



Dominion Voting Systems Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 VSTL Certification Test Report

Prepared for
Dominion Voting Systems
717 17th Street, Suite 310, Denver, CO 80202
EAC Application # SEQ-40-2007-W1

Version 2.0

(V)2010-30Nov-001(B)

This report has been submitted to the EAC for review and is pending their acceptance. No certification number has been issued. When iBeta receives notification that the report is accepted, a revised version of the report will be issued. The Certification number will appear here, in page headers and in Appendix K. Any other revisions will be noted in the version history.

Trace to Standards	
NIST Handbook 150-22	
Section 5.5, 5.10.1 through 5.10.3, 5.10.5, 5.10.6	
VSS 2002	
Vol. #	Section(s) #
1	1.6.1
1	2, 3, 4, 5, & 6
1	9.6.3
2	2, 3, 4, 5, & 6
2	7.4 & 7.5.
2	Appendix B

*Test Results in this report apply to the voting system configuration tested. Testing of voting systems that have been modified may or may not produce the same test results. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full.
iBeta Quality Assurance is accredited for Voting System Testing:*



EAC Lab Code: 0702 – Effective through 7/16/2011



NVLAP LAB CODE 200749-0

2675 S. Abilene Street, Suite 300, Aurora, Colorado, 80014

Version History				
Ver #	Description of Change	Author	Approved by	Date
v1.0	Initial Release	Gail Audette Charles Cvetezar Kelly Swift Dharma Valdez Kevin Wilson	Gail Audette – iBeta Quality Manager Ed Smith – Dominion VP, Compliance and Certification Eric Coomer – Dominion Director of Product Development	8 September 2010
v2.0	Updated Release with changes in blue based on EAC and CASOS Review.	Gail Audette Charles Cvetezar Kelly Swift Dharma Valdez Kevin Wilson	Gail Audette – iBeta Quality Manager	30 November 2010

Trademarks

All trademarks are the exclusive property of their respective owners.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	6
1.1	INTERNAL DOCUMENTATION.....	7
	Table 1 Internal Documents.....	7
1.2	EXTERNAL DOCUMENTATION.....	9
	Table 2 External Documents	9
1.3	TECHNICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTS	11
1.4	TEST REPORT CONTENTS.....	11
1.4.1	VSTL Program Manual Format Trace	12
	Table 3 Trace of the Test Report to the VSTL Program Manual and EAC NOC 09-004	12
2	CERTIFICATION TEST BACKGROUND	14
2.1	TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	14
	Table 4 Terms and Definitions.....	14
2.2	PHYSICAL CONFIGURATION AUDIT.....	18
2.2.1	PCA TDP Source Code Review	18
2.2.2	PCA TDP Document Review.....	18
2.2.3	PCA System Configuration Review.....	19
2.2.4	Witness, Trusted Build and Installation	19
2.3	FUNCTIONAL CONFIGURATION AUDIT.....	19
2.3.1	FCA Test Documentation Review	19
2.3.2	FCA Functional, Accessibility, Maintainability, and Reliability Tests.....	19
2.3.3	FCA Volume, Stress, Data Accuracy, and Error Recovery Tests	19
2.3.4	FCA Security Tests.....	20
2.3.5	FCA Hardware Environmental Tests.....	20
2.3.6	FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Tests	21
3	VOTING SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION	22
3.1	SUBMITTED VOTING SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION	22
	Table 5 Voting System Name and Version.....	22
	Table 6 Voting System Polling Place and Central Count Hardware	22
	Table 7 Voting System EMS Software.....	22
3.2	VOTING SYSTEM TEST ENVIRONMENT	22
	Table 8 Voting System Hardware	22
	Table 9 Voting System Software	26
	Table 10 Voting System Technical Data Package Documents.....	27
	Table 11 Other Software, Hardware and Materials.....	31
4	VOTING SYSTEM OVERVIEW	33
	Table 12 WinEDS 4.0 System Limits.....	35
4.1	ELECTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM- PRE VOTING CAPABILITIES	36
4.1.1	WinEDS 4.0	36
4.1.2	Memory Pack Receiver (MPR) for the Optech Insight/Insight Plus.....	36
	Picture 1 – MPR and a MemoryPack.....	37
4.2	POLLING PLACE- VOTING CAPABILITIES	37
4.2.1	AVC Edge II.....	37
	Picture 2 – Edge II.....	38
	Picture 3 – Card Activator.....	38
4.2.2	EDGE2plus.....	39
	Picture 4 – EDGE2plus.....	39
4.2.3	HAAT	39
	Picture 5 – HAAT100.....	40
	Picture 6 – HAAT90.....	40
	Picture 7 – HAAT80.....	40
	Picture 8 – HAAT50.....	41
	Picture 9 - IMPR	41
4.2.4	Optech Insight Plus	41
	Picture 10 – Insight Plus.....	42

4.2.5	<i>Optech Insight</i>	42
	Picture 11 - Insight.....	43
4.3	ELECTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM- POST VOTING CAPABILITIES	43
4.3.1	<i>Optech 400-C Central Count System</i>	43
	Picture 12 – 400-C.....	44
4.3.2	<i>WinETP Election Tabulation Program</i>	44
4.3.3	<i>Memory Pack Receiver (MPR) for the Optech Insight/Insight Plus</i>	44
4.3.4	<i>WinEDS/HAAT Listener</i>	44
5	CERTIFICATION REVIEW AND TEST RESULTS	46
5.1	PCA SOURCE CODE REVIEW.....	46
	Table 13 Source Code Review Applications and Summary Metrics	47
5.1.1	<i>WinEDS 4.0 PowerBuilder Source Code Review Results</i>	48
5.1.2	<i>WinEDS 4.0 C/C++ Source Code Review Results</i>	48
5.1.3	<i>WinEDS 4.0 SQL Source Code Review Results</i>	49
5.1.4	<i>WinEDS 4.0 C# Source Code Review Results</i>	50
5.1.5	<i>WinEDS 4.0 VB 6.0 Source Code Review Results</i>	50
5.1.6	<i>WinEDS 4.0 VB.Net Source Code Review Results</i>	51
5.1.7	<i>WinEDS 4.0 Java Source Code Review Results</i>	52
5.1.8	<i>WinEDS 4.0 Assembly Source Code Review Results</i>	53
5.2	PCA TDP DOCUMENT REVIEW.....	53
5.2.1	<i>PCA TDP Document Review Results</i>	54
5.3	FCA FUNCTIONAL AND SYSTEM INTEGRATION TESTING.....	54
5.3.1	<i>Evaluation of Functional and System Integration Testing</i>	54
5.3.2	<i>Regression Functional and System Integration Testing</i>	54
5.4	FCA CHARACTERISTICS TESTING (RECOVERY, ACCESSIBILITY, USABILITY & MAINTAINABILITY)	55
5.4.1	<i>FCA Characteristics Tests (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability)</i>	55
5.5	FCA SECURITY REVIEW AND TESTING.....	55
5.5.1	<i>FCA Security Review and Testing</i>	56
5.6	FCA DATA ACCURACY TESTING.....	56
5.6.1	<i>FCA Data Accuracy Tests (Accuracy, Reliability, Volume, & Stress)</i>	57
5.7	FCA VOLUME, PERFORMANCE, STRESS, AND ERROR RECOVERY TESTING.....	57
5.7.1	<i>FCA Volume (Performance, Stress, and Error Recovery) Tests</i>	57
5.8	FCA HARDWARE ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING.....	57
	Table 14: Engineering Change Orders	58
	Table 15: Matrix of Environmental Hardware Testing Results Reports	59
5.8.1	<i>FCA Hardware Environmental Tests</i>	61
5.9	FCA TELEPHONY AND CRYPTOGRAPHIC REVIEW AND TESTING	61
5.9.1	<i>FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Tests</i>	61
6	OPINIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	62
7	APPENDICES: TEST OPERATION, FINDINGS & DATA ANALYSIS	63
7.1	APPENDIX A: CERTIFICATION TEST REQUIREMENTS	63
7.2	APPENDIX B: PCA SOURCE CODE REVIEW	65
7.3	APPENDIX C: PCA TDP DOCUMENT REVIEW.....	67
7.4	APPENDIX D: FCA TEST RESULTS	68
7.4.1	<i>FCA Functional and System Level Testing</i>	68
7.4.2	<i>FCA Accuracy Testing</i>	70
7.4.3	<i>FCA Maintainability, Usability and Accessibility Testing</i>	70
7.4.4	<i>FCA Hardware Environmental Testing</i>	71
7.4.5	<i>FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Testing</i>	71
7.5	APPENDIX E: DISCREPANCY REPORT	72
7.6	APPENDIX F: WARRANT OF ACCEPTING CHANGE CONTROL RESPONSIBILITY.....	73
7.7	APPENDIX G: TRUSTED BUILDS WINEDS 4.0 VOTING SYSTEM	74
7.7.1	<i>Trusted Build ICR (IMPR) and TSMPlayer (March 29, 2010)</i>	74
7.7.2	<i>Trusted Build HAAT_OS (April 5, 2010)</i>	74
7.7.3	<i>Trusted Build EDGE2plus_OS (April 15, 2010)</i>	74
7.7.4	<i>Trusted Build HAAT Listener, HAAT Installer, and Saes_Log (April 16, 2010)</i>	74

