

Event Summary - Voting Systems

| | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Type | Request for Proposal | Number | WA17018 |
| Event Status | Under Evaluation | Organization | StateOfUtah |
| Exported on | 6/27/2017 | Exported by | Windy Aphayrath |
| Project | Division of Purchasing | Currency | US Dollar |
| Estimated Value | - | Payment Terms | - |

Bid and Evaluation

| | | | |
|------------------|-------|---------------|----|
| Respond by Proxy | Allow | Use Panel | No |
| | | Questionnaire | |
| Sealed Bid | Yes | Auto Score | No |
| | | Cost Analysis | No |
| Alternate Items | No | | |


Visibility and Communication

Visible to Public Yes
Enter a short description for this public event
Division of Purchasing, Voting Systems

Commodity Codes

| Commodity Code | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 43210 | Information technology hardware including computer hardware and accessories and printers and input data devices and electronic voting and vote-counting equipment |

Event Dates

| | |
|--------------|---|
| Time Zone | Mountain Standard Time |
| Released | 5/12/2017 2:00 PM |
| Open | 5/17/2017 2:00 PM |
| Close | 6/14/2017 2:00 PM |
| Sealed Until | 6/14/2017 2:00 PM |
| |  Show Sealed Bid Open Date to Vendor |
| Q&A Close | 5/24/2017 2:00 PM |

Event Users

Event Creator

Windy Aphayrath
waphayrath@utah.gov
Phone

Event Owners

Windy Aphayrath
waphayrath@utah.gov
Phone

SciQuest Admin
sciquestadmin@utah.gov
Phone

Terri O'Toole
totoole@utah.gov

Phone +1 (801) 538-3147

Contacts

Windy Aphayrath
waphayrath@utah.gov

Phone

Stakeholders

Cherilyn Hess
chess@utah.gov

Phone

Sheila Bird
sbird@utah.gov

Tara Eutsler
teutsler@utah.gov

Kaitee Hall
kaiteehall@utah.gov

Phone

Purchasing Records Officer
purchgrama@gmail.com

Phone

Description

Issuing Procurement Unit
Conducting Procurement Unit

State of Utah Division of Purchasing
State of Utah Division of Purchasing

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Voting Equipment SOLICITATION #WA17018

This Request for Proposals ("RFP") is issued in accordance with the Utah Procurement Code and applicable administrative rules of the Utah Administrative Code. If any provision of this RFP conflicts with the Utah Procurement Code or Utah Administrative Code, then the Utah Procurement Code or Utah Administrative Code will take precedence.

Purpose of this Solicitation

The State of Utah Division of Purchasing, in collaboration with the Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office (LGO), ("the State") is the issuing and conducting procurement unit for this RFP to select an Offeror who can provide the best solution for election hardware, software, support, services, and training to all jurisdictions in Utah. The State is seeking proposals for a voting system that is secure, auditable, cost-effective, flexible, and facilitates the efficient administration of elections in the State.

Contract Award Anticipated

It is anticipated that this RFP will result in a single contract award to the highest scoring responsive and responsible Offeror.

Length of the Contract

The contract resulting from this RFP will be for TEN (10) years.

Background

Prior to 2005, the selection and purchase of voting equipment in Utah was the responsibility of each county, who administer elections in the State. After the 2000 Presidential Election, Congress passed the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) of 2002 that made federal funds available for states to replace voting equipment. In 2005 the State of Utah purchased new voting equipment for each county using a \$21.5 million HAVA grant, in addition to \$10 million in state funds. Although the State initially purchased the uniform voting solution consisting of all necessary equipment, ownership of the equipment was turned over to the counties.

Since the purchase occurred at the state level, the equipment used was uniform across the State. Each of Utah's 29 counties received Diebold AccuVote TSX Direct-Recording Electronic (DRE) machines and Diebold AccuVote TSX optical scan machines. At the time, counties primarily offered voting at traditional precinct-based polling places with early voting and no-excuse absentee voting used by a minority of voters.

Utah Code Annotated 20A-3-302 permits counties to choose to mail ballots to all active registered voters, and recent years have seen an increase in counties choosing to use an all vote-by-mail system with limited polling locations. For the November 2016 Presidential Election, 21 counties in Utah chose to adopt the all vote-by-mail model. In future elections it is likely that this number will increase, as voting by mail becomes more popular with Utah voters and preferred by county clerks.

Counties that mail ballots to all registered voters also provide a number of Election Day Vote Centers for voters who prefer to vote in-person or use an accessible voting device. Both mail ballot and traditional polling place counties often offer in-person early voting opportunities. Counties that use traditional polling places may also have certain precincts that vote entirely by mail.

Going forward election officials prefer to maintain a uniform system, whereby all counties in the State use the same voting system hardware and software. As such, proposals will be evaluated as a complete election system that includes the Election Management System (EMS), Tabulation Systems, Accessible Voting Systems, and Support and Training.

Although counties all received equipment in 2005, the estimated longevity of the current equipment varies between counties, and some anticipate being able to reliably use their current equipment for longer than others. Therefore, the selected Offeror will not be providing a wholesale replacement of the voting system in Utah. Rather, there will be a phased-in implementation over a few years, potentially beginning with the November 2017 Municipal Election in selected counties.

At the time of this RFP release, it is anticipated that funds for replacing voting equipment will primarily come from counties, with possible supplementation from state-appropriated funds. Counties will determine when they will purchase the new system. Offeror

must guarantee all prices for the entire term of the contract.

Issuing Procurement Unit, Conducting Procurement Unit, and Solicitation Number

The State of Utah Division of Purchasing is the issuing and the conducting procurement unit for this RFP (referred to as “the State”). The reference number for this RFP is Solicitation #WA17018. This solicitation number must be referred to on all proposals, correspondence, and documentation submitted to the State relating to this RFP.

Additional Information

Offerors are prohibited from communications regarding this RFP with the conducting procurement unit staff, evaluation committee members, or other associated individuals EXCEPT the State of Utah Division of Purchasing procurement officer overseeing this RFP.

Wherever in this RFP an item is defined by using a trade name, brand name, or a manufacturer and/or model number, it is intended that the words, “or equivalent” apply; and invites the submission of equivalent products by the Offerors.

Offerors may be required to submit product samples to assist the chief procurement officer or head of a procurement unit with independent procurement authority in evaluating whether a procurement item meets the specifications and other requirements set forth in the request for proposals. Product samples must be furnished free of charge unless otherwise stated in the request for proposals, and if not destroyed by testing, will upon written request within any deadline stated in the request for proposals, be returned at the Offeror's expense. Samples must be labeled or otherwise identified as specified in the request for proposals by the procurement unit.

The issuing procurement unit may not accept a proposal after the time for submission of a proposal has expired.

The State reserves the right to conduct discussions with the Offerors who submit proposals determined to be reasonably susceptible of being selected for award, but proposals may be accepted without discussions.

Evaluation Administrative and Mandatory Minimum Requirement Compliance

All proposals in this RFP will be evaluated in a manner consistent with the Utah Procurement Code, Administrative Rules, policies, and evaluation criteria in this RFP. Offerors bear sole responsibility for the items included or not included within the proposal submitted by the Offeror. Each area of the evaluation criteria must be addressed in detail in the proposal.

Responses should be concise, straightforward, and prepared simply and economically

To be responsive and responsible Offerors must review and respond to the following sections of this RFP: Prerequisites, Buyer Attachments, Questions, and Items.

- The Prerequisites section includes the objective and subjective criteria that will be used to evaluate the proposals, which include the mandatory minimum requirements, technical criteria, and other prerequisites that Offerors must read and agree to in order to respond to this RFP.
- The Buyer Attachments Section contains the standard contractual terms and conditions required by the State and any other required documents associated with this RFP.
- The Questions Section contains the questions that Offerors are required to answer in order to submit a proposal.
- The Items Section contains the detailed description of the procurement items being sought and allows the Offerors to provide their cost proposals.

Offerors must review each section carefully.

All materials submitted become the property of the State. Materials may be evaluated by anyone designated by the State as part of the evaluation committee.

Prerequisites

1 Instructions To Vendor : ★

Offerors are encouraged to review this RFP prior to the deadline to submit a proposal, even if a proposal has been submitted, in case an addendum has been issued by the issuing procurement unit.

Prerequisite Content:

Addenda

Addenda shall be published within a reasonable time prior to the deadline that proposals are due, to allow prospective offerors to consider the addenda in preparing proposals. Publication at least 5 calendar days prior to the deadline that proposals are due shall be deemed a reasonable time. Minor addenda and urgent circumstances may require a shorter period of time. After the due date and time for submitting a proposal to this RFP, at the discretion of issuing procurement unit, addenda to this RFP may be limited to Offerors that have submitted proposals, provided the addenda does not make a substantial change to this RFP.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

2 Instructions To Vendor : ★

All questions must be submitted through SciQuest during the Question and Answer period.

Prerequisite Content:

Question and Answer Period

The Question and Answer period closes on date and time specified on SciQuest. All questions must be submitted through SciQuest during the Question and Answer period. Answers from the State will be posted on SciQuest. Questions may include notifying the State of any ambiguity, inconsistency, scope exception, excessively restrictive requirement, or other errors in this RFP. Questions are encouraged.

Questions may be answered individually or may be compiled into one document.

Questions may also be answered via an addendum. An answered question or an addendum may modify the specification or requirements of this RFP. Answered questions and addendums will be posted on SciQuest. Offerors should periodically check SciQuest for answered questions and addendums before the closing date. It is the responsibility of the Offerors to submit their proposals as required by this RFP, including any requirements contained in an answered question and/or addendums.

Certification

I have read and understand this prerequisite.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

3 Instructions To Vendor : ★

Pursuant to the Utah Procurement Code the following entities are Eligible Users and are allowed to use the awarded contracts.

Prerequisite Content:

Eligible Users

This State of Utah Cooperative Contract will be for the benefit of all Utah public entities, nonprofit organizations, and agencies of the federal government, i.e. State of Utah departments, agencies, and institutions, political subdivisions (colleges, universities, school districts, special service districts, cities and counties, etc.).

The following Eligible Users are allowed to use the awarded contract: State of Utah's government departments, institutions, agencies, political subdivisions (i.e., colleges, school districts, counties, cities, etc.), and, as applicable, nonprofit organizations, agencies of the federal government, or any other entity authorized by the laws of the State of Utah to participate in State Cooperative Contracts will be allowed to use this Contract.

Each Eligible User is considered an individual customer. Each Eligible User will be responsible to follow the terms and conditions of this RFP. Eligible Users will be responsible for their own charges, fees, and liabilities. Contractor shall apply the charges to each Eligible User individually. The State is not responsible for any unpaid invoice.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

4 Instructions To Vendor : ★

The State of Utah Division of Purchasing does not guarantee any purchase amount under an awarded contract.

Prerequisite Content:

No Guarantee of Use

The State of Utah Division of Purchasing does not guarantee any purchase amount under the awarded contract. Estimated quantities are for solicitation purposes only and are not to be construed as a guarantee.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

5 Instructions To Vendor : ★

A Bidder must guarantee its pricing for the period described in this RFP.

Prerequisite Content:

Price Guarantee Period

Offeror must guarantee its pricing for the entire term of the contract.

If allowable under this RFP, a request for price adjustment must be made at least thirty (30) days prior to the effective date. A request for price adjustment must include sufficient documentation (market analysis) supporting the request. Any price adjustment will not be effective unless approved by the Director of the Division of Purchasing. A price adjustment will be guaranteed for the same length of time as the original price guarantee. The conducting procurement unit will be given the immediate benefit of any decrease in the market, or allowable discount.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

6 Instructions To Vendor : ★

If an Offeror is awarded a contract from this RFP then it is required to provide a quarterly administrative fee and report.

Prerequisite Content:

Contract Administrative Fee and Quarterly Usage Report

The following Contract Administrative Fee and Quarterly Report requirements will apply to the awarded contract:

Quarterly Administrative Fee: Offeror agrees to provide a quarterly administrative fee to the Division of Purchasing in the form of a Check or EFT payment. The quarterly administrative fee will be payable to the “State of Utah Division of Purchasing” and will be sent to State of Utah, Division of Purchasing, 3150 State Office Building, Capitol Hill, PO Box 141061, Salt Lake City, UT 84114. The Administrative Fee will be 0.0% and will apply to all purchases (net of any returns, credits, or adjustments) made under the awarded contract.

Quarterly Utilization Report: Offeror agrees to provide a quarterly utilization report, reflecting net sales to the State during the associated fee period. The quarterly utilization report will show, at a minimum, the quantities and dollar volume of purchases by each: State of Utah Departments and Agencies, Cities, Counties, School Districts, Higher Education, Special Service Districts, and Other. The quarterly utilization report will be provided in secure electronic format and/or submitted electronically to the State reports email address: salesreports@utah.gov.

Report Schedule: The quarterly utilization report shall be made in accordance with the following schedule:

| | |
|----------------|--------------|
| Period Ends: | Reports Due: |
| March 31st | April 30th |
| June 30th | July 31st |
| September 30th | October 31st |
| December 31st | January 31st |

Fee Payment: After the Division of Purchasing receives the quarterly utilization report, it will send the Offeror an invoice for the total quarterly administrative fee owed to the Division of Purchasing. Offeror shall pay the quarterly administrative fee within thirty (30) days from receipt of invoice.

Timely Reports and Fees: If the quarterly administrative fee is not paid by thirty (30) days of receipt of invoice or the quarterly utilization report is not received by the report due date, then the Offeror will be in material breach of the awarded contract.

Past Reports and Fees: The State reserves the right to not sign a contract resulting from this solicitation with a vendor that was awarded a previous contract that is not current on its administrative fee and administrative reports.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

7 Instructions To Vendor : ★

If it is determined to be in the best interest of the Conducting Procurement Unit, interviews and presentations may be held at the option of the State.

Prerequisite Content:

Interviews and Presentations

All Offerors that meet the minimum mandatory requirements may be determined to be eligible for further evaluation in this phase. Offerors must be prepared to provide a presentation and live demonstration of all aspects of the proposed voting solution. The purpose of this activity is to allow the evaluators to witness how the solution meets requirements and to gain a better understanding of the Offeror's proposed solution.

The State shall establish a date and time for the interviews or presentations and shall notify eligible Offerors of the procedures. Offerors invited to interviews or presentations shall be limited to those Offerors meeting the minimum requirements specified in the RFP.

Representations made by an Offeror during interviews or presentations shall become an addendum to the Offeror's proposal and shall be documented. Representations must be consistent with the Offeror's original proposal and may only be used for purposes of clarifying or filling in gaps in the Offeror's proposal. Interviews and presentations will be at the Offeror's expense.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

8 Instructions To Vendor : ★

Offerors may request that part of its proposal be protected by submitting a Claim of Business Confidentiality Form. See the Buyers Attachment section.

Prerequisite Content:

Protected Information

Pricing may not be classified as confidential or protected and will be considered public information.

Process for Requesting Non-Disclosure: To protect information under a Claim of Business Confidentiality, an Offeror must complete the Claim of Business Confidentiality form, at the time the proposal is submitted, with the following information:

- Include a concise statement of reasons supporting the claim of business confidentiality (Subsection 63G-2-309(1)).
- Submit an electronic "redacted" (excluding protected information) copy of the proposal. Copy must clearly be marked "Redacted Version."

The Claim of Business Confidentiality form may be accessed at:

<http://www.purchasing.utah.gov/contract/documents/confidentialityclaimform.doc>

An entire proposal cannot be identified as "**PROTECTED**", "**CONFIDENTIAL**" or "**PROPRIETARY**".

Redacted Copy: If an Offeror submits a proposal that contains information claimed to be confidential or protected, the Offeror **MUST** submit two separate proposals: one redacted version for public release, with all protected business confidential information either blacked-out or removed, clearly marked as "Redacted Version"; and one non-redacted version for evaluation purposes clearly marked as "Protected Business Confidential."

All materials submitted become the property of the State of Utah. Materials may be evaluated by anyone designated by the State as part of the evaluation committee. Materials submitted may be returned only at the State's option.

Certification

I certify that if my bid contains confidential or protected information that I will provide a Claim of Business Confidentiality form as part of my bid.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

9 Instructions To Vendor : ★

Scopes of work for this contract will be determined by the Eligible User agencies.

