836,000 | 4,555,940 | 78.1 |  | 4,555,938 | 4,247,245 | 308,693 | 93.2 | 6.8 | 40,265 | (1.8) | 67,941 | 0.7 | $(27,678)$ | (0.7) |
|  | 2004 |  | 5,655,000 | 4,515,675 | 79.9 |  | 4,515,675 | 4,179,304 | 336,371 | 92.6 | 7.4 | 457,351 | 5.9 | 120,980 | (7.4) | 336,371 | 7.4 |
|  | 2002 |  | 5,491,000 | 4,058,324 | 73.9 |  | 4,058,324 | 4,058,324 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (38,352) | (2.5) | 233,648 | 6.6 | (270,511) | (6.6) |
|  | 2000 |  | 5,361,000 | 4,096,676 | 76.4 |  | 4,095,187 | 3,824,676 | 270,511 | 93.4 | 6.6 | 370,755 | 4.3 | 354,016 | 0.2 | 15,250 | (0.2) |
|  | 1998 |  | 5,168,000 | 3,725,921 | 72.1 |  | 3,725,921 | 3,470,660 | 255,261 | 93.1 | 6.9 | 404,149 | 6.5 | 289,798 | (2.6) | 114,351 | 2.6 |
|  | 1996 |  | 5,066,000 | 3,321,772 | 65.6 |  | 3,321,772 | 3,180,862 | 140,910 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 321,212 | 5.0 | 180,302 | (4.2) | 140,910 | 4.2 |
|  | 1994 |  | 4,956,000 | 3,000,560 | 60.5 |  | 3,000,560 | 3,000,560 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 4,855,000 | 3,045,662 | 62.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington | 2010 | C | 5,143,186 | 4,066,517 | 79.1 |  | 4,066,517 | 3,601,268 | 465,249 | 88.6 | 11.4 | 436,399 | 6.6 | (28,850) | (1.6) | 71,032 | 1.6 |
|  | 2008 | A | 5,008,000 | 3,630,118 | 72.5 |  | 4,024,335 | 3,630,118 | 394,217 | 90.2 | 9.8 | 365,607 | 5.5 | 365,531 | 5.7 | $(205,894)$ | (5.7) |
| (39 counties) | 2006 | A | 4,870,000 | 3,264,511 | 67.0 |  | 3,864,698 | 3,264,587 | 600,111 | 84.5 | 15.5 | $(243,697)$ | (7.3) | (243,621) | (3.8) | 131,964 | 3.8 |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,718,000 | 3,508,208 | 74.4 |  | 3,976,355 | 3,508,208 | 468,147 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 298,560 | 4.0 | 298,560 | 3.0 | $(87,203)$ | (3.0) |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,561,000 | 3,209,648 | 70.4 |  | 3,764,998 | 3,209,648 | 555,350 | 85.2 | 14.8 | 61,834 | (1.2) | 61,834 | (9.2) | 369,450 | 9.2 |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,398,000 | 3,147,814 | 71.6 |  | 3,333,714 | 3,147,814 | 185,900 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 28,252 | (2.1) | 28,252 | 2.3 | $(82,208)$ | (2.3) |
|  | 1998 |  | 4,237,000 | 3,119,562 | 73.6 |  | 3,387,670 | 3,119,562 | 268,108 | 92.1 | 7.9 | 41,434 | (1.3) | 41,434 | (3.3) | 120,875 | 3.3 |
|  | 1996 |  | 4,109,000 | 3,078,128 | 74.9 |  | 3,225,361 | 3,078,128 | 147,233 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 181,609 | 1.8 | 181,609 | (4.6) | 147,233 | 4.6 |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,962,000 | 2,896,519 | 73.1 |  | 2,896,519 | 2,896,519 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,812,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Virginia | 2010 | c | 1,465,576 | 1,216,023 | 83.0 |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3,906 | (1.9) | $(1,160,245)$ | $\ldots$ | $(52,135)$ |  |
|  | 2008 | c | 1,428,000 | 1,212,117 | 84.9 |  | 1,212,380 | 1,160,245 | 52,135 | 95.7 | 4.3 | 74,746 | 5.3 | 105,457 | 1.5 | $(12,572)$ | (1.5) |
| (55 counties) | 2006 | c | 1,429,000 | 1,137,371 | 79.6 |  | 1,119,495 | 1,054,788 | 64,707 | 94.2 | 5.8 | $(31,323)$ | (2.1) | 224,139 | 23.1 | $(273,338)$ | (23.1) |
|  | 2004 |  | 1,431,000 | 1,168,694 | 81.7 |  | 1,168,694 | 830,649 | 338,045 | 71.1 | 28.9 | 107,802 | 6.5 | 125,441 | 4.6 | $(17,639)$ | (4.6) |
|  | 2002 |  | 1,411,000 | 1,060,892 | 75.2 |  | 1,060,892 | 705,208 | 355,684 | 66.5 | 33.5 | $(5,457)$ | (0.6) | (326,528) | (30.3) | 321,071 | 30.3 |
|  | 2000 |  | 1,407,000 | 1,066,349 | 75.8 |  | 1,066,349 | 1,031,736 | 34,613 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 58,538 | 4.4 | 80,155 | 2.3 | $(21,617)$ | (2.3) |
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| 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/lnactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent <br> Report. <br> Reg. of <br> VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
|  | 1998 |  | 1,411,000 | 1,007,811 | 71.4 |  | 1,007,811 | 951,581 | 56,230 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 37,066 | 2.1 | 1,033 | (3.5) | 36,033 | 3.5 |
|  | 1996 |  | 1,400,000 | 970,745 | 69.3 |  | 970,745 | 950,548 | 20,197 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 86,430 | 5.8 | 66,233 | (2.1) | 20,197 | 2.1 |
|  | 1994 |  | 1,392,000 | 884,315 | 63.5 |  | 884,315 | 884,315 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 1,376,000 | 956,172 | 69.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin | 2010 | A | 4,347,494 | 3,709,229 | 85.3 |  | 3,709,229 | 3,709,229 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(314,732)$ | (8.0) | $(45,306)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2008 |  | 4,314,000 | 4,023,961 | 93.3 |  | 3,754,535 | 3,754,535 |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 480,236 | 9.8 | 210,936 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (72 counties*) | 2006 | A | 4,244,000 | 3,543,725 | 83.5 |  | 3,543,599 | 3,543,599 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | ....... | ........ | .... | ....... | 0 | ........ |
|  | 2004 |  | 4,201,000 | 4,192,515 | 99.8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | ..... | ....... | .... | (2,308,923) | .. | 0 |  |
|  | 2002 |  | 4,099,000 | 4,115,452 | 100.4 |  | 2,308,923 | 2,308,923 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | ........ | ....... | 2,308,923 | ........ | 0 | ...... |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,010,000 | 3,930,000 | 98.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | .... | ........ | ....... | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 1998 |  | 3,888,000 | 3,877,000 | 99.7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ........ | ....... | ........ | 0 | ....... | 0 |  |
|  | 1996 |  | 3,838,000 | 3,824,000 | 99.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ….... | ........ | ....... | 0 | ....... | 0 | ........ |
|  | 1994 |  | 3,766,000 | 3,777,000 | 100.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | . . . $3 .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 3,675,000 | 3,675,000 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wyoming | 2010 | A | 428,224 | 270,083 | 63.1 |  | 270,083 | 270,083 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25,265 | 2.5 | 270,083 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2008 | A | 404,000 | 244,818 | 60.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ….... | $(18,265)$ | (6.3) | N/A | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| (23 counties) | 2006 | A | 393,000 | 263,083 | 66.9 |  | 257,715 | 257,715 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 47,709 | 11.7 | 42,341 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2004 |  | 390,000 | 215,374 | 55.2 |  | 215,374 | 215,374 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(14,003)$ | (5.8) | $(14,003)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 376,000 | 229,377 | 61.0 |  | 229,377 | 229,377 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 9,365 | 0.9 | 9,365 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2000 |  | 366,000 | 220,012 | 60.1 |  | 220,012 | 220,012 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(10,348)$ | (5.0) | $(10,348)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1998 |  | 354,000 | 230,360 | 65.1 |  | 230,360 | 230,360 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(10,351)$ | (4.3) | 1,806 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1996 |  | 347,000 | 240,711 | 69.4 |  | 228,554 | 228,554 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(97,152)$ | (30.0) | $(109,309)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 1994 |  | 340,000 | 337,863 | 99.4 |  | 337,863 | 337,863 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 329,000 | 234,260 | 71.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Samoa | 2010 | A |  | 16,124 | ....... |  | 16,124 | 16,124 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (656) | ....... | (656) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
|  | 2008 |  | 0 | 16,780 | ........ |  | 16,780 | 16,780 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,497 | ....... | 2,497 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (Total only) | 2006 | $?$ | 0 | 14,283 | ........ | P | 14,283 | 14,283 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(1,819)$ | ........ | $(1,819)$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2004 |  | 0 | 16,102 | ........ |  | 16,102 | 16,102 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,314 | ........ | 1,314 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 0 | 14,788 | ........ |  | 14,788 | 14,788 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14,788 | ........ | 14,788 | ........ | 0 | . ....... |
|  | 2000 |  | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | . ....... |
|  | 1998 |  | 0 | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ....... | 0 | ....... | 0 | ....... | 0 | . $\cdot$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 1996 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ........ | 0 | ....... | 0 | ........ | 0 | ....... |
|  | 1994 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey Table 1a. Registration History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes. The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active $\%$ of Total Reg. | Inactive $\%$ of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Guam | 2010 | A |  | 52,821 | ........ |  | 52,821 | 52,821 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,015 | ....... | 2,015 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
|  | 2008 | A | 0 | 50,806 | ....... |  | 50,806 | 50,806 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50,806 | ....... | 50,806 | ....... | 0 | ...... |
| (Total only) | 2006 | $?$ | 0 | 0 | ........ | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | $\ldots$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | ....... | 0 |  |
|  | 2004 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ...... |
|  | 2002 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2000 |  | 0 | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ..... |
|  | 1998 |  | 0 | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . . . $\ldots$... | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | . ....... |
|  | 1996 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ....... | 0 | ....... | 0 | ....... | 0 | ....... |
|  | 1994 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puerto Rico | 2010 |  | 2,822,494 |  | . $.1 . .$. |  |  |  |  | . ....... | . . $1 . . .$. |  | ....... |  | . $\cdot$..... |  | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2008 | A | 2,972,000 | 2,458,141 | 82.7 |  | 3,762,658 | 2,458,036 | 1,304,622 | 65.3 | 34.7 | 2,458,141 | ........ | 2,458,036 | ........ | 1,304,622 | ....... |
| (Total only) | 2006 | $?$ | 0 | 0 | ........ | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | ….... | ….... | $(2,440,131)$ | ....... | (2,440,131) | ....... | 0 | ....... |
|  | 2004 |  | 0 | 2,440,131 | ........ |  | 2,440,131 | 2,440,131 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,440,131 | ........ | 2,440,131 | ....... | 0 | ....... |
|  | 2002 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
|  | 2000 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | . ....... | 0 | . ....... | 0 | ........ | 0 | . ....... |
|  | 1998 |  | 0 | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | . . $3 .$. | 0 | ....... | 0 | ....... | 0 | ..... |
|  | 1996 |  | 0 | 0 | . $\cdot$. $\ldots$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . . . $\ldots$... | . . $\ldots$.... | 0 | ....... | 0 | . ....... | 0 | . . $*$.... |
|  | 1994 |  | 0 | 0 | . ...... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . . . $\ldots$... | . ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virgin Islands | 2010 |  |  |  | ........ |  |  |  |  | ........ | …… |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |
|  | 2008 | A | 0 | 50,948 | . ....... |  | 50,948 | 50,948 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(2,069)$ | . ....... | $(2,069)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (Total only) | 2006 | $?$ | 0 | 53,017 | ........ | P | 53,017 | 53,017 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,286 | ........ | 2,286 | $\cdots$ | 0 |  |
|  | 2004 |  | 0 | 50,731 | ........ |  | 50,731 | 50,731 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(3,537)$ | ........ | $(3,537)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2002 |  | 0 | 54,268 | ........ |  | 54,268 | 54,268 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 54,268 | ....... | 54,268 | ....... | 0 | ........ |
|  | 2000 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 1998 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ....... | ........ | 0 | ....... | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 1996 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 1994 |  | 0 | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 1a. Registration History

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentages |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Total Reg. | Inactive \% of Total Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent <br> Report. <br> Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| Sum of Above | 2010 |  | 237,386,565 | 186,874,157 | 78.7 |  | 191,683,902 | 170,918,916 | 20,764,986 | 89.2 | 10.8 | $(3,587,244)$ | (3.0) | $(3,182,589)$ | 1.1 | (2,744,451) | (1.1) |
|  | 2008 |  | 233,087,000 | 190,461,401 | 81.7 | P | 197,610,942 | 174,101,505 | 23,509,437 | 88.1 | 11.9 | 17,651,395 | 5.1 | 13,797,134 | (0.2) | 2,302,330 | 0.2 |
|  | 2006 |  | 225,664,000 | 172,810,006 | 76.6 | P | 181,511,478 | 160,304,371 | 21,207,107 | 88.3 | 11.7 | (3,355,382) | (3.4) | (3,449,302) | (0.9) | 1,504,783 | 0.9 |
|  | 2004 |  | 220,380,000 | 176,165,388 | 79.9 |  | 183,455,997 | 163,753,673 | 19,702,324 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 15,455,357 | 5.2 | 15,602,639 | 0.2 | 1,593,732 | (0.2) |
|  | 2002 |  | 215,075,000 | 160,710,031 | 74.7 |  | 166,259,626 | 148,151,034 | 18,108,592 | 89.1 | 10.9 | (1,789,748) | (2.7) | (1,325,671) | 0.0 | $(165,605)$ | (0.0) |
|  | 2000 |  | 209,827,000 | 162,499,779 | 77.4 |  | 167,750,902 | 149,476,705 | 18,274,197 | 89.1 | 10.9 | 8,494,226 | 0.9 | 8,530,197 | (0.9) | 2,565,157 | 0.9 |
|  | 1998 |  | 201,270,000 | 154,005,553 | 76.5 |  | 156,655,548 | 140,946,508 | 15,709,040 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 3,614,352 | 0.1 | $(2,037,191)$ | (5.3) | 8,625,246 | 5.3 |
|  | 1996 |  | 196,789,000 | 150,391,201 | 76.4 |  | 150,067,493 | 142,983,699 | 7,083,794 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 16,313,939 | 7.0 | 13,552,455 | (3.5) | 5,431,358 | 3.5 |
|  | 1994 |  | 193,010,000 | 134,077,262 | 69.5 |  | 131,083,680 | 129,431,244 | 1,652,436 | 98.7 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 189,529,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States Included | 2010 |  | 52 | 53 |  |  |  | 52 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 |  | 52 | 55 |  |  |  | 53 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 |  | 51 | 53 |  |  |  | 51 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 |  | 51 | 54 |  |  |  | 53 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 |  | 51 | 53 |  |  |  | 51 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 |  | 51 | 51 |  |  |  | 49 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 |  | 51 | 51 |  |  |  | 48 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1996 |  | 51 | 51 |  |  |  | 49 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1994 |  | 51 | 51 |  |  |  | 49 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 |  | 51 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Summary | 2010 |  | 236,863,845 | 186,282,492 | 78.6 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 |  | 232,589,000 | 189,844,867 | 81.6 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 |  | 225,173,000 | 172,251,706 | 76.5 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (adjusted by year | 2004 |  | 219,885,000 | 173,163,424 | 78.8 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for States with | 2002 |  | 214,591,000 | 160,156,975 | 74.6 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| both VAP and Reg.; | 2000 |  | 209,345,000 | 162,017,779 | 77.4 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| exc. ND, AS, GU, VI, | 1998 |  | 200,793,000 | 153,528,553 | 76.5 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and PR pre-2008) | 1996 |  | 196,313,000 | 149,915,201 | 76.4 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1994 |  | 192,540,000 | 133,607,262 | 69.4 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 1b. Registration Summary for 2010

The " R " column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes.
The "P" column indicates partial coverage for Active/Inactive numbers for 2010; see footnotes.

|  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentage |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Report Reg. | Inactive \% of Report Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| 81.3 |  | 2,964,070 | 2,586,282 | 377,788 | 87.3 | 12.7 | $(14,269)$ | (2.9) | $(220,389)$ | (7.0) | 206,120 | 7.0 |
| 107.1 |  | 560,146 | 494,876 | 65,270 | 88.3 | 11.7 | 64,415 | 9.2 | (855) | 1.5 | $(9,665)$ | (1.5) |
| 73.5 |  | 3,502,743 | 3,146,418 | 356,325 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 515,292 | 11.2 | 158,967 | 3.0 | $(97,365)$ | (3.0) |
| 74.3 |  | 1,638,135 | 1,326,681 | 311,454 | 81.0 | 19.0 | $(46,105)$ | (3.9) | $(38,060)$ | (0.0) | $(8,045)$ | 0.0 |
| 61.9 |  | 23,666,464 | 17,299,347 | 6,367,117 | 100.0 | 36.8 | $(94,853)$ | (1.6) | $(94,879)$ | (1.2) | 337,182 | 1.2 |
| 86.6 |  | 3,293,942 | 2,477,202 | 816,740 | 75.2 | 24.8 | 79,560 | 0.5 | $(168,591)$ | (7.1) | 248,151 | 7.1 |
| 78.0 |  | 2,150,633 | 2,026,874 | 123,759 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 59,845 | 0.3 | $(63,914)$ | (3.3) | 70,246 | 3.3 |
| 90.1 |  | 623,425 | 603,456 | 19,969 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 20,699 | (0.3) | 42,751 | 3.8 | $(22,052)$ | (3.8) |
| 102.4 |  | 512,897 | 454,695 | 58,202 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 86,226 | 13.5 | 28,024 | 12.7 | $(76,798)$ | (12.7) |
| 84.8 |  | 12,551,969 | 11,228,681 | 1,323,288 | 89.5 | 10.5 | $(11,009)$ | (2.9) | $(22,433)$ | (0.1) | 11,424 | 0.1 |
| 79.9 |  | 5,748,459 | 5,027,430 | 721,029 | 87.5 | 12.5 | $(7,291)$ | (0.8) | $(157,482)$ | (2.6) | 150,191 | 2.6 |
| 65.6 |  | 690,745 | 605,532 | 85,213 | 87.4 | 12.3 | 1,389 | (3.4) | 78,860 | (1.3) | 19,766 | 1.3 |
| 69.4 |  | 790,531 | 790,531 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(71,338)$ | (8.1) | $(71,338)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 88.1 |  | 8,542,397 | 7,455,076 | 1,087,321 | 87.3 | 12.7 | 841,872 | 8.8 | $(145,753)$ | 1.8 | $(207,434)$ | (1.8) |
| 88.8 |  | 4,329,977 | 4,196,884 | 133,093 | 96.9 | 3.1 | $(185,080)$ | (5.4) | 59,278 | 5.3 | $(244,358)$ | (5.3) |
| 91.3 |  | 2,116,170 | 1,984,995 | 131,175 | 93.8 | 6.2 | $(27,495)$ | (2.3) | $(18,906)$ | 0.3 | $(8,589)$ | (0.3) |
| 81.1 |  | 1,725,012 | 1,580,688 | 144,324 | 91.6 | 8.4 | $(24,744)$ | (2.1) | 760 | 1.3 | $(25,504)$ | (1.3) |
| 87.0 |  | 3,024,241 | 2,880,155 | 144,086 | 99.8 | 5.0 | $(21,034)$ | (2.1) | $(26,654)$ | (0.2) | 5,037 | 0.2 |
| 85.9 |  | 2,935,062 | 2,711,974 | 223,088 | 92.4 | 7.6 | $(7,098)$ | (3.1) | $(2,612)$ | 0.1 | $(4,486)$ | (0.1) |
| 97.6 |  | 1,028,501 | 984,455 | 44,046 | 95.7 | 4.3 | $(36,563)$ | (4.6) | $(2,976)$ | 3.0 | $(33,587)$ | (3.0) |
| 78.5 |  | 3,468,287 | 3,468,287 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 35,642 | (1.5) | 35,642 | 5.7 | $(209,083)$ | (5.7) |
| 80.4 |  | 4,121,180 | 3,684,321 | 436,859 | 89.4 | 10.6 | $(99,308)$ | (2.9) | $(198,710)$ | (2.6) | 99,402 | 2.6 |
| 96.5 |  | 7,276,237 | 7,276,237 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(194,527)$ | (1.6) | $(194,527)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 80.1 | P | 3,220,844 | 3,220,844 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(251,468)$ | (7.4) | $(251,468)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 89.5 |  | 1,729,159 | 1,624,981 | 104,178 | 82.1 | 5.3 | 82,880 | 2.2 | 591,753 | 0.3 | 34,318 | (0.3) |
| 90.7 |  | 4,137,495 | 3,674,460 | 463,035 | 88.8 | 11.2 | $(16,618)$ | (1.9) | $(95,733)$ | (1.9) | 79,115 | 1.9 |
| 85.0 |  | 651,335 | 549,683 | 101,652 | 84.4 | 15.6 | $(16,750)$ | (4.4) | $(12,458)$ | 0.3 | $(4,292)$ | (0.3) |
| 83.6 |  | 1,142,247 | 1,020,637 | 121,610 | 89.4 | 10.6 | $(14,787)$ | (3.1) | $(136,397)$ | (10.6) | 121,610 | 10.6 |
| 67.6 |  | 1,371,346 | 1,114,395 | 256,951 | 81.0 | 18.7 | $(70,690)$ | (7.3) | $(93,987)$ | (2.2) | 18,287 | 2.2 |
| 91.8 |  | 945,341 | 945,341 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(13,187)$ | (1.9) | $(13,187)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
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|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  | Survey Response |  |  |  | Percentage |  | Change From Previous Federal Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | P | Total Reg. Act. + Inact. | Active <br> Registration | Inactive Registration | Active \% of Report Reg. | Inactive \% of Report Reg. | Reported Reg. | Percent Report. Reg. of VAP | Active Reg. | Percent Active Reg. | Inactive Reg. | Percent Inactive Reg. |
| New Jersey | 2010 | C | 6,726,680 | 5,135,830 | 76.4 |  | 5,135,830 | 4,719,468 | 416,362 | 91.9 | 8.1 | $(250,597)$ | (4.8) | $(198,304)$ | 0.6 | $(52,281)$ | (0.6) |
| New Mexico | 2010 | C | 1,540,507 | 1,147,177 | 74.5 |  | 1,147,177 | 1,066,970 | 80,207 | 93.0 | 7.0 | $(38,262)$ | (5.5) | 324,069 | 0.8 | 17,446 | (0.8) |
| New York | 2010 | C | 15,053,173 | 11,806,744 | 78.4 |  | 11,807,027 | 10,680,536 | 1,126,491 | 90.5 | 9.5 | $(224,568)$ | (1.3) | $(135,964)$ | 0.6 | $(88,321)$ | (0.6) |
| North Carolina | 2010 | C | 7,253,848 | 6,207,093 | 85.6 |  | 6,207,093 | 5,756,403 | 450,690 | 92.7 | 7.3 | $(19,111)$ | (3.6) | $(91,053)$ | (0.6) | 33,413 | 0.6 |
| North Dakota | 2010 | X | 522,720 | 522,720 | 100.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24,720 | 0.0 | 0 | $\ldots .$. | 0 | ........ |
| Ohio | 2010 | A | 8,805,753 | 8,044,315 | 91.4 | P | 8,048,315 | 8,048,315 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(243,350)$ | (3.3) | 2,527,112 | 20.1 | $(1,388,165)$ | (20.1) |
| Oklahoma | 2010 | C | 2,821,685 | 2,082,428 | 73.8 |  | 2,082,428 | 1,773,975 | 308,453 | 85.2 | 14.8 | $(101,658)$ | (6.0) | $(105,834)$ | (0.9) | 4,176 | 0.9 |
| Oregon | 2010 | A | 2,964,621 | 2,068,798 | 69.8 |  | 2,068,798 | 2,068,798 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(85,116)$ | (3.9) | $(85,116)$ | 18.1 | $(474,565)$ | (18.1) |
| Pennsylvania | 2010 |  | 9,910,224 | 8,220,759 | 83.0 |  | 8,220,756 | 7,499,183 | 721,573 | 91.2 | 8.8 | $(534,829)$ | (7.4) | $(359,424)$ | (0.2) | $(19,184)$ | 0.2 |
| Rhode Island | 2010 | C | 828,611 | 706,161 | 85.2 |  | 706,161 | 647,569 | 58,592 | 91.7 | 8.3 | 4,854 | (0.1) | $(6,224)$ | (1.5) | 11,178 | 1.5 |
| South Carolina | 2010 | A | 3,544,890 | 2,630,363 | 74.2 |  | 2,957,555 | 2,630,363 | 327,192 | 100.0 | 12.4 | 76,440 | (0.6) | 76,440 | 3.0 | $(92,175)$ | (3.0) |
| South Dakota | 2010 | A | 611,383 | 575,150 | 94.1 |  | 575,150 | 519,396 | 55,754 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 44,688 | 6.5 | $(11,066)$ | (1.8) | 10,584 | 1.8 |
| Tennessee | 2010 | C | 4,850,104 | 3,952,404 | 81.5 |  | 3,952,394 | 3,604,935 | 347,459 | 91.2 | 8.8 | $(42,152)$ | (2.9) | $(59,918)$ | (0.5) | 17,756 | 0.5 |
| Texas | 2010 |  | 18,279,737 | 13,262,432 | 72.6 |  | 13,254,125 | 11,366,363 | 1,887,762 | 85.7 | 14.2 | $(312,630)$ | (4.6) | $(310,655)$ | (0.3) | $(10,282)$ | 0.3 |
| Utah | 2010 | C | 1,892,858 | 1,500,305 | 79.3 |  | 1,500,305 | 1,338,747 | 161,558 | 89.2 | 10.8 | $(75,005)$ | (4.2) | $(3,579)$ | 4.0 | $(71,426)$ | (4.0) |
| Vermont | 2010 | 0 | 496,508 | 439,333 | 88.5 | P | 414,889 | 386,501 | 28,388 | 88.0 | 6.5 | $(14,853)$ | (3.8) | $(44,415)$ | (1.6) | 4,617 | 1.6 |
| Virginia | 2010 | C | 6,147,347 | 5,032,135 | 81.9 |  | 5,032,135 | 4,720,451 | 311,684 | 93.8 | 6.2 | $(2,529)$ | (2.8) | $(191,441)$ | (3.8) | 188,912 | 3.8 |
| Washington | 2010 | C | 5,143,186 | 4,066,517 | 79.1 |  | 4,066,517 | 3,601,268 | 465,249 | 88.6 | 11.4 | 436,399 | 6.6 | $(28,850)$ | (1.6) | 71,032 | 1.6 |
| West Virginia | 2010 | C | 1,465,576 | 1,216,023 | 83.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3,906 | (1.9) |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Wisconsin | 2010 | A | 4,347,494 | 3,709,229 | 85.3 |  | 3,709,229 | 3,709,229 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | $(314,732)$ | (8.0) | $(45,306)$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming | 2010 | A | 428,224 | 270,083 | 63.1 |  | 270,083 | 270,083 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25,265 | 2.5 | 270,083 | ........ | 0 |  |
| American Samoa | 2010 | A |  | 16,124 | $\ldots$ |  | 16,124 | 16,124 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | (656) | . ....... | (656) | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam | 2010 | A |  | 52,821 | . $\ldots$. $\ldots$ |  | 52,821 | 52,821 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,015 | . $\cdot$..... | 2,015 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Puerto Rico | 2010 |  | 2,822,494 |  | ....... |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ….... | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands | 2010 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | …… | $\ldots$ | …… | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Sum of Above |  |  | 237,386,565 | 186,874,157 | 78.7 |  | 191,683,902 | 170,918,916 | 20,764,986 | 89.2 | 10.8 | $(3,587,244)$ | (3.0) | $(3,182,589)$ | 1.1 | $(2,744,451)$ | (1.1) |
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Table 1c. Registration Rates for Voting Age Population (VAP) Using Different Registration Bases

The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes.