7.7.5 *Trusted Build P168 and 3200 Controller (April 26, 2010)* 74

7.7.6 *Trusted Build EDGE2plus (May 5, 2010)* 74

7.7.7 *Trusted Build Insight, MPR, and VVPAT (May 19, 2010)* 74

7.7.8 *Trusted Build ABU (May 20, 2010)*..... 74

7.7.9 *Trusted Build Card Activator (June 2, 2010)* 74

7.7.10 *Trusted Build Edge II (July 9, 2010)*..... 74

7.7.11 *Trusted Build HAAT Application (July 9, 2010)*..... 74

7.7.12 *Trusted Build WinEDS and WinETP (September 2, 2010)*..... 74

7.8 APPENDIX H: AMENDED TEST PLAN 75

7.9 APPENDIX I: STATE TEST REPORTS..... 76

7.9.1 *City and County of San Francisco*..... 76

7.9.2 *Pierce County Washington*..... 77

7.9.3 *Illinois Board of Elections* 77

7.10 APPENDIX J: [DOMINION VOTING SYSTEMS IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT](#) 79

7.11 APPENDIX K: EAC CERTIFICATION NUMBER & VOTING SYSTEM CONFIGURATION..... 80

1 Introduction

This report is submitted to the Election Assistance Commission (EAC) by iBeta Quality Assurance summarizing the federal voting system certification testing of the Dominion Voting Systems, Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system to the *Federal Election Commission Voting System Standards 2002 (VSS 2002)*.

The Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 test campaign is an initial EAC Certification. It incorporates an Election Management System and five voting devices, two of which include two hardware models.

- The WinEDS election management system for ballot preparation and central count functions;
- The *EDGE2plus* touch screen Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) video and audio voter editable ballot devices with accessible ballot inputs for voters with manual dexterity limitations (models [300 CO.3](#), [300 CO.4](#) and [305 CO.4](#));
- The Edge II touch screen DRE video voter editable ballot devices with peripheral hardware to support audio ballot and a Voter Verified Paper Audit Trail (VVPAT);
- The Optech Insight and Optech Insight Plus precinct count optical scanners; and
- The Optech 400-C central count optical scanner.

During the certification test campaign, Sequoia Voting Systems was purchased by Dominion Voting Systems. For the purposes of this final report, Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 refers to the voting system under test during this federal test campaign.

The purpose of this document is to provide a [summary](#) of the certification testing and findings. The complete list of the systems names, major subsystems, version numbers and any interfacing devices is detailed in Section 3 - Voting System Identification. Additional details of the design, structure, and processing capabilities are identified in Section 4 - Voting System Overview.

This certification test campaign included a Physical Configuration Audit (PCA) of the Sequoia WinEDS [4.0](#) voting system which included a review of the documentation and source code submitted in the Technical Data Package (TDP) to the requirements of the *VSS 2002*.

A Functional Configuration Audit (FCA) of the Sequoia WinEDS [4.0](#) voting system included a review of the testing performed by Dominion to:

- The requirements of *VSS 2002*;
- The WinEDS voting system specifications of the Sequoia TDP; and
- The voting system requirements of section 301 of the Help American Vote Act (HAVA).

The FCA also includes identification of the scope of testing, a test plan, customization of test cases, system configuration management, test execution, and analysis of the test results.

Certification testing was performed in compliance with the requirements of *VSS 2002*, Volume 2. The test record included all test executions and reviews. All test executions and reviews included the record of requirements that were satisfactorily and unsatisfactorily completed to the accept/reject criteria identified in Appendix A, deficiencies noted, reports to Dominion, software and manufacturing resolutions, validations of resolutions and documentation of incorporation of resolutions into the voting system. During all phases of the certification testing iBeta provided Dominion with regular status reports.

iBeta Quality Assurance, a limited liability company, is located in Aurora, Colorado. The company is a full service software testing laboratory providing Quality Assurance and Software Testing for the business and interactive entertainment communities. Testing was conducted at iBeta in Aurora, Colorado.

iBeta Quality Assurance accreditations for the testing of voting systems to the federal standards include

- National Voluntary Lab Accreditation Program (NVLAP) Voting System Test Lab (VSTL)
- Election Assistance Commission Voting Systems Test Lab (VSTL)

Non-core hardware environmental testing is outside iBeta's test accreditation scope as a VSTL. This testing was performed at the following subcontractors:

- Criterion Technology, 1350 Tolland Road, P.O. Box 489, Rollinsville, CO 80474
- Oracle® Advanced Product Testing (APT), 1601 Dry Creek Drive Suite 2000, Longmont, CO 80503
- Wyle Laboratories, 7800 Highway 20 West, Huntsville, AL, 35806
- Intertek Testing Services NA, Inc., 1795 Dogwood, Suite 200, Louisville, CO 80027

Exclusions:

The following functions are excluded from the WinEDS 4.0 voting system and therefore not tested in this certification effort:

- Access to incomplete election returns or interactive queries;
- Telecommunications: No voter authentication, ballot definition, individual vote records, or voter lists are transmitted via [public](#) telecommunications; and
- Shared Operating Environment: WinEDS 4.0 does not share an environment with other data processing functions.

In addition, the submitted voting system does not have components that are used external to the voting functions.

The WinEDS Voting System components supported by the WinEDS 4.0 Voting System that are not part of the certification effort include:

- Advantage D10;
- Ballot Printing System (BPS);
- WinEDS Bridge Tool;
- Eagle model optical scanners;
- Extended Services modules Teamwork and Vote Sim; and
- The EDGE2plus [APS UTG300](#) VVPAT printer which was withdrawn from the federal certification during this test campaign.

1.1 Internal Documentation

The documents identified below are iBeta internal documents used in certification testing.

Table 1 Internal Documents

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
v1.0	Voting Certification Master Services Agreement-Statement of Work	MSA contract - SOW	30 May 2007 - 22 June 2007	iBeta Quality Assurance
	VSTL Procedures			
v3.0	Voting Deliverable Receipt Procedure		February 9, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
v2.0	PCA Document Review Procedure		February 4, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v1.0	Witness Build Procedure		April 7, 2008	iBeta Quality Assurance
v2.0	Trusted Build Procedure		January 23, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v2.0	PCA Source Code Review Procedure		April 21, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v2.0	8051 Assembler Review Criteria		April 21, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v3.0	80x86 Assembler Review Criteria		April 21, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v4.0	C-Sharp Review Criteria		March 3, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v5.0	C and C++ Review Criteria		March 2, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v3.0	Java Review Criteria		April 21, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v2.0	PowerScript Review Criteria		April 21, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v3.0	SQL Review Criteria		April 21, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v3.0	Visual Basic Review Criteria		April 21, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v3.0	VB.Net Review Criteria		April 21, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v2.0	Z80 Assembler Review Criteria		April 21, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
v5.0	Test Case Preparation		February 9, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
	and Execution Procedure			
v4.0	VSTL Test Planning Procedure		May 23, 2008	iBeta Quality Assurance
v4.0	VSTL Certification Report Procedure		April 24, 2008	iBeta Quality Assurance
iBeta	Project Documents			
	Code and Equipment Receipt WinEDS 4.0		September 1, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	PCA Document Review WinEDS 4.0		September 7, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Document Review WinEDS 4.0		February 25, 2008	iBeta Quality Assurance
	EAC Clearing House Catalog for Sequoia		July 1, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Sequoia PCA Configuration		September 7, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report WinEDS 4.0		September 7, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
v3.0	WinEDS v. 4.0 VSTL Test Plan	Test Plan	April 17, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Test Case WinEDS 4.0		July 26, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Sequoia FCA Security Review WinEDS 4.0		September 7, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Security Test - Linux Configuration Test Steps - WinEDS-4.0		August 31, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Security Test - Windows Configuration Test Steps - WinEDS-4.0		August 27, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Security Test Case -- Network Ports Steps-WinEDS4.0		August 17, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Security Test Case -- Serial Ports Steps - WinEDS4.0		December 29, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Accuracy Test Case - DRE		March 26, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Accuracy Test Case - Optical Scan		5 November 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Environmental Test Case WinEDS 4.0		September 7, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Characteristics Test Case WinEDS 4.0		June 17, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	General 1 - CO System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0, R1, R2, R3, R4		December 8, 2009 R1: June 3, 2010 R2: August 10, 2010 R3: August 27, 2010 R4: September 7, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	General 2 - MI System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0 v.0, v.1 (Regression 1)		v.0: January 7, 2010 v.1: June 14, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	General 3 - IL System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0		8 September 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
	General 4 - PA System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0		14 December 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Primary 1 - WA System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0		5 January 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Primary 2 - WI System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0		29 December 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Primary 3 - AZ System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0		6 January 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Primary 4 - IL System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0 v.0, v.1, v.2		v.0: November 24, 2009 v.1: December 8, 2009	iBeta Quality Assurance