Prerequisite Content:

Scope of Work

The proposed Scope of Work has been attached to this RFP. Offerors should review the Scope of Work before submitting their responses to the Mandatory Minimum Requirements and Technical Response prerequisites.

By reviewing the Scope of Work the Offerors will have a better understanding of the procurement item that is being request from this RFP.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

10 Instructions To Vendor : ★

The mandatory minimum requirements are the objective criteria in which the conducting procurement unit will evaluate proposals.

Offerors must upload a document which provides a point by point response to the mandatory minimums listed in this prerequisite.

Prerequisite Content:

Mandatory Minimum Requirements

Offerors must demonstrate the ability to meet or exceed the mandatory minimum requirements outlined below by providing a narrative point by point response, in the order listed, to each requirement.

The mandatory minimum requirements have been attached to this RFP in the Buyer Attachments section and must be met in order for a proposal to be considered responsive. Offerors must demonstrate the ability to meet or exceed the mandatory requirements outlined in the attachment by providing a narrative response to each requirement in the Questions section of this RFP.

Offeror understands all minimum mandatory requirements will relate to one of the following six categories:

1. Certification
2. Requirements of Utah Code Annotated UCA Chapter 20A
3. Election Management System
4. Tabulation System(s)

5. Accessible Voting System

6. Support and Training

Offeror understands that for the sake of organization in this RFP the Tabulation System and Accessible Voting System are considered separate, however systems that combine the two options, providing the tabulation function as well as the accessible function, will be considered as long as the system meets all of the requirements in the Tabulation System(s) and Accessible Voting System sections.

All of the items described in this section are non-negotiable. However, if a manufacturer's specification is used or identified above, then a proposal may include, in sufficient detail, that its proposal contains an equivalent brand.

If it is determined that a proposal does not meet these requirements, at any time during the solicitation process, the proposal will be deemed non-responsive and disqualified from further consideration.

Certification

I certify that I have reviewed and understand the mandatory minimums listed in this prerequisite.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

11 Instructions To Vendor : ★

The definition of voting equipment per Utah Code Annotated Chapter 20A.

Prerequisite Content:

Voting Equipment Definition and Certification

In Utah, voting equipment is defined as automatic tabulation equipment, electronic voting systems, voting devices, and voting machines (UCA 20A-5-801). UCA 20A-5-802 requires voting equipment to be certified by the Lieutenant Governor as meeting the following requirements:

- Voting equipment is independently tested using security testing protocols and standards that are generally accepted in the industry at the time the Lieutenant Governor reviews the equipment. These testing protocols and standards shall require that a voting system:
 - Is accurate and reliable;
 - Possesses established and maintained access controls;
 - Has not been fraudulently manipulated or tampered with;
 - Is able to identify fraudulent or erroneous changes to the voting equipment; and
 - Protects the secrecy of a voter's ballot.
- The Lieutenant Governor may comply with these requirements by certifying voting equipment that has been certified by:
 - The United States Election Assistance Commission; or
 - A laboratory that has been accredited by the United States Election Assistance Commission to test voting equipment.

Certification

I certify that I have read and understand the definition of voting equipment per UCA 20A-5-801 and certification requirements by the Lieutenant Governor per UCA 20A-5-802.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

12 Instructions To Vendor :

Value-Added Features will not be evaluated.

Prerequisite Content:

Value-Added Features

Value-added features will not be included in the scoring and evaluation criteria for this RFP, but may be considered by the State of Utah or local entities for a separate purchase. The State reserves the right to include value-added features from an Offeror's proposal during contract negotiations.

Certification

I certify that I have read and understand to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

13 Instructions To Vendor : ★

To determine which proposal provides the best value to the State, the evaluation committee will evaluate each responsive and responsible proposal that has not been disqualified or rejected using the subjective criteria listed in this prerequisites section.

Prerequisite Content:

Technical Response

The subjective criteria that will be used to evaluate proposals is:

- EMS general information
- Ballot programming and layout
- Reports and data integration
- EMS security
- Tabulation system general information
- Tabulations system reliability and durability
- Tabulation system security
- Digital image of ballots cast
- Ballot adjudication
- Ballot-on-demand
- COTS options
- Ranked choice voting
- Accessible voting system general information
- Accommodation for voters with visual disabilities
- Accessible voting system reliability and durability
- Ability to support system
- Maintenance and support
- Ability to accommodate different county needs
- Training
- Documentation

For ease of evaluation, the proposals must address all of the criteria above as it relates to the scope of work in the Questions portion of this RFP. The criteria are not intended to limit a proposal's content or exclude any relevant or essential data. Offerors are at liberty and are encouraged to expand upon the criteria to demonstrate the Offeror's

capability to provide the State with a solution.

Certification

I have attached a file that provides a point by point response to the technical criteria listed in this prerequisite.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

14 Instructions To Vendor : ★

Offeror's cost proposals will be evaluated independently.

Prerequisite Content:

Cost Proposal Evaluated Independently

Pursuant to Utah Code Annotated (UCA) § 63G-6a-707(6), the cost proposal will be evaluated independently from the technical proposal; and as such, **must** be submitted separately from the technical proposal.

Offerors must not include costs or pricing data in their responses to the Mandatory Minimum Requirements and the Technical Response.

Offeror must upload a completed WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet in the Supplier Attachment section of this RFP.

Offeror must also complete each required line item in the Items section of this RFP with the totals from the "Total Cost Summary" tab of the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet.

If an Offeror fails to upload a completed WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet or does not complete each required line item in the Items section of this RFP, then its proposal will be considered non-responsive and the proposal will be rejected.

Failure to submit cost or pricing data separately will result in your proposal being judged as non-responsive and ineligible for contract award.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to this prerequisite.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

15 Instructions To Vendor : ★

All proposals in response to this RFP will be evaluated in a manner consistent with the Utah Procurement Code, Administrative Rules, policies and the evaluation criteria in this RFP. Offerors bear sole responsibility for the items included or not included within the proposal submitted by the Offeror. Each area of the evaluation criteria must be addressed in detail in the proposal.

Prerequisite Content:

Evaluation of Proposals

PROPOSAL EVALUATION PROCESS

Stage 1: Initial Review/Mandatory Minimum Requirements

In the initial phase of the evaluation process, the conducting procurement unit will review all proposals timely received. Non-responsive proposals not conforming to RFP requirements or unable to meet the mandatory minimum requirements

will be eliminated from further consideration.

Stage 2: Technical Proposal Evaluation

Responsive proposals will then be evaluated by an evaluation committee appointed by the conducting procurement unit against the proposal evaluation criteria noted in this RFP. Proposals will be evaluated against the evaluation criteria as follows:

| SCOREABLE TECHNICAL CRITERIA | POINTS POSSIBLE |
|---|------------------------|
| ELECTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (EMS) | |
| EMS general information | 80 |
| Ballot programming and layout | 85 |
| Reports and data integration | 85 |
| EMS security | 80 |
| TABULATION SYSTEM(S) | |
| Tabulation system general information | 50 |
| Tabulation system reliability and durability | 40 |
| Tabulation system security | 45 |
| Digital image of ballots cast | 35 |
| Ballot adjudication | 45 |
| Ballot-on-demand | 35 |
| COTS options | 40 |
| Ranked choice voting | 40 |
| ACCESSIBLE VOTING SYSTEM | |
| Accessible voting system general information | 90 |
| Accommodation for voters with visual disabilities | 70 |
| Accessible voting system reliability and durability | 80 |
| SUPPORT AND TRAINING | |
| Ability to support | 60 |
| Maintenance and support | 75 |
| Ability to accommodate different county needs | 75 |
| Training | 50 |
| Documentation | 40 |
| TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE: | 1200 |

Offerors that achieve minimum score threshold of **720** will proceed to the Final Stage: Cost Proposal Evaluation. Offerors with a score of less than the minimum required technical points will be deemed non-responsive and ineligible for further consideration. The evaluation score sheet has been attached to this RFP. The attached evaluation score sheet states the relative weight that will be given to each evaluation criteria.

The evaluation committee, for this RFP, will tally the final scores for criteria other than cost to arrive at a consensus score by an average of the individual points given by individual committee members.

Final Stage: Cost Proposal Evaluation

Offerors successful in the technical evaluation will advance to the Final State: Cost Proposal Evaluation. The Offeror with the lowest total cost per Example County will receive the maximum points of **80** points per Example County. Points assigned to each Offeror’s Example County cost proposal will be based on the lowest proposal price.

The Offeror with the lowest total cost per Example County will receive **80** points. A total of **400** total cost points possible. All other Offerors will receive a portion of the Example County cost points based on what percentage higher their Example County cost is than the lowest Example County cost. An Offeror whose total cost is more than double (200%) the Lowest Proposed Price will receive no points. The formula to compute the points is: $\text{Cost Points} \times (2 - \frac{\text{Proposed Price}}{\text{Lowest Proposed Price}})$.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

16 Instructions To Vendor : ★

Offeror may take exception and/or propose additional language to the Standard Terms and Conditions that have been attached to this RFP.

Prerequisite Content:

Standard Terms and Conditions (Exceptions and Negotiations)

Any contract resulting from this RFP will include, but not be limited to the Standard Terms and Conditions.

Exceptions and/or additions to the Standard Terms and Conditions are strongly discouraged. However, any requested exceptions and/or additions to the Standard Terms and Conditions must be submitted with the proposal. Exceptions and/or additions submitted after the date and time for receipt of proposals will not be considered. Offerors may not submit requests for exceptions and/or additions by reference to a vendor's website or URL. URLs provided with a proposal may result in that proposal being rejected as non-responsive. Offerors may submit questions during the Question and Answer period regarding the Standard Terms and Conditions.

The State may refuse to negotiate exceptions and/or additions that are determined to be excessive; that are inconsistent with similar contracts of the procurement unit; to warranties, insurance, or indemnification provisions that are necessary to protect the procurement unit after consultation with the Attorney General's Office or other applicable legal counsel; where the solicitation specifically prohibits exceptions and/or additions; or that are not in the best interest of the procurement unit.

In a multiple award, the State reserves the right to negotiate exceptions and/or additions to terms and conditions in a manner resulting in expeditious resolutions. This process may include beginning negotiations with the Offeror having the least amount of exceptions and/or additions and concluding with the Offeror submitting the greatest number of exceptions and/or additions. Contracts may be executed and become effective as negotiations are completed.

For any proposed change(s), Offeror must provide the State of Utah's Standard Terms and Conditions for this solicitation in Microsoft Word format with redline edits. Additional terms or documents must be submitted in separate Microsoft Word documents. Offeror must also provide the name, contact information, and access to the person(s) that will be directly involved in legal negotiations.

Any mandatory required acceptance of an Offeror's terms and conditions may result in the proposal being determined to be non-responsive.

An award resulting from this RFP is subject to successful contract terms and conditions negotiation (if required). The State may reject a proposal if the offeror who submitted the proposal fails to sign a contract within 90 days after the contract award.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

17 Instructions To Vendor : ★

The issuing procurement unit may not accept a proposal after the time for submission of a proposal has expired.

Prerequisite Content:

Closing Date

When submitting a proposal or modification to a proposal electronically, Offerors must allow sufficient time to complete the online forms and upload documents. This RFP will close at the closing time posted on SciQuest. If an Offeror is in the middle of uploading a proposal when the closing time arrives, SciQuest will stop the process and the proposal or modification to a proposal will not be accepted.

It is the Offeror's responsibility to ensure that they have completed all requirements, read and reviewed all documents, submitted all required information, uploaded all required forms, and submitted their proposal prior to the closing time. Even if an Offeror completes all sections, but does not submit their proposal, the State of Utah Division of Purchasing will not be able to receive their proposal and they will be deemed non-responsive.

Be aware that entering information and uploading documents onto SciQuest may take time. Offerors should not wait until the last minute to submit a proposal. Offerors are strongly encouraged to start the submission process early in order to allow sufficient time for completing their proposal. If an offeror is still working on its proposal when the solicitation closes then when the screen refreshes to the next page, it will receive a 500 Session Timed Out Application Error. After reopening the solicitation an offeror will see that the solicitation is closed and it will not be allowed to submit its proposal. As such, it is strongly recommended that proposals be uploaded and completed at least two days before any established deadline in the solicitation so that a proposal will not be received late and be ineligible for award consideration.

Certification

I certify that I have read and understand this prerequisite.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

18 Instructions To Vendor :

Responses should be concise, straightforward and prepared simply and economically.

Prerequisite Content:

Response Format

Responses should be concise, straightforward and prepared simply and economically. Expensive displays, bindings, or promotional materials are neither desired nor required. However, there is no intent in these instructions to limit a response's content or to exclude any relevant or essential data.

All materials submitted become the property of the State. Materials may be evaluated by anyone designated by the State as part of the evaluation committee.

A vendor should organize its response using each of the following specific headings, providing a narrative point by point response to each item.

A. SECTION TITLE: Vendor Information. The Vendor shall provide information requested in the Question Section of SciQuest.

B. SECTION TITLE: Protected Information. All protected/proprietary information must be identified in this section of the response by completing the Claim of Business Confidentiality referenced in the RFP.

If the Vendor's response contains protected/proprietary information (refer back to the Protected Information section of this RFSP for additional information), then Vendor must submit a redacted copy of the response at the same time Vendor submits its response. The redacted copy of the Vendor's response must be submitted in compliance with other sections of this document.

If there is no protected information, write "None" in this section.

C. SECTION TITLE: Potential Conflicts of Interest. Vendor must identify any conflict, or potential conflict of interest, that might arise during the contract. If no conflicts are identified or expected, write "None" in this section.

D. SECTION TITLE: Mandatory Minimum Requirements. As described in this RFP, Vendor must provide the required narratives that demonstrate compliance with the stated Mandatory Minimum Requirements/Qualifications. A Vendor's failure to meet any one of the mandatory requirements will result in the response being classified as non-

responsive and will be rejected under the provisions of the Utah Procurement Code.

E. SECTION TITLE: Technical Criteria. As described in this RFP, this section should constitute the major portion of the RFP. The information must be included in the detailed response and will be scored as indicated.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

19 Instructions To Vendor : ★

Proposals must be submitted electronically, through SciQuest.

Prerequisite Content:

Submitting a Proposal

When submitting a proposal electronically through SciQuest, please allow sufficient time to complete the online forms and to upload proposal documents. The RFP will end at the deadline. If an Offeror is in the middle of uploading a proposal when the deadline arrives, the system will stop the upload process and the proposal will not be accepted by SciQuest, and the attempted submission will be considered late and ineligible for consideration.

Certification

I certify that I have read and agree to the terms above.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

20 Instructions To Vendor : ★

Cost Proposal must be completed based on the provided Example Counties Document.

Prerequisite Content:

Cost Proposal Responses

WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet must be completed based on the information provided in the Example Counties Document.

Certification

I certify that I have read and understand to the directions for submitting the cost proposal.