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  |  | Active + Inactive |  | Active Only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. $\%$ of VAP | Ranking of \% of VAP | Active + Inactive $\%$ of VAP | Ranking of $\%$ of VAP | Active Only $\%$ of VAP | Ranking of \% of VAP |
| Alabama | 2010 | C | 3,647,277 | 2,964,070 | 81.3 | 27 | 81.3 | 27 | 70.9 | 30 |
| Alaska | 2010 | A | 522,853 | 560,146 | 107.1 | 1 | 107.1 | 1 | 94.6 | 2 |
| Arizona | 2010 | A | 4,763,003 | 3,502,743 | 73.5 | 41 | 73.5 | 41 | 66.1 | 38 |
| Arkansas | 2010 | C | 2,204,443 | 1,638,135 | 74.3 | 38 | 74.3 | 39 | 60.2 | 44 |
| California | 2010 | A | 27,958,916 | 17,299,347 | 61.9 | 48 | 84.6 | 21 | 61.9 | 43 |
| Colorado | 2010 | C | 3,803,587 | 3,293,942 | 86.6 | 15 | 86.6 | 14 | 65.1 | 39 |
| Connecticut | 2010 | C | 2,757,082 | 2,150,633 | 78.0 | 35 | 78.0 | 36 | 73.5 | 25 |
| Delaware | 2010 | C | 692,169 | 623,425 | 90.1 | 10 | 90.1 | 11 | 87.2 | 6 |
| District of Columbia | 2010 | C | 500,908 | 512,897 | 102.4 | 2 | 102.4 | 2 | 90.8 | 5 |
| Florida | 2010 | C | 14,799,219 | 12,551,969 | 84.8 | 21 | 84.8 | 20 | 75.9 | 19 |
| Georgia | 2010 | C | 7,196,101 | 5,748,459 | 79.9 | 30 | 79.9 | 30 | 69.9 | 34 |
| Hawaii | 2010 | C | 1,056,483 | 692,745 | 65.6 | 46 | 65.4 | 46 | 57.3 | 45 |
| Idaho | 2010 | A | 1,138,510 | 790,531 | 69.4 | 44 | 69.4 | 44 | 69.4 | 36 |
| Illinois | 2010 | 0 | 9,701,453 | 8,542,397 | 88.1 | 13 | 88.1 | 13 | 76.8 | 17 |
| Indiana | 2010 | C | 4,875,504 | 4,329,977 | 88.8 | 12 | 88.8 | 12 | 86.1 | 8 |
| lowa | 2010 | C | 2,318,362 | 2,116,170 | 91.3 | 8 | 91.3 | 8 | 85.6 | 9 |
| Kansas | 2010 | C | 2,126,179 | 1,725,012 | 81.1 | 28 | 81.1 | 28 | 74.3 | 22 |
| Kentucky | 2010 | A | 3,315,996 | 2,885,775 | 87.0 | 14 | 91.2 | 9 | 86.9 | 7 |
| Louisiana | 2010 | C | 3,415,357 | 2,935,062 | 85.9 | 16 | 85.9 | 15 | 79.4 | 13 |
| Maine | 2010 | C | 1,053,828 | 1,028,501 | 97.6 | 4 | 97.6 | 4 | 93.4 | 3 |
| Maryland | 2010 | A | 4,420,588 | 3,468,287 | 78.5 | 33 | 78.5 | 33 | 78.5 | 15 |
| Massachusetts | 2010 | C | 5,128,706 | 4,121,180 | 80.4 | 29 | 80.4 | 29 | 71.8 | 27 |
| Michigan | 2010 | C | 7,539,572 | 7,276,237 | 96.5 | 5 | 96.5 | 5 | 96.5 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 2010 | A | 4,019,862 | 3,220,844 | 80.1 | $\ldots$ | 80.1 | $\ldots$ | 80.1 | $\ldots$ |
| Mississippi | 2010 | 0 | 2,211,742 | 1,978,463 | 89.5 | 11 | 78.2 | 35 | 73.5 | 26 |
| Missouri | 2010 | C | 4,563,491 | 4,137,495 | 90.7 | 9 | 90.7 | 10 | 80.5 | 12 |
| Montana | 2010 | C | 765,852 | 651,335 | 85.0 | 20 | 85.0 | 19 | 71.8 | 28 |

This table indicates how the registration rate, that is, the percentage of the voting age population that are registered, may vary by the way each State reports its "total" registration number.

The methods vary because some States report only active voters and some report a combination of active and inactive as their "total" registration
The first section of this table reports the method used by the State to report their registration for 2010. $A n$ " $A$ " indicates that the "total" registration reported includes active voters only.

A "C" indicates that the "total" registration reported includes a combination of active and inactive voters.
An " 0 " indicates that the state either allows local jurisdictions to determine whether to include the inactive voters, or the state uses some other method.

The section entitled "Reported Registration" provides information on the registration rate for the reported registration.
The section entitled "Active + Inactive" provides information on the registration rate for a combined total,
The section entitled "Active Only" provides information on the registration rate for active voters only. States with partial data (...) are not given a rank.
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Table 1c. Registration Rates for Voting Age Population (VAP) Using Different Registration Bases

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  |  | Active + Inactive |  | Active Only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (VAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of VAP | Ranking of \% of VAP | Active + Inactive $\%$ of VAP | Ranking of $\%$ of VAP | Active Only $\%$ of VAP | Ranking of $\%$ of VAP |
| Nebraska | 2010 | C | 1,367,120 | 1,142,247 | 83.6 | 22 | 83.6 | 22 | 74.7 | 21 |
| Nevada | 2010 | 0 | 2,035,543 | 1,375,848 | 67.6 | 45 | 67.4 | 45 | 54.7 | 46 |
| New Hampshire | 2010 | A | 1,029,236 | 945,341 | 91.8 | 7 | 91.8 | 7 | 91.8 | 4 |
| New Jersey | 2010 | C | 6,726,680 | 5,135,830 | 76.4 | 36 | 76.4 | 37 | 70.2 | 32 |
| New Mexico | 2010 | C | 1,540,507 | 1,147,177 | 74.5 | 37 | 74.5 | 38 | 69.3 | 37 |
| New York | 2010 | C | 15,053,173 | 11,806,744 | 78.4 | 34 | 78.4 | 34 | 71.0 | 29 |
| North Carolina | 2010 | C | 7,253,848 | 6,207,093 | 85.6 | 17 | 85.6 | 16 | 79.4 | 14 |
| North Dakota | 2010 | X | 522,720 | 522,720 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 47 |
| Ohio | 2010 | A | 8,805,753 | 8,044,315 | 91.4 | ... | 91.4 | $\ldots$ | 91.4 | $\ldots$ |
| Oklahoma | 2010 | C | 2,821,685 | 2,082,428 | 73.8 | 40 | 73.8 | 40 | 62.9 | 41 |
| Oregon | 2010 | A | 2,964,621 | 2,068,798 | 69.8 | 43 | 69.8 | 43 | 69.8 | 35 |
| Pennsylvania | 2010 |  | 9,910,224 | 8,220,759 | 83.0 | 24 | 83.0 | 24 | 75.7 | 20 |
| Rhode Island | 2010 | C | 828,611 | 706,161 | 85.2 | 19 | 85.2 | 18 | 78.2 | 16 |
| South Carolina | 2010 | A | 3,544,890 | 2,630,363 | 74.2 | 39 | 83.4 | 23 | 74.2 | 24 |
| South Dakota | 2010 | A | 611,383 | 575,150 | 94.1 | 6 | 94.1 | 6 | 85.0 | 11 |
| Tennessee | 2010 | C | 4,850,104 | 3,952,404 | 81.5 | 26 | 81.5 | 26 | 74.3 | 23 |
| Texas | 2010 |  | 18,279,737 | 13,262,432 | 72.6 | 42 | 72.5 | 42 | 62.2 | 42 |
| Utah | 2010 | C | 1,892,858 | 1,500,305 | 79.3 | 31 | 79.3 | 31 | 70.7 | 31 |
| Vermont | 2010 | 0 | 496,508 | 439,333 | 88.5 | ... | 83.6 | . | 77.8 | $\ldots$ |
| Virginia | 2010 | C | 6,147,347 | 5,032,135 | 81.9 | 25 | 81.9 | 25 | 76.8 | 18 |
| Washington | 2010 | C | 5,143,186 | 4,066,517 | 79.1 | 32 | 79.1 | 32 | 70.0 | 33 |
| West Virginia | 2010 | C | 1,465,576 | 1,216,023 | 83.0 | 23 | 0.0 | 48 | 0.0 | 48 |
| Wisconsin | 2010 | A | 4,347,494 | 3,709,229 | 85.3 | 18 | 85.3 | 17 | 85.3 | 10 |
| Wyoming | 2010 | A | 428,224 | 270,083 | 63.1 | 47 | 63.1 | 47 | 63.1 | 40 |
| American Samoa | 2010 | A |  | 16,124 | ....... | ........ | $\cdot$ | ... | ....... | $\ldots \ldots$ |
| Guam | 2010 | A |  | 52,821 | ....... | ........ | …… | *...... | ....... | ... |
| Puerto Rico | 2010 |  | 2,822,494 |  | ........ | ........ | ........ | ........ | ........ | $\cdots$ |
| Virgin Islands | 2010 |  |  |  | ....... | ........ | ........ | ........ | ....... | ....... |
| Sum of Above | 2010 |  | 237,386,565 | 186,874,157 | 78.7 |  | 80.7 |  | 72.9 |  |

The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes.

This table indicates how the registration rate, that is, the percentage of the voting age population that are registered, may vary by the way each State reports its "total" registration number.

The methods vary because some States report only active voters and some report a combination of active and inactive as their "total" registration.
The first section of this table reports the method used by the State to report their registration for 2010. An "A" indicates that the "total" registration reported includes active voters only.
A "C" indicates that the "total" registration reported includes a combination of active and inactive voters. An " 0 " indicates that the state either allows local jurisdictions to determine whether to include the inactive voters, or the state uses some other method.

The section entitled "Reported Registration" provides information on the registration rate for the reported registration
The section entitled "Active + Inactive" provides information on the registration rate for a combined total. The section entitled "Active Only" provides information on the registration rate for active voters only. States with partial data (...) are not given a rank.

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 1d. Registration Rates for Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) Using Different Registration Bases

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  |  | Active + Inactive |  | Active Only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (CVAP) <br> Estimated <br> Voting Age <br> Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of CVAP | Ranking of \% of CVAP | Active + Inactive \% of CVAP | Ranking of \% of CVAP | Active Only \% of CVAP | Ranking of \% of CVAP |
| Alabama | 2010 | C | 3,564,694 | 2,964,070 | 83.2 | 38 | 83.2 | 37 | 72.6 | 38 |
| Alaska | 2010 | A | 501,901 | 560,146 | 111.6 | 2 | 111.6 | 2 | 98.6 | 3 |
| Arizona | 2010 | A | 4,184,909 | 3,502,743 | 83.7 | 36 | 83.7 | 35 | 75.2 | 33 |
| Arkansas | 2010 | C | 2,132,908 | 1,638,135 | 76.8 | 43 | 76.8 | 42 | 62.2 | 46 |
| California | 2010 | A | 22,833,220 | 17,299,347 | 75.8 | 44 | 103.6 | 3 | 75.8 | 31 |
| Colorado | 2010 | C | 3,509,328 | 3,293,942 | 93.9 | 11 | 93.9 | 11 | 70.6 | 41 |
| Connecticut | 2010 | C | 2,538,490 | 2,150,633 | 84.7 | 33 | 84.7 | 33 | 79.8 | 21 |
| Delaware | 2010 | C | 655,459 | 623,425 | 95.1 | 9 | 95.1 | 9 | 92.1 | 6 |
| District of Columbia | 2010 | C | 454,105 | 512,897 | 112.9 | 1 | 112.9 | 1 | 100.1 | 1 |
| Florida | 2010 | C | 13,092,827 | 12,551,969 | 95.9 | 7 | 95.9 | 7 | 85.8 | 12 |
| Georgia | 2010 | C | 6,673,195 | 5,748,459 | 86.1 | 26 | 86.1 | 26 | 75.3 | 32 |
| Hawaii | 2010 | C | 967,531 | 692,745 | 71.6 | 47 | 71.4 | 45 | 62.6 | 45 |
| Idaho | 2010 | A | 1,086,237 | 790,531 | 72.8 | 46 | 72.8 | 44 | 72.8 | 37 |
| Illinois | 2010 | 0 | 8,833,130 | 8,542,397 | 96.7 | 6 | 96.7 | 6 | 84.4 | 15 |
| Indiana | 2010 | C | 4,723,809 | 4,329,977 | 91.7 | 15 | 91.7 | 16 | 88.8 | 7 |
| lowa | 2010 | C | 2,254,665 | 2,116,170 | 93.9 | 12 | 93.9 | 12 | 88.0 | 9 |
| Kansas | 2010 | C | 2,027,651 | 1,725,012 | 85.1 | 31 | 85.1 | 31 | 78.0 | 26 |
| Kentucky | 2010 | A | 3,247,176 | 2,885,775 | 88.9 | 19 | 93.1 | 13 | 88.7 | 8 |
| Louisiana | 2010 | C | 3,344,987 | 2,935,062 | 87.7 | 22 | 87.7 | 21 | 81.1 | 19 |
| Maine | 2010 | C | 1,036,379 | 1,028,501 | 99.2 | 5 | 99.2 | 5 | 95.0 | 4 |
| Maryland | 2010 | A | 4,070,646 | 3,468,287 | 85.2 | 30 | 85.2 | 30 | 85.2 | 13 |
| Massachusetts | 2010 | C | 4,683,075 | 4,121,180 | 88.0 | 20 | 88.0 | 19 | 78.7 | 23 |
| Michigan | 2010 | C | 7,269,331 | 7,276,237 | 100.1 | 4 | 100.1 | 4 | 100.1 | 2 |
| Minnesota | 2010 | A | 3,851,317 | 3,220,844 | 83.6 | ... | 83.6 | $\ldots$ | 83.6 | $\ldots$ |
| Mississippi | 2010 | 0 | 2,178,158 | 1,978,463 | 90.8 | 16 | 79.4 | 39 | 74.6 | 36 |
| Missouri | 2010 | C | 4,458,183 | 4,137,495 | 92.8 | 13 | 92.8 | 14 | 82.4 | 17 |
| Montana | 2010 | C | 757,986 | 651,335 | 85.9 | 27 | 85.9 | 27 | 72.5 | 39 |
| Nebraska | 2010 | C | 1,307,890 | 1,142,247 | 87.3 | 24 | 87.3 | 23 | 78.0 | 24 |
| Nevada | 2010 | 0 | 1,753,381 | 1,375,848 | 78.5 | 40 | 78.2 | 40 | 63.6 | 44 |
| New Hampshire | 2010 | A | 998,027 | 945,341 | 94.7 | 10 | 94.7 | 10 | 94.7 | 5 |

The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/Inactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes.

Notes
This table indicates how the registration rate, that is, the percentage of those citizens of voting age population that are registered may vary both by the way each State reports the registration totals and by the estimate of the universe of citizen voting age population (CVAP).
The Bureau of the Census provides information from which an approximation of the CVAP may be obtained. However, while the estimate of the total voting age population (VAP) is from the 2010 U.S. Census, the corresponding information for the CVAP estimate lags behind due to the release schedule of the American Community Survey (ACS), data periodically released by the Bureau.

The estimate for CVAP in this table has been derived from the most recent ACS data which is for the year 2009.

The rate of the total voting age that is a citizen is taken from the 2005-2009 5 -year ACS data. It is applied to the 2010 VAP.
The first section of this table reports the method used by the State to report their registration for 2010. An "A" indicates that the "total" registration reported includes active voters only.
A "C" indicates that the "total" registration reported includes a combination of active and inactive voters. An " 0 " indicates that the state either allows local jurisdictions to determine whether to include the inactive voters, or the state uses some other method.
The section entitled "Reported Registration" provides information on the registration rate for the reported registration.
The section entitled "Active + Inactive" provides information on the registration rate for a combined total. The section entitled "Active Only" provides information on the registration rate for active voters only. States with partial data ( . . .) are not given a rank.

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 1d. Registration Rates for Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) Using Different Registration Bases

|  |  | Reported Registration |  |  |  |  | Active + Inactive |  | Active Only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Year | R | (CVAP) <br> Estimated Voting Age Population | Reported Registration | Report. Reg. \% of CVAP | Ranking of CVAP | Active + Inactive \% of CVAP | Ranking \% of CVAP | Active <br> Only <br> \% of CVAP <br> CVA | Ranking of CVAP |
| New Jersey | 2010 | c | 5,943,613 | 5,135,830 | 86.4 | 25 | 86.4 | 24 | 79.4 | 22 |
| New Mexico | 2010 | C | 1,422,325 | 1,147,177 | 80.7 | 39 | 80.7 | 38 | 75.0 | 35 |
| New York | 2010 | c | 13,218,007 | 11,806,744 | 89.3 | 18 | 89.3 | 18 | 80.8 | 20 |
| North Carolina | 2010 | c | 6,849,752 | 6,207,093 | 90.6 | 17 | 90.6 | 17 | 84.0 | 16 |
| North Dakota | 2010 | x | 514,816 | 522,720 | 101.5 | 3 | 0.0 | 47 | 0.0 | 47 |
| Ohio | 2010 | A | 8,616,928 | 8,044,315 | 93.4 |  | 93.4 |  | 93.4 |  |
| Oklahoma | 2010 | C | 2,710,457 | 2,082,428 | 76.8 | 41 | 76.8 | 41 | 65.4 | 42 |
| Oregon | 2010 | A | 2,757,741 | 2,068,798 | 75.0 | 45 | 75.0 | 43 | 75.0 | 34 |
| Pennsylvania | 2010 |  | 9,611,626 | 8,220,759 | 85.5 | 29 | 85.5 | 29 | 78.0 | 25 |
| Rhode Island | 2010 | c | 764,010 | 706,161 | 92.4 | 14 | 92.4 | 15 | 84.8 | 14 |
| South Carolina | 2010 | A | 3,424,129 | 2,630,363 | 76.8 | 42 | 86.4 | 25 | 76.8 | 27 |
| South Dakota | 2010 | A | 602,163 | 575,150 | 95.5 | 8 | 95.5 | 8 | 86.3 | 11 |
| Tennessee | 2010 | C | 4,695,233 | 3,952,404 | 84.2 | 34 | 84.2 | 34 | 76.8 | 28 |
| Texas | 2010 |  | 15,844,483 | 13,262,432 | 83.7 | 35 | 83.7 | 36 | 71.7 | 40 |
| Utah | 2010 | c | 1,766,056 | 1,500,305 | 85.0 | 32 | 85.0 | 32 | 75.8 | 30 |
| Vermont | 2010 | 0 | 486,687 | 439,333 | 90.3 | ... | 85.2 |  | 79.4 | $\ldots$ |
| Virginia | 2010 | C | 5,738,718 | 5,032,135 | 87.7 | 23 | 87.7 | 22 | 82.3 | 18 |
| Washington | 2010 | c | 4,740,920 | 4,066,517 | 85.8 | 28 | 85.8 | 28 | 76.0 | 29 |
| West Virginia | 2010 | c | 1,455,026 | 1,216,023 | 83.6 | 37 | 0.0 | 48 | 0.0 | 47 |
| Wisconsin | 2010 | A | 4,221,513 | 3,709,229 | 87.9 | 21 | 87.9 | 20 | 87.9 | 10 |
| Wyoming | 2010 | A | 419,530 | 270,083 | 64.4 | 48 | 64.4 | 46 | 64.4 | 43 |
| American Samoa | 2010 | A |  | 16,124 | ........ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ........ | $\cdots$ | ....... |
| Guam | 2010 | A | $\ldots$ | 52,821 | $\ldots$ | ....... | ....... | ....... | $\ldots$ | ....... |
| Puerto Rico | 2010 |  | 2,760,693 | 0 |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ....... | ....... |
| Virgin Islands | 2010 |  | ........ | 0 | ....... | ....... | $\cdots$ | ........ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Sum of Above | 2010 |  | 217,55,021 | 186,874,157 | 85.9 |  | 88.1 |  | 78.6 |  |

The "R" column indicates the inclusion of Active/nactive voters in the Reported Registration; see footnotes.

## Notes

This table indicates how the registration rate, that is, the percentage of those citizens of voting age population that are registered may vary both by the way each State reports the registration totals and by the estimate of the universe of citizen voting age population (CVAP).
The Bureau of the Census provides information from which an approximation of the CVAP may be obtained.
However, while the estimate of the total voting age population (VAP) is from the 2010 U.S. Census, the corresponding information for the CVAP estimate lags behind due to the release schedule of the American Community Survey (ACS), data periodically released by the Bureau.

The estimate for CVAP in this table has been derived from the most recent ACS data which is for the year 2009.

The rate of the total voting age that is a citizen is taken from the 2005-2009 5 -year ACS data. It is applied to the 2010 VAP.

The first section of this table reports the method used by the State to report their registration for 2010 An " $A$ " indicates that the "total" registration reported includes active voters only.
A " C " indicates that the "total" registration reported includes a combination of active and inactive voters. An " 0 " indicates that the state either allows local jurisdictions to determine whether to include the inactive voters, or the state uses some other method.
The section entitled "Reported Registration" provides information on the registration rate for the reported registration.
The section entitled "Active + Inactive" provides information on the registration rate for a combined total. The section entitled "Active Only" provides information on the registration rate for active voters only. States with partial data (...) are not given a rank.

## TABLES 1A. 1B. 1C. 1D. REGISTRATION HISTORY

Questions A1, A2 and A3. Number of registered voters and active/ inactive status

Registered Voters and Reported Registration: For past years, the numbers are drawn from past EAC and FEC reports on the NVRA. For 2010, the total is generally a report of responses to question A1 of the Election Administration and Voting Survey. An exception is North Dakota, which does not have voter registration; for North Dakota, EAC estimates reported registration using the U.S. Census Bureau's estimate of voting age population (VAP).

There may be some differences between 2010 and 2008 totals and the reported registration numbers for previous years; before 2008, the registration totals for all States were not collected through the survey mechanism but from State publications. In addition, for many States, consistent totals are difficult to determine due to the difference in reporting dates as well as the variety of purposes for which this information is reported.
Components of Total Registration: The column labeled " R " indicates the way States responded to question A2. This information is designed to reflect how a State normally reports their total registration numbers for official purposes, in particular whether it includes active and inactive registration in its reported totals. States that responded that they include both active and inactive voters are labeled " C ", for Combination. Those that only include Active voters are labeled "A." States that are labeled "O," for Other, generally have local jurisdictions determine the inclusion of Inactive voters. States with no code did not respond to the question. The status of a State for the "R" column may change over time. In addition, the survey response to this question may not reflect the actual status given the numeric survey responses to related questions. In some cases, the Total Registration does not equal the sum of the Active and Inactive voters due to missing data.