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
			v.2: June 2, 2010	
	Volume 1 - Closed Primary - IL System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0		March 1, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Volume 1A - Closed Primary - IL System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0		March 1, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Volume 2 - General - WA System Test Case WinEDSv 4.0, v.0, v.1		v.0: March 8, 2010 v.1: March 9, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	General 3R -IL System Level Regression Test Case WinEDSv 4.0 (Regression 1)		June 11, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	General 4R - PA System Level Regression Test Case WinEDSv 4.0 (Regression 1)		June 17, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Primary 1R - WA System Level Regression Test Case WinEDSv 4.0 (Regression 1)		June 10, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Primary 2R - WI System Level Regression Test Case WinEDSv 4.0 (Regression 1)		May 27, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Primary 3R - AZ System Level Regression Test Case WinEDSv 4.0 (Regression 1)		June 3, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Volume1R System Level Regression Test Case (Closed Primary - IL) (Regression 1)		July 8, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	HAAT90 R2 System Level Regression Test Case (Regression 2)		July 19, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	Custom_R3 Sequoia FCA WinEDS 4.0		August 31, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	400C Merge System Level Test Case (Regression 1)		June 24, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance
	400C Network System Level Test Case		June 23, 2010	iBeta Quality Assurance

1.2 External Documentation

The documents identified below are external resources used to in certification testing.

Table 2 External Documents

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
	Test Plan Approval Letter		April 23, 2009	Election Assistance Commission
	Help America Vote Act	HAVA	October 29, 2002	107 th Congress
NIST Handbook 150 2006 Edition	NVLAP Voting System Testing	NIST 150	February 2006	National Voluntary Lab Accreditation Program
NIST Handbook 150-22	NVLAP Voting System Testing	NIST 150-22	October 2007	National Voluntary Lab Accreditation Program
	Federal Election Commission Voting System Standards	VSS 2002	April 2002	Federal Election Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2007-04, 2005 VVSG Vol. 1 Section	Interpretation 2007-04	October 29, 2007	Election Assistance Commission

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
	3.1.3			
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2007-05, 2005 VVSG Vol. 1 Section 4.2.1 (Testing Focus and Applicability)	Interpretation 2007-05	November 6, 2007	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2007-06, 2005 VVSG Vol. 1 Section 4.1.1, 2.1.2c &f, 2.3.3.3o and 2.4.3c&d. (Recording and reporting undervotes)	Interpretation 2007-06	November 7, 2007	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-01, 2002 VSS Vol. II, Section 4.7.1 & Appendix C 2005 VVSG Vol. II, Section 4.7.1 & Appendix C	Interpretation 2008-01	February 6, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-02, Battery Backup for Optical Scan Voting machines	Interpretation 2008-02	February 19, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-04, Ballot Production - Alternative languages	Interpretation 2008-04	May 19, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-05, Durability	Interpretation 2008-05	May 19, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-06 Battery Back Up for Central Count	Interpretation 2008-06	August 29, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-07 Zero Report	Interpretation 2008-07	August 27, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-08, Automatic Bar Code Reader	Interpretation 2008-08	August 1, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-09, Safety (NRTL)	Interpretation 2008-09	August 25, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-10 Electrical Fast Transient (EFT)	Interpretation 2008-10	August 26, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on VVPAT Accessibility	Interpretation 2009-01	October 5, 2009	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Alternate Languages	Interpretation 2009-02	September 29, 2009	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Battery Back Up for Central Count Systems	Interpretation 2009-03	September 28, 2009	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Audit Log Events	Interpretation 2009-04	August 5, 2009	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on T-Coil Requirement	Interpretation 2009-05	June 25, 2009	Election Assistance Commission
	NOC 07-05: Voting System Test Laboratory (VSTL) responsibilities in the management and oversight of third party testing.	NOC 07-05	September 7, 2007	Election Assistance Commission
	NOC 08-001: Validity of Prior	NOC 08-001	March 26, 2008	Election Assistance

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
	Non-core Hardware Environmental and EMC Testing			Commission
	NOC 08-002: EAC Mark of Certification Final	NOC 08-002	May 16, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	NOC 08-003: Conformance Testing Requirements	NOC 08-003	July 30, 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	NOC 09-001: Requirements for Test Lab Development and Submission of Test Plans	NOC 09-001	May 1, 2009	Election Assistance Commission
	NOC 09-002: Laboratory Independence Requirement	NOC 09-002	May 4, 2009	Election Assistance Commission
	NOC 09-003: De Minimis Change Determination Requirements	NOC 09-003	September 18, 2009	Election Assistance Commission
	Voting System Testing and Certification Program Manual		1 January 2007	Election Assistance Commission
	Voting System Test Laboratory Program Manual		21 July 2008	Election Assistance Commission
	Sequoia Reuse of Hardware Testing Letter		24 July 2009	Election Assistance Commission
	Sequoia Reuse of Hardware Testing Letter		29 September 2009	Election Assistance Commission

1.3 **Technical Data Package Documents**

The Technical Data Package Documents submitted for this certification test effort are listed in Section 3 System Identification.

1.4 **Test Report Contents**

The contents of this Test Report include:

- Section 1: The Introduction - identifies the scope of certification testing.
- Section 2: The Certification Test Background identifies the process for the Physical and Functional Configuration Audits.
- Section 3: The Voting System Identification identifies the system configuration including hardware, software and the Technical Data Package documentation.
- Section 4: The Voting System Overview identifies the overall design and functionality of voting system.
- Section 5: The Certification Review and Test Results are the methods and results of the testing effort.
- Section 6: The Opinions & Recommendations of the acceptability of the voting system.

Test Operations, Findings and Data Analysis are in the appendices.

- [Appendix A: Certification Test Requirements](#)
- [Appendix B: Source Code Reviews](#)
- [Appendix C: PCA TDP Document Reviews](#)
- [Appendix D: FCA Test Results](#)
- [Appendix E: Discrepancy Report](#)
- [Appendix F: Warrant of Accepting Change Control Responsibility](#)
- [Appendix G: Trusted Builds](#)
- [Appendix H: Amended Test Plan](#)
- [Appendix I: State Test Reports](#)
- [Appendix J: Sequoia Voting System Implementation Statement](#)
- [Appendix K: EAC Certification Number & Voting System Configuration](#)

1.4.1 VSTL Program Manual Format Trace

Appendix B of the *Voting System Test Laboratory Program Manual v.1.0* identifies content in a specific format as does the Notice of Clarification (NOC) 09-004. The format of this report follows the recommended outline stipulated in the *VSS 2002 Vol. 2 Appendix B*. As these documents identify placement of information in different locations a trace is being provided to clarify the location of the specified content in this report.

Table 3 Trace of the Test Report to the VSTL Program Manual and EAC NOC 09-004

EAC VSTL Program Manual Appendix B		Test Report - VSS 2002 Vol. 2 Appendix B	
1.	System Identification and Overview	1. 3. 4.	Introduction Voting System Identification Voting System Overview
2	Certification Test Background	2.	Certification Test Background
2.1	Revision History	2.	Certification Test Background
2.2	Implementation Statement	2. 7.9	Certification Test Background Implementation Statement
3 3.1	Test Findings and Recommendations Summary Finding and Recommendation	4.3.1.	Certification Review and Test Results Opinions & Recommendations
3.2	Reasons for Recommendation of Rejection	N/A	Not applicable; no recommendation of rejection
3.3	Anomalies (may also be identified as discrepancies, issues or defects)	Appendices: A B D E	Provides a general description of how anomalies were encountered and reported during testing. Appendix A traces the VSS 2002 requirements to the specific anomalies. Addendum to Appendix B contains software related source code discrepancy detail. Appendix D Tables: "Issues Opened" traces the specific anomalies to the relevant software build. Appendix E, PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report, provides the discrepancy number, date, tester, location, description, and VSS 2002 requirement information about anomalies encountered during document reviews and testing.
3.4	Correction of Deficiencies	Appendices: A B D E	Provides a general description of how deficiency corrections were confirmed. Appendix A traces the VSS 2002 requirements to the specific closed anomalies. Addendum to Appendix B reflects pass criteria for all reviewed source code. Appendix D Tables: "Issues Closed" traces the specific anomaly resolutions to the build Appendix E, PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report, provides the vendor responses and resolution validations for anomalies encountered during document reviews and testing.
Appendix A	Additional Findings	Appendices: A D	Appendix A: Certification Test Requirements contains "should" and "not applicable" requirements. Comments provide rationale and references to relevant EAC Interpretations or Notices of Clarification. Appendix D: Supported Voting Variations of the VSS 2002 Section 2.2.8.2 identifies "unsupported" optional

EAC VSTL Program Manual Appendix B		Test Report - VSS 2002 Vol. 2 Appendix B	
			functionality.
Appendix B	Warrant of Accepting Change Control Responsibility	Appendix F	Warrant of Accepting Change Control Responsibility
Appendix C	Witness Build	Appendix G	Trusted Build and Validation Tools documents the Witness of the Trusted Build
Appendix D	Test Plan	Appendix H	Test Plan
Appendix E	State Test Reports	Appendix I	State Test Reports
		Appendix J	Implementation Statement
		Appendix K	EAC Certification Number

2 Certification Test Background

Earlier versions of products in this effort completed qualification testing under the outdated NASED program. These earlier versions are in use, as permitted under the laws of the various states. Under the EAC program, all systems submitted must be fully tested as a new system. As such the WinEDS 4.0 Certification test campaign is an initial certification to the VSS 2002.