Vendor Must Also Upload a File:

No

Buyer Attachments

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| Claim of Business Confidentiality Form | Claim of Business Confidentiality Form - 1.doc | ../Attachments/Claim of Business Confidentiality Form -1.doc |
| Terms and Conditions for IT (Cooperative Contracts) | termsstatecoopwit-1.docx | ../Attachments/termsstatecoopwit-1.docx |
| Example Counties Document | Example Counties Document.pdf | ../Attachments/Example Counties Document.pdf |
| Cost Proposal Spreadsheet | WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet.xlsx | ../Attachments/WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet.xlsx |
| Voting Systems Score Sheet | Voting Systems Score Sheet.xls.pdf | ../Attachments/Voting Systems Score Sheet.xls.pdf |

Questions

General Questions

Group 1.1: Acceptance of Prerequisites

- 1.1.1 Is Offeror presently or has Offeror ever been debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, or declared ineligible by any governmental department or agency, whether international, national, state, or local? ★
Yes/No
- 1.1.2 Offeror acknowledges that it must acquire and maintain all applicable federal, state, and local licenses before the contract is entered into.
Licenses must be maintained throughout the entire contract period.
Persons doing business as an Individual, Association, Partnership, Corporation, or otherwise shall be registered with the Utah State Division of Corporations and Commercial Code. NOTE: Forms and information on registration may be obtained by calling (801) 530-4849 or toll free at 877-526-3994, or by accessing: www.commerce.utah.gov. ★
Yes/No
- 1.1.3 Does Vendor have an outstanding tax lien in the State of Utah? ★
Yes/No

Group 1.2: Vendor Information

- 1.2.1 Please provide your firm's legal company name. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 1.2.2 Please provide your federal tax identification number? (If the vendor is sole proprietor please do not provide your social security number.) ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 1.2.3 Please provide your firm's contact information for this contract, including the name, phone number, and email address of your firm's authorized representative. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 1.2.4 Please provide your ordering address and the remit to address. Please clearly identify each address. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 1.2.5 Please provide your firm's State of Utah Sales Tax ID Number.
If you do not have a State of Utah Sales Tax ID Number, please write "N/A". ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 1.2.6 Identify your firm's type of business.
Multiple Choice (Pick One)

Partnership
Government
Sole Proprietor
Non-Profit Corporation
For-Profit Corporation

Mandatory Minimum Requirements

Group 2.1: Certification

- 2.1.1 Per the definition described in the Voting Equipment Definition and Certification prerequisite, please provide the product/system name of all proposed products/systems being proposed. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 2.1.2 Per the definition described in the Voting Equipment Definition and Certification prerequisite, please provide the model or version numbers for all products/systems being proposed. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 2.1.3 Per the definition described in the Voting Equipment Definition and Certification prerequisite, please provide all components of the currently certified system, including hardware, software, and firmware. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 2.1.4 Per the definition described in the Voting Equipment Definition and Certification prerequisite, please provide the certification dates for all products/systems being proposed. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 2.1.5 Per the definition described in the Voting Equipment Definition and Certification prerequisite, please provide the EAC certification number. If EAC certification has not yet been obtained, answer with "N/A." ★
Text (Single Line)
- 2.1.6 Per the definition described in the Voting Equipment Definition and Certification prerequisite, please provide documentation showing that EAC certification(s) will be obtained by August 31, 2017 or documentation showing that the system(s) otherwise meets or will meet the requirements of UCA 20A-5-802 by August 31, 2017. If Offeror must upload more than a single document, please put all applicable files into a folder and attach a zipped file. ★
File Upload
- 2.1.7 Per the definition described in the Voting Equipment Definition and Certification prerequisite, please list any state certifications the system(s) has obtained. ★
Text (Multi-Line)

Group 2.2: General Requirements of Automated Voting Systems (UCA 20A-5-302).

- 2.2.1 Does the proposed system: Permit each voter at any election to vote for all persons and offices for whom and for which that voter is lawfully entitled to vote; vote for as many persons for an office as that voter is entitled to vote; and vote for or against any ballot proposition upon which that voter is entitled to vote? ★
Yes/No

- 2.2.2 Does the proposed system: Permit each voter, at presidential elections, by one mark or punch to vote for the candidates of that party for president, vice president, and for their presidential electors? ★
Yes/No
- 2.2.3 Does the proposed system: Permit each voter, at any regular general election, to vote for all the candidates of one registered political party by making one mark or punch? ★
Yes/No
- 2.2.4 Does the proposed system: Permit each voter, at any regular general election, to vote for the nominees of one or more parties and for independent candidates? ★
Yes/No
- 2.2.5 Does the proposed system: At primary elections permit each voter to vote for candidates of the political party of his or her choice and reject any votes cast for candidates of another party? ★
Yes/No
- 2.2.6 Does the proposed system: For polling place equipment, prevent the voter from voting for the same person more than once for the same office? ★
Yes/No
- 2.2.7 Does the proposed system: For polling place equipment, provide the opportunity for each voter to change the ballot and to correct any error before the voter casts the ballot in compliance with the Help America Vote Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-252? ★
Yes/No
- 2.2.8 Does the proposed system: Include automatic tabulating equipment that rejects or prevents choices recorded on a voter's ballot if the number of the voter's recorded choices is greater than the number which the voter is entitled to vote for the office or on the measure? ★
Yes/No
- 2.2.9 Is the proposed system: Of durable construction, suitably designed so that it may be used safely, efficiently, and accurately in the conduct of elections and counting ballots? ★
Yes/No
- 2.2.1 Does the proposed system: When properly operated, record correctly and count accurately each vote cast? ★
0
Yes/No

Group 2.3: Ballot Secrecy

- 2.3.1 Does the proposed system provide for voting in secrecy, except in the case of voters who have received assistance as authorized by UCA 20A-3-108? ★
Yes/No

- 2.3.2 Does the proposed system provide that the voter cannot be identified by image, code, or other methods. Protect the secrecy of the vote such that the vote may not be observed during the voter's selection of preferences, during the casting of ballot, and as the ballot is transmitted for recording on a storage device? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.4: Straight Party and Scratch Voting

- 2.4.1 The proposed system must accurately record and tabulate straight party voting and scratch voting in accordance with UCA 20A-3-106. Does the proposed system allow that, in order to vote a straight ticket, voters may mark the position associated with a political party, or mark the position associated with individual candidates for that party ticket, or make both markings? ★

Yes/No

- 2.4.2 If necessary, provide additional details regarding the proposed systems ability to allow that, in order to vote a straight ticket, voters may mark the position associated with a political party, or mark the position associated with individual candidates for that party ticket, or make both markings.

Text (Single Line)

- 2.4.3 The proposed system must accurately record and tabulate straight party voting and scratch voting in accordance with UCA 20A-3-106. Does the proposed system allow that, according to 20A-1-102(73), a "scratch vote" means to mark or punch the straight party ticket and then mark or punch the ballot for one or more candidates who are members of different political parties or who are unaffiliated? ★

Yes/No

- 2.4.4 If necessary, provide additional details regarding the proposed systems' ability to accurately record and tabulate straight party voting and scratch voting in accordance with UCA 20A-3-106. Does the proposed system allow that, according to 20A-1-102(73), a "scratch vote" means to mark or punch the straight party ticket and then mark or punch the ballot for one or more candidates who are members of different political parties or who are unaffiliated.

Text (Single Line)

Group 2.5: Permanent Paper Record (UCA 20A-5-302(2)(a)(xiii)).

- 2.5.1 Does the proposed system produce a permanent paper record that must be available as an official record for any recount or election contest conducted with respect to an election where the voting equipment is used? ★

Yes/No

- 2.5.2 Does the proposed system produce a permanent paper record that must be available for the voter's inspection prior to casting the ballot? ★

Yes/No

- 2.5.3 Does the proposed system produce a permanent paper record that must permit the voter to inspect the record of the voter's selections independently? ★

Yes/No

- 2.5.4 Does the proposed system produce a permanent paper record that must include, at a minimum, human readable printing that shows a record of the voter's selections and may also include machine readable printing which may be the same as the human readable printing? ★

Yes/No

2.5.5 Does the proposed system produce a permanent paper record that must allow voting poll watchers and counting poll watchers to observe the election process to ensure its integrity? ★

Yes/No

2.5.6 Does the proposed system produce a permanent paper record that must be sufficiently durable and able to maintain readability throughout the 22-month retention of records period? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.6: Write-In Votes

2.6.1 Does the proposed system provide for the storage, tabulation, and accurate counting of write-in votes in accordance with UCA 20A-1-102(96) and 20A-3-106? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.7: State Certification

2.7.1 Does the proposed system have the ability to obtain certification in Utah under UCA 20A-5-402.5? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.8: Multi-member Districts

2.8.1 Does the proposed system accommodate multi-member districts where multiple votes are cast for more than one candidate in a race (for example: "vote for two.")? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.9: Split and Combined Precincts

2.9.1 Does the proposed system provide for the recording and tabulation of votes cast in split precincts, where all voters are not voting the same ballot format? ★

Yes/No

2.9.2 Does the proposed system provide for the recording and tabulation of votes cast in combined precincts, where more than one precinct is voting at the same location on either the same ballot style or a different ballot style? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.10: Recounts

2.10.1 Does the proposed system permit recounts to be conducted pursuant to UCA 20A-4-401? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.11: Provisional Ballots

2.11.1 Does the proposed system address provisional ballots, including the casting of the provisional ballot and the recording and tabulating of such ballots? ★

Yes/No

2.11.2 Is the proposed system able to separate provisional ballots from non-provisional ballots while maintaining the voter's right to a secret ballot? ★

Yes/No

2.11.3 Does the proposed system easily integrate results from provisional ballots with Election Day results, early voting results and absentee voting results, once those provisional ballots have been determined to be eligible for counting, for the purpose of producing total election results? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.12: Early Voting

2.12.1 Does the proposed system provide for early voting options? ★

Yes/No

2.12.2 Provide additional details on the method for early voting options. If the proposed system for early voting is paper-based, it must provide the option of cost effectively printing ballot style for the jurisdiction at the early voting location or at the county clerk's office for distribution to early voting sites. If the proposed system for early voting is electronic, it must have the capability of storing and presenting to the voter any ballot style in use in any given jurisdiction, and have the ability to maintain multiple ballot combinations on a single voting unit. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

2.12.3 Can the proposed system easily integrate early voting results with Election Day and absentee voting results in a timely manner for the purpose of producing total election results? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.13: Absentee Voting

2.13.1 Does the proposed system provide an absentee voting system that is integrated with the entire voting solution as well as the following functionality: The devices that produce or process the absentee ballots shall be programmed from the same database and election definition that is used to program other voting units? ★

Yes/No

2.13.2 Does the proposed system provide an absentee voting system that is integrated with the entire voting solution as well as the following functionality: The reporting and tallying system for the remote absentee ballot system must be capable of tallying the absentee votes as a separate precinct and allocating absentee votes back to the voter's precinct, regardless of how ballots are sorted or grouped at the entry point? ★

Yes/No

2.13.3 Does the proposed system provide an absentee voting system that is integrated with the entire voting solution as well as the following functionality: Easily integrate absentee results with Election Day and early voting results in a timely manner for the purpose of producing total election results? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.14: Ballot Form/Layout

2.14.1 Is the proposed system capable of meeting the applicable requirements for ballot forms outlined in UCA Title 20A Chapter 6? ★

Yes/No

Group 2.15: Election Management System

2.15.1 Provide a description of how your proposed system meets the ability to interface with Utah's existing statewide voter registration database (VISTA), including the ability to exchange data between the two systems. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

2.15.2 Provide a description of how your proposed system meets the ability to interface with Utah's existing statewide voter registration database (VISTA), including the ability to allow for the import/export of ballot information (i.e. election, candidate, and race data) and voter registration information with minimal manipulation. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.15. Provide a description of how the proposed system provides election creation/ballot generation that provides all
3 hardware, software, and firmware necessary to prepare and code all elections without vendor assistance. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.15. Provide a description of how the proposed system provides election creation/ballot generation that can create
4 newly-defined elections, retain previously defined formats in that election, and can modify a previously-defined
ballot format. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.15. Provide a description of how the proposed system provides election creation/ballot generation that provides
5 intuitive, easy to manipulate ballot design/programming software with a variety of layout options for counties to
independently design ballots for printing and for use on proposed accessible voting system. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.15. Provide a description of how the proposed system provides election creation/ballot generation that provides a
6 test mode which supports testing to validate the correctness of election programming for each voting device
and ballot style. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.15.7 Provide a description of how the proposed system provides election creation/ballot generation that is capable of
translating ballot layout and election configuration into multiple languages. Languages used in Utah may include
Spanish, Ute, and Navajo. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.15. Provide a description of how the proposed system provides election creation/ballot generation that is capable of
8 producing official sample ballot information for storage on a website and for reproduction and distribution. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.15. Provide a method for election configuration data to be securely transferred from the EMS to voting devices. ★
9

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.15.1 Provide a method for securely receiving results and accumulating vote totals by precinct, district, jurisdiction and
0 statewide. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.15.1 Provide the ability to custom design an election report to include, at a minimum, the following information in
1 total or in part: name of election; political subdivisions; political parties involved; candidates; date of election;
type of report; total number of registered voters in each political subdivision; total number of registered voters in
each voting precinct, including a sub-listing when the precinct is split; and votes by multi-member districts,
legislative district or congressional district. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

2.15.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system is capable of producing reports on election night, without
2 disrupting the results accumulation process.

Text (Multi-Line)

2.15.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system is designed with several levels of security to detect/resist
3 hacking and unauthorized access and use (i.e. intrusion detection, audit logs, access controls, etc.). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

2.15.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system will allow system administrators to establish different levels of
4 user permissions. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

2.15.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system provides an audit log that records all actions performed. The
5 audit log must be stored in an easily searchable format, and available for download and printing. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

2.15.1 Confirm that the State of Utah or County will be sole owner and custodian of all election-related data in the
6 system purchased and must have the unrestricted right to access and use this data without interference by or
assistance from vendor. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 2.16: Tabulation System(s)

2.16.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system accurately captures votes from paper ballots. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

2.16. Provide a description of how the proposed system provides options to accommodate different election models,
2 i.e. traditional polling place, early voting, vote centers, vote-by-mail. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

2.16. Provide a general description of how the proposed system is scalable to accommodate different
3 sizes/classifications of counties based on the Example Counties Document. --Note: Offerors will have additional
opportunity to provide more details on proposed systems for different sized counties in Group 3.18 of this RFP. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

2.16. Provide a description of how the proposed system has cost-effective solutions for upgrading or modifying
4 software for the system, as upgrades become available, without requiring hardware replacement. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

2.16. Provide a description of how the proposed system can accommodate vote centers that must provide any ballot
5 style in the jurisdiction, either during the early voting period or on Election Day. If the proposed system uses
paper ballots for this function, a ballot on-demand printer is desirable. Ballot on-demand printer systems should
be capable of printing ballots identical to the ballots used at the polling place and for mail ballot purposes. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16. Provide a description of how the proposed system can accommodate vote centers that must provide any ballot style in the jurisdiction, either during the early voting period or on Election Day. If the proposed system uses paper ballots for this function, a ballot on-demand printer is desirable. Tabulation systems must be capable of accommodating ballots printed on-demand without changing tabulation configurations. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16.7 Provide a description of how the proposed system can facilitate more efficient ballot adjudication, i.e. the review of voted ballots or contests by election personnel to resolve issues using a digital interface. --Note: It is assumed that the most efficient method of adjudicating ballots is by providing a digital image of ballots cast, however systems that provide another method of adjudication that is demonstrably more efficient than examining each ballot by hand will be considered. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16. Provide a description of how the proposed system includes a visible public counter that displays the number of ballots processed. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16. Provide a description of how the proposed system is capable of identifying or sorting blank ballots, overvotes, and write-in votes. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system provides a secure means to upload vote count results to the EMS. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system permits diagnostic testing of all major components within each unit before the election and post-election without endangering the integrity of the election record, and that will not void system/device warranty. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system provides an audit log that records actions performed. The audit log must be stored in an easily searchable format, and be available for download and printing. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system, in the event of a failure of a unit, retains a record of all votes cast prior to failure. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system, in the event of a failure of a unit, includes sufficient memory backups to ensure cast votes may be recovered. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system, in the event of a failure of a unit, if replacement is necessary due to a hardware failure, provide a replacement unit. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system is capable of withstanding transport conditions that may include extremely bumpy roads, exposure to extreme heat, cold, humidity and dust without incurring damage during transportation or becoming inoperable as a result of such transport. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.16.1 Provide a description of how the proposed system is capable of withstanding frequent loading and unloading, stacking and unstacking, assembling, disassembling, reassembling, and other routine handling in the course of normal storage and operation. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 2.17: Accessible Voting System

- 2.17.1 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System provides a method for all voters, regardless of physical or cognitive ability, literacy or English language ability, to cast ballots in an independent and confidential manner. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.2 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System is easy to use by both blind and sighted voters and poll workers. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.3 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System produces or displays ballots that are easy to read, intuitive, and follow a logical progression. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.4 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System alerts voter to undervotes and prohibits overvotes before final ballot is cast. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.5 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System permits the voter to independently review choices before final ballot is cast. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.6 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System provides the voter with a method to indicate a write-in vote. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.7 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System is capable of supporting both Latin and character-based languages. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.8 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System includes clear instructions to voter regarding how to cast a ballot, such that a voter has minimal risk of doing so accidentally, but when the voter intends to cast the ballot, the action can be easily performed. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.9 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System, once the ballot is cast, the system confirms to the voter that the action has occurred and that the voter's process of voting is complete. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.1 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System produces a permanent paper record (see 0 requirements of UCA20A-5-302(2)(a)(xiii)). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.1 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System provides a secure means to upload vote 1 count results to the EMS. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.1 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System permits diagnostic testing of all major 2 components within each unit before the election and post-election without endangering the integrity of the election record. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.1 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System provides an audit log that records all 3 actions performed. The audit log must be stored in an easily searchable format, and available for download and printing. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.1 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System is capable of withstanding transport 4 conditions that may include extremely bumpy roads, exposure to extreme heat, cold, humidity, and dust without incurring damage during transportation or becoming inoperable as a result of such transport. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.17.1 Provide a description of how the proposed Accessible Voting System is capable of withstanding frequent loading 5 and unloading, stacking and unstacking, assembling, disassembling, reassembling, and other routing handling in the course of normal storage and operation. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 2.18: Support and Training