Inactive voters, generally, are those who have not voted in recent elections, who have been reported as having moved, or have been mailed a confirmation notice but did not respond. States and local jurisdictions vary in their management of Inactive voters on their lists.
Coverage: The column labeled as " P " indicates that the information provided for the registration counts was partial. Only Minnesota, Ohio and Vermont indicated that the information provided for registration counts was partial. In Vermont, not all jurisdictions are represented in the total. In Minnesota and Ohio some jurisdictions indicated that the number of reported registration was missing some number of registrations.
Voting Age Population (VAP): Voting age population refers to the number of persons 18 years of age and older. These estimates are provided by the U.S. Census Bureau from the 2010 Census. For more information, please see the website http://2010.census.gov/news/press-kits/redistricting.html.
Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP): Citizen voting age population is the estimated number of U.S. citizens 18 years and older. It was derived for this report from the estimated VAP and the State-level CVAP population collected by the Census Bureau in its American Community Survey (ACS). Unlike the VAP data which was drawn from the 2010 Census, the ACS represents a survey that included responses from one or more previous years. The ACS provides an estimate of the percentage of residents in a State that are U.S. citizens. This percentage was applied to the VAP data to calculate the CVAP
State Summary: Table la summarizes the historical registration information. Table 1b replicates Table la, but only presents the information for 2010. Tables lc and ld apply the registration totals to different bases: VAP in the case of Table 1 c and CVAP in the case of Table 1d. Neither table represents the true number of potential voters in the State, but they provide a consistent basis for comparing registration numbers between States.

SPECIFIC NOTES SUPPLIED BY THE STATES ON COVERAGE FOR RESPONSES OF THE ACTIVE/INACTIVE VOTERS FOR 2010:

| California | One county did not have a count of inactive voters. Another <br> county included "Safe At Home voters" as well as inactive voters <br> that were made active after the close of registration but prior to <br> Election Day. These voters did not cast a provisional ballot as <br> their registration was updated at the time the ballot was issued to <br> the voter. A third county stated that the inactive voters count was <br> last updated prior to 11/2/2010. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Colorado | The State election abstract provides Voter Registration informa- <br> tion on a count of active voters only. |
| Guam | The Guam Election Commission (GEC) purges registered voters if <br> the voter misses two consecutive general elections. At this point, <br> the GEC has not purged any voters so data are not available. |
| Hawaii | All data for Kalawao County are included in Maui County data. <br> Idaho |
| For question A1, the total includes voters from Pre-Registration <br> plus Election Day Registrants. For question A2, Idaho only main- <br> tains active voters. |  |
| Illinois | Twenty-three jurisdictions use both active and inactive registered <br> voters; 86 jurisdictions report only active registered voters; and <br> one jurisdiction did not complete the survey. |
| Minnesota | Results may be higher than actual if not all counties have com- <br> pleted Election Day Registration (EDR) entry. A1 Total = (the <br> number of voters pre-registered on rosters) plus (the number of <br> EDRs as canvassed) minus (the number of EDRs moved from <br> county as processed in SVRS) minus (EDR updates received <br> within the county from SVRS). The number of Election Data <br> Registrations totaled approximately 19,679 across seven counties; <br> these seven counties indicated that data entry for these registra- <br> tions had not been completed as of 1/26/2011. |


| Nevada | One county noted that while the answers to A1 were based on active <br> and inactive voters, election results and turnout are based on active <br> numbers only. Another noted that for Election reporting, it uses the <br> number of Active voters as the denominator for reporting turnout, <br> although both Active and Inactive voters are eligible to vote. |
| :--- | :--- |
| North <br> Carolina | Active voters include temporary voters who are registered under <br> the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act <br> (UOCAVA). |
| North <br> Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. (Note: While non- <br> citizens are not entitled to vote, for consistency between tables, <br> the registration is assumed to be the Voting Age Population. This <br> results in a registration rate of over 100\% in Table 1d for this State.) |
| Ohio | One county reported that the response for Ala is two voters less <br> than the 29,170 voters reported on Official Certification forms. <br> Another county reported that individuals who were not 18 when <br> registered but who were 18 by the November 2010 general election <br> were included in the count. A third county stated that it added <br> voters after October 4th. In response to question A3, the majority <br> of counties provided the number of voters in "confirmation status." <br> Complete responses at the local level are available on EAC's web- <br> site (http://wwweeac.gov). One county noted that in Ohio, there is <br> no statutory definition of inactive voters; therefore, the number of <br> active voters includes voters who were sent confirmation notices. |
| Vermont | One jurisdiction stated that two previously challenged voters <br> signed affidavits of domicile at election and voted. Another noted <br> that 10 were in other categories. A third jurisdiction stated that <br> two voters need oath and two voters need SSN verified. A fourth <br> jurisdiction included the following comment: "Needed to take <br> Voter's Oath+17; 1'st time voter ID not verified +1 =1001". |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2a. Application Sources: Total Forms Received

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Total <br> Applications <br> Received <br> 2008 to 2010 | Cases | Applications Received From Individual Voters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mail Registration Applications |  |  | In-Person Registration Applications |  |  | Internet Registration Applications |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. |
| Alabama | 67 | 646,497 | 67 | 117,227 | 66 | 18.1 | 262,585 | 66 | 40.6 | 0 | 67 | 0.0 |
| Alaska | 1 | 234,426 | 1 | 44,282 | 1 | 18.9 | 101,628 | 1 | 43.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 1,786,304 | 15 | 317,592 | 15 | 17.8 | 16,879 | 13 | 0.9 | 435,720 | 15 | 24.4 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 254,074 | 75 | 67,604 | 75 | 26.6 | 92,338 | 75 | 36.3 | 0 | 75 | 0.0 |
| California | 58 | 4,448,407 | 57 | 1,105,160 | 51 | 24.8 | 661,975 | 42 | 14.9 | 0 | 21 | 0.0 |
| Colorado | 64 | 3,910,236 | 64 | 1,579,730 | 64 | 40.4 | 1,071,830 | 64 | 27.4 | 47,426 | 64 | 1.2 |
| Connecticut | 169 | 522,318 | 169 | 99,699 | 169 | 19.1 | 89,244 | 169 | 17.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Delaware | 3 | 193,211 | 3 | 13,018 | 3 | 6.7 | 4,976 | 3 | 2.6 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 392,470 | 1 | 48,256 | 1 | 12.3 | 22,668 | 1 | 5.8 | 11,137 | 1 | 2.8 |
| Florida | 67 | 2,235,362 | 67 | 237,791 | 67 | 10.6 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 67 | 0.0 |
| Georgia | 159 | 1,796,700 | 159 | 994,137 | 159 | 55.3 | 91,976 | 159 | 5.1 | 0 | 159 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 150,718 | 4 | 21,899 | 4 | 14.5 | 21,546 | 4 | 14.3 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 264,107 | 44 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Illinois | 110 | 1,348,127 | 109 | 275,143 | 108 | 20.4 | 366,594 | 106 | 27.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Indiana | 92 | 1,210,015 | 92 | 7,752 | 7 | 0.6 | 2,722 | 4 | 0.2 | 29,090 | 92 | 2.4 |
| lowa | 99 | 621,914 | 99 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kansas | 105 | 721,670 | 105 | 114,857 | 105 | 15.9 | 40,366 | 105 | 5.6 | 48,316 | 105 | 6.7 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 1,226,309 | 120 | 19,579 | 120 | 1.6 | 477,312 | 120 | 38.9 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 64 | 532,735 | 64 | 103,998 | 64 | 19.5 | 113,466 | 64 | 21.3 | 7,839 | 64 | 1.5 |
| Maine | 505 | 223,472 | 505 | 6,770 | 505 | 3.0 | 148,679 | 505 | 66.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 24 | 1,364,361 | 24 | 58,341 | 24 | 4.3 | 2,694 | 24 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 681,575 | 351 | 5,083 | 3 | 0.7 | 844 | 2 | 0.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 83 | 2,500,011 | 83 | 111,376 | 83 | 4.5 | 185,577 | 83 | 7.4 | 0 | 83 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 545,093 | 87 | 28,965 | 87 | 5.3 | 334,027 | 87 | 61.3 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 82 | 203,740 | 62 | 55,281 | 57 | 27.1 | 84,011 | 56 | 41.2 | 4 | 40 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 116 | 1,006,502 | 116 | 154,900 | 116 | 15.4 | 71,010 | 115 | 7.1 | 9,775 | 54 | 1.0 |
| Montana | 56 | 174,587 | 56 | 31,414 | 56 | 18.0 | 35,703 | 56 | 20.4 | 0 | 56 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 358,732 | 93 | 73,501 | 93 | 20.5 | 57,502 | 93 | 16.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 17 | 307,456 | 14 | 34,677 | 10 | 11.3 | 9,646 | 10 | 3.1 | 2,793 | 8 | 0.9 |
| New Hampshire* | 323 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ....... |
| New Jersey | 21 | 359,791 | 21 | 167,040 | 21 | 46.4 | 34,284 | 21 | 9.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 333,909 | 33 | 23,302 | 25 | 7.0 | 55,037 | 25 | 16.5 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| New York | 62 | 1,006,392 | 53 | 314,180 | 50 | 31.2 | 51,879 | 46 | 5.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 1,332,210 | 100 | 201,085 | 100 | 15.1 | 401,067 | 100 | 30.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* | 53 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ....... |
| Ohio | 88 | 2,296,612 | 88 | 401,748 | 86 | 17.5 | 495,217 | 85 | 21.6 | 5 | 88 | 0.0 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 299,788 | 77 | 74,910 | 77 | 25.0 | 69,180 | 77 | 23.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oregon | 36 | 537,029 | 36 | 139,447 | 36 | 26.0 | 147,567 | 36 | 27.5 | 61,049 | 36 | 11.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 2,660,751 | 67 | 245,846 | 67 | 9.2 | 49,387 | 67 | 1.9 | 568 | 67 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 77,253 | 39 | 11,740 | 39 | 15.2 | 10,906 | 39 | 14.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina | 46 | 247,325 | 46 | 47,181 | 46 | 19.1 | 16,123 | 46 | 6.5 | 0 | 46 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 66 | 103,475 | 66 | 13,111 | 66 | 12.7 | 38,797 | 66 | 37.5 | 99 | 66 | 0.1 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 933,734 | 95 | 287,016 | 95 | 30.7 | 154,424 | 93 | 16.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Texas | 254 | 3,159,260 | 254 | 1,142,077 | 254 | 36.2 | 310,059 | 254 | 9.8 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Utah | 29 | 511,022 | 29 | 186,393 | 29 | 36.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 4,782 | 29 | 0.9 |
| Vermont | 233 | 57,484 | 202 | 25,344 | 167 | 44.1 | 13,028 | 176 | 22.7 | 56 | 127 | 0.1 |
| Virginia | 134 | 416,020 | 134 | 294,009 | 134 | 70.7 | 153,867 | 134 | 37.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 39 | 726,386 | 39 | 186,643 | 39 | 25.7 | 96,867 | 39 | 13.3 | 109,421 | 39 | 15.1 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 66,051 | 14 | 1,975 | 3 | 3.0 | 4,673 | 4 | 7.1 | 131 | 3 | 0.2 |
| Wisconsin* | 72 | 427,749 | 72 | 10,777 | 72 | 2.5 | 74,641 | 72 | 17.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 63,536 | 23 | 908 | 23 | 1.4 | 62,628 | 23 | 98.6 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 5,282 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0.2 | 5,272 | 1 | 99.8 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Guam* | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ........ | 2,000 | 1 | ........ | 0 | 1 | ..... |
| Puerto Rico* | 0 |  |  |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  | ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of Above | 4,678 | 45,482,188 | 4,195 | 9,502,774 | 3,543 | 20.9 | 6,614,701 | 3,432 | 14.5 | 768,211 | 1,480 | 1.7 |
| States Included |  | 50 |  | 48 |  |  | 47 |  |  | 17 |  |  |
| Question |  | A5a |  | A6a |  |  | A6b |  |  | A6c |  |  |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2a. Application Sources: Total Forms Received

| State | Applications Received From Different Voter Registration Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Motor Vehicle Offices |  |  | Public Assistance Offices |  |  | Disability Services Offices |  |  | Armed Forces Recruitment Offices |  |  | Other State Agencies |  |  |
|  | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. |
| Alabama | 14,232 | 65 | 2.2 | 19,059 | 66 | 2.9 | 1,707 | 64 | 0.3 | 572 | 64 | 0.1 | 4,637 | 66 | 0.7 |
| Alaska | 75,458 | 1 | 32.2 | 392 | 1 | 0.2 | 316 | 1 | 0.1 | 860 | 1 | 0.4 | 1,680 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Arizona | 704,035 | 15 | 39.4 | 16,694 | 15 | 0.9 | 3,725 | 13 | 0.2 | 4,040 | 14 | 0.2 | 70,495 | 11 | 3.9 |
| Arkansas | 67,648 | 75 | 26.6 | 4,078 | 75 | 1.6 | 598 | 75 | 0.2 | 86 | 75 | 0.0 | 3,459 | 75 | 1.4 |
| California | 608,765 | 56 | 13.7 | 46,630 | 57 | 1.0 | 3,311 | 44 | 0.1 | 1,678 | 38 | 0.0 | 107,003 | 38 | 2.4 |
| Colorado | 642,975 | 64 | 16.4 | 37,898 | 64 | 1.0 | 157 | 64 | 0.0 | 65 | 64 | 0.0 | 19,531 | 64 | 0.5 |
| Connecticut | 20,317 | 169 | 3.9 | 7,324 | 169 | 1.4 | 99 | 169 | 0.0 | 513 | 169 | 0.1 | 9,784 | 169 | 1.9 |
| Delaware | 164,523 | 3 | 85.2 | 1,741 | 3 | 0.9 | 56 | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 | 584 | 3 | 0.3 |
| District of Columbia | 117,897 | 1 | 30.0 | 792 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 170 | 1 | 0.0 | 93,810 | 1 | 23.9 |
| Florida | 1,596,518 | 67 | 71.4 | 13,707 | 67 | 0.6 | 667 | 67 | 0.0 | 241 | 67 | 0.0 | 3,325 | 67 | 0.1 |
| Georgia | 684,880 | 159 | 38.1 | 279 | 159 | 0.0 | 19 | 159 | 0.0 | 35 | 159 | 0.0 | 569 | 159 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 64,528 | 4 | 42.8 | 436 | 4 | 0.3 | 86 | 2 | 0.1 | 485 | 2 | 0.3 | 4,724 | 3 | 3.1 |
| Idaho* |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Illinois | 655,019 | 108 | 48.6 | 54,071 | 97 | 4.0 | 7,965 | 78 | 0.6 | 421 | 82 | 0.0 | 32,824 | 81 | 2.4 |
| Indiana | 620,096 | 92 | 51.2 | 20,489 | 92 | 1.7 | 1,693 | 92 | 0.1 | 266 | 92 | 0.0 | 79 | 92 | 0.0 |
| Iowa |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kansas | 217,458 | 105 | 30.1 | 7,966 | 105 | 1.1 | 380 | 105 | 0.1 | 105 | 105 | 0.0 | 0 | 105 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky | 635,044 | 120 | 51.8 | 39,020 | 120 | 3.2 | 2,542 | 120 | 0.2 | 229 | 120 | 0.0 | 4,405 | 120 | 0.4 |
| Louisiana | 284,432 | 64 | 53.4 | 6,037 | 64 | 1.1 | 1,214 | 64 | 0.2 | 1,534 | 64 | 0.3 | 0 | 64 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 25,791 | 505 | 11.5 | 7,112 | 505 | 3.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 143,839 | 24 | 10.5 | 5,784 | 24 | 0.4 | 44 | 24 | 0.0 | 23 | 24 | 0.0 | 1,108 | 24 | 0.1 |
| Massachusetts | 3,299 | 3 | 0.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 2,177,470 | 83 | 87.1 | 24,262 | 83 | 1.0 | 86 | 83 | 0.0 | 1,240 | 83 | 0.0 | 0 | 83 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 99,117 | 87 | 18.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 19,827 | 54 | 9.7 | 8,378 | 51 | 4.1 | 1,111 | 43 | 0.5 | 375 | 45 | 0.2 | 2,754 | 33 | 1.4 |
| Missouri | 244,438 | 116 | 24.3 | 121,037 | 116 | 12.0 | 575 | 106 | 0.1 | 308 | 95 | 0.0 | 198 | 81 | 0.0 |
| Montana | 28,198 | 56 | 16.2 | 6,564 | 56 | 3.8 | 18 | 56 | 0.0 | 175 | 56 | 0.1 | 8 | 56 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 130,711 | 93 | 36.4 | 785 | 64 | 0.2 | 228 | 38 | 0.1 | 449 | 47 | 0.1 | 36 | 10 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 39,061 | 11 | 12.7 | 1,677 | 9 | 0.5 | 43 | 7 | 0.0 | 41 | 7 | 0.0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* |  | 0 | ..... |  | 0 | .... |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 |  |
| New Jersey | 132,352 | 21 | 36.8 | 409 | 21 | 0.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 21 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 3,383 | 23 | 1.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| New York | 578,320 | 62 | 57.5 | 150,526 | 62 | 15.0 | 15,436 | 62 | 1.5 | 0 | 62 | 0.0 | 1,885 | 62 | 0.2 |
| North Carolina | 506,608 | 100 | 38.0 | 72,128 | 100 | 5.4 | 2,066 | 100 | 0.2 | 37 | 100 | 0.0 | 1,442 | 100 | 0.1 |
| North Dakota* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Ohio | 376,664 | 88 | 16.4 | 246,923 | 88 | 10.8 | 19,307 | 86 | 0.8 | 569 | 86 | 0.0 | 190,080 | 78 | 8.3 |
| Oklahoma | 94,443 | 77 | 31.5 | 11,525 | 77 | 3.8 | 33 | 77 | 0.0 | 8 | 77 | 0.0 | 497 | 77 | 0.2 |
| Oregon | 164,716 | 36 | 30.7 | 9,956 | 36 | 1.9 | 5,050 | 36 | 0.9 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 9,244 | 36 | 1.7 |
| Pennsylvania | 2,141,288 | 67 | 80.5 | 4,179 | 67 | 0.2 | 877 | 67 | 0.0 | 197 | 67 | 0.0 | 4,140 | 67 | 0.2 |
| Rhode Island | 46,938 | 39 | 60.8 | 707 | 39 | 0.9 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina | 177,456 | 46 | 71.8 | 6,466 | 46 | 2.6 | 87 | 46 | 0.0 | 12 | 46 | 0.0 | 0 | 46 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 38,618 | 66 | 37.3 | 4,405 | 66 | 4.3 | 239 | 66 | 0.2 | 178 | 66 | 0.2 | 759 | 66 | 0.7 |
| Tennessee | 268,878 | 94 | 28.8 | 124,709 | 93 | 13.4 | 2,983 | 48 | 0.3 | 2,669 | 85 | 0.3 | 34,745 | 89 | 3.7 |
| Texas | 926,278 | 254 | 29.3 | 16,239 | 254 | 0.5 | 16,216 | 254 | 0.5 | 10,299 | 254 | 0.3 | 543,578 | 254 | 17.2 |
| Utah | 227,986 | 29 | 44.6 | 2,930 | 29 | 0.6 | 1,505 | 29 | 0.3 | 719 | 29 | 0.1 | 89,274 | 29 | 17.5 |
| Vermont | 10,999 | 187 | 19.1 | 461 | 123 | 0.8 | 257 | 122 | 0.4 | 29 | 117 | 0.1 | 93 | 112 | 0.2 |
| Virginia | 901,568 | 134 | 216.7 | 32,368 | 134 | 7.8 | 292 | 134 | 0.1 | 66 | 134 | 0.0 | 69,657 | 134 | 16.7 |
| Washington | 194,951 | 39 | 26.8 | 6,612 | 37 | 0.9 | 168 | 14 | 0.0 | 2,968 | 31 | 0.4 | 1,122 | 15 | 0.2 |
| West Virginia |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 50 | 72 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Guam* | 0 | 1 | ....... |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 1 | ..... | 0 | 1 | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ...... |
| Sum of Above | 16,877,022 | 3,564 | 37.1 | 1,142,755 | 3,340 | 2.5 | 91,156 | 2,620 | 0.2 | 31,712 | 2,726 | 0.1 | 1,307,529 | 2,577 | 2.9 |
| States Included | 44 |  |  | 41 |  |  | 37 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 33 |  |  |
| Question | A6d |  |  | A6e |  |  | A6f |  |  | A6g |  |  | A6h |  |  |


| State | Difference Agencies (continued) |  |  |  |  |  | Not Categorized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Registration Drives from Advocacy Groups or Parties |  |  | Other Sources |  |  | Balance: (See Notes) |  |
|  | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 34,385 | 66 | 5.3 | 60,983 | 66 | 9.4 | 131,110 | 20.3 |
| Alaska | 9,810 | 1 | 4.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 131,568 | 13 | 7.4 | 81,664 | 9 | 4.6 | 3,892 | 0.2 |
| Arkansas | 12,713 | 75 | 5.0 | 5,550 | 75 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 130,735 | 30 | 2.9 | 1,716,099 | 30 | 38.6 | 67,051 | 1.5 |
| Colorado | 191,237 | 64 | 4.9 | 319,387 | 64 | 8.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut |  | 0 | 0.0 | 44,408 | 169 | 8.5 | 250,930 | 48.0 |
| Delaware | 332 | 3 | 0.2 | 7,981 | 3 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia |  | 0 | 0.0 | 97,740 | 1 | 24.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 0 | 67 | 0.0 | 382,634 | 67 | 17.1 | 479 | 0.0 |
| Georgia | 0 | 159 | 0.0 | 1,283,453 | 159 | 71.4 | $(1,258,648)$ | (70.1) |
| Hawaii | 478 | 1 | 0.3 | 36,536 | 2 | 24.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 264,107 | 100.0 |
| Illinois | 57,492 | 73 | 4.3 |  | 0 | 0.0 | $(101,402)$ | (7.5) |
| Indiana | 2,914 | 3 | 0.2 | 199,037 | 92 | 16.4 | 325,877 | 26.9 |
| lowa |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 621,914 | 100.0 |
| Kansas | 16,757 | 105 | 2.3 | 275,465 | 105 | 38.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky |  | 0 | 0.0 | 85,102 | 120 | 6.9 | $(36,924)$ | (3.0) |
| Louisiana | 14,215 | 64 | 2.7 | 0 | 64 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 3,075 | 505 | 1.4 | 32,045 | 505 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,152,528 | 24 | 84.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 4,011 | 1 | 0.6 | 813 | 2 | 0.1 | 667,525 | 97.9 |
| Michigan | 0 | 83 | 0.0 | 0 | 83 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 8,526 | 87 | 1.6 | 74,458 | 87 | 13.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 1,021 | 20 | 0.5 | 2,953 | 20 | 1.4 | 28,025 | 13.8 |
| Missouri | 0 | 33 | 0.0 | 144,312 | 25 | 14.3 | 259,949 | 25.8 |
| Montana | 4,717 | 56 | 2.7 | 67,790 | 56 | 38.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska |  | 0 | 0.0 | 14,049 | 85 | 3.9 | 81,471 | 22.7 |
| Nevada | 3,871 | 3 | 1.3 | 211,296 | 7 | 68.7 | 4,351 | 1.4 |
| New Hampshire* |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ....... | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| New Jersey |  | 0 | 0.0 | 25,706 | 21 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 252,187 | 75.5 |
| New York |  | 0 | 0.0 | 92,113 | 47 | 9.2 | $(197,947)$ | (19.7) |
| North Carolina |  | 0 | 0.0 | 147,777 | 100 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 123,353 | 51 | 5.4 | 437,266 | 88 | 19.0 | 5,480 | 0.2 |
| Oklahoma |  | 0 | 0.0 | 47,656 | 77 | 15.9 | 1,536 | 0.5 |
| Oregon |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 71,276 | 67 | 2.7 | 142,930 | 67 | 5.4 | 63 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 6,962 | 39 | 9.0 | 0 | 39 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 4,019 | 65 | 3.9 | 929 | 66 | 0.9 | 2,321 | 2.2 |
| Tennessee |  | 0 | 0.0 | 20,296 | 12 | 2.2 | 38,014 | 4.1 |
| Texas |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 194,514 | 6.2 |
| Utah |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | $(2,567)$ | (0.5) |
| Vermont | 1,112 | 122 | 1.9 | 1,329 | 31 | 2.3 | 4,776 | 8.3 |
| Virginia |  | 0 | 0.0 | 579,826 | 134 | 139.4 | $(1,615,633)$ | (388.4) |
| Washington | 32,569 | 30 | 4.5 | 95,065 | 26 | 13.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| West Virginia |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 59,272 | 89.7 |
| Wisconsin* | 335 | 72 | 0.1 | 341,946 | 72 | 79.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  | ...... |  |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Sum of Above | 867,483 | 1,959 | 1.9 | 8,229,122 | 2,701 | 18.1 | 49,723 | 0.1 |
| States Included | 25 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 28 |  |
| Question | A6i |  |  | A6j-o |  |  | calc |  |

## TABLE 2A. APPLICATION SOURCES: TOTAL FORMS RECEIVED

Questions A5 and A6. Registration applications: total forms received during the two-year period by means and source
General note: The Balance/Not Categorized column on the table compares the sum of all the categorical responses with the total indicated. If the balance is a positive number, the difference is treated as uncategorized responses. If the balance is a negative number (indicated by a red number in parentheses) the difference indicates that the sum of the responses is greater than the total indicated; this could occur by an error in data entry or by the inability to correctly categorize some responses, resulting in some over-counting.