As part of their application for Certification Testing, [the manufacturer](#) submitted their implementation statement (see Section 7.10) for the WinEDS 4.0 voting system. Certification testing of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system included a Physical Configuration Audit and a Functional Configuration Audit. [During the certification test campaign, on 4 June 2010, Sequoia Voting Systems was purchased by Dominion Voting Systems.](#) Daily status reports were sent to Dominion certification management staff and iBeta project test staff. These reports included project activity status, issues, and other relevant information. Weekly status calls were held with the EAC, EAC Reviewers and Dominion. Upon request, iBeta provided the EAC with information to clarify the testing, test process, schedule, and interim discrepancy reports.

2.1 Terms and Definitions

The Terms and Definitions identified below are used in this test report.

Table 4 Terms and Definitions

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
Detachable Audio Voting Control (ABLE-D)	ABLE-D	Audio voting control for the EDGE2 <i>plus</i> which provides blind, dexterity challenged Voters and Voters with reading limitations an easy way to vote independently, using an Audio or a Sip & Puff interface. It can be detached from the base unit, attached only by its coiled power/data cord.
APS External Printer (Model UTG300)	UTG	Optional External Printer, which is used to print and physically record votes and provide election reports for the EDGE2 <i>plus</i> (this component is not part of the certified voting system)
Audit Trail Memory	Audit Trail Cartridge	Removable memory cartridge, which contains an unalterable randomized electronic record of all votes cast during an election. Identical data is stored on the Results Cartridge for the voting system. If an Audit Trail Cartridge is present in the aux port, the event log data will be written there as well.
AVC Edge	Edge II	Dominion Voting Systems' stand-alone DRE polling place voting machine that incorporates a color LCD integral touch screen, integrated (voter) privacy flaps, poll worker panel, internal memory for storing ballot data and voting records, removable results cartridge, and protective & public counters.
Card Activator	Card Activator	A component of the AVC Edge that serves as the voter's access to the AVC Edge (Edge II) direct-record electronic touch-screen voting system by use of a Smart Card (aka Voter Card).
Direct Recording Electronic	DRE	An electronic voting system that utilizes electronic components for the functions of ballot presentation, vote capture, vote recording, tabulation and logically & physically integrated into a single unit.

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
Edge Audio Voting Accessory	E-AVA	The audio voting device provides an unassisted, private & secure voting experience for the visually impaired. The voter listens to a spoken audio presentation of the ballot while using the audio voting device to navigate through the ballot and cast their vote for the AVC Edge II .
Edge Aux Power Unit		Provides emergency power for up to two AVC Edge II for a minimum of two hours.
EDGE2 <i>plus</i> Model 300	EDGE2 <i>plus</i>	Dominion Voting Systems' stand-alone DRE polling place voting machine that incorporates a color LCD integral touch screen, integrated (voter) privacy flaps, poll worker panel, internal memory for storing ballot data and voting records, removable results cartridge, and protective & public counters. There are three configurations submitted for federal certification (300 CO.3 , 300 CO.4 , and 305 CO.4).
EDGE2 <i>plus</i> USB K9K Cartridges	Cartridges (USB)	COTS K9K Series USB format flash memory drives used as Results, Audit Trail, or Vote Simulation cartridges.
Election Management System	EMS	Ballot preparation and central count functionality of a voting system
Endorsed Candidates		Used in NJ, NY, NYC, and PA. A Candidate that is endorsed by their own political party along with that of a different political party.
Escrow Agency		EAC identified repository that retains the file signature of the trusted build
Help America Vote Act	HAVA	Legislation enacted in 2002 which includes creation of the EAC, federal voting standards and accreditation of test labs
Hybrid Activator, Accumulator & Transmitter Unit Model 50	HAAT50	A Dominion Voting Systems' component that provides voter access to the DREs through activation of a Voter/Smart Card interface. The HAAT50 does not consolidate, print or transmit results. There are two configurations submitted for federal certification (v 0.3 and v 1.1)
Hybrid Activator, Accumulator & Transmitter Unit Model 80	HAAT80	A Dominion Voting Systems' component that provides voter access to the DREs through activation of a Voter/Smart Card interface. The HAAT80 also serves as a precinct level accumulator for consolidating and printing the consolidated results. The HAAT 80 does not transmit.
Hybrid Activator, Accumulator & Transmitter Unit Model 90	HAAT90	A Dominion Voting Systems' component that provides voter access to the DREs through activation of a Voter/Smart Card interface. The HAAT90 serves as a precinct level accumulator for consolidating and printing the consolidated results and for transmission of unofficial results over fixed telephone line networks to a central tally server.
Hybrid Activator, Accumulator & Transmitter Unit Model 100	HAAT100	A Dominion Voting Systems' component that provides voter access to the DREs through activation of a Voter/Smart Card interface. The HAAT100 serves as a precinct level accumulator for consolidating and printing the consolidated results and for transmission of unofficial results from all precinct voting devices over CDMA 1X secured networks to a central tally server.

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
Insight Battery		12 VDC battery which provides at least 2 hours of emergency power for an Optech Insight during power failures
Insight Memory Pack Reader	IMPR	The IMPR device attaches to the HAAT80, 90, and 100 via a serial port interface. It is used for reading an Insight results cartridge .
Log Printer		COTS printer connected to the Optech 400-C LP2 port used for log printing.
Manufacturer		The federal test campaign was initiated with Sequoia Voting Systems. On 4 June 2010, Dominion Voting System acquired the assets of Sequoia Voting Systems. For the purposes of this Final Report of Sequoia WinEDS 4.0, the manufacturer of the Sequoia inventory including software, firmware, and hardware is Dominion Voting Systems. The voting system retains the Sequoia name as is 'Sequoia WinEDS 4.0'.
Memory Cartridge		COTS ATA/PCMCIA flash memory for the AVC Edge 5.1.
Memory Pack Receiver	MPR	The MPR device attaches to a WinEDS workstation and is used to create Insight memory packs and read results.
MemoryPack		Removable cartridge containing election parameter data, precinct totals, electronic log data and optional CVR data used by the Optech Insights.
Official Operating Mode		The operating mode used on election day. Vote simulation cannot be performed in the Official mode. Pre-LAT and Post-LAT results cannot be intermixed or accumulated with votes cast in the Official Operating Mode.
Optech 400-C	Sequoia 400-C	Dominion Voting Systems' central count ballot tabulator that reads ballots, tabulates the results and prepares output reports.
Optech Insight		A portable Precinct Count System that uses Optical Scan Read-Head technology to electronically read and tabulate Optical Scan ballots at the Polling Place, print results and store election totals.
Optech Insight Plus		Same as the Optech Insight, with the addition of an LCD panel display and a ready light.
Plain Old Telephone Service	POTS	Terminology used to refer to analog voice-quality telephone service used by some types of telecommunications. The abbreviation is used especially to distinguish it from any digital telephone system.
Political Subdivisions	PSD	A geopolitical unit whose voters vote for one or more offices. One or more precincts (or parts of precincts) are included in a PSD.
Post-election logic and accuracy testing	Post-LAT	Post-LAT mode is used after the election to confirm the vote recording accuracy results match Pre-election LAT results. Vote simulation can be used in Post-LAT mode. Post-LAT mode votes cannot be intermixed or accumulated with Official Mode results.
Pre-election logic and accuracy testing	Pre- LAT	Pre-LAT mode is used for validating accurate vote recording accuracy prior to an election. Vote simulation can be used in Pre-LAT mode. Pre-LAT mode votes cannot be intermixed or accumulated with Official Mode results.