- 2.18.1 Provide a description of the warranty and maintenance agreement(s) through at least one calendar year, beginning on the date of acceptance of the voting system by the County. --Note: Counties may choose to purchase at different times; the warranty and maintenance agreement must be available regardless of when the County chooses to purchase the system. Options for extended warranties and maintenance may be considered in the post-warranty period and should be detailed in WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.18. Provide a description of how the proposed system meets the requirement that all software, firmware, and 2 hardware updates, as well as all software, firmware, and hardware patches to repair defects in the system, at no additional charge during the term of the warranty. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 2.18. Provide a description of customizable options for customer service at different price points so that individual counties may choose the appropriate option. Actual cost details should not be provided in response to this mandatory minimum requirement, but included in the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. ★
3
Text (Multi-Line)
- 2.18. Provide a description of capability of supporting the system for the life of the contract. This includes maintaining inventories or consumables and replacement parts in order to provide continued maintenance of the system. ★
4
Text (Multi-Line)
- 2.18. Provide a description of a plan for disposal of old equipment and indicate whether compensation is available for old equipment (trade-in value and used voting equipment market value). ★
5
Text (Multi-Line)
- 2.18. Provide confirmation the Offeror is willing to place the source code for any proposed electronic voting units into escrow with a third party mutually agreed on between the Offeror and the State of Utah. Updates to the source code must, upon certification for use, be added to the escrow. In the event the Offeror ceases to function as a business, the source code in escrow will be made available to the State of Utah at no charge. The Offeror may also use open source code. ★
6
Text (Multi-Line)

Technical Requirements

Group 3.1: Election Management System General Information

- 3.1.1 List the operating system(s) for the proposed EMS. --Note: Indicate whether any additional accommodations must be made, including dedicated workstations, special software, etc. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.1.2 Operating System Information. Describe the EMS software migration plan when a new operating system becomes available. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.1.3 Operating system information. Describe how you will handle implementing updated/needed EMS patches, drivers, certificates, or upgrades needed to maintain the security and accuracy of the system. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.1.4 Provide a functional diagram and system overview document of the EMS. Only a single file may be attached, if Offeror has multiple files to attach in response to this question, please attach as a zipped file. ★
File Upload
- 3.1.5 Describe the proposed database system, including version identification. Identify all software components utilized by the EMS system, including customized vendor software, as well as others (e.g., Adobe) included and utilized by the EMS. ★
Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.1.6 What is the maximum number of Precincts that your EMS allows? ★
Numeric Text Box
- 3.1.7 What is the maximum number of Contests that your EMS allows? ★
Numeric Text Box
- 3.1.8 What is the maximum number of Candidates that your EMS allows? ★
Numeric Text Box
- 3.1.9 What is the maximum number of Political Parties that your EMS allows? ★
Numeric Text Box
- 3.1.10 What is the maximum number of Ballot Styles that your EMS allows? ★
Numeric Text Box
- 3.1.11 What is the maximum number of Precincts per Ballot Style that your EMS allows? ★
Numeric Text Box
- 3.1.12 What is the maximum number of Ballot Styles per Precinct that your EMS allows? ★
Numeric Text Box
- 3.1.13 What is the maximum number of Number of Users per License that your EMS allows? ★
Numeric Text Box
- 3.1.14 What is the maximum number of Number of Users per Role that your EMS allows? ★
Numeric Text Box
- 3.1.15 What are any other maximum number system limits that your EMS allows? ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.1.16 What non-English languages are supported by the proposed EMS? ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.1.17 Describe the process for adding other languages the proposed EMS does not currently support. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.1.18 Does the proposed EMS allow users to store, maintain, and retrieve configurations and data from previous elections? ★
Yes/No
- 3.1.19 Can the system accommodate more than one election simultaneously? ★
Yes/No

- 3.1.2 Describe the technical specifications needed for county computers used to store the database and effectively run the EMS. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.2: Ballot Programming and Layout

- 3.2.1 Describe the ballot design features of the ballot generation system. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.2.2 Can races and questions be easily moved within and between front and back sides of the ballot? ★

Yes/No

- 3.2.3 Describe how ballot text on races, candidates, and questions is modified. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.2.4 Describe how styles can be changed after the ballot is created. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.2.5 How can changes to the ballot be applied? (select all that apply) Can changes to the ballot be applied to the entire ballot or must they be done manually? ★

Multiple Select (Pick Many)

Changes are applied manually.

Changes are applied to the entire ballot.

- 3.2.6 Can ballots be automatically formatted with minimal manipulation of content by importing existing information from VISTA? ★

Yes/No

- 3.2.7 If Offeror responds 'Yes' to Question 3.2.6, please describe the proposed system's ability for ballots to be automatically formatted with minimal manipulation of content by importing existing information from VISTA.

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.2.8 List ballots layout options, including limitations for number, types and placement of columns; portrait or landscape layout; number and placement of vote targets; header shading options; font types and sizes; independence of front/back designs; etc. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.2.9 Describe font capabilities of the system. Does the system allow changes to font size and style (color, bolding, underscoring, italics, etc.)? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.2.1 Describe how the system provides for the ability to copy, edit and delete previously-defined elections or provide customized templates for each election type. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.2.11 Does the system provide for the export of any ballot to a non-proprietary print-ready format (e.g. PDF)? ★

Yes/No

3.2.1 If Offeror responds 'Yes' to Question 3.2.11, please list the non-proprietary print-ready format (e.g. PDF)? ★

2

Text (Multi-Line)

3.2.1 Describe the process of generating test decks. ★

3

Text (Multi-Line)

3.2.1 Can the proposed system generate test decks, with accompanying test result files, that can be printed locally without vendor assistance? ★

4

Yes/No

Group 3.3: Reports and Data Integration

3.3.1 Explain, in detail, how the proposed EMS will interface with Utah's existing statewide voter registration system (VISTA). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.3.2 How does the system accept definitions and descriptions of political subdivisions and offices within the jurisdiction from VISTA in order to generate ballot information? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.3.3 Describe how data can flow from VISTA into the EMS and the formats in which data can be imported/exported. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.3.4 Provide a list of the reports available from the proposed system. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.3.5 Upload examples of reports currently available in the proposed system. At a minimum, provide the first and last page of each report the system can generate. Only a single file may be attached, if Offeror has multiple files to attach in response to this question, please attach as a zipped file. ★

File Upload

3.3.6 Are these reports easily exportable from the system? ★

Yes/No

3.3.7 What file formats are the exports available in? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.3.8 Describe the steps to export reports with a non-technical end user in mind. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.3.9 Describe customization options for standard reports as well as options for counties to independently generate customized reports. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.3.10 Please describe how the system permits users to manually import, enter, or update results should the need arise to either hand count ballots or work in a separate database. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.3.11 Provide a file upload describing any election night reporting (ENR) features and functionality in detail, including:
- a. File format of available standard export files.
 - b. The ability of the software to provide summary results by precinct, by district, by county, and by race for each vote category, such as: for election day, early voting, absentee voting, and total votes.
 - c. Options to customize reports and electronic display of reports.
 - d. Sorting options.
 - e. Ability to show results and/or statistics as images or graphics.
 - f. Data transmission capabilities and security features of the ENR system.
- Only a single file may be attached, if Offeror has multiple files to attach in response to this question, please attach as a zipped file. ★

File Upload

Group 3.4: Election Management System Security

- 3.4.1 Describe the intrusion detection present in the EMS. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.4.2 Describe plan to release security patches when necessary. Security updates/patches and driver updates/certificates must be available for the life of the contract. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.4.3 Describe support provided if intrusion is detected. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.4.4 Describe any database backup and disaster recovery services you provide. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.4.5 Describe any techniques used by your proposed system to secure the data in the database and in any other data files. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.4.6 With regards to access controls included in EMS, describe different types of user accounts and their capabilities. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.4.7 With regards to access controls included in EMS, how are user accounts managed and who can establish user accounts? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.4.8 With regards to access controls included in EMS, please describe the different roles available that limit access to features depending on role? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.4.9 How does your system prevent unauthorized applications from being loaded on the system or running on the system (including in the background)? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.5: Tabulation System General Information

- 3.5.1 Describe the make/model; hardware, software and firmware versions; and all components of the proposed system(s). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.2 Provide a functional diagram and system overview document of the Tabulation System(s). Only a single file may be attached, if Offeror has multiple files to attach in response to this question, please attach as a zipped file. ★

File Upload

- 3.5.3 Specify the physical dimensions (height, width, depth, weight) and system specifications of the proposed system(s). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.4 Do you offer carts for storing and transporting? If so, list costs on the tab labeled Miscellaneous Costs of the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. ★

Yes/No

- 3.5.5 Describe the scanning capability of each proposed system (if multiple options are available) regarding speed at which ballots are processed (ballots per minute). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.6 Describe the scanning capability of each proposed system (if multiple options are available) regarding duty cycle (i.e. ability of machine to process x number of ballots per hour for x number of hours per day). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.7 Describe the scanning capability of each proposed system (if multiple options are available) regarding length of ballot the system is able to accommodate. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.8 Describe the scanning capability of each proposed system (if multiple options are available) regarding the ability to handle two-sided ballots. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.9 Describe the scanning capability of each proposed system (if multiple options are available) regarding the ability to handle multipage ballots. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.1 Describe the scanning capability of each proposed system (if multiple options are available) regarding the ability to accept ballots in any possible orientation. ★
0

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.11 Describe the scanning capability of each proposed system (if multiple options are available) regarding the ability to accurately capture votes marked by a voter or a ballot marking device. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.1 Describe the scanning capability of each proposed system (if multiple options are available) regarding the ability to notify the voter of errors (undervotes or overvotes) before the ballot is accepted. --Note: This option may be limited to precinct based scanners. If so, please specify. Also note if the system offers the option to "turn off" undervote notification. ★
2

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.1 List all acceptable off-the-shelf writing implements (pens, pencils, markers, etc.) that can be used to mark paper ballots. ★
3

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.1 List all restrictions on writing implements that are known to cause inaccurate or unreadable votes during the processing of the ballots (including the type of implement, type of ink, color of ink, etc.). ★
4

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.1 Document the type of printer utilized by the proposed tabulator (external or internal, thermal, inkjet, etc.). ★
5

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.1 List all pertinent paper ballot production specifications for each system (e.g., ink, paper weight/thickness to prevent bleed through, etc.) and all other requirements related to ballot printing should counties and local jurisdictions wish to utilize commercial ballot print vendors of their choice. If necessary, provide a list of certified ballot printing vendors. ★
6

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.17 Describe the storage requirements of the type of paper utilized by the proposed tabulator. Is the type of paper affected by heat or sun exposure? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.1 Provide, in detail, the make, model, and storage capacity for the internal and external memory used by the proposed system. ★
8

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.5.1 Is the internal and external memory used by the proposed system commercially available? ★
9

Yes/No

3.5.2 Does the internal and external memory used by the proposed system include batteries or removable parts?
0 (select all that apply) ★

Multiple Select (Pick Many)

Batteries included

Removable parts

3.5.2 What are the special requirements related to the use, purchase, or replacement of the internal and external
1 memory used by the proposed system? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.5.2 Describe how the internal and external memory device is able to store and recall multiple ballot styles. ★
2

Text (Multi-Line)

3.5.2 Describe security features of the internal and external memory device (encryption, security seals, etc.). ★
3

Text (Multi-Line)

3.5.2 Describe the backup battery for the system and indicate the amount of backup battery life (i.e., number of hours)
4 in the event of a power outage. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.5.2 Is there a second backup battery in case the first fails? ★
5

Yes/No

3.5.2 Indicate if there is a difference in battery usage for a tabulator in use vs. a tabulator at rest, and describe the total
6 projected life of the batteries. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.5.2 Describe the capabilities of the system to support a post-election audit. ★
7

Text (Multi-Line)

3.5.2 How does the system facilitate the audit of scanned batches of ballots? ★
8

Text (Multi-Line)

3.5.2 Does the system contain a summary report of how each batch was tabulated to compare with a hand counted
9 total from the same batch? ★

Yes/No

3.5.3 Describe how the system can accommodate vote centers that must provide any ballot style in the jurisdiction, either during the early voting period or on Election Day. Note that UCA 20A-3-701 requires voting center ballots to be retrievable by the election official during the canvass if the voter cast a ballot at another location or before election day. Describe the capabilities of your system to accomplish this. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.5.3 Describe how the system can accommodate ballots electronically returned (i.e. emailed or faxed). ★

1

Text (Multi-Line)

3.5.3 Does the election official have to manually recreate the electronically returned ballot for scanning purposes? ★

2

Yes/No

3.5.3 Provide information on the electronic ballot delivery and return process, the type of ballots supported and any audit/recount capabilities. ★

3

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.6: Tabulation System Reliability and Durability

3.6.1 Describe acceptance/rejection criteria for ballot marks for your scanner(s). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.2 Describe how the system identifies and handles marginal and/or stray marks. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.3 Describe how the system handles ballots with paper or printing irregularities (including folds, creases, etc.). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.4 What is the error rate of the system? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.5 Identify features of the system designed to avoid ballot jams. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.6 Describe how the system handles a ballot jam. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.7 In case of a ballot jam, does the tabulator state whether the ballot was tabulated? ★

Yes/No

3.6.8 Is the ballot jam information available in the system audit log? ★

Yes/No

3.6.9 Indicate the amount of backup battery life (in hours), while under normal usage, in the event of a power outage. ★

Numeric Text Box

3.6.1 Describe the capability of the system to generate exportable backup files for offsite storage. ★
0

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.11 Describe all types of automatic diagnostic tests that are available to run before the opening of the polls and while polls are open. Include a description on access controls related to these tests. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.1 Describe how the proposed system handles unreadable/rejected ballots. ★
2

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.1 Describe how the proposed system notifies an authorized user whether a ballot was scanned successfully or not. ★
3

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.1 Describe how the proposed system notifies an authorized user that a ballot was previously scanned. ★
4

Text (Multi-Line)

3.6.1 Describe how the proposed system identifies where a voter marked the box for a write-in but did not write in a name, and where the voter did not mark the box but did enter a write-in candidate on the line. ★
5

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.7: Security

3.7.1 Describe security measures/procedures for securely uploading vote count results to the EMS. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.7.2 Describe security in place to protect for the audit logs. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.7.3 Does your system documentation contain suggested security auditing procedures? ★

Yes/No

3.7.4 If Offeror responded 'Yes' to Question 3.7.3, provide a copy of system documentation containing suggested security auditing procedures.