## Notes from States:

Responses to A5 are included in several tables including Tables 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, and 3. EAC included comments presented in A5 with the notes from the table that includes the relevant data without duplication, but in some cases repeating the comment for two tables was necessary to explain the data included in the table.

| Arizona | Two counties reported that countywide ZIP code changes by the U.S. Postal Service affected all or several registration records in the county. |
| :---: | :---: |
| California | One county commented that there is no option to register via the Internet in California. Another county indicated that the breakdown for A6 only reflects counts from January 2010 when they upgraded the voter registration system and for A6b in-person registrations were not tracked until February 2010. One county indicated that its system does not distinguish between these means and sources while another indicated that further breakdown was not available. Another county reported that A6b includes voters registering through the Recorders office, city halls, libraries and some schools. One county reported that it does not track the numbers from registration drives and from political parties. |
| Connecticut | Address changes that cross jurisdiction borders are by law considered a new registration in the new jurisdiction. |
| Delaware | Delaware does not have the capability to track the number of forms. Delaware tracks the number and type of transactions. It is possible that one form may have up to three transactions. |
| Guam | Unfortunately, the GEC does not track these data. |
| Idaho | The Idaho Statewide Voter Registration System does not track how the registration forms are received by the County Clerks. In addition, Idaho Code does not allow Registration Cards to be submitted via the Internet or email. Idaho is not covered by the NVRA because it has Election Day Registration. Therefore, there are no cards from any of the agencies that the NVRA requires. |
| Iowa | For Iowa, the responses to A6 will not match A5a because it does not take into account changes to registrations. |
| Maine | A5 includes data corrections with no new form. A6e reflects a total of A6e + A6f $+\mathrm{A} 6 \mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{A} 6 \mathrm{~h}$. |
| Missouri | The statewide database does not report data for A 5 in the same manner as requested by these questions. One county reported that total A6 does not agree with A5a because they do not keep track of the source when a change of address has been submitted from other agencies. |
| Nebraska | The A5a total will be higher than A6a total since the sum of A5b - A5l includes administrative changes that may not have originated from a form. |
| Nevada | One county reported that all registrations (i.e. mail, in-person and motor vehicles) are combined with no way to separate registrations by categories. Another county commented that it was unsure how to complete A6 and A5f and indicated that the total from A6 will be off because A5f is not added in; this county does not have a breakdown of changes by category. Another county reported that there is no way to distinguish what applications were received by mail or completed in person at the Clerk's office. |


| North Carolina | A6o provides data on the total number of pre-registration forms. No data is available on the number of <br> pre-registrations by source. |
| :--- | :--- |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| Ohio | One county reported that A6f is included in A6e. Another county indicated that their system updates <br> the record for many different reasons other than the ones listed (New/Changes/Duplicates). One <br> county indicated that dates used ranged from 11/04/2008 through 11/02/2010. |
| Oklahoma | Totals will not match A5a because multiple transactions, such as name, party, and/or address change <br> may be submitted on a single VR application form. |
| Oregon | The Department of Defense has established procedures independent of those that Oregon has developed <br> for all other agencies in the State. Therefore, Oregon does not have the statistics for the Armed Forces. |
| South Carolina | A5a - Does not include address updates, name updates, or submissions of duplicate forms for persons <br> already registered in county. Voters previously registered in another SC county are included; these are <br> treated as new registrations in that particular county. Simply put, these numbers are all "new registra- <br> tions." A6c - No voter registration via the Internet in South Carolina. A6i - Voter Registration organiz- <br> ers typically use the State mail-in form. A6o - There are no agencies meeting this description. |
| Tennessee | One county indicated that numbers may be inflated as the program includes all transactions of any <br> kind made to any individual voter. |
| Virginia | Virginia reported that the total number of registration forms received was 416,020 (A5a) but that it <br> received 2,027,046 registration forms in response to A6. |
| West Virginia | One county reported that A5 is not tracked. <br> WisconsinThe period used for these questions is the day after the November 2008 General Election up through <br> and including the day of the November 2010 election. |
| Wyoming | Changes to name, party and address are captured by the system but are not grouped together with a <br> voter record. If there were three changes made to an existing registration, then that voter would be <br> counted three times. |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2b. Application Sources: New Registrations

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Total New Applications Received 2008 to 2010 | Cases | Applications Received From Individual Voters |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mail Registration Applications |  | In-Person Registration Applications |  | Internet Registration Applications |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 67 | 206,218 | 67 | 56,352 | 27.3 | 80,779 | 39.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alaska | 1 | 48,331 | 1 | 7,131 | 14.8 | 9,459 | 19.6 |  | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 509,837 | 15 | 43,977 | 8.6 | 3,543 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 119,723 | 75 | 33,517 | 28.0 | 34,796 | 29.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 58 | 1,575,356 | 51 | 577,083 | 36.6 | 315,317 | 20.0 | 95 | 0.0 |
| Colorado | 64 | 294,654 | 64 | 54,445 | 18.5 | 21,828 | 7.4 | 5,282 | 1.8 |
| Connecticut | 169 | 145,416 | 169 | 59,135 | 40.7 | 50,015 | 34.4 |  | 0.0 |
| Delaware | 3 | 48,651 | 3 | 3,129 | 6.4 | 1,695 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 64,912 | 1 | 6,532 | 10.1 | 6,997 | 10.8 | 2,902 | 4.5 |
| Florida | 67 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |
| Georgia | 159 | 544,526 | 159 | 98,837 | 18.2 | 51,085 | 9.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 37,707 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 116,254 | 44 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Illinois | 110 | 687,462 | 109 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Indiana | 92 | 284,730 | 92 | 12,731 | 4.5 | 2,796 | 1.0 | 9,103 | 3.2 |
| lowa | 99 | 171,164 | 99 | 14,154 | 8.3 | 23,879 | 14.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Kansas | 105 | 184,331 | 105 | 18,259 | 9.9 | 12,758 | 6.9 | 18,094 | 9.8 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 322,259 | 120 | 6,151 | 1.9 | 54,964 | 17.1 |  | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 64 | 180,057 | 64 | 45,386 | 25.2 | 21,081 | 11.7 | 3,000 | 1.7 |
| Maine | 505 | 49,606 | 505 | 1,438 | 2.9 | 42,781 | 86.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 24 | 225,691 | 24 | 57,630 | 25.5 | 2,634 | 1.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 307,176 | 351 | 75,752 | 24.7 | 44,870 | 14.6 |  | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 83 | 1,350,424 | 83 | 15,592 | 1.2 | 41,351 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 168,797 | 87 | 12,265 | 7.3 | 123,074 | 72.9 |  | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 82 | 88,607 | 54 | 29,023 | 32.8 | 37,544 | 42.4 | 4 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 116 | 422,805 | 115 | 33,803 | 8.0 | 19,231 | 4.5 | 4,809 | 1.1 |
| Montana | 56 | 38,507 | 56 | 30,789 | 80.0 | 35,595 | 92.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 98,004 | 93 | 11,213 | 11.4 | 18,984 | 19.4 |  | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 17 | 94,206 | 14 | 2,332 | 2.5 | 1,864 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* | 323 |  | 0 |  | ...... |  | ...... |  | ....... |
| New Jersey | 21 | 358,563 | 21 | 166,866 | 46.5 | 34,188 | 9.5 |  | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 113,192 | 33 | 26,491 | 23.4 | 67,333 | 59.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New York | 62 | 492,382 | 58 | 1,375 | 0.3 | 1,216 | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 544,288 | 100 | 47,675 | 8.8 | 104,166 | 19.1 |  | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* | 53 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 88 | 658,166 | 88 | 91,782 | 13.9 | 119,247 | 18.1 | 4 | 0.0 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 155,605 | 77 | 39,930 | 25.7 | 31,091 | 20.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Oregon | 36 | 166,735 | 36 | 25,833 | 15.5 | 39,039 | 23.4 | 10,387 | 6.2 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 347,596 | 67 | 95,474 | 27.5 | 13,105 | 3.8 | 113 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 53,282 | 39 | 5,566 | 10.4 | 7,068 | 13.3 |  | 0.0 |
| South Carolina | 46 | 247,325 | 46 | 47,181 | 19.1 | 16,123 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 66 | 37,841 | 65 | 4,966 | 13.1 | 21,637 | 57.2 | 94 | 0.2 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 485,795 | 95 | 153,733 | 31.6 | 83,519 | 17.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Texas | 254 | 1,262,994 | 254 | 579,750 | 45.9 | 163,686 | 13.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Utah | 29 | 63,279 | 29 | 20,741 | 32.8 |  | 0.0 | 3,439 | 5.4 |
| Vermont | 233 | 36,526 | 211 | 6,972 | 19.1 | 8,520 | 23.3 | 7 | 0.0 |
| Virginia | 134 | 299,305 | 134 | 68,795 | 23.0 | 43,826 | 14.6 |  | 0.0 |
| Washington | 39 | 397,079 | 39 | 56,707 | 14.3 | 18,717 | 4.7 | 53,774 | 13.5 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 25,706 | 14 | 11,994 | 46.7 | 7,487 | 29.1 | 546 | 2.1 |
| Wisconsin* | 72 | 156,693 | 72 | 4,310 | 2.8 | 33,598 | 21.4 |  | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 63,536 | 23 | 908 | 1.4 | 62,628 | 98.6 |  | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 1,574 | 1 | 10 | 0.6 | 1,564 | 99.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* | 1 |  | 0 |  | ........ | 2,000 | ........ |  | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* | 0 |  |  |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Sum of Above | 4,678 | 14,352,873 | 4,124 | 2,763,715 | 19.3 | 1,938,678 | 13.5 | 111,659 | 0.8 |
| States Included |  | 49 |  | 46 |  | 46 |  | 17 |  |
| Question |  | A5b |  | A7a |  | A7b |  | A7c |  |
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| State | Applications Received From Different Voter Registration Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Motor Vehicle Offices |  | Public Assistance Offices |  | Disability Services Offices |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Armed Forces } \\ \text { Recruitment Offices } \end{gathered}$ |  | Other State Agencies |  | Registration Drives from Advocacy Groups or Parties |  | Other Sources |  |
|  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 5,599 | 2.7 | 10,404 | 5.0 | 862 | 0.4 | 163 | 0.1 | 3,283 | 1.6 | 17,373 | 8.4 | 33,289 | 16.1 |
| Alaska | 30,643 | 63.4 | 164 | 0.3 | 148 | 0.3 | 62 | 0.1 | 710 | 1.5 | 14 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 416,941 | 81.8 | 6,381 | 1.3 | 598 | 0.1 | 282 | 0.1 | 6,192 | 1.2 | 26,429 | 5.2 | 5,488 | 1.1 |
| Arkansas | 39,413 | 32.9 | 2,045 | 1.7 | 351 | 0.3 | 40 | 0.0 | 1,859 | 1.6 | 7,509 | 6.3 | 193 | 0.2 |
| California | 248,414 | 15.8 | 22,790 | 1.4 | 572 | 0.0 | 304 | 0.0 | 39,800 | 2.5 | 51,584 | 3.3 | 205,000 | 13.0 |
| Colorado | 167,975 | 57.0 | 7,188 | 2.4 | 30 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 642 | 0.2 | 35,415 | 12.0 | 1,846 | 0.6 |
| Connecticut | 7,073 | 4.9 | 4,583 | 3.2 | 64 | 0.0 | 189 | 0.1 | 1,260 | 0.9 | 1,248 | 0.9 | 22,503 | 15.5 |
| Delaware | 40,555 | 83.4 | 1,025 | 2.1 | 33 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 476 | 1.0 | 138 | 0.3 | 1,600 | 3.3 |
| District of Columbia | 39,666 | 61.1 | 339 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 89 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 8,378 | 12.9 |
| Florida |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |  | ..... |
| Georgia | 333,904 | 61.3 | 13,373 | 2.5 | 38 | 0.0 | 231 | 0.0 | 16,348 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 30,710 | 5.6 |
| Hawaii |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Idaho* |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Illinois |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Indiana | 159,673 | 56.1 | 6,536 | 2.3 | 600 | 0.2 | 69 | 0.0 | 28 | 0.0 | 2,851 | 1.0 | 26,447 | 9.3 |
| Iowa | 101,525 | 59.3 | 2,210 | 1.3 | 318 | 0.2 | 46 | 0.0 | 116 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 28,916 | 16.9 |
| Kansas | 112,465 | 61.0 | 2,308 | 1.3 | 45 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4,106 | 2.2 | 16,285 | 8.8 |
| Kentucky | 235,793 | 73.2 | 20,208 | 6.3 | 1,396 | 0.4 | 67 | 0.0 | 3,680 | 1.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 112,927 | 62.7 | 1,247 | 0.7 | 510 | 0.3 | 506 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7,933 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 1,232 | 2.5 | 2,586 | 5.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 1,341 | 2.7 | 228 | 0.5 |
| Maryland | 138,232 | 61.2 | 5,746 | 2.5 | 44 | 0.0 | 23 | 0.0 | 1,105 | 0.5 |  | 0.0 | 20,277 | 9.0 |
| Massachusetts | 184,254 | 60.0 | 1,476 | 0.5 | 555 | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 269 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 1,291,328 | 95.6 | 2,060 | 0.2 | 16 | 0.0 | 77 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 25,360 | 15.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 6,320 | 3.7 | 1,777 | 1.1 |
| Mississippi | 10,950 | 12.4 | 4,629 | 5.2 | 941 | 1.1 | 240 | 0.3 | 2,177 | 2.5 | 721 | 0.8 | 741 | 0.8 |
| Missouri | 73,445 | 17.4 | 40,505 | 9.6 | 235 | 0.1 | 98 | 0.0 | 96 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 25,794 | 6.1 |
| Montana | 27,931 | 72.5 | 6,559 | 17.0 | 18 | 0.0 | 171 | 0.4 | 8 | 0.0 | 4,624 | 12.0 | 67,229 | 174.6 |
| Nebraska | 66,132 | 67.5 | 317 | 0.3 | 22 | 0.0 | 78 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 1,234 | 1.3 |
| Nevada | 4,814 | 5.1 | 133 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 23 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 206 | 0.2 | 1,944 | 2.1 |
| New Hampshire* |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ...... |  | ....... |  | ....... |  | ....... |  | ........ |
| New Jersey | 131,444 | 36.7 | 409 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 25,656 | 7.2 |
| New Mexico | 4,057 | 3.6 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| New York | 241,888 | 49.1 | 62,599 | 12.7 | 6,844 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 487 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 4,034 | 0.8 |
| North Carolina | 339,943 | 62.5 | 40,454 | 7.4 | 1,294 | 0.2 | 17 | 0.0 | 839 | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 9,900 | 1.8 |
| North Dakota* |  | $\ldots .$. |  | ....... |  | ...... |  | ..... |  | ..... |  | ..... |  | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 213,328 | 32.4 | 85,214 | 12.9 | 5,687 | 0.9 | 142 | 0.0 | 61,291 | 9.3 | 17,943 | 2.7 | 54,606 | 8.3 |
| Oklahoma | 60,655 | 39.0 | 7,959 | 5.1 | 33 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 328 | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 15,493 | 10.0 |
| Oregon | 81,536 | 48.9 | 4,568 | 2.7 | 1,971 | 1.2 |  | 0.0 | 3,401 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 197,643 | 56.9 | 1,965 | 0.6 | 355 | 0.1 | 75 | 0.0 | 1,623 | 0.5 | 33,737 | 9.7 | 3,505 | 1.0 |
| Rhode Island | 35,242 | 66.1 | 486 | 0.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 4,920 | 9.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina | 177,456 | 71.8 | 6,466 | 2.6 | 87 | 0.0 | 12 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 12,946 | 34.2 | 1,914 | 5.1 | 39 | 0.1 | 122 | 0.3 | 138 | 0.4 | 498 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 152,377 | 31.4 | 61,116 | 12.6 | 2,558 | 0.5 | 1,897 | 0.4 | 27,327 | 5.6 | 2 | 0.0 | 764 | 0.2 |
| Texas | 500,266 | 39.6 | 6,380 | 0.5 | 8,710 | 0.7 | 4,567 | 0.4 | 42,582 | 3.4 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Utah | 35,238 | 55.7 |  | 0.0 | 288 | 0.5 | 38 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 3,535 | 5.6 |  | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 6,473 | 17.7 | 146 | 0.4 | 30 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 35 | 0.1 | 549 | 1.5 | 227 | 0.6 |
| Virginia | 173,141 | 57.8 | 9,576 | 3.2 | 95 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.0 | 197 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 3,665 | 1.2 |
| Washington | 81,693 | 20.6 | 2,045 | 0.5 | 540 | 0.1 | 681 | 0.2 | 1,632 | 0.4 | 13,694 | 3.4 | 2,717 | 0.7 |
| West Virginia |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 31 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 173 | 0.1 | 118,581 | 75.7 |
| Wyoming* |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | . . . $\ldots$. |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | ....... |
| Sum of Above | 6,321,570 | 44.0 | 456,109 | 3.2 | 35,927 | 0.3 | 10,368 | 0.1 | 217,952 | 1.5 | 242,863 | 1.7 | 739,027 | 5.1 |
| States Included | 42 |  | 39 |  | 35 |  | 34 |  | 31 |  | 25 |  | 31 |  |
| Question | A7d |  | A7e |  | A7f |  | A7g |  | A7h |  | A7i |  | A7j-0 |  |
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| State | Not Categorized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balance: (See Notes) |  |
|  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | $(1,886)$ | (0.9) |
| Alaska | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 114,397 | 7.3 |
| Colorado | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut | (654) | (0.4) |
| Delaware | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 0 | ..... |
| Georgia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 37,707 | 100.0 |
| Idaho* | 116,254 | 100.0 |
| Illinois | 687,462 | 100.0 |
| Indiana | 63,896 | 22.4 |
| Iowa | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kansas | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky | 0 | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | $(12,533)$ | (7.0) |
| Maine | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 0 | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 1 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 1,637 | 1.8 |
| Missouri | 224,789 | 53.2 |
| Montana | $(134,417)$ | (349.1) |
| Nebraska | 10 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 82,890 | 88.0 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | ........ |
| New Jersey | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 15,311 | 13.5 |
| New York | 173,939 | 35.3 |
| North Carolina | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 8,922 | 1.4 |
| Oklahoma | 114 | 0.1 |
| Oregon | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 1 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | $(4,513)$ | (11.9) |
| Tennessee | 2,502 | 0.5 |
| Texas | $(42,947)$ | (3.4) |
| Utah | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 13,565 | 37.1 |
| Virginia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 164,879 | 41.5 |
| West Virginia | 5,679 | 22.1 |
| Wisconsin* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* |  | ...... |
| Sum of Above | 1,515,005 | 10.6 |
| States Included | 25 |  |
| Question | calc |  |

## TABLE 2B. APPLICATION SOURCES: NEW REGISTRATIONS

## Question A7. Registrations applications: new registrations by means and source

General note: The Balance/Not Categorized column on the table compares the sum of all the categorical responses with the total indicated. If the balance is a positive number, the difference is treated as uncategorized responses. If the balance is a negative number (indicated by a red number in parentheses) the difference indicates that the sum of the responses is greater than the total indicated; this could occur by an error in data entry or by the inability to correctly categorize some responses, resulting in some over-counting.

Notes from States:

| Arizona | One county noted that the response to A5b Includes "pre" registration of persons under age 18. All counties indicated that for A7c and d: Arizona's online voter registration system (EZ Voter) ties in to the motor vehicle division, and online voter registration does not distinguish between an add or a modify. |
| :---: | :---: |
| California | One county stated that these data are not available from its election management system. One county reported that it reports a different breakdown between A5b and A7a because different statistical information is being requested. Another reported that it has no method for tracking new vs. duplicate registrations. Another county reported that it tracks whether registration cards are new or re-registrations and whether they are delivered in person, by mail, from the NVRA or unknown; it does not, however, track the new and re-registrations in relation to the source. Another county reported that it tracks by source code, not by delivery method. |
| Idaho | The Idaho Statewide Voter Registration System does not track how the registration forms are received by the County Clerks. In addition, Idaho Code does not allow Registration Cards to be submitted via the Internet or email. Idaho is not covered by the NVRA. Therefore, there are no cards from any of the agencies that the NVRA requires. |
| Louisiana | Currently, the totals for new registrations by source categories (A7a - A7o) equal the total of new valid registrations (A5b) and new "pre" registrations of persons under age 18 (A5c). Louisiana's statewide voter registration system was not programmed to separate the "pre" registrations from the total new valid registrations by source. |
| Maine | A7e reflects a total of A7e + A7f + A7g + A7h. |
| Michigan | A5b reflects voters who registered for the first time in Michigan and voters who moved from one jurisdiction to another in Michigan. |
| Mississippi | One county reported that it had 1,052 name and address changes during this period. The changes were not from new registration forms, but the forms from the Election Commissioners came from new addresses. |
| New York | One county indicated that address changes that cross county borders appear in A5b, as voters are required to register as new when moving from one county to another though they may have been registered in the previous county. |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| Ohio | One county commented that "pre" registrations of persons under age 18 are included in the new valid registrations reported on line A5b. |
| Oregon | The Department of Defense has established procedures independent of those Oregon has developed for all other agencies in the State. Therefore, Oregon does not have the statistics for the Armed Forces. Oregon does not track registration drives. |
| South Carolina | Numbers provided in A5a are all "new registrations." |
| Tennessee | One county indicated that the previous local administration prior to December 2009 did not code transactions in the computer properly making it impossible for its software to give accurate counts on this breakdown. The county is in the process of installing new software through Voter Central to correct this problem. This issue with record keeping is isolated. |
| Washington | One county stated that during 2009 it changed Voter Registration systems and is unable to pull cumulative data. Another reported that its system does not track the information for A7. Another county reported that it received the Motor Voter Application (A7d) via the electronic Washington Election Information System. Another commented that it does not track new registration forms separately. One county indicated that A6e and A6f are combined and total New Registrations $=\mathrm{A} 5 \mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{A} 5$ g. |
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Table 2c. Application Sources: Duplicate Registrations

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Total Duplicate Applications Received 2008 to 2010 | Cases | Applications Received From Individual Voters |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mail Registration Applications |  | In-Person Registration Applications |  | Internet Registration Applications |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 67 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | ....... |  | ........ |
| Alaska | 1 | 51,747 | 1 | 11,761 | 22.7 | 27,229 | 52.6 |  | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 162,723 | 12 | 20,059 | 12.3 | 100 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 13,670 | 75 | 2,739 | 20.0 | 4,709 | 34.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 58 | 447,338 | 49 | 118,317 | 26.4 | 103,907 | 23.2 | 51 | 0.0 |
| Colorado | 64 | 39,926 | 64 | 19,898 | 49.8 | 6,036 | 15.1 | 520 | 1.3 |
| Connecticut | 169 |  | 0 |  | . ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |
| Delaware | 3 | 51,468 | 3 | 577 | 1.1 | 14 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 8,737 | 1 | 1,027 | 11.8 | 801 | 9.2 | 320 | 3.7 |
| Florida | 67 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Georgia | 159 | 339,105 | 159 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 27,926 | 2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | 44 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ...... |  | ..... |
| Illinois | 110 | 74,763 | 96 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Indiana | 92 | 42,380 | 92 | 1,320 | 3.1 | 80 | 0.2 | 1,475 | 3.5 |
| lowa | 99 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Kansas | 105 | 13,033 | 105 | 2,252 | 17.3 | 104 | 0.8 | 2,062 | 15.8 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 0 | 120 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |
| Louisiana | 64 | 6,809 | 64 | 1,176 | 17.3 | 53 | 0.8 | 195 | 2.9 |
| Maine | 505 | 1,744 | 505 | 81 | 4.6 | 221 | 12.7 |  | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 24 | 167,245 | 24 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 2,182 | 4 | 54,142 | 2,481.3 | 33,283 | 1,525.3 |  | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 83 | 362,256 | 83 | 2,807 | 0.8 | 8,727 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 66,487 | 87 | 2,653 | 4.0 | 28,223 | 42.4 |  | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 82 | 1,043 | 33 | 721 | 69.1 | 1,406 | 134.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 116 | 19,527 | 54 | 740 | 3.8 | 137 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Montana | 56 | 1,015 | 50 | 383 | 37.7 | 76 | 7.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 8,948 | 49 | 1,394 | 15.6 | 766 | 8.6 |  | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 17 | 35 | 4 | 15 | 42.9 | 4 | 11.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* | 323 |  | 0 |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |  |  |
| New Jersey | 21 | 8,817 | 21 | 3,856 | 43.7 | 1,403 | 15.9 |  | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 0 | 1 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| New York | 62 | 126,282 | 55 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 76,334 | 100 | 21,339 | 28.0 | 25,386 | 33.3 |  | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* | 53 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Ohio | 88 | 170,519 | 82 | 51,804 | 30.4 | 36,467 | 21.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 1,212 | 77 | 275 | 22.7 | 270 | 22.3 |  | 0.0 |
| Oregon | 36 | 1,148 | 36 | 332 | 28.9 | 387 | 33.7 | 51 | 4.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 243,397 | 67 | 16,460 | 6.8 | 3,530 | 1.5 | 183 | 0.1 |
| Rhode Island | 39 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| South Carolina | 46 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| South Dakota | 66 | 2,641 | 65 | 351 | 13.3 | 367 | 13.9 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 48,002 | 90 | 12,044 | 25.1 | 1,272 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Texas | 254 | 132,454 | 254 | 54,356 | 41.0 | 7,998 | 6.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Utah | 29 | 7,444 | 29 | 2,338 | 31.4 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 233 | 1,932 | 154 | 196 | 10.1 | 120 | 6.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Virginia | 134 | 109,773 | 134 | 23,818 | 21.7 | 5,091 | 4.6 |  | 0.0 |
| Washington | 39 | 40,843 | 29 | 8,499 | 20.8 | 3,616 | 8.9 | 5,453 | 13.4 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 72 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ...... |
| Wyoming* | 23 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ........ | 0 | $\ldots \ldots$. | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Guam* | 1 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* | 0 |  |  |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Sum of Above | 4,678 | 2,880,930 | 2,939 | 437,730 | 15.2 | 301,783 | 10.5 | 10,311 | 0.4 |
| States Included |  | 38 |  | 32 |  | 31 |  | 10 |  |
| Question |  | A5d |  | A8a |  | A8b |  | A8c |  |
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Table 2c. Application Sources: Duplicate Registrations