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
Primary – Closed		<p>Voters must declare a party affiliation in order to vote in the primary.</p> <p>The voter declares their party affiliation to the election official and receives a ballot containing only those party-specific contests, along with non-party-specific contests presented at the same election.</p> <p>Unaffiliated voters are permitted to vote only on non-party-specific contests.</p>
Primary – Open (Selective or Pick-A-Party)		<p>Voters do not have to declare a party affiliation in order to vote in the primary.</p> <p>Depending on state law, the voter can declare their party preference to the election official or make their choice of party within the privacy of the voting booth.</p> <p>The voter receives a ballot containing only those party-specific contests, along with non-party-specific contests presented at the same election.</p> <p>Unaffiliated voters are permitted to vote only on non-party-specific contests.</p>
Primary – Open		<p>Voters do not have to declare a party affiliation in order to vote in the primary.</p> <p>A primary election (aka Top Two) that allows voters to choose among all candidates running for each office. Candidates from all parties are listed under the same contest.</p>
Remote Access Server	RAS	Analog (POTS) telephone endpoint at Central Count for a HAAT90 transmission.
Report Printer		COTS printer connected to the Optech 400-C LP1 port used for report printing.
Results Cartridge		Removable memory cartridge for a DRE containing the ballot, election results and audit log
Seiko DPU-414 Printer	Seiko Printer	An optional 40-column thermal dot matrix printer, which is used to provide election reports for the AVC Edge II .
Simulation Cartridge	Vote Simulation	Removable memory cartridge containing a vote simulation script. This is a configuration option for Pre-LAT and Post-LAT operating modes.
Sip & Puff device	Sip & Puff	A DRE ballot navigation and vote selection assistive device, used by individuals with dexterity challenges or limitations on the use of their hands
Smart Card		Same as Voter Card. Card issued by the poll worker to be used as a key to access the ballot on the DRE voting machines for voting purposes.
Technical Data Package	TDP	The documentation and code relating to the voting system, submitted by the manufacturer for review by the VSTL.
Training Mode		Training Mode is used for poll worker training and allows voting in an Official Training Mode as indicated on the DRE. This mode allows multiple passes through Official Election mode.

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
U.S. Election Assistance Commission	EAC	U.S. agency established by the Help America Vote Act of 2002 to administer Federal elections.
Verivote Printer		Sequoia Voting Systems' side-mounted VVPAT printer for an AVC Edge (Edge II) DRE.
Voluntary Voting System Guidelines	VVSG	Federal voting system test standard revision stipulated by HAVA.
Voter Card		Card issued by the poll worker to be used as a key to access the ballot on the DRE voting machines for voting purposes.
Voting System Standards	VSS 2002	Federal voting system test standards (2002), predecessor of the VVSG.
Voting System Test Lab	VSTL	Lab accredited by the EAC to perform certification testing of voting systems.
Voting Variations		Significant variations among state election laws incorporating permissible ballot content, voting options and associated ballot counting logic
Voter Verified Paper Audit Trail	VVPAT	A software independent printed record of the electronic DRE ballot cast which is to be confirmed by the voter as an accurate report of their vote
Windows Election Data System	WinEDS	A client/server election management application for ballot preparation and central count consolidation and reporting of the Election Management of the Sequoia Voting Systems voting system. This system also includes Extended Services and Election Reporting.
WinEDS/HAAT Listener		A server-based application designed to receive encrypted unofficial electoral data and, optionally, configuration data and event logs, from previously authorized transmitting HAAT devices and validates the integrity of all data received, and stores it in a centralized database management system (DBMS). HAAT devices can also use the WinEDS/HAAT Listener server to synchronize their time and date with that of the server, so all HAAT devices will have an approximately similar time.
WinETP		Election Tabulation software Program that enables the Optech 400-C to tabulate ballots and report results.

2.2 Physical Configuration Audit

The Physical Configuration Audit (PCA) deals with the physical elements of the voting system, including the source code, documentation and system configuration reviews. Validation of COTS software and hardware, execution of a Trusted Build with the reviewed source code and installation of the executables are part of the PCA.

2.2.1 PCA TDP Source Code Review

The PCA TDP Source Code Review of Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 was performed to verify conformance to the VSS 2002 Vol. 1 Section 4.2 and Vol. 2 Section 5. Reviewed results were recorded on Source Code Review sheets (Excel spreadsheets). Issues were identified in the review and logged on a Discrepancy Report, after completion of peer review. The Discrepancy Report was forwarded to Dominion for discrepancy correction.

2.2.2 PCA TDP Document Review

The PCA TDP Document Review of Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 performed to verify conformance to Vol. 2 Section 2 of the VSS 2002. Reviewed results are recorded on PCA TDP Document Review sheets (Excel spreadsheets). Issues were identified in the review and logged on a Discrepancy Report, after

completion of peer review. The Discrepancy Report was forwarded to Dominion for [discrepancy](#) correction.

2.2.3 PCA System Configuration Review

The PCA System Configuration Review of Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 was performed to verify conformance to the VSS 2002 Vol. 1 Section 8.7.1. Reviewed results are recorded on PCA System Configuration Review sheets (Excel spreadsheets). Issues were identified in the review and logged on a Discrepancy Report, after completion of peer review. The Discrepancy Report was forwarded to Dominion for [discrepancy](#) correction.

2.2.4 Witness, Trusted Build and Installation

The Witness Build and Installation of the executable code for Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 was performed using the review source code per the VSS 2002 Vol. 1 Section 9.6.2.4. Observation of the build was documented in the Witness of the Final Build and Code Comparison Template (Word Document). Trusted builds were conducted in accordance with the requirements of the EAC Certification and Program Manual.

2.3 *Functional Configuration Audit*

The Functional Configuration Audit was an examination of the functional aspects of the voting system. This included review of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 submitted test documentation and execution of all required tests. An audit was also performed at the vendors' offices that consisted of a review of Quality Assurance and Configuration Management policies and practices. The review of the vendor testing was conducted as well and this review indicated a deficiency in the testing of the audit logs. As a result iBeta focused test cases on testing and validating the audit logs.

2.3.1 FCA Test Documentation Review

The FCA Test Documentation Review assessed the level of vendor testing of the voting system to the VSS 2002 Vol. 1 Section 2, 3, 5, 6, and 8 requirements. This assessment was used to define the extent of functional testing.

2.3.2 FCA Functional, Accessibility, Maintainability, and Reliability Tests

Functional and System Level Tests were conducted, in accordance with Vol. 2 Section 6. End-to-end mock elections were conducted to demonstrate the integrated functionality and processes of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system. Upon completion of these Functional and System Level test cases, the evaluation of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system was found to meet the accessibility requirements of the VSS 2002, *Section 2.2.7 a) through e).*

The system configuration, test objective, test steps, and expected results were identified in each test case. Acceptance and rejection results were recorded for each test step. Issues encountered during testing were identified in the test record and logged on *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*, after completion of peer review. [The manufacturer](#) resolved all discrepancies which did not meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. Tests were rerun to validate all submitted fixes and these validations were recorded in the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*.

2.3.3 FCA Volume, Stress, Data Accuracy, and Error Recovery Tests

iBeta reviewed the Sequoia's *Practical System Limits* (as submitted to the EAC as part of the Implementation Statement) to identify relevant application and system limits. Based upon the system and application limits identified in this document iBeta defined and conducted a set of two test cases. These test cases incorporated end-to-end mock elections to demonstrate the ability of the system to operate at the declared limits. Additional scenarios were incorporated into the test cases to demonstrate the system's ability to provide an appropriate response to overloading conditions exceeding the limits and recover without losing vote data.

The Data Accuracy VSS 2002 requirements for the vote counting components of WinEDS were tested within two Data Accuracy Volume Test Cases. Issues encountered during testing were identified in the test record and logged on a *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*, after completion of peer review. [The manufacturer](#) resolved all discrepancies which did not meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. Tests were rerun to validate all submitted fixes. [Issues that arose and their resolution are identified in Appendix E.](#)

2.3.4 FCA Security Tests

iBeta performed a security review of the Sequoia security documentation addressing Vol. 1 Section 2.2.1, 2.2.3, 2.2.5 and 6 and Vol. 2 Section 6.4. Based upon this review security specific tests were identified. In addition to functional and system level tests, these tests incorporated source code and document reviews. Functionality to meet the requirements incorporated secrecy, integrity, system audit, error recovery or access to the voting system. The review was either conducted or peer reviewed by an iBeta CISSP staff member. The tests or reviews to validate the security of WinEDS 4.0 were recorded in the *FCA Security Review*. [The manufacturer](#) resolved all discrepancies which did not meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. Tests were rerun to validate all submitted fixes.

2.3.5 FCA Hardware Environmental Tests

FCA Hardware Environmental Tests are non-core tests which must be performed by a laboratory accredited in the hardware environmental test methods identified in VSS 2002 Vol.1, 4.6 and 4.7. Non-core tests may be performed by subcontractor laboratories, under the supervision of the VSTL, if the VSTL does not hold these accreditations. iBeta validated Criterion [Technology](#), Wyle Laboratory, [Intertek](#), and APT (Oracle) accreditation to perform all required hardware environmental tests and engaged them as iBeta's subcontractors to perform the tests.

During the initial assessment of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system hardware, iBeta reviewed the previous testing from the NASED certification test effort where FEC Voting System Standards 2002 were utilized and testing was performed by Criterion, Percept Technologies, and Wyle Labs in accordance with the EAC NOC 08-001 for test result reuse with the following conditions being met:

- The hardware was unchanged and the laboratory that performed the testing verified in an independent assessment that the equipment they tested was essentially the same as the system tendered for this test campaign; and
- iBeta confirmed that Criterion [Technology Inc.](#), Percept Technology and Wyle Laboratories were accredited by A2LA to perform all the VSS 2002 required test methods accredited in the test methods they performed on the date of test execution.