File Upload

3.7.5 What are your processes for system hardening? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.7.6 How are updates delivered to the server and tabulation equipment? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.7.7 Describe other security features and capabilities of your proposed system and processes. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.8: Digital Image of Ballots Cast

3.8.1 Regarding the features and capabilities of the system to scan paper ballots and store them as digital images or electronic cast vote records, identify the format of the ballot image. --Note: ballot images should be stored in a non-proprietary format. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.8.2 Regarding the features and capabilities of the system to scan paper ballots and store them as digital images or electronic cast vote records, how does the system ensure adequate resolution of saved images? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.8.3 Regarding the features and capabilities of the system to scan paper ballots and store them as digital images or electronic cast vote records, how does the electronic image maintain its relationship with the voted paper ballot? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.8.4 Regarding the features and capabilities of the system to scan paper ballots and store them as digital images or electronic cast vote records, is the equipment capable of sorting and filtering images of ballots by ballot style, precinct, polling location, contest, candidate for purposes of recounts or post-election audits? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.8.5 Regarding the features and capabilities of the system to scan paper ballots and store them as digital images or electronic cast vote records, describe features that help maintain ballot secrecy while also retaining images of all ballots scanned. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.8.6 Regarding the features and capabilities of the system to scan paper ballots and store them as digital images or electronic cast vote records, describe redundancy/back up measures. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.8.7 Is the equipment able to retain ballot images and tabulated results in a redundant memory location, in a non-proprietary format, in the event of a power or device failure? ★

Yes/No

3.8.8 What is the digital storage capacity of the system? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.8.9 How long can images be stored? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.8.1 Is there a way to remove images from the device? If so, describe the process. ★

0

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.9: Ballot Adjudication

3.9.1 Does your system permit authorized users to electronically adjudicate ballots to reflect voter intent while retaining the originally marked ballot image? ★

Yes/No

3.9.2 Describe the proposed system's capability to permit authorized users to electronically adjudicate ballots to reflect voter intent while retaining the originally marked ballot image. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.9.3 Describe the capabilities of the proposed system to identify and segregate ballots or ballot images with overvotes for adjudication. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.9.4 Describe the capabilities of the proposed system to identify and segregate ballots or ballot images with write-ins for adjudication. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.9.5 Describe the capabilities of the proposed system to identify and segregate ballots or ballot images with ballots that cannot be read for adjudication. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.9.6 Describe the capabilities of the proposed system to identify and segregate ballots or ballot images with blank ballots for adjudication. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.9.7 Describe how your system establishes acceptance/rejection criteria for ballot marks. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.9.8 What constitutes a mark? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.9.9 How does the system differentiate between a vote and a stray/marginal mark? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.9.1 Is there an option to adjust the acceptance thresholds? ★

0

Text (Multi-Line)

3.9.11 Describe the contents of the audit log and adjudication history for the ballot adjudication function. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.9.1 Does it identify the user that made a given change? ★

2

Yes/No

3.9.1 Does it have a timestamp for when a given change was made? ★

3

Yes/No

Group 3.10: Ballot-on-demand

3.10.1 If a ballot-on-demand printer is included as part of the proposed system, describe the process for replacing lost or spoiled mail ballots in a county clerk's office or at a vote center, including how the systems allows for the issuance of numerous ballot styles in a single jurisdiction.

If not, respond with "N/A." ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.10. If a ballot-on-demand printer is included as part of the proposed system, describe the printer utilized by the proposed system (external or internal, thermal, inkjet, etc.). If not, respond with "N/A." ★

2

Text (Multi-Line)

3.10. If a ballot-on-demand printer is included as part of the proposed system, describe software needed for ballot-on-demand system. If not, respond with "N/A." ★

3

Text (Multi-Line)

3.10. If a ballot-on-demand printer is included as part of the proposed system, list all pertinent paper specifications for the system (e.g., ink, paper weight/thickness to prevent bleed through, etc.). If not, respond with "N/A." ★

4

Text (Multi-Line)

3.10. If a ballot-on-demand printer is included as part of the proposed system, include all costs on the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet including, but not limited to hardware, software, paper costs (indicate whether proprietary or off-the-shelf) and "click charges." If not, respond with "N/A." ★

5

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.11: COTS Options

3.11.1 Identify any and all Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) components of the proposed system, including any COTS printers or tablets that may be used as part of the proposed system. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.11.2 Identify any and all Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) components of the proposed system, including any COTS scanners that may be used as part of the proposed system, including whether there needs to be any changes/customizations to the drivers. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.11.3 Identify any and all Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) components of the proposed system, including any COTS supplies and replacement parts (memory devices, ink cartridges, batteries, etc.) that may be used by the proposed system. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.11.4 Identify any and all Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) components of the proposed system, including any other COTS components. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.11.5 Identify replacement purchase sources for all identified COTS components listed as part of the response. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.11.6 Describe any plans under development for upgrades/enhancements to the system that further utilize COTS components, supplies or replacement parts. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.12: Ranked Choice Voting

- 3.12.1 Provide a detailed description of the capabilities of the system for Ranked Choice or Instant Runoff Voting (if available). This capability is not currently required in Utah, but it is a possible option in the future. If Ranked Choice Voting is not available, respond with "N/A." ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.12.2 If you do not have this option currently available, describe how your proposed system could be customized to accommodate ranked choice voting in the future. Include detailed steps on the process. If there is an additional cost that would be incurred for this service, provide details on the Miscellaneous Costs tab of the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. If Ranked Choice Voting is available, respond with "N/A." ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.12.3 If Ranked Choice Voting is available, is the component/module that tabulates ranked choice voting certified by the EAC?

Yes/No

- 3.12.4 If Ranked Choice Voting is available, provide a detailed description of how the system can tabulate ranked choice ballots.

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.12.5 If Ranked Choice Voting is available, without disclosing cost, does the overall cost of the system include an option to tabulate ranked choices? If not, detail this information and any additional costs on the Miscellaneous Costs of the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet.

Yes/No

Group 3.13: Accessible Voting System General Information

- 3.13.1 Describe the make/model; software, hardware and firmware versions; and all components of the proposed accessible voting system(s). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13. Provide a functional diagram and system overview document of the Accessible Voting System. Only a single file
2 may be attached, if Offeror has multiple files to attach in response to this question, please attach as a zipped
file. ★

File Upload

- 3.13. Specify the physical dimensions (height, width, depth, weight) and system specifications of the proposed
3 accessible voting system(s). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13. Provide a list of supplies utilized by the proposed accessible voting component, including paper, ink cartridges,
4 batteries, etc. Indicate whether such supplies are available via commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) sources. What is
the projected life of batteries used by the system? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13. Describe how the accessible voting system produces or displays ballots that are easy to read, intuitive and follow
5 a logical progression. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13. Describe how the accessible voting system ensures voter privacy and independence for all portions of the voting
6 process. Please include but do not limit your answer to the following portions of the voting process: initial review
of ballot, candidate selection, review of all selections made, casting the vote, spoiling the ballot, and voter
notifications (i.e. overvote, undervote or system alert for poll worker assistance). ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13.7 Describe the process for a voter to cast a write-in vote on the proposed accessible voting system. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13. Which languages does the accessible voting system support? (languages used in Utah may include Spanish, Ute
8 and Navajo) ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13. Explain how the accessible voting system adequately accommodates and provides privacy for a seated voter. ★
9

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13.1 Explain how the proposed accessible voting system accommodates a variety of voters with disabilities. Include
0 any information about the ability of the voter to independently adjust the device settings or voting options. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13.1 Explain how the voter can fast forward through instructions and ballot measure text. ★

1

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13.1 Describe the accessible devices provided as part of the system. ★

2

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13.1 List such devices and explain the operation of each device and how it accommodates voters with disabilities. ★
3

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13.1 Does the system allow for connection of personal auxiliary devices, such as sip/puff or jelly switch? ★
4

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13.1 If your proposed accessible system uses an activation card, explain how it may be used easily by voters, including voters with a variety of disabilities. ★
5

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13.1 Describe any system limitations (length of ballot, number of screens, maximum number of precincts, etc.) of your proposed accessible voting system. ★
6

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13.1 Describe how the accessible voting system allows the option of programming multiple precincts or single precincts on each device. ★
7

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.13.1 Describe any additional features of your system that are designed to accommodate voters with disabilities. ★
8

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.14: Accommodation for Voters with Visual Disabilities

- 3.14.1 Describe the features of the proposed system that assist voters with visual disabilities. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.14.2 Explain the process for providing audio instructions for the ballot and the way in which voters with visual impairments can cast a ballot or print a marked ballot. The process should imitate the process used by sighted voters to the extent possible and should ensure that the voter's ballot selections remain secret. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.14.3 Describe the procedures for construction of an audio version of the ballot. ★
3

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.14.4 Does the procedure for construction of an audio version of the ballot allow for importing of audio ballot content from an outside source (e.g. candidates or pre-recorded audio)? ★
4

Yes/No

- 3.14.5 Does the procedures for construction of an audio version of the ballot use "text-to-speech" to record the audio version? ★
5

Yes/No

- 3.14. If the use of "text-to-speech" to record the audio version of the ballot is available , can it accommodate
6 languages such as Ute and Navajo? ★

Yes/No

- 3.14. Are audio recordings done by the vendor? By the county? Other options? -- Note: If this is a service provided by
7 the vendor at an additional cost to the county indicate this on the tab titled Miscellaneous Costs of the WA17018
Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.14. Explain the process and procedure, with time frames, required to reprogram the audio read-back on the system
8 in the event that there is a change to a name or contest on the ballot in the final few weeks before an election. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.14. Describe options and processes for increasing/decreasing the size of the ballot display. ★
9

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.14.1 Describe options and processes for changing the contrast of the ballot display. ★
0

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.15: Accessible Voting System Reliability and Durability

- 3.15.1 If the proposed accessible voting systems uses a touch screen interface, provide details on the methods used to
calibrate and maintain calibration. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.15. If a table or other type of base is utilized, describe the design, shape and use of the table/base, as well as
2 durability features of the table/base. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

- 3.15. If a privacy screen is utilized, describe the design, shape and use of the privacy screen, as well as durability
3 features of the privacy screen. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.16: Ability to Support System

- 3.16.1 Financial information. Utah is concerned about the Offeror's financial capability to perform. Therefore, please
provide sufficient data to lead evaluators to the conclusion that your firm has the financial capability to perform.
Utah reserves the right to perform additional due diligence in this area, at the sole discretion of Utah, prior to
award of any contract. Provide copies of the last two (2) year-end financial audit reports signed by a CPA. ★

File Upload

- 3.16. Number of years the Offeror has been in business. ★
2

Numeric Text Box

3.16. Number of years the Offeror has provided voting systems. ★

3

Numeric Text Box

3.16. Offeror's available line of credit or Dunn & Bradstreet rating. ★

4

Numeric Text Box

3.16. How long has your company been developing election equipment/software? ★

5

Text (Multi-Line)

3.16. What other types of equipment/software (if any) does your company produce? ★

6

Text (Multi-Line)

3.16.7 What types of equipment/software (if any) was your company producing before entering into the voting system market? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.16. Identify key personnel assigned to implementing the new voting system in Utah. ★

8

Text (Multi-Line)

3.16. Provide adequate documentation, references, and certifications to substantiate the expertise of your personnel. Resumes must describe each individual's educational background, experience, other pertinent professional data, and should be sufficiently detailed to demonstrate an individual's qualifications and experience. Only a single file may be attached, if Offeror has multiple files to attach in response to this question, please attach as a zipped file. ★

9

File Upload

3.16.1 List experience in the State of Utah. If Offeror has no experience in the State of Utah, respond with "N/A" ★

0

Text (Multi-Line)

3.16.1 Provide a list of all states or jurisdictions that have implemented the proposed voting system in the last two years. The evaluation committee will select at least three of the provided references to contact. Each reference should include the following information: (a)Description of the project, (b)Reference contact information, (c) Quantity, type and version of voting equipment and software installed, (d) Size and demographics of jurisdiction, (e) Level of support and training provided, (f) Duration of contract(s) and current relationship. Only a single file may be attached, if Offeror has multiple files to attach in response to this question, please attach as a zipped file. ★

1

File Upload

3.17.1 Without disclosing any cost information, what purchase options do your company offer (e.g. payment in full upon delivery, financing, leasing)? Include cost information on the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.2 A minimum warranty period is required. Do you provide extended warranty options? ★

Yes/No

3.17.3 What is your coverage, terms, and duration for warranties of the hardware, software, and other proposed components of your voting system? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.4 When must a county purchase coverage or extend existing coverage before they have to pay list price for services/upgrades/repairs? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.5 Describe, in detail, proposed maintenance packages after the warranty period. Proposed packages may be based on the County Examples document, or provide information on generic maintenance packages available. Include the following information: (a) Specify all services included under the maintenance agreement, (b) Schedule/frequency of onsite inspections and preventative maintenance, (c) Describe the support provided for election officials on election day. Will there be a technician available in-state on Election Day to troubleshoot any potential technical problems? Will election officials have access to telephone support or support through electronic means (e-mail, website, etc.)? (d) In addition to what is included in the maintenance agreement, what other services do you provide that a county could choose to take advantage of? Detail any costs associated with these additional services on the tab titled Miscellaneous Costs of the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.6 Describe availability of spare parts for maintenance and repair of any system you provide. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.7 What is your practice for maintaining inventories of consumables and replacement parts? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.8 Describe your disaster recovery plan in the case of an emergency occurring just prior to, or on, Election Day. For example, if a jurisdiction loses its equipment in a fire just prior to Election Day, how do you propose to provide replacement equipment in order to support the jurisdiction with administering its election? Would replacement equipment be readily available? Would replacement equipment be provided at no cost? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.9 Describe your disaster recovery plan in the case of an emergency occurring just prior to, or on, Election Day. How would you support a jurisdiction experiencing equipment failure on Election Day? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.1 What post-election audit capabilities are provided by your system and what processes or procedures do you offer to support a post-election audit? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.1 In the event of future legislative mandates, are updates and modifications to any and all of the systems proposed above part of your support agreement or are they custom enhancements? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.1 Without disclosing cost, do you provide the option of upgrading components, including software, when improvements become available? Is this included as part of your maintenance contract? ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.1 Without disclosing cost, describe the licensing required and licensing options, including what is covered under each licensing option and advantages of the various options. The Offeror must specifically outline the associated licensing fees on the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. ★

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.1 Describe your firm's Open Source Software (OSS) strategy. ★

4

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.1 Describe how your company handles patch management activities relating to source code changes, security patches, and dependency modifications within your code base. ★

5

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.1 Describe how your company ensures that software, including both closed and open source, is secure enough to release and any tools that you use to make that determination. ★

6

Text (Multi-Line)

3.17.1 Provide details on any open source code within your code base. ★

7

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.18: Ability to Accommodate Different County Needs

3.18.1 Offeror understands that Utah election law permits counties to choose the method to administer elections. As a result, counties use diverse models. In the 2016 November election 21 of 29 used an all-vote-by-mail system. The number using this model may expand in future elections, but the state legislature has not mandated counties adopt the vote-by-mail model. In counties that automatically mail ballots to all voters, in-person voting is available at county clerks' offices on Election Day and most counties also offered additional vote center locations to accommodate any voter in the county. Eight counties used traditional precinct polling places on Election Day, and 11 counties (combination of those offered vote by mail and traditional polling place options) offered in-person early voting opportunities. Due to varying needs of the counties including timing of replacements and budget constraints, it is unlikely that the rollout of a new system will occur statewide at the same time. ★

Yes/No

- 3.18. Taking into account the information provided in Question 3.18.1, provide an implementation and staffing plan detailing support for State and counties during a multi-year rollout. ★
2
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.18. Taking into account the information provided in Question 3.18.1, describe your approach to project management and support for voting system implementations. ★
3
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.18. Taking into account the information provided in Question 3.18.1, how many county implementations do you feel you could support simultaneously? ★
4
Numeric Text Box
- 3.18. Taking into account the information provided in Question 3.18.1, provide the name of a designated Project Manager who will be the single point of contact for all aspects of implementation. ★
5
Text (Single Line)
- 3.18. Taking into account the information provided in Question 3.18.1, provide the quantity and qualifications of personnel to install and perform initial configuration of all equipment, software, firmware and peripherals and conduct performance testing. ★
6
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.18.7 Taking into account the information provided in Question 3.18.1, describe your proposed acceptance testing standards and methods used to ensure the new system is working properly in each county installation. The description must address test plan creation, test case or script generation, test phases, the execution of the test plan, and proposed participation by State or county staff. In some cases counties may prefer to perform acceptance testing independently, and in other cases onsite vendor support may be preferred. Describe the services and support that you propose to provide in either circumstance. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.18. Taking into account the information provided in Question 3.18.1, describe proposed in-person training for all aspects of system hardware and software use, and materials and tools for continuing education and training. This can include manuals, instructional videos, exercises, computer-based training, and any other method deemed suitable. ★
8
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.18. Upload a file proposing, without including any cost information, a solution that would best meet the needs of each of the Example Counties listed in the Example Counties Document including (a) How your solution best fits the profile of each county, including its combination of mail ballot, early voting, Election Day vote center and/or traditional polling place options. (i) Which and how many tabulation system(s) do you propose?, (ii) How many accessible voting systems?, (iii) What and how many hardware/software is required? (iv) How many ballot-on-demand systems?, (v) Proposed number of annual software/hardware licenses associated with each system; (b) A proposed support and maintenance plan that would best fit each county's profile; (c) Preliminary project schedule and staffing plan for implementation of your system for each example county; (d) Integration timeline for different each example county. List detailed time frames from contract execution the election administration ★
9
File Upload

Group 3.19: Training

- 3.19.1 Provide details on proposed plan for training and supporting county election officials. Comment on any differences in proposed training in large, urban counties as opposed to small, rural counties. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.19.2 Provide details on all training opportunities to State and county election officials (full time and temporary) and poll workers. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.19.3 Describe the time frame for training and approximate number of hours needed for training. The training must be sufficient to the point that State and local election personnel must be able to operate the system without continuous support from a vendor. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.19.4 Describe, in detail, how election officials will be trained on each aspect and function of the proposed systems. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.19.5 Do you provide specific training on equipment maintenance? ★
Yes/No
- 3.19.6 What training materials will be included for election officials and election judges? ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.19.7 Describe any self-paced or online training products you may provide. ★
Text (Multi-Line)
- 3.19.8 What performance metrics do you use to assess competence and training needs? ★
Text (Multi-Line)

Group 3.20: Documentation

- 3.20.1 User manuals for system administrators detailing system functionality, procedures and checklists for all phases of system operation have been provided in the Supplier Attachments section. ★
Yes/No
- 3.20.2 Manuals, which can be modified by counties, for election judges detailing equipment setup and instructions for troubleshooting basic equipment issues have been provided in the Supplier Attachments section. ★
Yes/No
- 3.20.3 A functional diagram and system overview illustrating the interaction of all system components have been provided in the Supplier Attachments section. ★
Yes/No

3.20. Data recovery procedures have been provided in the Supplier Attachments section. ★

4

Yes/No

3.20. Consumables guide has been provided in the Supplier Attachments section. ★

5

Yes/No

3.20. Documentation regarding environmental requirements for storage, transportation, and operation, including temperature range, humidity range and electrical supply requirements and Indicating if machine covers or other protection are available has been provided in the Supplier Attachments section. ★

6

Yes/No

Value-Added Features

Group 4.1: Electronic Signature Verification Software

4.1.1 1.Electronic signature verification software. The signature verification function is typically software driven and performed without human intervention. When exceptions are encountered by the automated system, an authorized user can view the signature captured by the envelope scanner or physically view the actual envelope and compare the signature image with the signature maintained in the voter registration system.