| State | Applications Received From Different Voter Registration Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Motor Vehicle Offices |  | Public Assistance Offices |  | Disability Services Offices |  | Armed Forces Recruitment Offices |  | Other State Agencies |  | Registration Drives from Advocacy Groups or Parties |  | Other Sources |  |
|  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Alaska | 5,060 | 9.8 | 28 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.0 | 130 | 0.3 | 82 | 0.2 | 7,441 | 14.4 |  | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 133,425 | 82.0 | 240 | 0.1 | 41 | 0.0 | 877 | 0.5 | 2,949 | 1.8 | 4,439 | 2.7 | 592 | 0.4 |
| Arkansas | 2,878 | 21.1 | 320 | 2.3 | 19 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.1 | 108 | 0.8 | 534 | 3.9 | 2,353 | 17.2 |
| California | 45,104 | 10.1 | 5,465 | 1.2 | 92 | 0.0 | 190 | 0.0 | 20,754 | 4.6 | 13,827 | 3.1 | 65,619 | 14.7 |
| Colorado | 8,525 | 21.4 | 297 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 77 | 0.2 | 2,256 | 5.7 | 2,315 | 5.8 |
| Connecticut |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Delaware | 49,619 | 96.4 | 168 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.0 | 121 | 0.2 | 954 | 1.9 |
| District of Columbia | 6,262 | 71.7 | 46 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 276 | 3.2 |
| Florida |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Georgia | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,323 | 0.4 |
| Hawaii |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Idaho* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Illinois |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Indiana | 23,414 | 55.2 | 1,696 | 4.0 | 149 | 0.4 | 69 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.0 | 61 | 0.1 | 9,507 | 22.4 |
| lowa |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Kansas | 4,998 | 38.3 | 302 | 2.3 | 24 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 366 | 2.8 | 2,922 | 22.4 |
| Kentucky |  | ....... |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ....... |
| Louisiana | 5,265 | 77.3 | 16 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 92 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 982 | 56.3 | 162 | 9.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 9 | 0.5 | 289 | 16.6 |
| Maryland |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 167,245 | 100.0 |
| Massachusetts | 70,808 | 3,245.1 | 531 | 24.3 | 417 | 19.1 |  | 0.0 | 170 | 7.8 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 349,491 | 96.5 | 1,041 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | 189 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 33,003 | 49.6 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 470 | 0.7 | 2,138 | 3.2 |
| Mississippi | 2 | 0.2 | 10 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 25 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 1,365 | 7.0 | 1,251 | 6.4 | 5 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 255 | 1.3 |
| Montana | 119 | 11.7 | 3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 56 | 5.5 | 374 | 36.8 |
| Nebraska | 6,665 | 74.5 | 24 | 0.3 | 17 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 22 | 0.2 |
| Nevada | 3 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* |  | . |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| New Jersey | 3,166 | 35.9 | 71 | 0.8 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 321 | 3.6 |
| New Mexico |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| New York | 92,716 | 73.4 | 29,047 | 23.0 | 2,101 | 1.7 | 31 | 0.0 | 61 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| North Carolina | 11,662 | 15.3 | 3,819 | 5.0 | 86 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.0 | 51 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 13,984 | 18.3 |
| North Dakota* |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Ohio | 29,328 | 17.2 | 35,897 | 21.1 | 5,389 | 3.2 | 29 | 0.0 | 16,240 | 9.5 | 3,770 | 2.2 | 15,083 | 8.8 |
| Oklahoma | 287 | 23.7 | 105 | 8.7 | 4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.3 |  | 0.0 | 242 | 20.0 |
| Oregon | 334 | 29.1 | 27 | 2.4 | 6 | 0.5 |  | 0.0 | 11 | 1.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 211,812 | 87.0 | 294 | 0.1 | 88 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 299 | 0.1 | 6,585 | 2.7 | 4,121 | 1.7 |
| Rhode Island |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ | 0 | ........ |
| South Carolina |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| South Dakota | 1,367 | 51.8 | 190 | 7.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 20 | 0.8 | 9 | 0.3 | 82 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 22,721 | 47.3 | 8,582 | 17.9 | 277 | 0.6 | 189 | 0.4 | 1,101 | 2.3 |  | 0.0 | 146 | 0.3 |
| Texas | 50,456 | 38.1 | 720 | 0.5 | 470 | 0.4 | 814 | 0.6 | 19,442 | 14.7 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Utah | 2,486 | 33.4 |  | 0.0 | 33 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 2,582 | 34.7 |  | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 1,379 | 71.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.4 | 21 | 1.1 | 41 | 2.1 |
| Virginia | 63,234 | 57.6 | 1,695 | 1.5 | 8 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 64 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 15,861 | 14.4 |
| Washington | 8,634 | 21.1 | 188 | 0.5 | 60 | 0.1 | 334 | 0.8 | 99 | 0.2 | 1,677 | 4.1 | 168 | 0.4 |
| West Virginia |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Wyoming* |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| American Samoa* | 0 | ........ | 0 | ...... | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
| Guam* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* |  | ........ |  | ..... |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Sum of Above | 1,246,570 | 43.3 | 92,237 | 3.2 | 9,324 | 0.3 | 2,942 | 0.1 | 61,548 | 2.1 | 44,394 | 1.5 | 306,151 | 10.6 |
| States Included | 33 |  | 30 |  | 25 |  | 23 |  | 23 |  | 19 |  | 24 |  |
| Question | A8d |  | A8e |  | A8f |  | A8g |  | A8h |  | A8i |  | A8j-0 |  |
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Table 2c. Application Sources: Duplicate Registrations

| State | Not Categorized Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 0 | ....... |
| Alaska | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 1 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 74,012 | 16.5 |
| Colorado | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Delaware | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 0 | ..... |
| Georgia | 337,782 | 99.6 |
| Hawaii | 27,926 | 100.0 |
| Idaho* | 0 | ........ |
| Illinois | 74,763 | 100.0 |
| Indiana | 4,605 | 10.9 |
| lowa | 0 | ........ |
| Kansas | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Louisiana | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | $(157,169)$ | $(7,203.0)$ |
| Michigan | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | $(1,127)$ | (108.1) |
| Missouri | 15,772 | 80.8 |
| Montana | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 51 | 0.6 |
| Nevada | 13 | 37.1 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | ........ |
| New Jersey | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 0 | ... |
| New York | 2,326 | 1.8 |
| North Carolina | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* | 0 | ....... |
| Ohio | $(23,488)$ | (13.8) |
| Oklahoma | 25 | 2.1 |
| Oregon | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 23 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Carolina | 0 | ....... |
| South Dakota | 252 | 9.5 |
| Tennessee | 1,670 | 3.5 |
| Texas | $(1,802)$ | (1.4) |
| Utah | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 165 | 8.5 |
| Virginia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 12,115 | 29.7 |
| West Virginia | 25 | 100.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Wyoming* | 0 | ........ |
| American Samoa* | 0 | ...... |
| Guam* | 0 | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | ....... |
| Virgin Islands* |  | ........ |
| Sum of Above | 367,940 | 12.8 |
| States Included | 21 |  |
| Question | calc |  |

## TABLE 2C. APPLICATION SOURCES: DUPLICATES OF EXISTING REGISTRATIONS

Question A8: Registration applications, duplicates of existing registrations by means and source
General note: The Balance/Not Categorized column on the table compares the sum of all the categorical responses with the total indicated. If the balance is a positive number, the difference is treated as uncategorized responses. If the balance is a negative number (indicated by a red number in parentheses) the difference indicates that the sum of the responses is greater than the total indicated; this could occur by an error in data entry or by the inability to correctly categorize some responses, resulting in some over-counting.

## Notes from States:

| Arizona | For A8c and d: Arizona's online voter registration system (EZ Voter) ties in to the motor vehicle division, and online voter registration does not distinguish between an add or a modify. |
| :---: | :---: |
| California | Three counties reported that these data are not available from their election management system. One county reported that the total in line A is a combined total of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{D}$. One county indicated that it has no method for tracking new vs. duplicate registrations. |
| Idaho | The Idaho Statewide Voter Registration System does not track how the registration forms are received by the County Clerks. Also, Idaho Code does not allow Registration Cards to be submitted via the Internet or email. Idaho is not covered by the NVRA. Therefore, there are no cards from any of the agencies that the NVRA requires. |
| Massachusetts | Massachusetts reported only 2,182 duplicate registrations in response to A5d, but 159,351 duplicates of existing registrations in response to A8. |
| Maine | A8e reflects a total of A8e $+\mathrm{A} 8 \mathrm{f}+\mathrm{A} 8 \mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{A} 8 \mathrm{~h}$. |
| Mississippi | Mississippi reported only 1,043 duplicate registrations in response to A5d, but 2,170 duplicates of existing registrations in response to A8. |
| Montana | Six counties commented that duplicate numbers are not provided by the county. |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| Ohio | One county reported that A5d duplicate registrations are included in the changes reported on line A5f as the voter registration system does not track duplicates. |
| Oregon | The Department of Defense has established procedures independent of those Oregon has developed for all other agencies in the State. Therefore, Oregon does not have the statistics for the Armed Forces. |
| Tennessee | One county indicated that the previous local administration prior to December 2009 did not code transactions in the computer properly, making it impossible for its software to give accurate counts on this breakdown. The county is in the process of installing new software through Voter Central to correct this problem. This issue with record keeping is isolated. |
| Washington | One county stated that it had no way of tracking all these data. If a voter's registration had any change at all (e.g., additional information such as phone, driver's license, or SSN, etc.) the county did not believe it was tallied as a duplicate. Another county stated that duplicates are not kept as a separate category; rather, corrections are applied as required to the existing voter records. |
| Wyoming | Since Wyoming's system is real-time, the moment a potential duplicate is processed it is no longer tracked by the system. |
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Table 2d. Application Sources: Invalid or Rejected Forms

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Total Invalid Applications Received 2008 to 2010 | Cases | Applications Received From Individual Voters |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mail Registration Applications |  | In-Person Registration Applications |  | Internet Registration Applications |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 67 | 211 | 67 | 20 | 9.5 | 26 | 12.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alaska | 1 | 4,320 | 1 | 926 | 21.4 | 658 | 15.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 20,301 | 14 | 14,722 | 72.5 | 103 | 0.5 |  | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 5,675 | 75 | 2,271 | 40.0 | 728 | 12.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 58 | 109,459 | 36 | 17,782 | 16.2 | 22,465 | 20.5 | 293 | 0.3 |
| Colorado | 64 | 20,958 | 64 | 6,791 | 32.4 | 2,476 | 11.8 | 65 | 0.3 |
| Connecticut | 169 |  | 0 |  | . ....... |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Delaware | 3 | 9,269 | 3 | 568 | 6.1 | 159 | 1.7 |  | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 1,925 | 1 | 101 | 5.2 | 95 | 4.9 | 10 | 0.5 |
| Florida | 67 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Georgia | 159 | 1,089 | 159 | 488 | 44.8 | 135 | 12.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 4 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Idaho* | 44 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | . ....... |
| Illinois | 110 | 29,901 | 85 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Indiana | 92 | 340,550 | 92 | 82 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 103 | 0.0 |
| lowa | 99 | 383 | 99 | 99 | 25.8 | 107 | 27.9 |  | 0.0 |
| Kansas | 105 | 64 | 105 | 3 | 4.7 | 19 | 29.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 85,102 | 120 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 64 | 10,738 | 64 | 1,374 | 12.8 | 67 | 0.6 | 222 | 2.1 |
| Maine | 505 | 522 | 505 | 7 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 24 | 4,879 | 24 | 1,817 | 37.2 | 752 | 15.4 |  | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 10,512 | 351 | 58 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 83 | 3,476 | 83 | 77 | 2.2 | 148 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 406 | 87 | 63 | 15.5 | 223 | 54.9 |  | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 82 | 624 | 36 | 374 | 59.9 | 114 | 18.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 116 | 2,056 | 76 | 33 | 1.6 | 19 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Montana | 56 | 648 | 53 | 242 | 37.3 | 32 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 683 | 27 | 56 | 8.2 | 202 | 29.6 |  | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 17 | 147 | 3 | 83 | 56.5 | 7 | 4.8 |  | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* | 323 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| New Jersey | 21 | 32,712 | 21 | 8,518 | 26.0 | 2,307 | 7.1 |  | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 0 | 1 |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| New York | 62 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| North Carolina | 100 | 54,365 | 100 | 4,314 | 7.9 | 12,234 | 22.5 |  | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* | 53 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Ohio | 88 | 15,502 | 75 | 1,637 | 10.6 | 865 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oklahoma | 77 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Oregon | 36 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 432,778 | 67 | 5,616 | 1.3 | 375 | 0.1 | 56 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 39 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| South Carolina | 46 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| South Dakota | 66 | 131 | 65 | 24 | 18.3 | 8 | 6.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 39,728 | 61 | 9,895 | 24.9 | 384 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Texas | 254 | 141,589 | 254 | 57,667 | 40.7 | 23,811 | 16.8 |  | 0.0 |
| Utah | 29 | 1,445 | 29 | 1,176 | 81.4 |  | 0.0 | 104 | 7.2 |
| Vermont | 233 | 459 | 133 | 58 | 12.6 | 15 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Virginia | 134 | 6,942 | 131 | 1,145 | 16.5 | 128 | 1.8 |  | 0.0 |
| Washington | 39 | 3,053 | 24 | 458 | 15.0 | 195 | 6.4 | 311 | 10.2 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 72 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Wyoming* | 23 |  | 0 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ....... | 0 | ........ | 0 | ........ |
| Guam* | 1 |  | 0 |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* | 0 |  |  |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Sum of Above | 4,678 | 1,392,618 | 3,200 | 138,545 | 9.9 | 68,867 | 4.9 | 1,164 | 0.1 |
| States Included |  | 37 |  | 34 |  | 32 |  | 8 |  |
| Question |  | A5e |  | A9a |  | A9b |  | A9c |  |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 2d. Application Sources: Invalid or Rejected Forms

| State | Applications Received From Different Voter Registration Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Motor Vehicle Offices |  | Public Assistance Offices |  | Disability Services Offices |  | Armed Forces Recruitment Offices |  | Other State Agencies |  | Registration Drives from Advocacy Groups or Parties |  | Other Sources |  |
|  | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 3 | 1.4 | 14 | 6.6 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 86 | 40.8 | 18 | 8.5 | 44 | 20.9 |
| Alaska | 2,114 | 48.9 | 15 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.1 | 99 | 2.3 | 45 | 1.0 | 458 | 10.6 |  | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 2,305 | 11.4 | 757 | 3.7 | 93 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.0 | 600 | 3.0 | 1,579 | 7.8 | 139 | 0.7 |
| Arkansas | 1,851 | 32.6 | 240 | 4.2 | 15 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 62 | 1.1 | 334 | 5.9 | 174 | 3.1 |
| California | 22,837 | 20.9 | 2,581 | 2.4 | 44 | 0.0 | 12 | 0.0 | 2,199 | 2.0 | 7,451 | 6.8 | 12,327 | 11.3 |
| Colorado | 3,862 | 18.4 | 1,681 | 8.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 139 | 0.7 | 3,687 | 17.6 | 2,256 | 10.8 |
| Connecticut |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | ...... |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Delaware | 8,462 | 91.3 | 28 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.1 | 24 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.2 |
| District of Columbia | 1,579 | 82.0 | 5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 129 | 6.7 |
| Florida |  | $\ldots$ |  | ...... |  | ...... |  | ..... |  | ....... |  | ...... |  |  |
| Georgia | 162 | 14.9 | 70 | 6.4 |  | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 141 | 12.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 92 | 8.4 |
| Hawaii |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ... .1 |
| Idaho* |  | ...... |  |  |  |  |  | ...... |  |  |  | ..... |  |  |
| Illinois |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Indiana | 4,955 | 1.5 | 314 | 0.1 | 29 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 20 | 0.0 | 1,876 | 0.6 |
| lowa | 6 | 1.6 | 32 | 8.4 | 8 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 130 | 33.9 |
| Kansas | 32 | 50.0 | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 9.4 | 3 | 4.7 |
| Kentucky |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 85,102 | 100.0 |
| Louisiana | 8,833 | 82.3 | 27 | 0.3 | 48 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 166 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 1.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 509 | 97.5 |
| Maryland | 890 | 18.2 | 422 | 8.6 | 9 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 106 | 2.2 |  | 0.0 | 884 | 18.1 |
| Massachusetts | 205 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 3,238 | 93.2 | 13 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 110 | 27.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 8 | 2.0 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Mississippi | 51 | 8.2 | 239 | 38.3 | 13 | 2.1 | 6 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 99 | 4.8 | 123 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Montana | 148 | 22.8 | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 37 | 5.7 | 187 | 28.9 |
| Nebraska | 99 | 14.5 |  | 0.0 | 95 | 13.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 227 | 33.2 |
| Nevada | 29 | 19.7 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 5 | 3.4 |
| New Hampshire* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ..... |
| New Jersey | 15,674 | 47.9 | 32 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 6,181 | 18.9 |
| New Mexico |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| New York |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ...... |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| North Carolina | 25,881 | 47.6 | 5,282 | 9.7 | 109 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.0 | 51 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 6,491 | 11.9 |
| North Dakota* |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 1,804 | 11.6 | 2,973 | 19.2 | 63 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,021 | 6.6 | 604 | 3.9 | 1,733 | 11.2 |
| Oklahoma |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Oregon |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Pennsylvania | 416,945 | 96.3 | 118 | 0.0 | 53 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.0 | 3,365 | 0.8 | 6,025 | 1.4 |
| Rhode Island |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ | 0 | ........ |
| South Carolina |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ....... |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| South Dakota | 10 | 7.6 | 29 | 22.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 6.9 | 6 | 4.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 16,552 | 41.7 | 10,843 | 27.3 | 66 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.0 | 587 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Texas | 44,551 | 31.5 | 1,017 | 0.7 | 2,023 | 1.4 | 1,526 | 1.1 | 11,756 | 8.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Utah | 134 | 9.3 |  | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 29 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 405 | 88.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 3.5 | 36 | 7.8 |
| Virginia | 5,210 | 75.1 | 179 | 2.6 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 278 | 4.0 |
| Washington | 267 | 8.7 | 24 | 0.8 | 25 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.1 | 23 | 0.8 | 326 | 10.7 | 22 | 0.7 |
| West Virginia |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Wyoming* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |
| American Samoa* | 0 | ........ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | .... | 0 | ........ |
| Guam* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | ...... |
| Virgin Islands* |  | ........ |  | ........ |  | $\ldots$ |  | ........ |  | ...... |  | ........ |  | ........ |
| Sum of Above | 589,303 | 42.3 | 27,066 | 1.9 | 2,703 | 0.2 | 1,673 | 0.1 | 16,846 | 1.2 | 18,140 | 1.3 | 124,872 | 9.0 |
| States Included | 33 |  | 28 |  | 20 |  | 15 |  | 18 |  | 20 |  | 25 |  |
| Question | A9d |  | A9e |  | A9f |  | A9g |  | A9h |  | A9i |  | A9j-0 |  |
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Table 2d. Application Sources: Invalid or Rejected Forms

| State | Not Categorized Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | (1) | (0.5) |
| Alaska | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 21,468 | 19.6 |
| Colorado | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Delaware | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 0 | ....... |
| Georgia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Idaho* | 0 | ........ |
| Illinois | 29,901 | 100.0 |
| Indiana | 333,160 | 97.8 |
| lowa | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kansas | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky | 0 | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | (2) | (0.0) |
| Massachusetts | 10,244 | 97.5 |
| Michigan | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | (178) | (28.5) |
| Missouri | 1,782 | 86.7 |
| Montana | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 4 | 0.6 |
| Nevada | 23 | 15.6 |
| New Hampshire* | 0 | ........ |
| New Jersey | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 0 | ........ |
| New York | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| North Carolina | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 4,802 | 31.0 |
| Oklahoma | 0 | ........ |
| Oregon | 0 | ........ |
| Pennsylvania | 214 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 0 | ........ |
| South Carolina | 0 | ........ |
| South Dakota | 45 | 34.4 |
| Tennessee | 1,394 | 3.5 |
| Texas | (762) | (0.5) |
| Utah | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | (71) | (15.5) |
| Virginia | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 1,400 | 45.9 |
| West Virginia | 16 | 100.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 0 | ........ |
| Wyoming* | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| American Samoa* | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Guam* | 0 | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* |  | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* |  | ....... |
| Sum of Above | 403,439 | 29.0 |
| States Included | 18 |  |
| Question | calc |  |

## TABLE 2D. APPLICATION SOURCES: INVALID AND REJECTED FORMS

## Question A9: Registration applications, invalid or rejected forms by means and source

General note: The Balance/Not Categorized column on the table compares the sum of all the categorical responses with the total indicated. If the balance is a positive number the difference is treated as uncategorized responses. If the balance is a negative number (indicated by a red number in parentheses) the difference indicates that the sum of the responses is greater than the total indicated; this could occur by an error in data entry or by the inability to correctly categorize some responses, resulting in some over-counting.

Notes from States:

| Arizona | For A9c and d: Arizona's online voter registration system (EZ Voter) ties in to the motor vehicle division, and online voter registration does not distinguish between an add or a modify. |
| :---: | :---: |
| California | One county stated that it returned all invalid or rejected registration cards to the individual to complete. Another county commented that it receives thousands of voter registration cards that do not have all the information required by law, some invalid for lack of signature. This county's system does not record these individually, but it sends out letters to get the information corrected and then keys the returned information into the system. Nine counties reported that they do not track or keep statistics on invalid or rejected registrations. One county indicated that total declination forms reported from agencies was 1,253 . |
| Colorado | Invalid registrations in Colorado include incomplete or pending applications where the elector has omitted a required piece of information. |
| Guam | The GEC does not track these data. |
| Idaho | Idaho Code does not allow Registration Cards to be submitted via the Internet or email. Idaho is not covered by the NVRA. Therefore, there are no cards from any of the agencies that the NVRA requires. |
| Montana | Three counties indicated that rejected numbers were not provided by county. |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| Ohio | A9 Invalid/Rejected registration source not tracked. |
| Oregon | Oregon does not track invalid/rejected registrations; it does not track A5e. |
| South Carolina | No data are collected. |
| South Dakota | One county stated that those who registered at a city finance office are recorded in line h. Another stated that data are unavailable to process. Another stated that all 911 address changes were done inoffice. |
| Tennessee | One county indicated that it does not keep totals for duplicates or rejected forms. Another county indicated that its voter system does not allow a program to pull invalid or rejected applications. |
| Washington | Two counties indicated that the system does not tally the registrations where the registration was cancelled because the voter did not provide all required information. If a voter did not respond to a request for required information within 45 days, the record was cancelled. A third county indicated that invalid or rejected registrations are not kept in the system and are kept as hard-copy forms for a short period only. A fourth county indicated that "figures for this category are included in response to new registrations." |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 3. Applications Processed