Subsequent to that initial assessment and prior to completion of all hardware testing, [the manufacturer](#) petitioned the EAC for hardware test results reuse from the previous NASED certification test effort and received written approval for the additional reuse of previous NASED hardware test results as documented in the EAC letters to Sequoia dated 24 July 2009 and 29 September 2009.

Based on the testing remaining, a detailed test case with test instructions was provided to third party test labs to review, assess and test the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system. iBeta created test election databases for all operating tests and to validate the operational status of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system before and after each environmental test. The system configuration, test objective, test steps, and expected results were identified. Acceptance and rejection results were recorded for each test step. Issues encountered during testing were documented in the test record. In addition to the iBeta test record, each third party lab provided iBeta with anomaly and test reports following their internal processes. iBeta logged anomaly reports as issues on the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*. [The manufacturer](#) resolved all discrepancies which did not meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. EAC Interpretation 2007-05 provided that there is no merit to the interpretation that requires FCA Hardware Environmental testing of unmodified COTS equipment. Instead the interpretation requires the confirmation of FCC Class 15B and CE marks affixed to each unit indicating that the COTS product has been certified to meet those standards and a copy of the COTS manufacturer's Declaration of

Conformity confirming the manufacturer's compliance claim. iBeta followed the interpretation for the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system.

2.3.6 FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Tests

An examination of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system was conducted to confirm that it does contain both landline and wireless data interchange devices. The results of this review were recorded in the *FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Test Case*. Based upon this review specific tests were identified against the requirements of *VSS 2002 Vol. 1 Section 5 and 6*. Functionality to meet the requirements incorporated telephony and cryptography of the voting system. The testing and review was either conducted or peer reviewed by an iBeta CISSP staff member. The tests or reviews to validate the security of WinEDS 4.0 were recorded in the *FCA Security Review*. [The manufacturer](#) resolved all discrepancies which did not meet the requirements of the *VSS 2002*. Tests were rerun to validate all submitted fixes.

3 Voting System Identification

The description of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 submitted for certification is found in the EAC Scope of Certification as noted in Section 3.1. The hardware, software and the Technical Data Package documentation used in the certification test environment is identified in Section 3.2.

3.1 Submitted Voting System Identification

Table 5 Voting System Name and Version

Voting System Name	Version
Identified in the EAC Scope of Certification	

Table 6 Voting System Polling Place and Central Count Hardware

Hardware	OS or Firmware & Version	Description
Identified in the EAC Scope of Certification		

Table 7 Voting System EMS Software

Software Applications	Version	EMS Function Description
Identified in the EAC Scope of Certification		

3.2 Voting System Test Environment

The Voting System Test Environment identifies the specific hardware and software that was used in the test environment. The Test Methods in Appendix D identify the specific WinEDS 4.0 voting system software and firmware build installed for each test iteration.

Table 8 Voting System Hardware

Hardware	OS or Version	Manufacturer	Description
Ballot Prep & Central Count			
EMS Configuration – HAAT90			
WinEDS 4.0 Workstation: Optiplex 330 Intel Pentium Dual CPU 1.60GHz, 0.98GB of RAM	Windows XP Pro SP2	Dell	DRE ballot preparation and optical scan ballot programming PC (WinEDS 4.0) used in conjunction with the HAAT90.
WinEDS 4.0 Server: PowerEdge 1900 Intel Xeon CPU 1.60GHz, 1.99GB of RAM	Windows Server 2003 R2	Dell	DRE ballot preparation and optical scan ballot programming PC (SQL Server) and central count used in conjunction with the HAAT90.
HAAT Listener: Dell PowerEdge 2900 Intel Xeon CPU 1.60GHz, 0.98GB of RAM	SUSE 10 Linux Enterprise SP1	Dell	Central count HAAT Listener server used in conjunction with the HAAT90 election data transmissions.
RAS Server: Dell PowerEdge 840 Intel Pentium Dual CPU 2.13GHz, 2.50GB of RAM	SUSE 10 Linux Enterprise SP1	Dell	Central Count Remote Access Server used in conjunction with the HAAT90 dial-in election data transmissions.
Hybrid Activator, Accumulator & Transmitter Unit Model 90	A1.1	Dominion Voting Systems	A Dominion Voting Systems' component that provides voter access to the DREs through activation of a Voter/Smart Card interface. The HAAT90 serves as a precinct level accumulator for consolidating and tallying results, a thermal printer for printing the results and for transmitting unofficial results over fixed telephone line networks to central tally server.
Insight Memory Pack Reader	A1.0	Dominion Voting Systems	Serial Port interface for the HAAT80,

Hardware	OS or Version	Manufacturer	Description
(IMPR)			90, and 100 to read Insight MemoryPacks.
Watchguard Firebox 750e	XTM 11.1	Watchguard	Firewall and IPS for HAAT90 transmissions
EMS Configuration – HAAT100			
WinEDS 4.0 Workstation: Optiplex 330 Intel Pentium Dual CPU 1.60GHz, 0.98GB of RAM	Windows XP Pro SP2	Dell	DRE ballot preparation and optical scan ballot programming PC (WinEDS 4.0) used in conjunction with the HAAT100.
WinEDS 4.0 Server: PowerEdge 1900 Intel Xeon CPU 1.60GHz, 1.99GB of RAM	Windows Server 2003 R2	Dell	DRE ballot preparation and optical scan ballot programming PC (SQL Server) and central count used in conjunction with the HAAT100.
HAAT Listener: Dell PowerEdge 2900 Intel Xeon CPU 1.60GHz, 0.98GB of RAM	SUSE 10 Linux Enterprise SP1	Dell	Central Count HAAT Listener used in conjunction with the HAAT100 wireless election data transmissions.
Hybrid Activator, Accumulator & Transmitter Unit Model 100	A0.7	Dominion Voting Systems	A Dominion Voting Systems' component that provides voter access to the DREs through activation of a Voter/Smart Card interface. The HAAT100 serves as a precinct level accumulator for consolidating and tallying results, a thermal printer for printing the results and for transmission of unofficial results from all precinct voting devices over CDMA 1X/TLS secured networks to a central tally server.
Insight Memory Pack Reader (IMPR)	C1.1	Dominion Voting Systems	Functionality the same as the IMPR A1.0 except for 3 minor hardware changes.
Watchguard Firebox 750e	XTM 11.1	Watchguard	Firewall and IPS for HAAT100 transmissions
EMS Configuration – General			
WinEDS 4.0 Workstation/Server: Dell Latitude 630 Intel Pentium Dual CPU 2.49GHz, 3.50GB of RAM	Windows XP Pro SP2	Dell	DRE ballot preparation, optical scan ballot programming PC (WinEDS 4.0) and central count (SQL) server.
WinEDS 4.0 Workstation/Server: Dell Latitude 610 Intel Pentium 1.86GHz Processor 781 MHz, 504 MB RAM	Windows XP Pro SP2	Dell	DRE ballot preparation, optical scan ballot programming PC (WinEDS 4.0) and central count (SQL) server.
WinEDS 4.0 Workstation/Server: Dell Latitude 620 Intel Pentium Dual CPU 2.49GHz, 3.50GB of RAM	Windows XP Pro SP2	Dell	DRE ballot preparation, optical scan ballot programming PC (WinEDS 4.0) and central count (SQL) server.
Hybrid Activator, Accumulator & Transmitter Unit Model 50	A0.3	Dominion Voting Systems	A Dominion Voting Systems' component that provides voter access to the DREs through activation of a Voter/Smart Card interface.
Hybrid Activator, Accumulator & Transmitter Unit Model 50	A1.1	Dominion Voting Systems	Functionality the same as HAAT Model 50 except for 7 hardware changes.
Hybrid Activator, Accumulator & Transmitter Unit Model 80	A1.1	Dominion Voting Systems	A Dominion Voting Systems' component that provides voter access to the DREs through activation of a Voter/Smart Card interface. The HAAT80 also serves as a precinct level accumulator for consolidating and tallying results and a thermal printer for printing the results
Memory Pack Receiver (MPR)	Rev E	Dominion Voting Systems	A desktop device, which is connected