Is electronic signature verification software available by the Offeror? If 'Yes,' please complete all questions in this group. ★

Yes/No

4.1.2 Is electronic signature verification software offered by the Offeror or through a third-party subcontractor?

Multiple Choice (Pick One)

Software from Offeror

Software from Third-Party Subcontractor

4.1.3 Describe the process for verifying signatures on mail ballots with signatures in the statewide voter registration system, including when and how signatures are examined manually.

Text (Multi-Line)

4.1.4 Describe how the electronic signature verification software integrates with your proposed EMS and Tabulation Systems.

Text (Multi-Line)

4.1.5 Explain configuration options and thresholds for signature acceptance.

Text (Multi-Line)

4.1.6 Describe activity or audit logs produced by the electronic signature verification system.

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 4.2: Mail Ballot Tracking Software

- 4.2.1 Is mail ballot tracking software available by the Offeror? If 'Yes,' please complete all questions in this group. ★
Yes/No
- 4.2.2 Describe system for tracking mail ballots from preparation by the election official or vendor through each stage of the U.S. Postal Service process and after the mail ballot is returned to county officials for counting.
Text (Multi-Line)
- 4.2.3 How do voters sign up to receive the service?
Text (Multi-Line)
- 4.2.4 What notification mechanisms are provided (i.e. text, email, website, etc.)? At which steps in the process?
Text (Multi-Line)
- 4.2.5 What reporting options are provided to election official?
Text (Multi-Line)
- 4.2.6 Are county election officials able to personalize messages that their voters receive?
Yes/No

Group 4.3: Online Ballot Delivery

- 4.3.1 Online ballot delivery. A ballot delivery system that provides online ballot delivery and marking for military and overseas (UOCAVA), as well as for voters with disabilities. The system should allow the voter to receive the ballot online, mark it (either online or offline) and return via a method that is currently available under Utah law (via postal mail, email or fax). Is online ballot delivery available by the Offeror? If 'Yes,' please complete all questions in this group. ★
Yes/No
- 4.3.2 Describe the proposed online ballot delivery system.
Text (Multi-Line)
- 4.3.3 Describe the method of marking and returning the ballot, including any steps that would require a printer.
Text (Multi-Line)
- 4.3.4 How would the system integrate with your proposed EMS and Tabulation Systems?
Text (Multi-Line)
- 4.3.5 If a ballot is returned electronically, would election officials need to recreate or duplicate it in order to tabulate it using the proposed system?
Yes/No
- 4.3.6 Is the system capable of importing ballot data from an external source?
Yes/No

4.3.7 Can voters with disabilities use their personal auxiliary devices to mark the ballot online?

Yes/No

4.3.8 Describe the system's security protocols.

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 4.4: Electronic Poll Book (EPB)

4.4.1 Is electronic poll book (EPB) available by the Offeror? If 'Yes,' please complete all questions in this group.

Yes/No

4.4.2 Describe the make/model; software, hardware and firmware versions; and all components of the proposed EPB.

Text (Multi-Line)

4.4.3 Provide a functional diagram and system overview document of the electronic poll book (EPB). Only a single file may be attached, if Offeror has multiple files to attach in response to this question, please attach as a zipped file.

File Upload

4.4.4 Is the EPB provided by the Offeror or through a third party vendor or subcontractor?

Multiple Choice (Pick One)

EPB is provided by the Offeror

EPB is provided through a Third Party Subcontractor

4.4.5 Is the EPB hardware available from COTS sources?

Yes/No

4.4.6 If the EPB hardware is available from COTS sources, please indicate purchasing sources. If the software is not available from COTS sources, respond with "N/A."

Text (Multi-Line)

4.4.7 Describe the capabilities of an EPB, including: (a) ability to electronically list, search, identify, and authenticate eligible voters, (b) ability to interface with Utah's existing statewide voter registration database (VISTA), (c) ability to electronically capture voter signatures, (d) customization options.

Text (Multi-Line)

4.4.8 Describe how the EPB verifies that a voter receives the correct ballot style.

Text (Multi-Line)

4.4.9 Describe how the EPB identifies, lists and communicates to poll workers and county election officials whether a voter has previously cast a ballot (at an early voting site, by mail, or on Election Day).

Text (Multi-Line)

- 4.4.1 Describe access controls and other security features to ensure that voter information contained with the EPB
0 remains confidential.

Text (Multi-Line)

Group 4.5: Other Value-Added Features

- 4.5.1 State and county election officials in Utah seek to understand other systems peripheral to the voting process that may assist with the efficient administration of elections in Utah. Without including cost, upload a file describe any additional functionality, products, optional modules, upgrades or services that you offer and are not a part of the RFP requirements or listed above that you believe would add value to your proposed work on this project. Any cost information should be included on the Miscellaneous Costs tab of the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet.

File Upload

Product Line Items

Group P1

| # | Item Name, Description, Commodity Code | Qty. | UOM | Target Price | Allow Alternates | Requested Delivery |
|------|---|------|-----------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| P1.1 | Ex County 1 ★ Provide the County 1 Summary of Total 10-Year Acquisition Costs (cell B2) from the tab titled "Total Cost Summary" in the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. | 1 | EA - Each | - | | - |
| P1.2 | ExCounty 2 ★ Provide the County 2 Summary of Total 10-Year Acquisition Costs (cell B3) from the tab titled "Total Cost Summary" in the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. | 1 | EA - Each | - | | - |
| P1.3 | ExCounty 3 ★ Provide the County 3 Summary of Total 10-Year Acquisition Costs (cell B4) from the tab titled "Total Cost Summary" in the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. | 1 | EA - Each | - | | - |
| P1.4 | ExCounty 4 ★ Provide the County 4 Summary of Total 10-Year Acquisition Costs (cell B5) from the tab titled "Total Cost Summary" in the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. | 1 | EA - Each | - | | - |
| P1.5 | ExCounty 5 ★ Provide the County 5 Summary of Total 10-Year Acquisition Costs (cell B6) from the tab titled "Total Cost Summary" in the WA17018 Voting Systems Detailed Cost Proposal Spreadsheet. | 1 | EA - Each | - | | - |

Service Line Items

There are no Items added to this event.

Vendors

CORE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES INC

Progress Event Not Viewed

info@coreitx.com

3Di, Inc. (3Di Systems)

Progress Intention Not Declared

Danielle Hewitt
Danielle.Hewitt@3disystems.com

Mihir Desai
Mihir.Desai@3disystems.com

Carlos Culebro
Carlos.Culebro@3disystems.com

Millennial Vision Inc (MVi)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Bill Poulter
billp@mviusa.com

Andy Zaharias
andyz@mviusa.com

Tek-Hut, Inc. (Tek-Hut, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Erin Gray
erin@tek-hut.com

Jeff Jolley
jeff@tek-hut.com

W. B. Hunt Co., Inc. (Hunt's Photo and Video)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Martin Weiskoff
mweiskoff@wbhunt.com

Ardec, LLC (Ardec, LLC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Anita Peterson
anita@ardec.com

Erik Peterson
ep@ardec.com

Motorola Solutions Inc. (Motorola Solutions inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

jon.tait@motorolasolutions.com

Mirage Software Inc. DBA Bourntec Solutions (Bourntec Solutions, In.c.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Srujana Gudur
gov@bourntec.com

Aprisa Technology LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Barry Weinstein
sales@eaprisa.com

Dataimage, Inc (Dataimage)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Scott Morgan
smorgan@dataimage.net

Carousel Industries of North America, Inc. (Source, A Carousel Company)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Judy Holcomb
jholcomb@source.com

Advanced Technology Recycling

Progress Event Not Viewed

Richelle Morrison
r.morrison@atrecycle.com

Amano McGann, Inc (Amano McGann, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Joe Mollish
joe.mollish@amanomcgann.com

Cogitech Solutions, LLC dba Tech9 (Tech9)

Progress Response In Progress

Trent Wignall
trent.wignall@tech9.com

Nick Stice
nick.stice@tech9.com

Pacific Office Automation (Pacific Office Automation)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Sonny O'Grady
sonny.ogrady@pacificoffice.com

ROYAL MEDIA NETWORK, INC. (ROYAL IMAGING SOLUTIONS)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Eddie Cantoria
eddie@royalimagingolutions.com

Haight Bey & Associates

Progress Event Not Viewed

Alli Bey
Alli@haightbey.com

AgileAssets Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jason Watts
jwatts@agileassets.com

Accelera Solutions

Progress Event Not Viewed

Suzette Palmer
suzettep@accelerasolutions.com

Gades Sales Company Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Mike Searle
msearle@gadestraffic.com

Live Reps Call Center LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Daniel Listo
rfp@liverepscallcenter.com

Gear One Enterprise

Progress Event Not Viewed

Bidget White
bridget@gearonecom.com

Tiba LLC (TIBA Parking Systems)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Adam Rohrer
adam.rohrer@tibaparking.com

Kirk Hillquist
kirk@tibaparking.com

Runbeck Election Services, Inc. (Runbeck Election Services, Inc.)

Progress Response In Progress

Danielle Luney
dluney@runbeck.net

Ontash Sytems Inc

Progress Intention Not Declared

Maruja Carr
maruja@ontash.net

Aruchunan Vaseekaran
vasee@ontash.net

Aptude, Inc. (Aptude, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Srinath Parepally
salesteam@aptude.com

Computer Technology Link Corp. (CTL)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Mike Mahanay
mmahanay@ctl.net

Redmon Group Inc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Alexis Glenn
aglenn@redmon.com

(19377895) (Unisyn Voting Solutions, Inc.)

Progress Submitted

Total Bid 2,277,887.00

mktg@unisynvoting.com

Jeff Johnson
dtaylor@unisynvoting.com

Arrow Systems Integration, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Casey Kirley
ckirley@arrowsi.com

SmiForce Inc. (SmiForce Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

PG Narayanan
pgnarayanan@smiforce.com

US Office Plus LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Richard Flight
richard@robotaisolutions.com

Ambient Regional Services LLC

Progress Intention Not Declared

Michael Schoenfeld
michael@ambientregional.com

QUEST MEDIA & SUPPLIES INC (Quest)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Amy Comi
amy_comi@questsys.com

Spectra LLC (Spectra, LLC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Kirk Bostick
kbostick@spectra-it.com

Cal State Electronics

Progress Event Not Viewed

Roger Thomas
rogerlt1608@gmail.com

BUSINESS PRODUCTS OF AMERICA LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Walter Klein
walter@businessproductsofamerica.com

eRepublic, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

mlamoreaux@erepublic.com

American Eagle Computer Products

Progress Event Not Viewed

Nancy Lizza
nancy@americaneaglecp.com

GCR Inc. (GCR Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Lynette Lapeyrolerie
gcrbusdev@gcrincorporated.com

Deltek, Inc.

Progress Intend To Bid

Herold Mallari
bidsinbound@deltek.com

HTH Engineering, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

jwinner@startstop.com

Interactive Voice Applications, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

John Young
john@ivacsp.com

Allied Telesis, Inc. (Allied Telesis, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Nathan Nash
nathan_nash@alliedtelesis.com

Niivatech, Inc. (Niivatech)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Nick Moller
nick@niivatech.com

Andre Brummer
acbrummer@gmail.com

EnthSquare, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Vinant Prahlad
rfps@entsquare.com

Singareddy Informaiton Technologies, Inc (Singareddy, Inc)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Ravindra Singareddy
ravi@singareddy.com

Etix, Inc (Etix)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Marshall Pred
marshall.pred@etix.com

CSG Government Solutions, Inc. (CSG Government Solutions, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Tim Lenning
rfp@csgdelivers.com

Hocohan Holdings Inc. (Valley Office Systems)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Corrie Chase
cchase@valleyofficesystems.com

Imaging Concepts, LLC (Imaging Concepts, LLC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Trevor Erickson
terickson@imagingutah.com

TAMS, LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Brad Morley
brad@tamsolutions.com

Oracle

Progress Event Not Viewed

Tony Rapaglia
tony.rapaglia@oracle.com

Mitzi Riddle
mitzi.riddle@oracle.com

Kim Gibbons
kim.gibbons@oracle.com

Jacondra Westmore
jacondra.westmore@oracle.com

Proactive Network Management Corp.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Wendy Molteni
wendy@pnm.com

John Milano
john.milano@pnm.com

Yasmesoft, Inc. (Yasmesoft)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Sandeep Kilaru
sam@yasmesoft.com

AAA Office Supplies

Progress Intention Not Declared

MC Loredo
mcl@aaaofficesupplies.com

MC Loredo
mcl@aaaofficesupplies.com

Grouse Industries (FireFold)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Kelsey Hicks
kelseyhicks@firefold.com

Professional Consulting Services & Solutions, LLC (Professional Consulting Services & Solutions, LLC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

James Johnson
James.Johnson@pcss.work

Falcon Estates, LLC (Falcon Estates LLC)

Progress Intention Not Declared

Kelley Pasch
kelleypasch@gmail.com

Juniper Systems Inc.