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Reported Registration | Registration Forms Received |  | Change of Address, etc. Within Jurisdiction |  |  | Duplicate Applications |  |  | Invalid or Rejected Applications |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 | 2008 to 2010 | Cases | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. |
| Alabama | 67 | 2,964,070 | 646,497 | 67 | 440,068 | 67 | 68.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 211 | 67 | 0.0 |
| Alaska | 1 | 560,146 | 234,426 | 1 | 130,028 | 1 | 55.5 | 51,747 | 1 | 22.1 | 4,320 | 1 | 1.8 |
| Arizona | 15 | 3,502,743 | 1,786,304 | 15 | 1,024,541 | 15 | 57.4 | 162,723 | 12 | 9.1 | 20,301 | 14 | 1.1 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 1,638,135 | 254,074 | 75 | 71,315 | 75 | 28.1 | 13,670 | 75 | 5.4 | 5,675 | 75 | 2.2 |
| California | 58 | 17,299,347 | 4,448,407 | 57 | 1,397,606 | 44 | 31.4 | 447,338 | 49 | 10.1 | 109,459 | 36 | 2.5 |
| Colorado | 64 | 3,293,942 | 3,910,236 | 64 | 3,434,212 | 64 | 87.8 | 39,926 | 64 | 1.0 | 20,958 | 64 | 0.5 |
| Connecticut | 169 | 2,150,633 | 522,318 | 169 | 346,458 | 169 | 66.3 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Delaware | 3 | 623,425 | 193,211 | 3 | 77,629 | 3 | 40.2 | 51,468 | 3 | 26.6 | 9,269 | 3 | 4.8 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 512,897 | 392,470 | 1 | 76,713 | 1 | 19.5 | 8,737 | 1 | 2.2 | 1,925 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Florida | 67 | 12,551,969 | 2,235,362 | 67 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Georgia | 159 | 5,748,459 | 1,796,700 | 159 | 495,215 | 159 | 27.6 | 339,105 | 159 | 18.9 | 1,089 | 159 | 0.1 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 692,745 | 150,718 | 4 | 43,736 | 2 | 29.0 | 27,926 | 2 | 18.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 790,531 | 264,107 | 44 | 56,154 | 44 | 21.3 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Illinois | 110 | 8,542,397 | 1,348,127 | 109 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 74,763 | 96 | 5.5 | 29,901 | 85 | 2.2 |
| Indiana | 92 | 4,329,977 | 1,210,015 | 92 | 517,488 | 92 | 42.8 | 42,380 | 92 | 3.5 | 340,550 | 92 | 28.1 |
| lowa | 99 | 2,116,170 | 621,914 | 99 | 342,774 | 99 | 55.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 383 | 99 | 0.1 |
| Kansas | 105 | 1,725,012 | 721,670 | 105 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 13,033 | 105 | 1.8 | 64 | 105 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 2,885,775 | 1,226,309 | 120 | 0 | 120 | 0.0 | 0 | 120 | 0.0 | 85,102 | 120 | 6.9 |
| Louisiana | 64 | 2,935,062 | 532,735 | 64 | 300,998 | 64 | 56.5 | 6,809 | 64 | 1.3 | 10,738 | 64 | 2.0 |
| Maine | 505 | 1,028,501 | 223,472 | 505 | 94,556 | 505 | 42.3 | 1,744 | 505 | 0.8 | 522 | 505 | 0.2 |
| Maryland | 24 | 3,468,287 | 1,364,361 | 24 | 615,776 | 24 | 45.1 | 167,245 | 24 | 12.3 | 4,879 | 24 | 0.4 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 4,121,180 | 681,575 | 351 | 175,750 | 351 | 25.8 | 2,182 | 4 | 0.3 | 10,512 | 351 | 1.5 |
| Michigan | 83 | 7,276,237 | 2,500,011 | 83 | 772,714 | 83 | 30.9 | 362,256 | 83 | 14.5 | 3,476 | 83 | 0.1 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 3,220,844 | 545,093 | 87 | 196,065 | 87 | 36.0 | 66,487 | 87 | 12.2 | 406 | 87 | 0.1 |
| Mississippi | 82 | 1,978,463 | 203,740 | 62 | 116,984 | 40 | 57.4 | 1,043 | 33 | 0.5 | 624 | 36 | 0.3 |
| Missouri | 116 | 4,137,495 | 1,006,502 | 116 | 1,058,392 | 91 | 105.2 | 19,527 | 54 | 1.9 | 2,056 | 76 | 0.2 |
| Montana | 56 | 651,335 | 174,587 | 56 | 114,653 | 56 | 65.7 | 1,015 | 50 | 0.6 | 648 | 53 | 0.4 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 1,142,247 | 358,732 | 93 | 251,097 | 93 | 70.0 | 8,948 | 49 | 2.5 | 683 | 27 | 0.2 |
| Nevada | 17 | 1,375,848 | 307,456 | 14 | 14,032 | 13 | 4.6 | 35 | 4 | 0.0 | 147 | 3 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* | 323 | 945,341 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| New Jersey | 21 | 5,135,830 | 359,791 | 21 | 588,505 | 21 | 163.6 | 8,817 | 21 | 2.5 | 32,712 | 21 | 9.1 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 1,147,177 | 333,909 | 33 | 199,984 | 33 | 59.9 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| New York | 62 | 11,806,744 | 1,006,392 | 53 | 281,338 | 58 | 28.0 | 126,282 | 55 | 12.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 6,207,093 | 1,332,210 | 100 | 621,168 | 100 | 46.6 | 76,334 | 100 | 5.7 | 54,365 | 100 | 4.1 |
| North Dakota* | 53 | 522,720 |  | 0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |
| Ohio | 88 | 8,044,315 | 2,296,612 | 88 | 770,687 | 86 | 33.6 | 170,519 | 82 | 7.4 | 15,502 | 75 | 0.7 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 2,082,428 | 299,788 | 77 | 170,455 | 77 | 56.9 | 1,212 | 77 | 0.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oregon | 36 | 2,068,798 | 537,029 | 36 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,148 | 36 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 8,220,759 | 2,660,751 | 67 | 1,202,822 | 67 | 45.2 | 243,397 | 67 | 9.1 | 432,778 | 67 | 16.3 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 706,161 | 77,253 | 39 | 23,971 | 39 | 31.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina | 46 | 2,630,363 | 247,325 | 46 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 66 | 575,150 | 103,475 | 66 | 50,038 | 36 | 48.4 | 2,641 | 65 | 2.6 | 131 | 65 | 0.1 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 3,952,404 | 933,734 | 95 | 359,565 | 78 | 38.5 | 48,002 | 90 | 5.1 | 39,728 | 61 | 4.3 |
| Texas | 254 | 13,262,432 | 3,159,260 | 254 | 1,198,473 | 254 | 37.9 | 132,454 | 254 | 4.2 | 141,589 | 254 | 4.5 |
| Utah | 29 | 1,500,305 | 511,022 | 29 | 433,818 | 29 | 84.9 | 7,444 | 29 | 1.5 | 1,445 | 29 | 0.3 |
| Vermont | 233 | 439,333 | 57,484 | 202 | 2,677 | 130 | 4.7 | 1,932 | 154 | 3.4 | 459 | 133 | 0.8 |
| Virginia | 134 | 5,032,135 | 416,020 | 134 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 109,773 | 134 | 26.4 | 6,942 | 131 | 1.7 |
| Washington | 39 | 4,066,517 | 726,386 | 39 | 195,965 | 39 | 27.0 | 40,843 | 29 | 5.6 | 3,053 | 24 | 0.4 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 1,216,023 | 66,051 | 14 | 40,016 | 11 | 60.6 | 25 | 8 | 0.0 | 16 | 8 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 72 | 3,709,229 | 427,749 | 72 | 117,272 | 72 | 27.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 270,083 | 63,536 | 23 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 16,124 | 5,282 | 1 | 91 | 1 | 1.7 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Guam* | 1 | 52,821 |  | 0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ..... |
| Puerto Rico* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | ....... |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  | ..... |
| Sum of Above | 4,678 | 186,874,157 | 45,482,188 | 4,195 | 18,421,799 | 3,493 | 40.5 | 2,880,930 | 2,939 | 6.3 | 1,392,618 | 3,200 | 3.1 |
| States Included |  | 53 | 50 |  | 42 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 37 |  |  |
| Question |  | A1 | A5a |  | A5f |  |  | A5d |  |  | A5e |  |  |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 3. Applications Processed

| State | New "pre" Registration Applications |  |  | Change of Address, etc. Cross Jurisdictions |  |  | Other Applications |  |  | New Registrants Added to Voter List |  |  | \% of Report. Reg. | Not Categorized Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | Total | Cases | Pct. | 2008 to 2010 | Cases |  |  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 206,218 | 67 | 31.9 | 7.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alaska |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 48,331 | 1 | 20.6 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 974 | 1 | 0.1 | 67,928 | 1 | 3.8 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 509,837 | 15 | 28.5 | 14.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 240 | 75 | 320.0 | 43,451 | 75 | 17.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 119,723 | 75 | 47.1 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 10,477 | 30 | 0.2 | 87,564 | 31 | 2.0 | 430,231 | 28 | 30.8 | 1,575,356 | 51 | 35.4 | 9.1 | 390,376 | 8.8 |
| Colorado | 4 | 64 | 6.3 | 120,482 | 64 | 3.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 294,654 | 64 | 7.5 | 8.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut | 30,444 | 169 | 5.8 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 145,416 | 169 | 27.8 | 6.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Delaware | 0 | 3 | 0.0 | 6,194 | 3 | 3.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 48,651 | 3 | 25.2 | 7.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 1,285 | 1 | 128500.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 238,898 | 1 | 311.4 | 64,912 | 1 | 16.5 | 12.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,235,362 | 100.0 |
| Georgia |  | 0 | 0.0 | 307,127 | 159 | 17.1 | 109,638 | 159 | 22.1 | 544,526 | 159 | 30.3 | 9.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 6,339 | 1 | 14.5 | 37,707 | 2 | 25.0 | 5.4 | 35,010 | 23.2 |
| Idaho* |  | 0 | 0.0 | 20,936 | 44 | 7.9 | 70,763 | 44 | 126.0 | 116,254 | 44 | 44.0 | 14.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Illinois |  | 0 | 0.0 | 465,195 | 97 | 34.5 |  | 0 | ...... | 687,462 | 109 | 51.0 | 8.0 | 90,806 | 6.7 |
| Indiana | 24,867 | 92 | 2.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 284,730 | 92 | 23.5 | 6.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| lowa |  | 0 | 0.0 | 107,593 | 99 | 17.3 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 171,164 | 99 | 27.5 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kansas |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 524,242 | 105 | .... | 184,331 | 105 | 25.5 | 10.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky | 0 | 120 | 0.0 | 0 | 120 | 0.0 | 817,560 | 120 | ....... | 322,259 | 120 | 26.3 | 11.2 | 1,388 | 0.1 |
| Louisiana | 12,533 | 64 | 2.4 | 21,600 | 64 | 4.1 | 0 | 64 | 0.0 | 180,057 | 64 | 33.8 | 6.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 930 | 505 | 0.4 | 49,089 | 505 | 22.0 | 27,025 | 505 | 28.6 | 49,606 | 505 | 22.2 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 7,054 | 24 | 0.5 | 343,716 | 24 | 25.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 225,691 | 24 | 16.5 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts |  | 0 | 0.0 | 182,006 | 350 | 26.7 | 2,503 | 1 | 1.4 | 307,176 | 351 | 45.1 | 7.5 | 1,446 | 0.2 |
| Michigan | 11,141 | 83 | 0.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 83 | 0.0 | 1,350,424 | 83 | 54.0 | 18.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 1,913 | 87 | 0.4 | 111,425 | 87 | 20.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 168,797 | 87 | 31.0 | 5.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 1,745 | 32 | 0.9 | 4,404 | 19 | 2.2 | 3,657 | 5 | 3.1 | 88,607 | 54 | 43.5 | 4.5 | $(13,324)$ | (6.5) |
| Missouri | 2,075 | 30 | 0.2 | 60,587 | 66 | 6.0 | 66,035 | 14 | 6.2 | 422,805 | 115 | 42.0 | 10.2 | $(624,975)$ | (62.1) |
| Montana | 486 | 48 | 0.3 | 19,278 | 56 | 11.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 38,507 | 56 | 22.1 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 98,004 | 93 | 27.3 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 353 | 12 | 0.1 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 198,683 | 1 | 1415.9 | 94,206 | 14 | 30.6 | 6.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 0.0 | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| New Jersey | 1,231 | 21 | 0.3 | 170,393 | 21 | 47.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 358,563 | 21 | 99.7 | 7.0 | $(800,430)$ | (222.5) |
| New Mexico | 0 | 3 | 0.0 | 20,733 | 32 | 6.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 113,192 | 33 | 33.9 | 9.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New York |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 3,326,009 | 58 | 1182.2 | 492,382 | 58 | 48.9 | 4.2 | $(3,219,619)$ | (319.9) |
| North Carolina | 36,055 | 100 | 2.7 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 544,288 | 100 | 40.9 | 8.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | 0.0 | ........ | ........ |
| Ohio | 7,628 | 86 | 0.3 | 33,228 | 48 | 1.4 | 640,882 | 88 | 83.2 | 658,166 | 88 | 28.7 | 8.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oklahoma |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 155,605 | 77 | 51.9 | 7.5 | $(27,484)$ | (9.2) |
| Oregon | 17,103 | 36 | .... |  | 0 | 0.0 | 352,043 | 36 | $\ldots$ | 166,735 | 36 | 31.0 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 0 | 67 | 0.0 | 434,104 | 67 | 16.3 | 0 | 67 | 0.0 | 347,596 | 67 | 13.1 | 4.2 | 54 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 39 | 0.0 | 53,282 | 39 | 69.0 | 7.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | 247,325 | 46 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 57 | 23 | 0.1 | 500 | 16 | 0.5 | 625 | 5 | 1.2 | 37,841 | 65 | 36.6 | 6.6 | 11,642 | 11.3 |
| Tennessee | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 644 | 1 | 0.2 | 485,795 | 95 | 52.0 | 12.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Texas |  | 0 | 0.0 | 393,954 | 254 | 12.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,262,994 | 254 | 40.0 | 9.5 | 29,796 | 0.9 |
| Utah |  | 0 | 0.0 | 4,673 | 27 | 0.9 | 0 | 29 | 0.0 | 63,279 | 29 | 12.4 | 4.2 | 363 | 0.1 |
| Vermont | 87 | 135 | 0.2 | 17,146 | 125 | 29.8 | 293 | 13 | 10.9 | 36,526 | 211 | 63.5 | 8.3 | $(1,636)$ | (2.8) |
| Virginia |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ....... | 299,305 | 134 | 71.9 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 146 | 18 | 374.4 | 89,300 | 39 | 12.3 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 397,079 | 39 | 54.7 | 9.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| West Virginia | 40 | 8 | 0.1 | 1,886 | 9 | 2.9 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 25,706 | 14 | 38.9 | 2.1 | $(1,638)$ | (2.5) |
| Wisconsin* | 13 | 72 | 18.1 | 153,771 | 72 | 35.9 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 156,693 | 72 | 36.6 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ....... | 63,536 | 23 | 100.0 | 23.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Samoa* | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 126 | 1 | 2.4 | 3,491 | 1 | 3836.3 | 1,574 | 1 | 29.8 | 9.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ....... |  |  | ........ |  | 0 | ....... | 0.0 | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* |  |  | ....... |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | $\ldots \ldots$ | ....... | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  | ....... |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ | ........ | ....... | $\ldots$ |
| Sum of Above | 168,881 | 2,011 | 0.4 | 3,338,389 | 2,579 | 7.3 | 6,819,561 | 1,468 | 15.0 | 14,352,873 | 4,124 | 31.6 | 7.7 | $(1,892,863)$ | (4.2) |
| States Included | 25 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 49 |  |  |  | 17 |  |
| Question | A5c |  |  | A5g |  |  | A5h-I |  |  | A5b |  |  |  | calc |  |

TABLE 3. APPLICATIONS PROCESSED

Question A5: Registration applications by type of application

Notes from States:

| Arizona | One county commented that it was unable to separate totals for A5c and another county reported that it did not track data for A5c. Two counties reported that A5f is high because county-wide zip code changes by the U.S. Post Office affected several or all voters in the county. One county reported that it has been working on creating a usable street file; during this process it has had to change a large percentage of voters' addresses. Another county reported that it is currently not tracking data for A5e because the forms that are processed are filed in a special file that is not tracked in the computer system. The figure given is for all address changes within the county, not simply for those voters that remained in the county but moved into a different jurisdiction. |
| :---: | :---: |
| California | One county commented that it did not keep statistics on invalid or rejected registration cards or preregistration within this time period. One county stated that it implemented a new voter registration management system in March 2010 and was not able to transfer some of the statistical data to the new system. Another commented that the breakdown only reflects counts from January 2010 when it upgraded the voter registration system, and that previous counts are not available. One county stated that, for question A5g, the Voter Registration system does not track registrations submitted that are outside of the county simply because it is not entered into their system; out-of-county registrations are forwarded to individual counties. Another stated that it does not currently provide pre-registrations for individuals under 18, does not currently track invalid or rejected registration forms, and does not currently track changes that cross jurisdiction borders. One county noted that A5h through A5j were likely new registrations and during the time period 2008-2010 the voter's status changed. One county stated that data for A5a-g are not available due to the transaction-based operation and historical tracking ability of the voter registration system, and two additional counties noted that information required for questions A5a-g are not tracked in their system. Another county indicated that it does not currently provide pre-registrations for individuals under 18, it does not currently track invalid or rejected registration forms and it does not currently track changes that cross jurisdiction borders. One county indicated that it could not locate the data for A5. |
| Connecticut | Address changes that cross jurisdiction borders are by law considered a new registration in the new jurisdiction. |
| Delaware | Delaware does not have the capability to track the number of forms. Delaware tracks the number and type of transactions. It is possible that one form may have up to three transactions. |
| Guam | Unfortunately, the GEC does not track this data. |
| Idaho | A5h are changes made to voter records by the County Clerk. These are usually due to E-911 ReAddressing. A5i are changes to a voter's registration status. These are usually due to mis-cancellation and changing a status back to active. |
| Louisiana | Louisiana's statewide voter registration system was not programmed to separate the "pre" registrations from the total new valid registrations by source. |
| Maine | A5 includes data corrections with no new form. |
| Minnesota | A number of counties reported that A5f may be under-reported (reported as new instead) due to incomplete data entry. |
| Missouri | The statewide database does not report these data in the same manner as requested by these questions. |
| Montana | Eight counties noted that pre-18 registrations are not provided by the county. |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| Nebraska | The A5a total will be higher than the A6a total since the sum of A5b - A5l includes administrative changes that may not have originated from a form. |


| New Jersey | A5b to A5g includes all new registrations, name changes, party changes, address changes and moved <br> out of jurisdiction changes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nevada | One county indicated that A5c is the total registrants who registered when they were 17 and not eli- <br> gible for the 2010 General Election as they were not 18 by November 2, 2010. |
| Ohio | One county commented that all address changes out of county are mailed to other counties and not <br> recorded in its system. Another stated that pre-registrations are not changed to active/normal once <br> the voter turns 18, and that the voter database system does not report information regarding address <br> changes that cross jurisdiction borders. Another county noted that it does not process voters until <br> they reach 18 years old. One county noted that question A5f includes all name/address changes - the <br> system does not report jurisdiction changes that cross borders. One county stated that, with respect <br> to question A5g, registrations reporting a previous address from outside the county are treated as new <br> registrations and that previous county or State of residence is not recorded. Another county reported <br> that all address changes out of county are mailed to other counties and not recorded in its system. One <br> county stated that any status that was not specifically defined is classified as "other", which includes <br> special corrections and the large number of confirmation notices received from the BMV voter record <br> information verification program. |
| Oregon | Oregon does not track registration drives. |
| South Carolina | A5a does not include address updates, name updates, or submissions of duplicate forms for person <br> already registered in a county. Voters previously registered in another SC county are included; these <br> are treated as new registrations in that particular county. Simply put, these numbers are all "new reg- |
| istrations." |  |
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| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Total Registration 2010 | Removal Notices Sent |  | \% of <br> Reported Registration | Received confirmation from voter |  |  | Received confirmation invalid |  |  | Returned undeliverable |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Cases |  | Total | Cases | $\%$ of Notices | Total | Cases | \% of Notices | Total | Cases |  |
| Alabama | 67 | 2,964,070 | 530,600 | 67 | 17.9 | 88,500 | 67 | 16.7 | 2,133 | 54 | 0.4 | 232,964 | 67 | 43.9 |
| Alaska | 1 | 560,146 | 40,062 | 1 | 7.2 | 1,136 | 1 | 2.8 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 3,502,743 | 1,646,141 | 15 | 47.0 | 57,180 | 14 | 3.5 | 94,513 | 12 | 5.7 | 194,711 | 13 | 11.8 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 1,638,135 | 206,402 | 75 | 12.6 | 39,792 | 75 | 19.3 | 2,815 | 75 | 1.4 | 34,556 | 75 | 16.7 |
| California | 58 | 17,299,347 | 691,758 | 38 | 4.0 | 170,194 | 29 | 24.6 | 56,211 | 28 | 8.1 | 60,900 | 28 | 8.8 |
| Colorado | 64 | 3,293,942 | 139,220 | 64 | 4.2 | 11,185 | 64 | 8.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 10,866 | 64 | 7.8 |
| Connecticut | 169 | 2,150,633 | 89,421 | 169 | 4.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 38,710 | 169 | 43.3 | 3,183 | 85 | 3.6 |
| Delaware | 3 | 623,425 | 35,948 | 3 | 5.8 | 4,036 | 2 | 11.2 | 2,683 | 2 | 7.5 | 16,085 | 3 | 44.7 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 512,897 | 175,951 | 1 | 34.3 | 493 | 1 | 0.3 | 665 | 1 | 0.4 | 21,055 | 1 | 12.0 |
| Florida | 67 | 12,551,969 | 886,846 | 67 | 7.1 | 91,495 | 67 | 10.3 | 35,536 | 67 | 4.0 | 315,549 | 67 | 35.6 |
| Georgia | 159 | 5,748,459 | 414,986 | 159 | 7.2 | 85,419 | 159 | 20.6 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 692,745 | 59,271 | 4 | 8.6 | 8,220 | 3 | 13.9 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 790,531 | 85,570 | 44 | 10.8 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Illinois | 110 | 8,542,397 | 743,051 | 95 | 8.7 | 72,945 | 83 | 9.8 | 35,126 | 73 | 4.7 | 436,048 | 77 | 58.7 |
| Indiana | 92 | 4,329,977 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ..... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| lowa | 99 | 2,116,170 | 76,953 | 99 | 3.6 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kansas | 105 | 1,725,012 | 193,574 | 87 | 11.2 | 9,240 | 99 | 4.8 | 8,930 | 100 | 4.6 | 17,342 | 99 | 9.0 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 2,885,775 | 146,862 | 120 | 5.1 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 115,043 | 1 | 78.3 |
| Louisiana | 64 | 2,935,062 | 121,826 | 64 | 4.2 | 0 | 64 | 0.0 | 0 | 64 | 0.0 | 0 | 64 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 505 | 1,028,501 | 23,651 | 505 | 2.3 | 1,382 | 505 | 5.8 | 4,066 | 505 | 17.2 | 2,257 | 505 | 9.5 |
| Maryland | 24 | 3,468,287 | 467,413 | 24 | 13.5 | 31,708 | 24 | 6.8 | 16,671 | 24 | 3.6 | 29,695 | 24 | 6.4 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 4,121,180 | 556,032 | 350 | 13.5 | 19,870 | 4 | 3.6 | 8,909 | 4 | 1.6 | 3,740 | 4 | 0.7 |
| Michigan | 83 | 7,276,237 | 175,332 | 83 | 2.4 | 8,237 | 83 | 4.7 | 17,356 | 83 | 9.9 | 63,102 | 83 | 36.0 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 3,220,844 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | .... |  | 0 | .... |  | 0 | ...... |
| Mississippi | 82 | 1,978,463 | 35,695 | 45 | 1.8 | 4,520 | 22 | 12.7 | 1,595 | 22 | 4.5 | 4,712 | 24 | 13.2 |
| Missouri | 116 | 4,137,495 | 443,942 | 115 | 10.7 | 118,774 | 108 | 26.8 | 40,857 | 99 | 9.2 | 56,709 | 91 | 12.8 |
| Montana | 56 | 651,335 | 60,225 | 50 | 9.2 | 8,816 | 48 | 14.6 | 834 | 48 | 1.4 | 12,649 | 48 | 21.0 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 1,142,247 | 164,456 | 92 | 14.4 | 16,310 | 92 | 9.9 | 41,682 | 86 | 25.3 | 5,161 | 73 | 3.1 |
| Nevada | 17 | 1,375,848 | 270,365 | 8 | 19.7 | 2,074 | 2 | 0.8 | 304 | 3 | 0.1 | 1,776 | 3 | 0.7 |
| New Hampshire* | 323 | 945,341 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | .... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| New Jersey | 21 | 5,135,830 | 408,314 | 21 | 8.0 | 320,146 | 21 | 78.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 6,758 | 21 | 1.7 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 1,147,177 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |
| New York | 62 | 11,806,744 | 479,300 | 58 | 4.1 | 16,888 | 46 | 3.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 6,207,093 | 435,418 | 100 | 7.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 266,715 | 100 | 61.3 |
| North Dakota* | 53 | 522,720 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Ohio | 88 | 8,044,315 | 833,149 | 81 | 10.4 | 102,828 | 47 | 12.3 | 19,204 | 33 | 2.3 | 120,007 | 43 | 14.4 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 2,082,428 | 259,116 | 77 | 12.4 | 31,952 | 77 | 12.3 | 2,680 | 77 | 1.0 | 106,501 | 77 | 41.1 |
| Oregon | 36 | 2,068,798 | 315,584 | 36 | 15.3 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 8,220,759 | 493,067 | 67 | 6.0 | 47,867 | 67 | 9.7 | 8,259 | 67 | 1.7 | 79,671 | 67 | 16.2 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 706,161 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | . |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| South Carolina | 46 | 2,630,363 | 121,160 | 46 | 4.6 | 13,625 | 46 | 11.2 | 1,931 | 46 | 1.6 | 31,449 | 46 | 26.0 |
| South Dakota | 66 | 575,150 | 27,465 | 45 | 4.8 | 952 | 32 | 3.5 | 704 | 30 | 2.6 | 13,279 | 34 | 48.3 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 3,952,404 | 251,842 | 94 | 6.4 | 35,597 | 82 | 14.1 | 4,379 | 42 | 1.7 | 126,683 | 79 | 50.3 |
| Texas | 254 | 13,262,432 | 1,527,662 | 252 | 11.5 | 321,898 | 252 | 21.1 | 50,305 | 251 | 3.3 | 1,153,505 | 244 | 75.5 |
| Utah | 29 | 1,500,305 | 23,286 | 29 | 1.6 | 10,815 | 29 | 46.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 12,242 | 29 | 52.6 |
| Vermont | 233 | 439,333 | 28,698 | 184 | 6.5 | 2,589 | 138 | 9.0 | 3,348 | 136 | 11.7 | 10,662 | 134 | 37.2 |
| Virginia | 134 | 5,032,135 | 677,636 | 1 | 13.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 39 | 4,066,517 | 203,109 | 25 | 5.0 | 23,939 | 21 | 11.8 | 15,451 | 18 | 7.6 | 52,066 | 20 | 25.6 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 1,216,023 | 13,260 | 13 | 1.1 | 2,678 | 10 | 20.2 | 2,420 | 10 | 18.3 | 1,474 | 11 | 11.1 |
| Wisconsin* | 72 | 3,709,229 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ....... |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 270,083 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 16,124 | 1,881 | 1 | 11.7 | 223 | 1 | 11.9 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 400 | 1 | 21.3 |
| Guam* | 1 | 52,821 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* | 0 |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ...... |
| Sum of Above | 4,678 | 186,874,157 | 14,581,500 | 3,574 | 7.8 | 1,783,523 | 2,485 | 12.2 | 518,277 | 2,229 | 3.6 | 3,619,515 | 2,405 | 24.8 |
| States Included |  | 53 | 44 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 35 |  |  |
| Question |  | A1 | A10a |  |  | A10b |  |  | A10c |  |  | A10d |  |  |
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Table 4a. Voter List Maintenance: Removal Notices

| State | Status Unknown |  |  | Other |  |  | Not Categorized Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cases | \% of <br> Notices | Total | Cases | Notices |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 207,003 | 67 | 39.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alaska |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 38,926 | 97.2 |
| Arizona | 1,299,737 | 15 | 79.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 129,239 | 75 | 62.6 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 339,319 | 24 | 49.1 | 49,313 | 5 | 7.1 | 15,821 | 2.3 |
| Colorado | 117,169 | 64 | 84.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Connecticut | 47,097 | 169 | 52.7 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 431 | 0.5 |
| Delaware | 12,435 | 3 | 34.6 | 709 | 1 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 153,738 | 1 | 87.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 442,758 | 67 | 49.9 | 1,508 | 67 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Georgia |  | 0 | 0.0 | 329,567 | 159 | 79.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 3,906 | 1 | 6.6 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 47,145 | 79.5 |
| Idaho* |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 85,570 | 100.0 |
| Illinois |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 198,932 | 26.8 |
| Indiana |  | 0 | ...... |  | 0 | ....... | ........ | ........ |
| lowa |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 76,953 | 100.0 |
| Kansas | 158,762 | 87 | 82.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | (700) | (0.4) |
| Kentucky | 28,761 | 1 | 19.6 | 3,058 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 0 | 64 | 0.0 | 0 | 64 | 0.0 | 121,826 | 100.0 |
| Maine | 15,214 | 505 | 64.3 | 732 | 505 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland |  | 0 | 0.0 | 389,339 | 24 | 83.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 42,837 | 4 | 7.7 | 31 | 1 | 0.0 | 480,645 | 86.4 |
| Michigan | 86,637 | 83 | 49.4 | 0 | 83 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* |  | 0 | ...... |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Mississippi | 5,218 | 20 | 14.6 | 339 | 6 | 0.9 | 19,311 | 54.1 |
| Missouri | 159,072 | 72 | 35.8 | 42,028 | 12 | 9.5 | 26,502 | 6.0 |
| Montana | 23,733 | 48 | 39.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 14,193 | 23.6 |
| Nebraska | 101,303 | 91 | 61.6 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 3,871 | 3 | 1.4 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 262,340 | 97.0 |
| New Hampshire* |  | 0 | $\ldots \ldots$ |  | 0 | .. ..... | ........ | ........ |
| New Jersey | 5,192 | 21 | 1.3 | 76,218 | 21 | 18.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | ........ |
| New York |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 462,412 | 96.5 |
| North Carolina | 93,212 | 100 | 21.4 | 21,261 | 100 | 4.9 | 54,230 | 12.5 |
| North Dakota* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | ........ |
| Ohio | 439,596 | 53 | 52.8 | 31,201 | 57 | 3.7 | 120,313 | 14.4 |
| Oklahoma | 117,983 | 77 | 45.5 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oregon |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 315,584 | 100.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 356,769 | 67 | 72.4 | 501 | 67 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island |  | 0 | ........ | 0 | 39 | ....... | ........ | ....... |
| South Carolina | 74,155 | 46 | 61.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 7,443 | 31 | 27.1 | 774 | 8 | 2.8 | 4,313 | 15.7 |
| Tennessee | 58,058 | 48 | 23.1 | 2,283 | 6 | 0.9 | 24,842 | 9.9 |
| Texas |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,954 | 0.1 |
| Utah | 0 | 29 | 0.0 | 209 | 29 | 0.9 | 20 | 0.1 |
| Vermont | 5,994 | 129 | 20.9 | 321 | 9 | 1.1 | 5,784 | 20.2 |
| Virginia |  | 0 | 0.0 | 500,373 | 1 | 73.8 | 177,263 | 26.2 |
| Washington | 84,692 | 18 | 41.7 | 627 | 1 | 0.3 | 26,334 | 13.0 |
| West Virginia | 3,026 | 9 | 22.8 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 3,662 | 27.6 |
| Wisconsin* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | ........ |
| Wyoming* | 19,189 | 23 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | ...... |
| American Samoa* | 1,258 | 1 | 66.9 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | ........ |
| Puerto Rico* |  |  | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | $\ldots$ | ........ |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  | ..... |  | 0 |  | $\cdots$ | ....... |
| Sum of Above | 4,644,376 | 2,116 | 31.9 | 1,450,392 | 1,267 | 9.9 | 2,565,417 | 17.6 |
| States Included | 33 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 26 |  |
| Question | A10e |  |  | A10f-h |  |  | calc |  |