Hardware	OS or Version	Manufacturer	Description
			to a PC via COM port which was developed specifically to work in conjunction with WinEDS 4.0 (Windows Election Database System) installed on a PC, to encode precinct election data from WinEDS 4.0 to a MemoryPack.
Voter/Smart Card	No Model	EDGE II: Sagem Orga EDGE2plus: Smartmatic	Card issued by the poll worker to be used as a key to access the ballot on a DRE for voting purposes.
Optech 400-C			
Optech 400-C	3.02P	Dominion Voting Systems	Dominion Voting Systems' central count ballot tabulator that reads marked ballots, tabulates and prepares output reports.
Desktop Personal Computer Intel Celeron 2 - 2.53 GHz RAM: 256 MB	Dimension 1100	Dell	Personal computer that runs the WinETP 1.16 application for the Optech 400-C.
USB Flash Drive (2GB)	Series 700/800	Samsung Series K9K	COTS removable flash memory for WinETP file transfers (to/from WinEDS).
Polling Place			
DRE – Edge II			
AVC Edge (Edge II)	5.2	Dominion Voting Systems	Dominion Voting Systems' stand-alone touch screen DRE polling place voting device that incorporates a color LCD integral touch screen, poll worker panel, integrated (voter) privacy flaps, internal memory for storing ballot data and voting records, removable Results Cartridge, and protective & public counters.
Verivote Printer	Rev C	Dominion Voting Systems	Dominion Voting Systems' optional side-mounted VVPAT printer to an AVC Edge (Edge II) machine, to produce a paper record that can be reviewed by the Voter during the voting process.
Seiko Printer	DPU-414	Seiko	An optional COTS 40-column dot matrix printer, which can be used instead of the Verivote Printer to provide only election reports for the AVC Edge 5.2 (Edge II).
Edge Audio Voting Accessory (E-AVA)	Rev D	Dominion Voting Systems	A six button device designed for use with the AVC Edge 5.2 (Edge II) that allows unassisted, private & secure voting for the visually impaired and non-reading voters using a spoken, audio ballot format.
Edge Aux Power Unit	BTC80W	Lien Engineering	COTS emergency power unit that provides power for two AVC Edges (Edge II's) for an extended period of time.
Card Activator	Rev D & E	Dominion Voting Systems	A component of the AVC Edge 5.2 (Edge II) that serves as the voter's access to the AVC Edge 5.2 direct-record electronic touch-screen voting system by use of a Voter/Smart Card.
Memory Cartridge	ATA/PCMCIA	Sandisk	COTS removable flash memory for the AVC Edge 5.2 (Edge II).
DRE – EDGE2plus			
EDGE2plus Model 300	CO.3	Dominion Voting Systems	Dominion Voting Systems' stand-alone touch screen DRE polling place

Hardware	OS or Version	Manufacturer	Description
			voting device that incorporates an LCD voter display panel, poll worker panel, integrated (voter) privacy flaps, internal memory for storing ballot data and voting records, removable Results Cartridge, protective & public counters, an ABLE-D audio voting control and an optional APS external printer VVPAT (UTG300).
EDGE2 <i>plus</i> Model 300	CO.4	Dominion Voting Systems	Same as EDGE2 <i>plus</i> CO.3 except for changes (including the change of the LCD) reflected in Change Order 4 (CO.4).
EDGE2 <i>plus</i> Model 305	CO.4	Dominion Voting Systems	Same as EDGE2 <i>plus</i> CO.4 except without the ABLE-D audio voting control.
APS External Printer	UTG300	Advanced Printing Systems (APS)	Optional External Printer, which is used to print and physically record votes and provide election reports for the EDGE2 <i>plus</i> (this component is not part of the certified voting system)
Detachable Audio Voting Control (ABLE-D)	N/A	Dominion Voting Systems	An eight-button device integrated into and designed for use with the EDGE2 <i>plus</i> Model 300 that provides unassisted, private and secure voting for visually impaired, non-reading and voters with dexterity challenges or limitations on the use of their hands.
Results USB Cartridge	Series 700/800	Samsung Series K9K	COTS USB flash drive used to capture Election Day ballot, results & audit log
Simulation USB Cartridge	Series 700/800	Samsung Series K9K	COTS USB flash drive used to simulation script used for Pre and Post Election Logic & Accuracy Test mode
Audit Trail USB Cartridge	Series 700/800	Samsung Series K9K	COTS USB flash drive used to contain unalterable randomized electronic record of all votes cast during an election.
Optical Scanners			
Optech Insight	G.05	Dominion Voting Systems	A portable Precinct Count System that uses Optical Scan Read-Head technology to electronically read and tabulate Optical Scan ballots at the Polling Place, print results and store election totals.
Optech Insight Plus	A.05	Dominion Voting Systems	Same as the Optech Insight, with the addition of an LCD panel display.
MemoryPack	Rev C	Dominion Voting Systems	Removable cartridge containing election parameter data, precinct totals, electronic log data and optional CVR data used for the Optech Insights.
Insight Battery	PS 12180 F2	Powersonic	COTS 12 VDC battery which provides emergency power for an Optech Insight or Insight Plus during power failures

Table 9 Voting System Software

Software	Version	Manufacturer	Identify Hardware
Ballot Prep & Central Count			
EMS Software			Ballot preparation/Central Count
WinEDS Election Management System	4.0.175	Dominion Voting Systems	DRE ballot preparation, optical scanner programming & central count EMS software
Extended Services	1.0.81	Dominion Voting Systems	A suite of common services and features for ballot preparation, programming, and central count
Election Reporting	4.0.73	Dominion Voting Systems	Election Reports and flat file exports providing election night tally, historical summary data repository, and additional reporting capabilities
Memory Pack Receiver	3.01.080422.0552	Dominion Voting Systems	Firmware for the MPR (peripheral device connected via serial interface to a WinEDS workstation), that reads from and writes to Insight memory packs.
Central Count Software			
WinETP	1.6.15	Dominion Voting Systems	Central count EMS software for the 400-C
HAAT Listener	1.7.4	Dominion Voting Systems	Central count software to receive election results transmitted from the HAAT90 or HAAT100
Polling Place			
DRE			
AVC Edge	5.2.16	Dominion Voting Systems	Edge II polling place firmware.
Verivote	1.04	Dominion Voting Systems	Edge II VVPAT polling place software.
Edge Audio Unit	8.7.7	Dominion Voting Systems	Edge II E-AVA polling place firmware to support audio ballots.
Card Activator	5.2.6	Dominion Voting Systems	Edge II polling place software to program voter activation Smartcards
EDGE2 <i>plus</i>	1.2.74	Dominion Voting Systems	EDGE2 <i>plus</i> polling place firmware.
HAAT (50, 80, 90, 100)	2.6.34	Dominion Voting Systems	Polling place software to activate Vote session Smartcards for the DREs (HAAT50, 80, 90, & 100), accumulate, print results (HAAT80, 90, & 100), and transmit results (HAAT90 wired & 100 wireless).
Optical Scanner			
Insight/Insight Plus (HPX)	L1.46.100205.1100	Dominion Voting Systems	Insight and Insight Plus polling place firmware that scans and reads paper ballots on the Insight scanners
Insight Memory Pack Reader (IMPR)	2.14	Dominion Voting Systems	Polling place software to read MemoryPacks used by the Insight optical scanners and transfers election results to the HAAT80, 90, and 100.
MemoryPack (APX)	L2.18.100205.1359	Dominion Voting Systems	Polling place firmware directing the movement and operations of paper ballots through the Insight optical scanners

Table 10 Voting System Technical Data Package Documents

File Name	Document Title	Revision	Doc Date
Voting System Wide Documents			
4-0_Voting_System_Environment_Hardening.pdf	4.0 Voting System Environment Hardening	A.22	Aug 2010
Implementation_Statement.pdf	Implementation Statement Release 4.0	A.14	Jul 2010
System40_Firmware_Build_Notes.doc	System 4.0 Firmware Build Notes	1.07	Jul 2010
AVC EDGE Documents			
CardAct_OpMaint.doc	Card Activator Operator's And Maintenance Manual 5.2	1.15	Jul 2010
EDGE_APU_OpMaint.doc	Edge Aux Power Unit Operator's And Maintenance Manual 5.2	1.10	Jun 2010
EDGE_AVA_PollWorkerOp.doc	Edge Audio Voting Accessory Poll Workers And Operators Manual	1.09	Aug 2010
EDGE_APL.doc	AVC Edge Approved Parts List 5.2	1.09	Jun 2010
EDGE_ChgRelSummary.doc	AVC Edge Change Release Summary 5.2	1.05	Jun 2010
EDGE_CMPlan.doc	AVC Edge Configuration Management Plan 5.2	1.09	Jun 2010
EDGE_FEC-XRef.doc	Trace To Vendor Testing and Technical Data Package	1.16	Jun 2010
EDGE_FunctSpec.doc	AVC Edge Functional Specifications	1.09	Jun 2010
EDGE_HardSpec.doc	AVC Edge Hardware Specification	1.09	Jun 2010
EDGE_MaintMan.doc	AVC Edge Maintenance Manual 5.2	1.11	Jun 2010
EDGE_OpMan.doc	AVC Edge Operators Manual 5.2	1.20	Aug 2010
EDGE_PersTraining.doc	AVC Edge Personnel And Training Requirements 5.2	1.07	Jun 2010
EDGE_PollWorker.doc	AVC Edge Poll Workers Manual	1.10	Jun 2010
EDGE_QAProgram.doc	AVC Edge Quality Assurance Program	1.09	Jun 2010
EDGE_SampleReports.doc	AVC Edge Sample Reports	1.07	Jun 2010
EDGE_Security.doc	AVC Edge Security Specification 5.2	1.12	Jul 2010
EDGE_SoftSpec.doc	AVC Edge Software Specification 5.2	1.14	Aug 2010
EDGE_SysOverview.doc	AVC Edge System Overview 5.2	1.11	Jun 2010
EDGE_TDP.doc	AVC Edge Technical Data Package	1.09	Jun 2010
EDGE_TestVerifSpec.doc	AVC Edge Test And Verification Specification	1.07	Jun 2010
EDGE_Penetration.doc	AVC Edge Security Specification, Appendix B: Penetration Analysis 5.2	1.03	Sep 2009
VP_OpMaint.doc	Verivote Printer Operator's And Maintenance Manual	1.20	Jun 2010
EDGE2plus Documents			
P168_Controller_Trusted_Build.doc	P168 Controller Trusted Build Guide	1.09	Jul 2010
E2P_Application_Compilation	EDGE2plus Application Compilation	1.14	Jul 2010
TSM_Player_Compilation.doc	EDGE2plus TSM Player Compilation Process	1.6	Jun 2010
E2P_OS_Creation.doc	Edge2plus Operating System Image Creation Process	1.16	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_CMPlan.doc	EDGE2PLUS MODEL 300 Configuration Management Plan	3.10	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_FactoryDiagnostics.doc	EDGE2PLUS MODEL 300 DIAGNOSTICS APPLICATION MANUAL	3.09	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_FECXRef.doc	Trace to Vendor Testing and Technical Data Package	3.10	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_FunctSpec.doc	EDGE2PLUS MODEL 300 FUNCTIONAL SPECIFICATION	3.09	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_HardSpec.doc	Edge2plus Model 300 Hardware Specification	3.11	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_MaintMan.doc	Edge2plus Model 300 Maintenance Manual	3.10	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_OpMan.doc	Edge2plus Model 300 Operator's Manual	3.15	Aug 2010
EDGE2plus_Penetration.doc	EDGE2plus Security Specification Appendix B Penetration Analysis	1.0	Nov 2009
Edge2plus_PersTraining.doc	EDGE2PLUS MODEL 300 PERSONNEL & TRAINING REQUIREMENTS	3.04	Jun 2010