Progress Intention Not Declared

Doug Moore
doug.moore@junipersys.com

Elite IT Partners, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Heather Martinos
hmartinos@eliteitpartners.com

James Martinos
jmartinos@eliteitpartners.com

Opsis Technologies group, LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Vic Berger
vic.berger@opsistechnologies.com

Timpanogos Advocates Incorporated (Timpanogos Advocates)

Progress

Event Not Viewed

Brenda Callister
brendacallister@gmail.com

Cathy Maurer
mysupportcoordinator@gmail.com

JJ & S Enterprises (SSA Technology)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Shaun Steel
shaunsteel33@gmail.com

Dynamism, Inc. (Dynamism, Inc)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Joseph Lee
joseph.lee@dynamism3d.com

Technical Interiors INC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Eddie Martin
emartin@techinteriorsinc.com

Kimball Electronics Inc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Doug Griffis
dgriffis@kimballinc.com

Tory McDonald
trmcDonald@kimballinc.com

Valcom Salt Lake City (VLCM)

Progress Intention Not Declared

SAMANTHA ANDERSEN
SANDERSEN@VLCMTECH.COM

Modern Imaging Solutions, Inc. (Modern Data Products, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Mark Lopez
markl@modernimagingolutions.com

Solutionz Videoconferencing Inc (Solutionz Conferencing, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jim Davidson
jdavidson@solutionzinc.com

Accruent Inc. (Mainspring Healthcare Solutions)

Progress

Event Not Viewed

Joseph Monaco
jmonaco@accruent.com

Pierre Harrison
pharrison@accruent.com

Teradata Government Systems, LLC (Teradata)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Cindy Wiley
cindy.wiley@teradata.com

Infor Public Sector (Infor Public Sector, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

laurie.hovatter@infor.com

Nimble Storage, Inc. (Nimble Storage, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

mary.reuss@nimblestorage.com

Infax, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Maddie Flowers
sales@infax.com

HK Consulting

Progress Event Not Viewed

Joe Fish
joe@hkconsulting.biz

Joseph Stephen Baisley (Lavallette Technology Services)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Joseph Baisley
orders@lavallettetech.com

GuideSoft, Inc. (Knowledge Services)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Cindy Davis
cindyd@knowledgeservices.com

SDF Professional Computers Inc

Progress Response In Progress

SHIV AJMERA
SAJMERA@SDF-SAI.COM

CDI Computer Dealers Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Aurelea Gumiela
agumiela@cdicomputes.com

Dominion Voting Systems, Inc.

Progress Submitted
Total Bid 4,983,312.00

Christina Reich
ar-dvsi@dominionvoting.com

Rap Catcher LLC (Rap Catcher LLC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Daniel Zerull
Danielzerull@gmail.com

McIntosh Communications, LLC

Progress Response In Progress

Myron Wendel
myronw@mcintoshcomm.com

Greg Steed
gregs@mcintoshcomm.com

Vertiba LLC (Vertiba LLC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Information Vertiba
info@vertiba.com

Coban Research and Technologies (Coban Technologies)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Larry Marr
lsr@cobantech.com

Fellers (Fellers)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Bret Bailey
sk.sales@fellers.com

Premier Computing, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Rob Gillespie
rgillespie@premiercomputing.com

Alston Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Raoul Ornithopter
mustang4628@yahoo.com

W.W. Grainger, Inc. (Grainger)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Tim Hoffman
tim.hoffman@grainger.com

American Business Forms (American Solutions for Business)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jeremy Horn
jhorn@americanbus.com

Katana Electronics, LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Malcolm Purcell
malcolmp@katanaelectronicsllc.com

Applus Technologies, Inc. (Applus Technologies, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Lisa Piesko
lisa.piesko@aplustech.com

Dennis Palmer
dpalmer@aplustech.com

Office Depot, Inc (Office Depot Office Max)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Larry Kendell
larry.kendell@officedepot.com

Janadhi & Company

Progress Event Not Viewed

Mel Witharana
Mel@janadhi.com

SYNNEX Corporation

Progress Event Not Viewed

Heather Hunter
contracts@synnex.com

My Cable Mart (My Cable Mart)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Neil Marriott
govt_pos@mycablemart.com

TEAM ONE REPAIR, INC (Team One Repair, Inc)

Progress Event Not Viewed

ELLEN WITTRY
ELLEN.WITTRY@TEAMONEREPAIR.COM

SAMER KHASHAN
SAMER@TEAMONEREPAIR.COM

Sprint Solutions, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Shannon Hewitt-Tapp
shannon.hewitt-tapp@sprint.com

RFx Analyst

Progress Intention Not Declared

Kelly Johnson
rfp@rfxanalyst.com

SAITECH INC (SAITECH INCORPORATION)

Progress Event Not Viewed

ERNESTO EJUAREZ
ERNESTO@ESAITECH.COM

Projector Lamps, LLC (ProjectorLamps.Com)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Betsy Hussey
betsyh@projectorlamps.com

Annams Systems Corporation (Sunflower Systems)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jeffrey Polyak
jpolyak@sunflowersystems.com

Laser Options, Inc. (Laser Options Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Tim "Bo" Marsh
bmarsh@laseroptions.com

Partners Data Systems, Inc. (Partners Data Systems, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Greg Romero
greg.romero@partnersdata.com

Green Peak Solutions (Microsoft, SharePoint and IT Consulting - Operations and Development)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Nate Green
nategreen@greenpeaksolutions.it

Monarch InfoTech Services LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Fred Shaygan
freds@mitsind.com

Utah State Legislature

Progress Intention Not Declared

Brian Bean
bbean@le.utah.gov

Zones, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jade Jacobson
teamaz.goved@zones.com

ITC (ITC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Thom Syddall
Thomas@goitc.com

Westech Industrial Electric

Progress Event Not Viewed

Keith Land
keith@westechindustrial.com

Konnech Inc.

Progress Intention Not Declared

Laura Pottere
laura@konnech.com

ElectionIQ, LLC

Progress Response In Progress

Daniel Chalupsky
chalupd@electioniq.net

Aegis ITS

Progress Event Not Viewed

Chuck Dankocsik
cdankocsik@aegisits.com

Maple Mountain Holdings LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Michael Lingwall
michael@maplemtn.com

Adorama Inc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Alba Castillo
albac@adorama.com

SDA Consulting, Inc. (SDA)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Shawn Anderson
sda@sdaci.com

Grace Tesfai
bids@sdaci.com

Summa Energy Solutions

Progress Event Not Viewed

Christopher Atkins
chris@summaes.com

aspen funeral services (aspen funeral services)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Mark Chidester
mark.aspenfh@gmail.com

Optiv Security Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Monty Thornock
monty.thornock@optiv.com

Brenda Milam
Brenda.milam@optiv.com

HUB Parking Technology USA Inc. (HUB Parking Technology USA Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Mark Pitchford
mark.pitchford@hubparking.com

Retail Information Technology Enterprises (RITE)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Admin Team
kevina@rite.us

NSI, LLC (Network Solutions International)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Blair Brandenburg
Blair@NetworkSolutionsIntl.com

Sales Team
Sales@NetworkSolutionsIntl.com

Global Merchandising59 and Associates LLC (Global Merchandising59 and Associates LLC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Sam Humphrey
sam.humphrey@officezilla.com

Comcast Business Communications, LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Brent Daugherty
brent_daugherty@comcast.com

Bob Barker Company, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Ryan Pretko
bidnotices@bobbarker.com

OM Office Supply Inc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Neena Agarwal
bids@omos.com

Neena Agarwal
bid@omos.com

Progress Response In Progress

David Yi
david.yi@malabs.com

Bretford Manufacturing, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Customer Service
customerservice@bretford.com

Hocohan Holdings, Inc. DBA Valley Office Systems (Valley Office Systems)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Howard Hansen
hhansen@valleyofficesystems.com

Barbara Cotter
bcotter@valleyofficesystems.com

VILINK COMMUNICATIONS INC (Vilink Communications, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Thu Pham
thup@vilinknet.com

Andy Pham
sales@vilinknet.com

GovConnection, Inc. (GovConnection, Inc.)

Progress No Bid

Kirby Welch
kirby.welch@connection.com

Carolina Sat Net Solutions LLC (Carolina Sat Net Solutions LLC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Brian Clark
brian@csatnet.com

Panduit

Progress Event Not Viewed

Mark Todd
mjt@panduit.com

NurvWorx, LLC (NurvWorx)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Brett Jorgensen
brett@nurworx.net

Progress Event Not Viewed

chirag shah
info@insolatetech.com

Braintrace, LLC (Braintrace)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Magaji Edwards
medwards@braintrace.com

Chris Reid
creid@braintrace.com

Ray Carter
rcarter@braintrace.com

Insight Public Sector Inc. (Insight)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Erica Falchetti
erica.falchetti@insight.com

DakTech, Inc. (DakTech, Inc.)

Progress Intention Not Declared

Brenda Westrick
bwestrick@daktech.com

ParkingSoft, LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Ken Shin
ken.shin@parkingsoft.com

ByteSpeed, LLC (ByteSpeed)

Progress Event Not Viewed

David Schaffer
dschaffer@bytespeed.com

Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Lee Otis
lotis@esri.com

INT9 Solutions (INT9 Solutions)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Balaji Thirugnanam
balaji.thirugnanam@int9solutions.com

Hart InterCivic

Progress Submitted
Total Bid 3,404,062.00

Karen Clakeley
kclakeley@hartic.com

Julie Wickert
jwickert@hartic.com

Berkeley IC Supply LLC dba Greenleigh Wong (Greenleigh Wong Tech)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Tom Greenleigh
tom@gwlamps.com

ThoughtSwift (ThoughtSwift)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Marlece Watson
mwatson@thoughtswift.com

Alastus, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Scott Butler
scott@alastus.com

Clear Ballot Group, Inc.

Progress Invitation Unaccepted

Don DeFord
donald.deford@clearballot.com

Education Networks of America Inc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Andrew Horrocks
ahorrocks@ena.com

Ewiz Express Corp. (superbiiz.com)

Progress No Bid

Gov Edu
gov.ed@superbiiz.com

Aerohive Networks Inc. (Aerohive)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Curtis Reid
creid@aerohive.com

Sanders Office Systems

Progress Event Not Viewed

Steven Sanders
stevesandersmail@gmail.com

Tri State Camera

Progress Event Not Viewed

Shmaya Friedlander
bids@tristatecamera.com

PeakRTA (PeakRTA)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Ron Titus
hospouse@yahoo.com

Sound Unlimited (Sound Unlimited)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Howard Western
howard@soundunlimited.us

Les Olson Company

Progress Intention Not Declared

Troy Olson
lto@lesolson.com

John Huston
jhuston@lesolson.com

Bryan Hammer
bryanh@lesolson.com

Chuck Burt
cburt@lesolson.com

Dave Augason
daugason@lesolson.com

ComputerWise Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Lisa Wood
lisakwood@hotmail.com

ConvergeOne Solutions

Progress Event Not Viewed

Brian Mackenzie
bmackenzie@convergeone.com

Greg Bishop
gbishop@convergeone.com

eLoyalty, LLC. (eLoyalty)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Mark Surico
mark_surico@eloyalty.com

Ntt Data Inc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Robert Lozeron
robert.lozeron@nttdata.com

Machine Tools West, Inc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Holly Lewis
holly@machinetoolswestinc.com

Siemens Industry, Inc. (Siemens Industry Inc)

Progress Intention Not Declared

Rachelle West
rachelle.west@siemens.com

Clint Knudsen
clint.knudsen@siemens.com

En Pointe Technologies LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Randy Everett
reverett@endpointe.com

Eccentex Corporation (Eccentex Corporation)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Todd Sherman
tsherman@eccentex.com

Ghost Orchid

Progress Event Not Viewed

Anthony Kosednar
anthony@ghostorchd.com

Progress Event Not Viewed

Kaitlyn Chun
kaitlyn.chun@carahsoft.com

Bethany Blackwell
NASPO@carahsoft.com

SystemDomain Inc (SystemDomain Inc)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Anil Garg
agarg@systemdomaininc.com

DiscoverIT Solutions Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Karena Angell
karena@discoveritsolutions.com

Davies Technologies, Inc. dba IDeACOM dti (IDeACOM)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Dexter Davies
dexter@ideacomdti.com

NetDiverse, LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Gary Nieboer
gary@netdiverse.com

Grace Global, Corp. (Grace Global, Corp.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Getty Adigwe
wsc@graceglobalinc.com

Hamilton Jackson LLC (J Squared Acquisitions)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Derek Jackson
derek@jsquaredacquisitions.com

Tripp Lite (Tripp Lite)

Progress Intention Not Declared

David Dominguez
david_dominguez@tripplite.com

Ricoh USA, Inc. (Ricoh USA, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jim Newbold
james.newbold@ricoh-usa.com

Finke Bill
bill.finke@ricoh-usa.com

Global Power Supply

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jose Escamilla
jose.escamilla@globalpwr.com

DH and Company

Progress Intention Not Declared

Dara Harsh
daraharsh@gmail.com

Windstream

Progress Response In Progress

Crystal Bergener
cabergener@yahoo.com

Deloitte Consulting LLP

Progress Event Not Viewed

Peter Lincoln
plincoln@deloitte.com

Anthony Veraldi
averaldi@deloitte.com

Election Systems & Software

Progress Submitted

Total Bid 2,313,224.36

Daniel Clark
dclark@essvote.com

Tamara Kaup
tamara.kaup@essvote.com

Digital DataComm

Progress Event Not Viewed

Robert Vladimiroff
rvlad@digital-datacomm.com

Craig Peterson
cpeterson@digital-datacomm.com

Independent Living Systems, LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jennifer Hamaker

Eminent Technical Solutions, LLC (ETS)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Scott Linsley
scottl@etscorp.com

Open SAN Consulting, LLC (OSC Edge)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Tiffany Bailey
tiffany.bailey@oscedge.com

Graphic Enterprises Office Solutions Inc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Lisa Vogley
lvogley@geiwideformat.com

Saam

Progress Intention Not Declared

Saam Saam
sotec.aspi@gmail.com

EnvisionWare (EnvisionWare)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Danette Fullmer
dfullmer@envisionware.com

Praescient Analytics, LLC (Praescient Analytics, LLC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Kimberly McCliggott
kmcliggott@praescientanalytics.com

William Washburn
bwashburn@praescientanalytics.com

Heartland Payment Systems, LLC (Heartland School Solutions)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Pepper Pena
pepper.pena@e-hps.com

ITC Systems

Progress Event Not Viewed

Leigh Hendricks
leigh.hendricks@itcsystems.com

Verify Research Associates (Barking Dog Communications)

Progress Event Not Viewed

John Harrington
jfh@verifyresearch.net

Unistar-Sparco Computers (Sparco.com)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Amanda Wilber
amanda@sparco.com

Clear Ballot Group, Inc.

Progress Submitted
Total Bid 4,510,250.05

Alice DeLuca
alice.deluca@clearballot.com

Marquis McNeil dba MMI-2 International Research (MMI-2 International Research)

Progress Intention Not Declared

Marquis McNeil
marquis_mcneil@mmi-2.com

Vertikal6, Inc. (Vertikal6, Inc.)

Progress Response In Progress

Johna Krushnowski
johna@vertikal6.com

Rick Norberg
rnorberg@vertikal6.com

4U Advertising

Progress No Bid

Derrick Hall
advertising4u@outlook.com

Southern Computer Warehouse (SCW)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Judie Gaines
judie.gaines@scw.com

Julia Stewart
scwbids@scw.com

DHE Computer Systems

Progress Event Not Viewed

Dan Hammack
dhammack@dhecs.com

Dash2 Group, llc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Brian Beck
brian@dash2group.com

Viscosity North America

Progress Event Not Viewed

Monica Li
monica.li@viscosityna.com

Kelsie Brunson
kelsie.brunson@viscosityna.com

Charles Kim
charles.kim@viscosityna.com

NTT DATA Inc (NTT DATA Services)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Charles Roberts
charles.roberts@nttdata.com

Brian Nicolson
brian.nicolson@nttdata.com

Mechanical Service & Systems, Inc. (Mechanical Service & Systems)

Progress Intention Not Declared

Dan Johnson
estimating@mss84.com

Dynarama Corporation (Dynarama Corporation)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jeff Thornton
jeff@dynarama.com

Tivitri (Tivitri, inc)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Neal daey
daley@tivitri.com

Smart Building Solutions (Intellivex)

Progress Intention Not Declared

Patricia Carrigan
pcarrigan@intellivex.com

Black Box Corporation of Pennsylvania (Black Box Network Services)

Progress Event Not Viewed

David Roland
david.roland@blackbox.com

Trinity3 LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Eric Ogden
eogden@trinity3.com

EDAC Systems, Inc. (EDAC Systems, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Gregory Blevins
gblevins@edacsystems.com

Blynscy, Inc. (Blynscy)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Mark Pittman
mark.e.pittman@blynscy.com

Ace Technology Partners, LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Ken Wineberg
kwineberg@acecomputers.com

Eos Systems (Eos Systems)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Dana Johnson
danaj@eos-systems.com

Stacy Arambages
stacya@eos-systems.com

Avolution Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Corinna Clements
corinna.clements@avolutionsoftware.com

Jail Education Solutions (Edovo)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jason Hackathorn
jason@edovo.com

KNOWiNK (KNOWiNK)

Progress No Bid

Timothy Vlach
timothy.vlach@knowink.com

Edulink Systems, Inc. (Edulink Systems, Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Richard Madrid
richm@edulinksys.com

Chris Hughes

Progress Intention Not Declared

Chris Hughes
cwh297@nyu.edu

PCMG, Inc. (PCM Gov, Inc)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Kris Sova
sledbids@pcmg.com

Verslas Industrial Inc. (Verslas Industrial Inc.)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Edward Kezys
ekezys@verslasind.com

Rosi Workplace Solutions

Progress Event Not Viewed

Troy Veteto
troyv@rosiop.com

Paul Savory
pauls@swofficesupply.com

BMI Associates (BMI Productivity Solutions)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Dave Mortensen
dave@bmisw.com

JNJ SOLUTIONS INC (JNJ SOLUTIONS INC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

vijay karayi
efaxno@yahoo.com

INVITE Networks (Invite Networks)

Progress No Bid

Xochi Garza
x@invitenetworks.com

Ken Romero
Ken@InviteNetworks.com

9 TO 5 COMPUTER

Progress Event Not Viewed

RICHARD RAAB
richardraab@9to5computer.com

Cache Valley Electric (Cache Valley Electric)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jody Jenkins
jody.jenkins@cve.com

Allison Milne
allison.milne@cve.com

Peter Olson
peter.olson@cve.com

Dominion Voting Systems, Inc.