## TABLE 4A. VOTER LIST MAINTENANCE-REMOVAL NOTICES

## Question A10. Removal notices sent to voters by status

Notes from States:

| Arkansas | These numbers represent confirmation mailings sent per NVRA Section 8 (d)(2). Additionally, one county indicated that the numbers that were reported in A10 reflect "confirmation notices," not "cancellation notices." The county sent confirmation notices to all voters in an effort to validate their address information with their 911/Centerline Address information. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | A10 totals reflect both removal and confirmation notices. A10e includes notices that might have been delivered and received by the voter because they are at the address on file and did not require any action by the voters. |
| California | One county reported that it has not sent out removal notices due to failure to vote in the two most recent Federal elections within the specified time period. The only removal notices sent were due to third party change of address. Another county commented that the voter registration system does not have a feature to send a notice to a voter who has been inactive for two Federal general elections. Another county stated that it does not send specific notices but used the USPS Address Change Service using the new OneCode ACS intelligent barcode on its Sample Ballot Booklet mailing. Another noted that it does not remove voters under 8(d)(2). A couple of counties reported that it tracks these postcards but no data were available to separate into categories. |
| Colorado | Colorado does not remove voters from the statewide voter registration database. Colorado counties send a letter to all electors whose registration records are cancelled because of felon ineligibility, and they send a forwardable confirmation mailing to voters who fail to vote in a Federal election, and to voters for whom the county has received undeliverable election mail or ballots. |
| Guam | The GEC has not finished compiling this data. The GEC can forward this data once it becomes available. |
| Idaho | This information (10b-10h) is not tracked by the Statewide Voter Registration System or the counties. |
| Kansas | Discrepancies may occur because some local election officials (LEOs) did not consistently use the NVRA module in the Voter Registration database. If they issued notices without using the NVRA module, the State is unable to enumerate or account for them. In addition, in some cases responses were received to notices that were mailed before the previous election, which is the time frame covered by this survey. |
| Kentucky | Kentucky reported statewide totals for A10d, A10e and A10f in the comments to A10. These numbers appear in the table. |
| Louisiana | Information not available by breakdown. In Louisiana, there are many instances where a voter is not mailed a removal notice. Voters who do not receive a removal notice are cancelled for the following main reasons: moved to a new parish, moved out of State, deceased (majority of the differences), and moved voluntarily. |
| Minnesota | Minnesota is NVRA exempt. |
| Missouri | One county stated that all data were not available. Another noted that it does not track removal notices returned as undeliverable. A couple of counties indicated that breakout information is not available. One county stated that it does not send voter confirmation notices; it sends out Voter Identification Cards. A couple of counties reported that they do not use Missouri Centralized Voter Registration (MCVR) for the NVRA canvass. |
| Mississippi | One county reported that because election commissioners keep this information, it was not available. Another county reported that confirmation cards are not issued out of their office but from election officials, therefore their reports are unable to calculate this total. |


| North Carolina | The data given show the number of confirmation mailings that were sent between November 2008 and November 2010. Confirmation mailings are not limited to those voters who had not voted or appeared to vote in the two previous Federal elections. Confirmation mailings may also be sent as an additional mailing to a voter when the first mailing has resulted in the county being advised that the voter has moved and left a forwarding address. In addition, the data given in $10 f$ show the total number of confirmation mailings that were returned by a voter regardless of whether the voter was confirming continued registration or confirming that registration should be invalidated. |
| :---: | :---: |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| New Mexico | No NVRA mailing was done in 2008. |
| New York | One county indicated that A10c is reported in All. |
| Ohio | One county indicated that the Ohio voter registration system does not track the information requested by questions A10c-e. Another county commented that these are "confirmation notices" that are part of everyday voter registration processes and State mandated mailings (National Change of Address). There is "removal" language in the disclaimer. Another county stated that reports do not give them a number of notices returned. A couple of counties indicated that they are not able to track all categories. One county reported that the dates used were 11/04/08 through 11/02/10. |
| Oregon | Oregon does not track responses to removal notices. |
| South Dakota | One county indicated that it only knows a combination of the responses. Another county indicated that it did not track this information. A third indicated that the number only includes inactive voters only; the list was purged in 2009. |
| Tennessee | Tennessee refers to removal notices as "confirmation notices". One county indicated that removal notices were sent but proper documentation in the computer was not entered making it impossible to give an accurate breakdown. This issue with record keeping is isolated to the one county. |
| Vermont | One county indicated that removal notices were all sent for other reasons. |
| Virginia | Virginia reported statewide totals in the comments to A10: (10a) Statewide Removal Notices Total 677,636. (10f) Statewide Total 500,373. Data represents 2009-2010 Mailings. These numbers appear in the table. |
| Washington | A couple of counties indicated that during 2009 they changed voter registration systems and were unable to pull cumulative data. A couple of counties indicated that Washington State does not require removal notices and they therefore do not issue removal notices. Another county indicated that confirmation cards were not processed properly for tracking purposes. |
| Wyoming | This number only lists those who were removed for not voting in the 2008 General Election. |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 4b. Voter List Maintenance: Removal Actions

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Voters Removed for 2008 to 2010 | Cases | \% of Rept. Reg. | Removed Due to Moved from Jurisdiction |  |  | Removed Due to Death |  |  | Removed Due to Failure to Vote |  |  | Removed Due to Request of Voter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Cases | $\%$ of Rem. | Total | Cases | \% of Rem. | Total | Cases | \% of <br> Rem. | Total | Cases | $\%$ of <br> Rem. |
| Alabama | 67 | 173,687 | 67 | 5.9 | 17,489 | 67 | 10.1 | 68,464 | 67 | 39.4 | 70,457 | 67 | 40.6 | 163 | 63 | 0.1 |
| Alaska | 1 | 59,262 | 1 | 10.6 | 7,579 | 1 | 12.8 | 5,265 | 1 | 8.9 | 43,816 | 1 | 73.9 | 1,565 | 1 | 2.6 |
| Arizona | 15 | 437,215 | 15 | 12.5 | 64,801 | 14 | 14.8 | 53,525 | 15 | 12.2 | 224,015 | 15 | 51.2 | 54,212 | 14 | 12.4 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 157,263 | 75 | 9.6 | 14,513 | 75 | 9.2 | 39,986 | 75 | 25.4 | 78,799 | 75 | 50.1 | 610 | 75 | 0.4 |
| California | 58 | 1,107,018 | 56 | 6.4 | 402,466 | 56 | 36.4 | 261,764 | 55 | 23.6 | 108,702 | 45 | 9.8 | 26,041 | 52 | 2.4 |
| Colorado | 64 | 152,688 | 64 | 4.6 | 42,886 | 64 | 28.1 | 45,605 | 64 | 29.9 | 46,744 | 64 | 30.6 | 2,586 | 56 | 1.7 |
| Connecticut | 169 | 89,421 | 169 | 4.2 | 43,516 | 169 | 48.7 | 17,388 | 169 | 19.4 | 5,642 | 169 | 6.3 | 21,624 | 169 | 24.2 |
| Delaware | 3 | 25,150 | 3 | 4.0 | 6,497 | 3 | 25.8 | 11,070 | 3 | 44.0 | 5,993 | 3 | 23.8 | 103 | 3 | 0.4 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 111,167 | 1 | 21.7 | 2,409 | 1 | 2.2 | 98,936 | 1 | 89.0 | 505 | 1 | 0.5 | 1,089 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Florida | 67 | 41,921 | 67 | 0.3 | 2,066 | 67 | 4.9 | 4,450 | 67 | 10.6 | 415 | 67 | 1.0 | 500 | 67 | 1.2 |
| Georgia | 159 | 378,878 | 159 | 6.6 | 33,752 | 159 | 8.9 | 63,601 | 159 | 16.8 | 233,869 | 159 | 61.7 | 974 | 159 | 0.3 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 45,016 | 3 | 6.5 | 4,708 | 3 | 10.5 | 10,909 | 3 | 24.2 | 27,069 | 2 | 60.1 | 2,180 | 2 | 4.8 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 111,423 | 44 | 14.1 | 6,692 | 44 | 6.0 | 11,392 | 44 | 10.2 | 85,503 | 44 | 76.7 | 117 | 44 | 0.1 |
| Illinois | 110 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 220,189 | 103 | ........ | 93,561 | 104 | ..... | 364,324 | 89 | ..... | 3,458 | 95 | ..... |
| Indiana | 92 | 892,460 | 92 | 20.6 | 12,851 | 92 | 1.4 | 54,061 | 92 | 6.1 | 276,950 | 92 | 31.0 | 23,536 | 92 | 2.6 |
| lowa | 99 | 154,230 | 99 | 7.3 | 28,647 | 99 | 18.6 | 43,514 | 99 | 28.2 | 77,023 | 99 | 49.9 | 1,041 | 99 | 0.7 |
| Kansas | 105 | 156,335 | 105 | 9.1 | 36,432 | 104 | 23.3 | 35,853 | 105 | 22.9 | 59,263 | 105 | 37.9 | 404 | 105 | 0.3 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 204,302 | 120 | 7.1 | 12,152 | 120 | 5.9 | 70,030 | 120 | 34.3 | 105,896 | 120 | 51.8 | 2,494 | 120 | 1.2 |
| Louisiana | 64 | 266,547 | 64 | 9.1 | 98,608 | 64 | 37.0 | 53,226 | 64 | 20.0 | 44,739 | 64 | 16.8 | 19,952 | 64 | 7.5 |
| Maine | 505 | 104,591 | 505 | 10.2 | 35,276 | 505 | 33.7 | 19,739 | 505 | 18.9 | 42,492 | 505 | 40.6 | 814 | 505 | 0.8 |
| Maryland | 24 | 209,572 | 24 | 6.0 | 91,674 | 24 | 43.7 | 53,476 | 24 | 25.5 | 51,836 | 24 | 24.7 | 414 | 24 | 0.2 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 514,900 | 351 | 12.5 | 224,877 | 351 | 43.7 | 78,163 | 348 | 15.2 | 118,664 | 351 | 23.0 | 9,917 | 351 | 1.9 |
| Michigan | 83 | 467,525 | 83 | 6.4 | 67,756 | 83 | 14.5 | 138,113 | 83 | 29.5 | 256,873 | 83 | 54.9 | 4,783 | 83 | 1.0 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 380,253 | 87 | 11.8 | 65,785 | 87 | 17.3 | 56,921 | 87 | 15.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 82 | 131,786 | 59 | 6.7 | 27,903 | 49 | 21.2 | 24,633 | 50 | 18.7 | 18,801 | 40 | 14.3 | 14,219 | 36 | 10.8 |
| Missouri | 116 | 403,702 | 116 | 9.8 | 118,246 | 116 | 29.3 | 99,741 | 116 | 24.7 | 150,495 | 112 | 37.3 | 14,814 | 106 | 3.7 |
| Montana | 56 | 61,205 | 56 | 9.4 | 6,365 | 56 | 10.4 | 11,460 | 56 | 18.7 | 41,213 | 56 | 67.3 | 1,998 | 56 | 3.3 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 74,418 | 93 | 6.5 | 25,106 | 93 | 33.7 | 22,983 | 93 | 30.9 | 16,712 | 92 | 22.5 | 487 | 44 | 0.7 |
| Nevada | 17 | 179,801 | 14 | 13.1 | 25,088 | 7 | 14.0 | 19,961 | 8 | 11.1 | 122,582 | 8 | 68.2 | 248 | 5 | 0.1 |
| New Hampshire* | 323 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ....... |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |
| New Jersey | 21 | 536,361 | 21 | 10.4 | 6,565 | 21 | 1.2 | 103,977 | 21 | 19.4 | 208,388 | 21 | 38.9 | 113,639 | 21 | 21.2 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 39,557 | 14 | 3.4 | 15,664 | 14 | 39.6 | 19,503 | 15 | 49.3 | 373 | 4 | 0.9 | 1,088 | 1 | 2.8 |
| New York | 62 | 893,318 | 57 | 7.6 | 208,473 | 57 | 23.3 | 127,804 | 57 | 14.3 | 509,258 | 57 | 57.0 | 2,142 | 57 | 0.2 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 593,590 | 100 | 9.6 | 206,168 | 100 | 34.7 | 97,026 | 100 | 16.3 | 240,062 | 100 | 40.4 | 944 | 100 | 0.2 |
| North Dakota* | 53 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ..... |
| Ohio | 88 | 792,178 | 86 | 9.8 | 204,264 | 86 | 25.8 | 156,302 | 86 | 19.7 | 274,443 | 84 | 34.6 | 5,161 | 85 | 0.7 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 257,603 | 77 | 12.4 | 46,970 | 77 | 18.2 | 37,686 | 77 | 14.6 | 151,410 | 77 | 58.8 | 554 | 77 | 0.2 |
| Oregon | 36 | 253,591 | 36 | 12.3 | 12,102 | 36 | 4.8 | 45,934 | 36 | 18.1 | 179,628 | 36 | 70.8 | 15,588 | 36 | 6.1 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 804,261 | 67 | 9.8 | 269,164 | 67 | 33.5 | 157,348 | 67 | 19.6 | 340,713 | 67 | 42.4 | 2,670 | 67 | 0.3 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 43,316 | 39 | 6.1 | 3,469 | 39 | 8.0 | 9,379 | 39 | 21.7 | 27,342 | 39 | 63.1 | 556 | 39 | 1.3 |
| South Carolina | 46 | 276,380 | 46 | 10.5 | 238,580 | 46 | 86.3 | 29,020 | 46 | 10.5 | 87 | 46 | 0.0 | 1,004 | 46 | 0.4 |
| South Dakota | 66 | 43,829 | 51 | 7.6 | 9,027 | 37 | 20.6 | 5,257 | 40 | 12.0 | 16,744 | 37 | 38.2 | 653 | 30 | 1.5 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 331,556 | 95 | 8.4 | 123,780 | 94 | 37.3 | 77,684 | 94 | 23.4 | 98,377 | 92 | 29.7 | 3,565 | 81 | 1.1 |
| Texas | 254 | 1,409,072 | 254 | 10.6 | 189,298 | 254 | 13.4 | 249,152 | 254 | 17.7 | 833,176 | 253 | 59.1 | 54,838 | 254 | 3.9 |
| Utah | 29 | 14,457 | 29 | 1.0 | 346 | 29 | 2.4 | 958 | 29 | 6.6 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 233 | 41,230 | 206 | 9.4 | 21,534 | 185 | 52.2 | 4,680 | 188 | 11.4 | 8,338 | 147 | 20.2 | 1,753 | 119 | 4.3 |
| Virginia | 134 | 530,817 | 134 | 10.5 | 229,045 | 134 | 43.1 | 81,994 | 134 | 15.4 | 103,930 | 134 | 19.6 | 8,317 | 134 | 1.6 |
| Washington | 39 | 456,166 | 39 | 11.2 | 237,672 | 38 | 52.1 | 59,302 | 39 | 13.0 | 108,256 | 29 | 23.7 | 20,334 | 29 | 4.5 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 33,568 | 27 | 2.8 | 9,014 | 25 | 26.9 | 11,120 | 25 | 33.1 | 9,227 | 24 | 27.5 | 2,261 | 24 | 6.7 |
| Wisconsin* | 72 | 547,369 | 72 | 14.8 | 12,188 | 72 | 2.2 | 124,546 | 72 | 22.8 | 296,206 | 72 | 54.1 | 970 | 72 | 0.2 |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 21,691 | 23 | 8.0 | 588 | 23 | 2.7 | 569 | 23 | 2.6 | 19,189 | 23 | 88.5 | 44 | 10 | 0.2 |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 1,931 | 1 | 12.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 272 | 1 | 14.1 | 1,614 | 1 | 83.6 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Guam* | 1 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ...... |
| Puerto Rico* | 0 |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  | ........ |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  | .... |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  |  | ....... |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| Sum of Above | 4,678 | 15,013,577 | 4,066 | 8.0 | 3,790,240 | 4,110 | 25.2 | 2,967,303 | 4,120 | 19.8 | 6,106,153 | 3,895 | 40.7 | 446,424 | 3,774 | 3.0 |
| States Included |  | 49 |  |  | 49 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 48 |  |  | 47 |  |  |
| Question |  | A11a |  |  | A11b |  |  | A11c |  |  | A11e |  |  | A11g |  |  |
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Table 4b. Voter List Maintenance: Removal Actions

| State | Removed Due to Felony Conviction |  |  | Removed Due to Mental Incompetence |  |  | Removed Due to Other Reasons |  |  | Not Categorized Balance: (See Notes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Cases | $\%$ of Rem. | Total | Cases | $\%$ of Rem. | Total | Cases | $\% \text { of }$Rem. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Pct. |
| Alabama | 6,746 | 67 | 3.9 | 168 | 66 | 1.0 | 10,200 | 67 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alaska | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1,037 | 1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 18,438 | 15 | 4.2 | 530 | 13 | 0.8 | 21,694 | 11 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 9,158 | 75 | 5.8 | 85 | 75 | 0.6 | 14,112 | 75 | 9.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 26,944 | 55 | 2.4 | 963 | 48 | 0.2 | 277,842 | 30 | 25.1 | 2,296 | 0.2 |
| Colorado | 4,146 | 64 | 2.7 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 10,380 | 64 | 6.8 | 341 | 0.2 |
| Connecticut | 1,251 | 105 | 1.4 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Delaware | 1,476 | 3 | 5.9 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 | 11 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 27 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 8,201 | 1 | 7.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Florida | 16,523 | 67 | 39.4 | 55 | 67 | 2.7 | 17,874 | 67 | 42.6 | 38 | 0.1 |
| Georgia | 33,741 | 159 | 8.9 | 229 | 159 | 0.7 | 12,712 | 159 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 150 | 1 | 0.3 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | 1,109 | 44 | 1.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 6,601 | 44 | 5.9 | 9 | 0.0 |
| Illinois |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | 0.0 | 102,462 | 95 | .... | ....... | .... |
| Indiana | 11,973 | 92 | 1.3 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 709 | 92 | 0.1 | 512,380 | 57.4 |
| lowa | 3,956 | 99 | 2.6 | 49 | 99 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kansas | 3,947 | 104 | 2.5 | 60 | 105 | 0.2 | 20,376 | 105 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky | 10,167 | 120 | 5.0 | 843 | 120 | 6.9 | 2,720 | 120 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 19,862 | 64 | 7.5 | 323 | 64 | 0.3 | 29,837 | 64 | 11.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 6,270 | 505 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 4,035 | 24 | 1.9 | 69 | 24 | 0.1 | 8,068 | 24 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 82,203 | 351 | 16.0 | 1,076 | 0.2 |
| Michigan | 0 | 83 | 0.0 | 0 | 83 | 0.0 | 0 | 83 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 0 | 87 | 0.0 | 0 | 87 | 0.0 | 257,547 | 87 | 67.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | 787 | 44 | 0.6 | 59 | 33 | 0.2 | 12,812 | 18 | 9.7 | 32,572 | 24.7 |
| Missouri | 21,354 | 115 | 5.3 | 2,063 | 116 | 1.7 | 5,202 | 43 | 1.3 | $(8,213)$ | (2.0) |
| Montana | 158 | 56 | 0.3 | 11 | 56 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 3,372 | 83 | 4.5 | 15 | 2 | 0.1 | 5,743 | 87 | 7.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 1,632 | 10 | 0.9 | 40 | 7 | 0.2 | 6,364 | 2 | 3.5 | 3,886 | 2.2 |
| New Hampshire* |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |  | 0 | $\ldots$ | ........ | ....... |
| New Jersey | 26,621 | 21 | 5.0 | 37 | 21 | 0.6 | 77,134 | 21 | 14.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 2,998 | 11 | 7.6 | 1 | 11 | 0.0 | 4 | 1 | 0.0 | (74) | (0.2) |
| New York | 6,854 | 57 | 0.8 | 261 | 57 | 0.1 | 50,182 | 56 | 5.6 | $(11,656)$ | (1.3) |
| North Carolina | 20,857 | 100 | 3.5 | 0 | 100 | 0.0 | 28,533 | 100 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ...... | ........ | ..... |
| Ohio | 14,943 | 86 | 1.9 | 67 | 86 | 0.0 | 136,564 | 86 | 17.2 | 434 | 0.1 |
| Oklahoma | 5,316 | 77 | 2.1 | 29 | 77 | 0.1 | 15,638 | 77 | 6.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oregon |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 339 | 36 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania |  | 0 | 0.0 | 268 | 67 | 0.1 | 34,098 | 67 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 1,131 | 39 | 2.6 | 1 | 39 | 0.0 | 1,438 | 39 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina | 7,007 | 46 | 2.5 | 0 | 46 | 0.0 | 682 | 46 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 567 | 38 | 1.3 | 0 | 25 | 0.0 | 1,575 | 13 | 3.6 | 10,006 | 22.8 |
| Tennessee | 16,255 | 94 | 4.9 | 35 | 43 | 0.0 | 11,182 | 18 | 3.4 | 678 | 0.2 |
| Texas | 35,025 | 254 | 2.5 | 1,038 | 253 | 0.5 | 46,561 | 15 | 3.3 | (16) | (0.0) |
| Utah | 148 | 29 | 1.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 13,005 | 29 | 90.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 33 | 118 | 0.1 | 0 | 109 | 0.0 | 813 | 46 | 2.0 | 4,079 | 9.9 |
| Virginia | 18,388 | 134 | 3.5 | 946 | 134 | 0.4 | 88,197 | 134 | 16.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 5,061 | 39 | 1.1 | 145 | 27 | 0.1 | 25,396 | 19 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| West Virginia | 532 | 25 | 1.6 | 23 | 24 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1,391 | 4.1 |
| Wisconsin* | 8,526 | 72 | 1.6 | 483 | 72 | 4.0 | 104,450 | 72 | 19.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming* | 155 | 21 | 0.7 |  | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 1,146 | 5.3 |
| American Samoa* | 45 | 1 | 2.3 | 0 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Puerto Rico* |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | ....... |
| Virgin Islands* |  |  | ........ |  |  | ........ |  | 0 | ........ | ........ | ........ |
| Sum of Above | 371,414 | 2,900 | 2.5 | 8,896 | 2,422 | 0.1 | 1,556,768 | 3,073 | 10.4 | $(233,621)$ | (1.6) |
| States Included | 42 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 18 |  |
| Question | A11d |  |  | A11f |  |  | A11h-k |  |  | calc |  |

## TABLE 4B. VOTER LIST MAINTENANCE-REMOVAL ACTIONS

Question A11. Voters removed from the registration rolls by reason for removal

Notes from States:

| Arkansas | A 10 and A 11 ask for different items and States' numbers for each are different. Under A 11 voters are removed who do not receive a removal notice under NVRA Section 8 (d)(2). For example, if a voter dies and is removed, no removal notice is sent. Also consider that when a removal notice is sent pursuant to NVRA Section 8(d)(2) the voter has two election cycles before he/she is actually removed from the voter registration rolls. If a voter received a removal notice in 2010, he/she would not actually be removed from the rolls until two additional election cycles have passed. The voters removed in 2010 for inactivity should have been sent removal notices in 2006. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | One county indicated that A11h includes registered voters who were already registered and were removed. Another county indicated that in Alli, the numbers includes voters who submitted registration and were processed and came back with a driver's license prior to $10 / 01 / 96$; this would cause status to be made not eligible with reason of invalid citizenship proof. Another county indicated that for A11h, Later Registrations, the totals are cancellations that occurred when two forms made it onto our system for the same voter (duplicates) and one of those records was later cancelled. |
| California | One county indicated that it has not sent out removal notices due to failure to vote in the two most recent Federal elections within the specified time period. The only removal notices sent were due to third party change of address. Another county indicated that the data for Alle are not currently available. A couple of counties reported that the breakdowns only reflect numbers from a new or upgraded voter registration system and are therefore not complete. |
| Colorado | In Colorado, voters are not removed from the statewide voter registration database. The numbers provided reflect voter registrations that were cancelled during the reporting period. This number does not account for any voters who have subsequently re-registered or have been reinstated. Additionally, in Colorado a voter may not be cancelled solely for failure to vote following a 2009 law change. Numbers in the "Failed - 20 day period" column reflect applications that were rejected pursuant to State law because the address was undeliverable within the 20-day period. |
| Florida | A couple of counties in Florida reported a small number of voters removed because the voter did not list a legal Florida residence. |
| Guam | The GEC has not finished compiling this data and that data are therefore unavailable. |
| Idaho | In Idaho, A11h is the total number of voters whose registration was challenged and did not respond to the challenge. Alli is the total number of registration records that were cancelled due to being a duplication in the system. |
| Indiana | Although Indiana does not send the removal notices referenced by the EAC Survey, Indiana provided the number of voter records cancelled due to being in inactive status for more than two Federal General Elections for Question Alle. These statistics represent the majority of cancellations for this reason, based on the county user selecting the option to run this process in batch. However, county users have the option to also cancel voters one-by-one for this reason, but those statistics are not included in the counts for Question Alle. |
| Louisiana | In Louisiana, there are many instances where a voter is not mailed a removal notice. Voters who do not receive a removal notice are cancelled for the following main reasons: moved to a new parish; moved out of State; deceased (majority of the differences); and moved voluntarily. |
| Maine | Alla includes the 1st purge of voters inactive for two Federal elections. |
| Minnesota | A11D Felony and Allf mentally incompetent: these voter records are challenged, but voters are not removed from the active list of voters for these reasons. |


| Mississippi | One county indicated that for Allh returned mail includes seven categories and in Alli no activity <br> includes confirmation card not returned. |
| :--- | :--- |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| Nevada | One county indicated that total cancellations by type exceed Alla due to voters who re-registered <br> after being purged in Alle. Another county indicated that it was unable to track all of the reasons for <br> removal of voters for Allh. One county reported that the total figure includes changes to existing regis- <br> trations by completion of new registration form. Another county explained the large number of remov- <br> als speculating that Alla a large amount of voters were removed possibly due to economic downturn <br> and people leaving the area. |
| Ohio | A couple of counties indicated that some records were removed because they were duplicates of records <br> in other counties. |
| Oregon | Oregon does not track Alld and Allf. A couple of counties indicated that Alle cancellations occurred <br> outside of the reporting period. One county reported that Alla cancellations are higher than 06-08 <br> because cancellations for that period occurred after 11/2/08. Additional cancellations occurred for the <br> 08-10 cycle. |
| South Dakota | One county reported that the information is tracked, but it is not cumulative. <br> Tennessee <br> One county reported that the previous local administration prior to December 2009 did not code trans- <br> actions in the computer properly making it impossible for our software to give accurate counts on this <br> breakdown. The county is in the process of installing new software through Voter Central to correct <br> this problem. This issue with record keeping is isolated. Another county indicated that this total is not <br> going to match Al0a because this question covers more people than just the inactive people who have <br> not voted in two general elections. <br> Washington <br> One county reported that Allf is included in Alld. Another county indicated that for Allb, voters <br> moving outside the jurisdiction are simply cancelled and not otherwise notated. |
| Wisconsin | There were 2,614 individuals who were removed but whose address could not be associated to a particu- <br> lar reporting unit. |
| A voter is inactivated when he or she fails to vote in the most recent General Election. |  |
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Table 5. Same Day Registration

| State | Election Juris. in Survey | Reported Registration 2010 | Same Day Applications |  | $\%$ of Reported Registration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Cases |  |
| Alabama | 67 | 2,964,070 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alaska | 1 | 560,146 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 15 | 3,502,743 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas | 75 | 1,638,135 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 58 | 17,299,347 | 1,897,328 | 48 | 11.0 |
| Colorado | 64 | 3,293,942 | 4,308 | 64 | 0.1 |
| Connecticut | 169 | 2,150,633 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Delaware | 3 | 623,425 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 512,897 | 2,932 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Florida | 67 | 12,551,969 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Georgia | 159 | 5,748,459 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 692,745 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Idaho* | 44 | 790,531 | 41,609 | 44 | 5.3 |
| Illinois | 110 | 8,542,397 | 12,019 | 108 | 0.1 |
| Indiana | 92 | 4,329,977 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| lowa | 99 | 2,116,170 | 16,516 | 99 | 0.8 |
| Kansas | 105 | 1,725,012 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kentucky | 120 | 2,885,775 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Louisiana | 64 | 2,935,062 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maine | 505 | 1,028,501 | 22,216 | 505 | 2.2 |
| Maryland | 24 | 3,468,287 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Massachusetts | 351 | 4,121,180 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Michigan | 83 | 7,276,237 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Minnesota* | 87 | 3,220,844 | 71,980 | 87 | 2.2 |
| Mississippi | 82 | 1,978,463 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| Missouri | 116 | 4,137,495 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Montana | 56 | 651,335 | 4,052 | 56 | 0.6 |
| Nebraska | 93 | 1,142,247 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nevada | 17 | 1,375,848 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire* | 323 | 945,341 | 23,512 | 323 | 2.5 |
| New Jersey | 21 | 5,135,830 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 1,147,177 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| New York | 62 | 11,806,744 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 6,207,093 | 21,923 | 100 | 0.4 |
| North Dakota* | 53 | 522,720 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Ohio | 88 | 8,044,315 | 14,365 | 86 | 0.2 |
| Oklahoma | 77 | 2,082,428 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oregon | 36 | 2,068,798 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 67 | 8,220,759 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island | 39 | 706,161 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Carolina | 46 | 2,630,363 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| South Dakota | 66 | 575,150 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee | 95 | 3,952,404 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Texas | 254 | 13,262,432 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Utah | 29 | 1,500,305 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont | 233 | 439,333 | 1,889 | 101 | 0.4 |
| Virginia | 134 | 5,032,135 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Washington | 39 | 4,066,517 | 2,657 | 14 | 0.1 |
| West Virginia | 55 | 1,216,023 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin* | 72 | 3,709,229 | 229,930 | 72 | 6.2 |
| Wyoming* | 23 | 270,083 | 8,942 | 23 | 3.3 |
| American Samoa* | 1 | 16,124 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Guam* | 1 | 52,821 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Puerto Rico* | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Virgin Islands* | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | ........ |
| Sum of Above | 4,678 | 186,874,157 | 2,376,178 | 1,736 | 1.3 |
| States Included |  | 53 | 16 |  |  |
| Question |  | A1 | A4a |  |  |

TABLE 5. SAME DAY REGISTRATION

Question A4. Same Day registration

Notes from States:

| California | One county indicated that in California, no Same Day Registration is allowed. However, if a citizen turned 18 years old on election day, they would be entitled to vote. One county stated that it allows voters to vote the same day they register. A couple of counties indicated that during early voting (E-29 thru E-15), voters can register and vote on the same day (in office only). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Colorado | Colorado does not make provisions for Same Day Registrations. The numbers in columns A4a through A4h reflect Emergency registrations occurring pursuant to section 1-2-217.5, C.R.S., and in-person changes pursuant to section 1-2-216(4), C.R.S., after the Registration Cutoff (10/04/2010) through Election Day. |
| Hawaii | Hawaii does not allow Same Day Registration. |
| Minnesota | Seven counties noted that new voters may be over-reported due to incomplete data entry. The remaining counties commented that Election Day Registrations (EDR) total in A4a is for NEW EDR only. This number differs from the canvassed EDR number that counts new EDR and EDR updates as a combined total. |
| Mississippi | One county reported that Mississippi does not allow for Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration. |
| North Dakota | North Dakota does not have voter registration. |
| Ohio | Many counties indicated that Same Day Registration for voting takes place within the five days between the beginning of absentee voting and voter registration deadline. One county indicated that Ohio has no Election Day registration. One county reported that the dates used were 9/28/2010 through 10/04/2010. |
| Rhode Island | Rhode Island only allows Same Day Registration and voting for Presidential Elections. |
| South Dakota | One county indicated that early voters can register and vote on the same day. Another reported that 15 days prior to election one could register and vote the same day. |
| Virginia | Virginia does not have Same Day Registration and voting. |

2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey
Table 6. Jurisdictions Conducting Registration

| State | Units | Jurisdictions Conducting Registration | Jurisdictions in Survey |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Counties unless indicated otherwise) Notes | Juris. in Survey | Include Indep. Cities | Diff. Juris. |  |
| Alabama | 67 |  | 67 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Alaska | 4 | Regional divisions | 1 |  | (3) | One response covers the State; Alaska does not have counties |
| Arizona | 15 |  | 15 |  | .... |  |
| Arkansas | 75 |  | 75 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| California | 58 |  | 58 |  | .... |  |
| Colorado | 64 |  | 64 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Connecticut | 169 | Towns and cities | 169 |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| Delaware | 3 |  | 3 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| District of Columbia | 1 | District | 1 |  | $\ldots$ | The District is treated as a county equivalent |
| Florida | 67 |  | 67 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Georgia | 159 |  | 159 |  | .... |  |
| Hawaii | 4 |  | 4 |  | $\ldots$ | Data for Kalawao county is included in Maui county |
| Idaho* | 44 |  | 44 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Illinois | 110 |  | 110 | Y | .. | Responses include whole counties and several election authorities |
| Indiana | 92 |  | 92 |  | .... |  |
| lowa | 99 |  | 99 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Kansas | 105 |  | 105 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Kentucky | 120 |  | 120 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Louisiana | 64 |  | 64 |  | $\ldots$ | County equivalents are called parishes |
| Maine | 501 | Towns and cities | 505 |  | 4 | Some municipal units are combined for voter registration |
| Maryland | 24 |  | 24 | Y | $\ldots$ | Baltimore City treated as county equivalent |
| Massachusetts | 351 | Towns and cities | 351 |  | .... |  |
| Michigan | 1,516 | Municipalities | 83 |  | $(1,433)$ | All townships summed to counties |
| Minnesota* | 87 |  | 87 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Mississippi | 82 |  | 82 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Missouri | 116 |  | 116 | Y | $\ldots$ | St. Louis and Kansas City treated as county equivalents |
| Montana | 56 |  | 56 |  | .... |  |
| Nebraska | 93 |  | 93 |  | .... |  |
| Nevada | 17 |  | 17 | Y | $\ldots$ | Carson City treated as county equivalent |
| New Hampshire* | 323 | Towns and cities | 323 |  | $\ldots$ | Survey response unit is the ward/precinct |
| New Jersey | 21 |  | 21 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| New Mexico | 33 |  | 33 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| New York | 62 |  | 62 | Y | $\ldots$ |  |
| North Carolina | 100 |  | 100 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| North Dakota* |  |  | 53 |  | 53 | No voter registration |
| Ohio | 88 |  | 88 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Oklahoma | 77 |  | 77 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Oregon | 36 |  | 36 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Pennsylvania | 67 |  | 67 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Rhode Island | 39 | Towns and cities | 39 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| South Carolina | 46 |  | 46 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| South Dakota | 66 |  | 66 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Tennessee | 95 |  | 95 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Texas | 254 |  | 254 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Utah | 29 |  | 29 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Vermont | 246 | Towns and cities | 233 |  | (13) |  |
| Virginia | 134 |  | 134 | Y | $\ldots$ | Independent cities treated as county equivalents |
| Washington | 39 |  | 39 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| West Virginia | 55 |  | 55 |  | .... |  |
| Wisconsin* | 1,851 | Municipalities | 72 |  | $(1,779)$ | All towns/cities summed to counties |
| Wyoming* | 23 |  | 23 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| American Samoa* | 1 |  | 1 |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Guam* | 1 |  | 1 |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| Puerto Rico* | 78 |  | 0 |  | (78) |  |
| Virgin Islands* | 1 |  | 0 |  | (1) |  |
| Sum of Above | 7,928 |  | 4,678 |  |  |  |

# APPENDIX B: QUESTIONNAIREVOTER REGISTRATION QUESTIONS 

## U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

## 2010 Election Administration \& Voting Survey

The ongoing process of improving America's election systems relies in part on having accurate data about the way Americans cast their ballots. In 2002, Congress chartered the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) to collect information on the state of American elections and make it widely available to policy makers, advocates, scholars, journalists and the general public. Since 2004, the Commission has sponsored a biennial survey as its primary tool for fulfilling that mission. We are pleased to present the 2010 Election Administration and Voting Survey, and we ask for your help in making it the most complete and accurate survey in its history.

The questions below ask for information about ballots cast; voter registration; overseas and military voting; Election Day activities; voting technology; and other important issues. The section concerning the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Voting Act (UOCAVA) serves as the EAC's standardized format for state reporting of UOCAVA voting information as required by 42 U.S.C. §1973ff-1. States that complete and timely submit this section to the EAC will fulfill their UOCAVA reporting requirement under 42 U.S.C. §1973ff-1(c). Additionally, EAC is mandated by the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA) to collection information from states concerning the impact of that statute on the administration of Federal elections. With this information EAC is required to make a report to Congress and provide recommendations for the improvement of Federal and State procedures, forms, and other NVRA matters. States that timely respond to all questions in this survey concerning voter registration related matters will meet their NVRA reporting requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 1973gg-7 and EAC regulations.

The EAC recognizes the burden that asking for this data places on state and local election officials, and we have worked to minimize that burden as much as possible.

In advance, we thank you for your cooperation and look forward to answering any questions you might have.

Information supplied by:

| Name | Title |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Office/Agency name |  |  |
| Address 1 | State | Zip Code |
| Address 2 |  |  |
| City | Extension | Fax number (area code and number) |
| E-mail address |  |  |
| Telephone (area code and number) |  |  |

## SECTION A

## VOTER REGISTRATION

EAC is mandated by the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA) to collection information from states concerning the impact of that statute on the administration of Federal elections. With this information EAC is required to make a report to Congress and provide recommendations for the improvement of Federal and State procedures, forms, and other NVRA matters. States that timely respond to all questions in this survey concerning voter registration related matters will meet their NVRA reporting requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 1973gg-7 and EAC regulations.

## Roadmap to Section A:

- A1, A2 and A3 ask for information about the number of registered voters in your jurisdiction and how you calculate those statistics.
- A4 asks for information about registration activity on days in which it was possible for a person to both register and vote on the same day.
- A5 asks for information on all registration forms for all types of registration transactions (successful and unsuccessful) received by your office.
- A6 asks for the sources of all registration forms (both successful and unsuccessful).
- A7 asks for the sources of new registrations.
- A8 asks for the sources of duplicate registrations.
- A9 asks for the sources of invalid or rejected registrations.
- A10 asks for information on removal notices sent under NVRA Section 8(d) 2.
- A11 asks for the number of voters removed from the voter registration rolls and the reason for their removal.

A1. Enter the total number of persons in your jurisdiction who were registered and eligible to vote in the November $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ general election. Include all persons eligible to vote in the election including special categories of voters with extended deadlines (such as returning military). Do not include any persons under the age of 18 who may be registered under a "pre-registration" program.

A1a. Total $\qquad$
$\square$
$\square$ Data not available

## A1 Comments

$\square$
A2. When you report the number of registered voters in your jurisdiction for the November $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ general election (as in A1a) do you include both active and inactive voters in the count, or does your jurisdiction only include active voters? (Select only one)

A2a. Jurisdiction uses both active and inactive registered voters $\qquad$
A2b. Jurisdiction only uses active registered voters

A2c. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$

A2 Comments

A3. Enter the total number of persons who were registered and eligible to vote in the November $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ general election into the following categories. Do not include any persons under the age of 18 who may be registered under a "preregistration" program.

A3a. Active

A3b. Inactive


A3 Comments


A4. If your state's laws allowed any voters to register and then to vote on the same day, enter the total number of registration forms received on those days in which it was possible to both register for and vote in the November $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ general election on the same day. This question includes jurisdictions in states that have formal Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration and those states that have other situations that provide Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration. This question includes jurisdictions in states that permit Election Day Registration for voting for office of President, such as Connecticut and Rhode Island.

A4a.

$\square$ Data not available
$\qquad$ Not applicable

A4b. Are the numbers you provided for question A4a because your state allows Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration for all voters, or does your answer come from a difference circumstance?...Yes, our state has Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration.
.... No, our state does not have formal Election Day Registration or Same Day Registration, but some voters were able to register and vote on the same day for the 2010 election..... Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$... Not applicable.

## A4 Comments

A5. In order to evaluate the workflow of your office over the last election cycle, enter the total number of forms your jurisdiction received from all sources during the period from the close of registration for the November 2008 general election until the close of registration for the November $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ general election. Include any forms that were processed, such as changes to name, party or address, duplicates, or pre-registrations. Include here any Election Day or Same Day registrations, if applicable. Also include any special categories of voters who may have extended deadlines such as returning military personnel, if applicable.

A5a. Total


Next, divide the total number of registration application forms received (as entered in A5a) into the following categories. The amounts should sum to the total provided in A5a.

Data not available

A5b. New valid registrations (excluding pre-registrations of persons under 18 $\square$
$\square$

A5c. New "pre" registrations of persons under age 18 $\qquad$
$\square$

A5d. Duplicate of existing valid registration


A5e. Invalid or rejected (other than duplicates)
A5f. Changes to name, party or within-jurisdiction address change. $\qquad$
$\square$
A5g. Address changes that cross jurisdiction borders $\square$
$\square$


## A5 Comments

A6a through A6o: Divide the total number of all registration forms received (as entered in A5a) into the following sources. A7a through A7o: Divide the total number of new registration forms received (as entered in A5b) into the following sources. A8a through A80: Divide the total number of duplicate registration forms received (as entered in A5d) into the following sources. A9a through A9o: Divide the total number of invalid or rejected registration forms (as entered in A5e) received into the following sources.
a. Individual voters submitting applications by mail, fax, or email
b. Individual voters registering in person at the election/registrar's office.
c. Individual voters submitting registration forms via the Internet.
d. Motor vehicle offices or other offices that issue drivers licenses
e. Public assistance offices mandated as registration sites under NVRA
f. State funded agencies primarily serving persons with disabilities
g. Armed forces recruitment offices
h. Other agencies designated by the State not mandated by NVRA
i. Registration drives from advocacy groups or political parties
j. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
k. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
I. Other $\rightarrow$ comments:
m. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
n.Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
o. Other $\rightarrow$ comments

TOTAL

| (from A5a) | (from A5b) | (from A5d) | (from A5e) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A6. Total forms received | A7. New registrations | A8. Duplicate of existing registrations | A9. Invalid or rejected |
| $\stackrel{N}{\text { NA }}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\text { NA }}$ | $\stackrel{N A}{\nabla}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\text { NA }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |

A10. Enter the total number of removal notices sent to voters in the period between the close of registration for the November 2008 general election and the close of registration for the November 2010 general election, because the person had not voted or appeared to vote in the two previous federal elections (per NVRA Section 8 (d) (2)).

A10a. Total $\square$

Next, divide the total number of removal/confirmation notices mailed (as entered in A10a) into the following categories. The amounts should sum to the total provided in A10a.


A10b. Received back from voters confirming registration. $\square$
$\square$
A10c. Received back confirming registration should be invalidated. $\qquad$
$\square$
...........


A10d. Returned back as undeliverable
A10e. Status unknown
(neither received confirmation nor returned undeliverable)


A10f. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$ _ .................. $\square$

A10g. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$ _ ..................

A10h. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$ _ ..................

## TOTAL

A10a

A10 Comments

A11. Enter the total number of voters removed from the voter registration rolls in your jurisdiction in the period between the close of registration for the November 2008 general election and the close of registration for the November 2010 general election. Note this question asks for those ineligible to vote, not merely those moved into an "inactive" status.

A11a. Total


Next, divide the total number of voters removed (as entered in A11a) into the following categories. The amounts should sum to the total provided in A11a.

A11b. Moved outside jurisdiction

A11c. Death

A11d. Disqualifying felony conviction


A11e. Failure to respond to notice sent and failure to vote in the two most recent federal elections


A11f. Declared mentally incompetent


A11g. Voter requested to be removed for reasons other than felony conviction, mental status, or moved outside jurisdiction $\square$


A11h. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
A11i. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
$\square$

A11j. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$
$\square$

A11k. Other $\rightarrow$ comments: $\qquad$ $\square$ TOTAL

A11a

## A11 Comments



## EAC Commissioners

Commissioner, Gineen Bresso
Commissioner, Donetta Davidson

EAC Staff
Thomas Wilkey, Executive Director


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Throughout this report, EAC uses the word "States" to mean "States, territories, and the District of Columbia."
    ${ }^{2}$ The six States are Idaho, Minnesota, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. They are exempt because Election Day Registration was in effect continuously on and after, or enacted prior to, August 1, 1994, or, in the case of North Dakota, have no voter registration at all (42 U.S.C. $\$ 1973 g g-2(b))$. The territories are not subject to the NVRA. These States have an asterisk in the tables included in this report as a reminder that they are exempt from NVRA.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ While data for 2006, 2008, and 2010 are cited for illustrative purposes throughout this report, the 2006 and 2010 elections were midterm elections, which make them more comparable.
    ${ }^{4}$ Active registrants refers to all registered voters except those who have been sent, but who have not responded to a confirmation mailing sent in accordance with NVRA (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-6(d)) and have not since offered to vote.

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ Pub. L. No. 111-84, Subtitle H, 123 Stat. 2190
    ${ }^{9}$ The MOVE Act eliminated the requirement that ballots be automatically transmitted for two subsequent general election cycles.

[^3]:    ${ }^{10}$ States were allowed to change the list of jurisdictions to match their own reporting and administration systems. Some States, particularly those with township systems, may change the number of local jurisdictions administering elections from year to year, as towns run joint elections to ease the administrative burden.

[^4]:    ${ }^{11}$ The non-respondents were Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands. Their names appear in the tables but without any data.
    ${ }^{12}$ States were given the option of answering "data not available" and, for some questions, "data not applicable." The "cases" columns provided in the tables report all local jurisdictions in which a State responded with a number, whether zero or higher. In some cases, States may have responded zero instead of "data not available" or "data not applicable," or vice versa. A blank cell in the table could represent missing data or the selection of "data not available" or "data not applicable" across all jurisdictions in the State. Complete data can be downloaded at www. eac.gov.

[^5]:    ${ }^{13}$ Implementing the National Voter Registration Act of 1993:
    Requirements, Issues, Approaches, and Examples, prepared by the National Clearinghouse on Election Administration, Federal Election Commission, Washington, DC, 1994 (FEC Guide, page I-1).

[^6]:    ${ }^{14}$ Implementing the National Voter Registration Act of 1993: Requirements, Issues, Approaches, and Examples, prepared by the National Clearinghouse on Election Administration, Federal Election Commission, Washington, DC, 1994 (FEC Guide, page I-1).
    ${ }^{15}$ Voter registration agencies under NVRA may also include public libraries, city and county clerks' offices, public schools, and fishing and hunting license bureaus, government revenue offices, unemployment compensation offices (42 U.S.C. §1973gg-5).
    ${ }^{16}$ Implementing the National Voter Registration Act of 1993: Requirements, Issues, Approaches, and Examples, prepared by the National Clearinghouse on Election Administration, Federal Election Commission, Washington, DC, 1994 (FEC Guide, page I-3).

[^7]:    ${ }^{17}$ EAC uses the U.S. Census Bureau's estimate of voting age population for the number of voters registered to vote in North Dakota for purposes of this report because North Dakota does not have voter registration.
    ${ }^{18}$ States were asked to provide the number of voters "eligible and registered" at the time of the Federal election. In reality, this number is an estimate in some States and not applicable in others.

[^8]:    ${ }^{19} 42$ U.S.C. §1973gg-6(b)(2)

[^9]:    ${ }^{20}$ The Table 2 column header is labeled mail registration applications, but the entire subcategory includes mail, fax and email.
    ${ }^{21}$ States may have counted their sources of applications differently. For example, 25 States reported figures for third-party voter registration drives by advocacy groups or political parties separately; other States included such drives in their totals for in-person or mail applications.
    ${ }^{22}$ States vary in their interpretation of Internet (or online) voter registration. For some States it is defined as offering a fillable pdf; for others it includes the ability to email the registration form. For more information, see EAC's 2010 Statutory Overview report.

[^10]:    ${ }^{23}$ States were not asked to provide the reasons for these rejections.

[^11]:    ${ }^{24}$ As used here, and in the survey, Election Day Registration refers to any day (prior to and including Election Day itself) when eligible voters could register and cast their ballots on the same day. Because of the way the question was worded, data were collected from some States that do not consider themselves to have Election Day Registration.
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