Progress Response In Progress

Dana LaTour
dana.latour@dominionvoting.com

Kustom Signals, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Ivan Daza
info@kustomsignals.com

Tony Campos
tcampos@kustomsignals.com

CenturionCares, Inc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Mike Sasada
msasada@centurioncares.com

Netsource Global (Netsource Global)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Scott Harrah
scott@netsourceglobal.com

Oracle Public Sector (Oracle America, Inc)

Progress Event Not Viewed

INVITE Networks (Invite Networks)

Progress No Bid

Brent Evans
brent.t.evans@oracle.com

Transource Services Corp.

Progress Intention Not Declared

Rob Lewis
robl@transource.com

Metro Laser Inc. (Metro Laser Inc.)

Progress No Bid

Steve Garcia
smg@metlaser.com

Bombids, LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Brian Estes
brian@bombids.com

ACCEL BI CORPORATION (ACCEL BI)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Sanjay Shirude
PMO@Accelbi.com

HPI INTERNATIONAL INC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Perel Stern
pstern@hpi.com

LD Products, Inc. (4inkjets.com)

Progress No Bid

Diana Athey
DianaA@LDProducts.com

DTC Computer Supplies (DTC Computer Supplies)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Cynthia Blandino
cynthia@dtc1.com

Cyber Acoustics (Cyber Acoustics / Maroo)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Zack Yannello
zyannello@cyberacoustics.com

HARPDATA LLC (HARPDATA)

Progress Event Not Viewed

IVORY ROBINSON
IVORY@HARPDATA.COM

Tempus Nova, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Didi Dellanno
didi@tempusnova.com

Paul Bahl
solutions@tempusnova.com

Onvia

Progress Intention Not Declared

Source Management
sourcemgmt@onvia.net

Howard Technology Solutions (Howard Industries Inc)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Kathy Eaton
keaton@howard.com

Yareasia Ellis
bids@howardcomputers.com

TESSCO Incorporated

Progress Event Not Viewed

Desmond Esteves
estevesd@tessco.com

SCI Automation, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jeremy Johnson
jjohnson@SCIAutomation.net

CGI Group Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Christian Walker
christian.walker@cgi.com

Bell and Howell, LLC

Progress

Intention Not Declared

Marjorie McDermott
marjorie.mcdermott@bhemail.com

Mythics, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Deonte Watters
[dwatters@mythics.com](mailto:dwaters@mythics.com)

George Webb Sales (Webb Audio Visual)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Christian Webb
chrisw@webbav.com

CPMI Solutions (Envelopes, printed and inserting)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jake Kemp
jake@cpmisolutions.com

Fort Supply Technologies (Fort Supply Technologies LLC)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Malcolm Harvey
info@fort-supply.com

The JW Group, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jim Willis
jwillis@thejwg.com

International Business Machines (IBM)

Progress Response In Progress

Joe Zacha
jbzacha@us.ibm.com

Ordway Sign Supply, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Pete Ordway
pete@signsupply.com

Frontier Communications

Progress Event Not Viewed

Kade Harris
kade.harris@ftr.com

Kraig Kaizumi
kraig.kaizumi@ftr.com

Kevin Ansell
kevin.ansell@frontiercorp.com

Bits N' Bytes Computers, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Oscar Hernandez
oscar@bnbtech.com

Smart Building Solutions (Intellivex)

Progress Event Not Viewed

Bill Carrigan
bcarrigan@intellivex.com

CES&R LLC

Progress Event Not Viewed

Jennifer Campbell
Jennifer@CESR.com

Computer Connection, Inc. (kvmandpower.com)

Progress Event Not Viewed

paul eberting
paul@kvmandpower.com

VOTEC Corporation (VOTEC Corporation)

Progress Response In Progress

John Medcalf
john.medcalf@votec.net

DatamanUSA, llc

Progress Event Not Viewed

Nidhi Saxena
contact@datamanusa.com

Maxi Aids, Inc.

Progress Event Not Viewed

Andrew Strauss
bids@maxiaids.com

Q&A Board

Subject = Question Response Formats

Public Thread

Q: How can an Offeror respond to a question if the format does not allow for open text or if a multiple choice does not provide applicable option?

Question added by: Windy Aphayrath

6/12/2017 2:58 PM

A: Offerors may respond to multiple option questions, including Yes/No questions, in the way they see fit and provide an additional clearly labeled document in the Supplier Attachments section to provide more information. For numeric responses the Offeror may respond with a logical number, but provide additional information in a clearly labeled, uploaded document.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

6/12/2017 2:58 PM

Subject = File Sizes

Public Thread

Q: What is the maximum file size for upload to the SciQuest site?

Question added by: Windy Aphayrath

6/6/2017 4:35 PM

A: Each single file must be no more than 50 MB.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

6/6/2017 4:35 PM

Subject = Redacted Copies

Public Thread

Q: Should "redacted" copy of the proposal be in the form of a single zipped file, or will the SciQuest interface allow proposers to enter the proposal files a second time? If proposers are required to enter the files a second time, do you want all files re-entered with "redacted" in the file name?

Question added by: Windy Aphayrath

6/6/2017 4:34 PM

A: Redacted copies may be in a single zipped file, or as multiple files uploaded in the Supplier Attachments section. Each redacted file must be identified with "Redacted" in the file name.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

6/6/2017 4:34 PM

Subject = software licensing

Public Thread

Q: Under the question regarding the maximum number of users per license, does the State define users as humans using the system or the number of PCs allowed under a single license?

Question added by: Daniel Chalupsky

5/24/2017 1:52 PM

A: The State does not define this. Please provide an explanation of what your definition is as part of your response.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 1:52 PM

Subject = Example county data

Public Thread

Q: County examples give no guidance on number of poll workers. Size and quantity of materials and classes affects our ability to produce accurate training plans and costs. Please revise example counties to include number of poll workers expected to attend training along with estimated number of county staff. Also, please provide the number of State officials to be trained and the level of proficiency expected of the by the end of any training received.

Question added by: Daniel Chalupsky

5/24/2017 1:52 PM

A: Please indicate the training options you can provide. The number of poll workers in example counties is not available, and may change with any given election year. There is an expectation the State officials should be trained.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 1:53 PM

Subject = Section 3.10.5

Public Thread

Q: Section 3.10.5 doesn't explicitly request an answer. Is there an answer expected or it is used as a placeholder for instructions

Question added by: Daniel Chalupsky

5/24/2017 1:51 PM

A: The question is for instructional purposes. The Offeror may list, "See Cost Proposal Spreadsheet for details."

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 1:54 PM

Subject = VISTA compatibility

Public Thread

Q: 3) In order to properly answer RFP question regarding interaction with VISTA in sections (3.3.1-3.3.3) offerors must better understand how VISTA is coded, works, and imports/exports information. The following is requested from the state: a. Flow charts of data flow in/out of VISTA b. Sample exports of ballot information c. Existing import formats currently accepted d. The ease with which UT IT Services can map new import formats e. Existing results file definitions/map f. Description of how VISTA stores/recalls/organizes ballot information that would be included in any import/export functions

Question added by: Daniel Chalupsky

5/24/2017 1:51 PM

A: Currently the State uses GEMS software and has developed an upload feature to take the GEMS data and process it into VISTA. In Group 3.3 the State seeks to understand the proposed system's capabilities regarding importing and exporting data. The State expects to work with the chosen Offeror to adapt existing systems, but seeks to understand the mechanism Offerors use to export/import data. Offerors should provide details on the structure of the proposed system, how ballot information is generated, mechanisms for importing and exporting data, customization options, and the ease to which the system can be adapted.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 1:56 PM

Subject = trade-in and buybacks

Public Thread

Q: In the past it has been stated that the state owns all HAVA-purchased equipment and counties cannot divest that equipment. Has this policy changed? If so, will any buyback proceeds go to the individual counties or be directed to the state?

Question added by: Daniel Chalupsky

5/24/2017 1:50 PM

A: It's not a State policy, it is a federal policy, when the equipment is sold. It would be determined by the guidelines required by federal requirements if proceeds are gained by a buyback.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 1:56 PM

Subject = Cost worksheet

Public Thread

Q: 1) Does the State of Utah expect Offerors to split out each item under the "Other Implementation Costs" section in the Voting System Cost Worksheet or keep them combined as a single line item.

Question added by: Daniel Chalupsky

5/24/2017 1:50 PM

A: These may be split into separate items.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 1:57 PM

Subject = Scope of Work

[Public Thread](#)

Q: Regarding prerequisite content number 9, where can we find the Scope of Work document?

Question added by: Tamara Kaup

5/24/2017 11:06 AM

A: The finalized scope of work will be provided by Eligible Users at the time of purchase. Please review the Example Counties document in order to provide a proposed solution for various county examples to inform the development of scopes of work for individual counties.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 1:58 PM

Subject = Mandatory Requirements Narratives

[Public Thread](#)

Q: Regarding the mandatory minimum requirements section, will the vendor be able to provide a narrative response under each Yes/No response on the online portal? If not, would we provide the required narratives as an uploaded document in the Supplier Attachments section?

Question added by: Tamara Kaup

5/24/2017 10:58 AM

A: Provide additional information regarding mandatory minimum requirements as an uploaded document.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 1:59 PM

Subject = VISTA Integration

[Public Thread](#)

Q: Regarding integration with Utah's statewide voter registration system (VISTA), are you able to provide sample output data that can be imported into an EMS, as well as sample results data that is to be imported back into VISTA? If sample data is not available, are you able to provide design specifications or general requirements for integration with VISTA?

Question added by: Tamara Kaup

5/24/2017 10:56 AM

A: Currently the State uses GEMS software and has developed an upload feature to take the GEMS data and process it into VISTA. In Group 3.3 the State seeks to understand the proposed system's capabilities regarding importing and exporting data. The State expects to work with the chosen Offeror to adapt existing systems, but seeks to understand the mechanism Offerors use to export/import data. Offeror's should provide details on the structure of the proposed system, how ballot information is generated, mechanisms for importing and exporting data, customization options, and the ease to which the system can be adapted.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 2:01 PM

Subject = Modem transmission

[Public Thread](#)

Q: How many counties use modems for the transmission of election night results from the polling location to the EMS? Which counties use modems?

Question added by: Dora Chan

5/24/2017 8:24 AM

A: No counties use modems. Nothing comes from a polling location. All counties upload their data from a central location using GEMS to send the data to the State.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 2:01 PM

Subject = Languages

Public Thread

Q: How many languages are currently required, and in which counties?

Question added by: Dora Chan

5/24/2017 8:23 AM

A: According to the December 2016 document issued by the U.S. Census Bureau, only one county in Utah is currently required to provide minority language assistance. San Juan County must provide assistance in Navajo and Ute. Spanish has been a requirement in Utah in the past, specifically in Salt Lake County, and likely will be again in the future.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 2:03 PM

Subject = Pricing question

Public Thread

Q: The cost of software is determined by the size of the county; and various software options are offered depending on whether or not the county wishes to program their own elections. Can additional items be added to the pricing spreadsheet? For example, in the Excel workbook for County 4, line 4, can additional lines be added to reflect "program your own" software, vs software costs if the vendor programs the election?

Question added by: Dora Chan

5/24/2017 8:22 AM

A: Include programming costs in the section provided on the cost proposal form. If there are additional costs for the "Program your own" feature in pricing, provide the examples in the "Misc Costs" tab.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 2:04 PM

Subject = Scope of Work

Public Thread

Q: RE: "Prerequisites Scopes of work for this contract will be determined by the Eligible User agencies. The proposed Scope of Work has been attached to this RFP. Offerors should review the Scope of Work before submitting their responses to the Mandatory Minimum Requirements and Technical Response prerequisites. By reviewing the Scope of Work the Offerors will have a better understanding of the procurement item that is being request from this RFP." QUESTION: Which attached document is the "Scope of Work" as mentioned in the "Prerequisites" section?

Question added by: Danielle Luney

5/23/2017 6:13 PM

A: The finalized scope of work will be provided by Eligible Users at the time of purchase. Please review the Example Counties document in order to provide a proposed solution for various county examples to inform the development of scopes of work for individual counties.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 2:05 PM

Subject = form fields and formatting

Public Thread

Q: It would be helpful to know if the form fields preserve formatting such as text styles, paragraphs, tables and lists, or do they preserve entries as plain text? Also, are spaces counted in the character count?

Question added by: Alice DeLuca

5/23/2017 9:31 AM

A: The open text fields are plain text. Spaces are included in the character count.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/24/2017 8:17 AM

Subject = VISTA integration

Public Thread

Q: Regarding VISTA integration: 1. Can the state provide additional descriptive information about how information from VISTA is currently shared with voting systems (e.g., what kind of information is exchanged, when, and for what purpose(s))? 2. Can the state provide a written document with detailed file format specifications for information that is exported from VISTA, and that needs to be imported into the voting system; and 3. Can the state provide sample data file exports from VISTA, along with an explanation of what the files are, and how they are used; and 4. Can the state clarify whether it has any "back-end" reporting requirements for statewide results on Election Night; if so, the same questions above would apply to ENR: 4a. What kind of information is exchanged, when, and for what purpose? 4b. Can the state provide a written document with detailed file format specifications for ENR purposes? 4c. Can the state provide sample data files for purposes of results upload?

Question added by: Julie Wickert

5/23/2017 4:43 AM

A: Currently the State uses GEMS software and has developed an upload feature to take the GEMS data and process it into VISTA. In Group 3.3 the State seeks to understand the proposed system's capabilities regarding importing and exporting data. The State expects to work with the chosen Offeror to adapt existing systems, but seeks to understand the mechanism Offerors use to export/import data. Offeror's should provide details on the structure of the proposed system, how ballot information is generated, mechanisms for importing and exporting data, customization options, and the ease to which the system can be adapted.

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/25/2017 2:06 PM

Subject = Incumbent

Public Thread

Q: Is there an incumbent contract currently in place?
A: Yes. The current State of Utah contract is with Dominion Voting Systems, Inc.

Question added by: Herold Mallari

5/19/2017 2:08 PM

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/24/2017 8:17 AM

Subject = timeline

Public Thread

Q: Is there an anticipated award date?
A: An award for this RFP is anticipated some time in August, pending review and demonstrations of proposed systems.

Question added by: Herold Mallari

5/19/2017 2:07 PM

Answered by: Windy Aphayrath

5/24/2017 8:18 AM

Subject = response submission format

Public Thread

Q: If we need to expand an answer beyond 2,000 characters, may we attach a document?

Question added by: Alice DeLuca

5/17/2017 2:16 PM

A: If an Offeror requires more than 2,000 characters to respond to a question, they may do so by uploading a separate attachment in the Supplier Attachments section clearly identifying the question that is being responded to. Each question that requires a response of more than 2,000 characters must be provided in a separate attachment. Per the RFP (Description): Responses should be concise, straightforward, and prepared simply and economically.