File Name	Document Title	Revision	Doc Date
Edge2plus_QAProgram.doc	Edge2plus Model 300 Quality Assurance Program	3.06	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_Security.doc	Edge2plus Model 300 Security Specification	3.12	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_SoftSpec.doc	Edge2plus Model 300 Version C03 Software Specification	3.13	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_SysOverview.doc	Edge2plus Model 300 System Overview	3.08	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_TDP.doc	Edge2plus Model 300 Technical Data Package	3.05	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_TestVerifSpec.doc	Edge2plus Model 300 Test & Verification Specification	3.08	Jun 2010
Edge2plus_APL_C04.doc	Edge2plus Model 300™ Revision C0.4 Approved Parts List	3.07	Jun 2010
ABLE-D_OpMan.doc	Able-D (Detachable Audio Voting Control) Operators Manual	3.05	Jun 2010
Edge2plusC03_APL.doc	Edge2plus Model 300 version C0.3 Approved Parts List	3.04	Jun 2010
HAAT Overview Documents			
3200_Trusted_Build.doc	HAAT 3200 Controller Trusted Build Guide	1.11	Aug 2010
HAAT_Application_Compilation.doc	HAAT Application Compilation	1.8	Jul 2010
HAAT_DataDictionary.doc	HAAT Data Dictionary	1.02	May 2010
HAAT_OS_Creation.doc	HAAT Operating System Trusted Build Guide	1.21	Jul 2010
ICR_Trusted_Build.doc	ICR (Insight Cartridge Reader) Trusted Build Guide	1.07	Jun 2010
HAAT100 Documents			
HAAT100_CMPlan.doc	HAAT100 Configuration Management Plan	1.08	Jun 2010
HAAT100_AcceptTest.doc	HAAT100 Acceptance Testing Guide	1.04	Jun 2010
HAAT100_FunctSpec.doc	HAAT100 Functional Specification	1.07	Jun 2010
HAAT100_HardSpec.doc	HAAT100 Hardware Specification	1.07	Jul 2010
HAAT100_OpMaint.doc	HAAT100 Operations And Maintenance Manual	1.19	Aug 2010
HAAT100_PersTraining.doc	HAAT100 Personnel & Training Requirements	1.04	Jun 2010
HAAT100_PollWorker.doc	HAAT100 Poll Workers Manual	2.09	Aug 2010
HAAT100_QAProgram.doc	HAAT 100 Quality Assurance Program	1.04	Jun 2010
HAAT100_SecSpec.doc	HAAT 100 Security Specification	1.13	Jul 2010
HAAT100_SoftSpec.doc	HAAT 100 Software Specification	1.06	Jun 2010
HAAT100_SysOverview.doc	HAAT 100 System Overview	1.08	Jun 2010
HAAT100_TestVerifSpec.doc	HAAT 100 Test & Verification Specification	1.04	Jun 2010
HAAT100_APL_A07.doc	HAAT 100 Approved Parts List HW Revision A0.7	1.04	Jul 2010
HAAT90 Documents			
HAAT90_AcceptTest.doc	HAAT90 Acceptance Testing Guide	1.08	Jun 2010
HAAT90_CMPlan.doc	HAAT90 Configuration Management Plan	2.08	Jun 2010
HAAT90_FunctSpec.doc	HAAT90 Functional Specification	2.07	Jun 2010
HAAT90_OpMaint.doc	HAAT90 Operations & Maintenance Manual	2.18	Aug 2010
HAAT90_PersTraining.doc	HAAT90 Personnel & Training Requirements	2.04	Jun 2010
HAAT90_QAProgram.doc	HAAT90 Quality Assurance Program	2.05	Jun 2010
HAAT90_SecSpec.doc	HAAT90 Security Specification	2.14	Jul 2010
HAAT90_SysOverview.doc	HAAT90 System Overview	2.10	Jun 2010
HAAT90_TestVerifSpec.doc	HAAT90 Test & Verification Specification	2.04	Jun 2010
HAAT90_PollWorker.doc	HAAT90 Poll Workers Manual	1.11	Aug 2010
HAAT90_SoftSpec.doc	HAAT90 Software Specification	1.08	Jun 2010
HAAT90_APL_A11.doc	HAAT90 Approved Parts List HW Revision A1.1	1.03	Jun 2010
HAAT90_HardSpec.doc	HAAT90 Hardware Specification	1.12	Jul 2010
HAAT80 Documents			
HAAT80_AcceptTest.doc	HAAT80 Acceptance Testing Guide	1.04	Jun 2010
HAAT80_APL_A11.doc	HAAT80 Approved Parts List HW Revision A1.1	1.03	Jun 2010

File Name	Document Title	Revision	Doc Date
HAAT80_CMPlan.doc	HAAT80 Configuration Management Plan	2.07	Jun 2010
HAAT80_FunctSpec.doc	HAAT80 Functional Specification	2.08	Jun 2010
HAAT80_HardSpec.doc	HAAT80 Hardware Specification	2.07	Jul 2010
HAAT80_OpMaint.doc	HAAT80 Operations & Maintenance Manual	2.17	Aug 2010
HAAT80_PersTraining.doc	HAAT80 Personnel & Training Requirements	2.03	Jun 2010
HAAT80_PollWorker.doc	HAAT80 Poll Workers Manual	1.06	Aug 2010
HAAT80_QAProgram.doc	HAAT80 Quality Assurance Program	2.05	Jun 2010
HAAT80_SecSpec.doc	HAAT80 Security Specification	2.11	Jul 2010
HAAT80_SoftSpec.doc	HAAT80 Software Specification	2.04	Jun 2010
HAAT80_SysOverview.doc	HAAT80 System Overview	2.07	Jun 2010
HAAT80_TestVerifSpec.doc	HAAT80 Test & Verification Specification	2.04	Jun 2010
HAAT50 Documents			
HAAT50_AcceptTest.doc	HAAT50 Acceptance Testing Guide	1.04	Jun 2010
HAAT50_APL_A03.doc	HAAT50 Approved Parts List HW Revision A0.3	1.03	Jun 2010
HAAT50_APL_A11.doc	HAAT50 Approved Parts List HW Revision A1.1	1.03	Jun 2010
HAAT50_CMPlan.doc	HAAT50 Configuration Management Plan	1.07	Jun 2010
HAAT50_FunctSpec.doc	HAAT50 Functional Specification	1.08	Jun 2010
HAAT50_HardSpec.doc	HAAT50 Hardware Specification	1.07	Jul 2010
HAAT50_OpMaint.doc	HAAT50 Operations & Maintenance Manual	1.13	Aug 2010
HAAT50_PersTraining.doc	HAAT50 Personnel & Training Requirements	1.03	Jun 2010
HAAT50_PollWorker.doc	HAAT50 Poll Workers Manual	1.05	Jun 2010
HAAT50_QAProgram.doc	HAAT50 Quality Assurance Program	1.04	Jun 2010
HAAT50_SecSpec.doc	HAAT50 Security Specification	1.09	Jul 2010
HAAT50_SoftSpec.doc	HAAT50 Software Specification	1.04	Jun 2010
HAAT50_SysOverview.doc	HAAT50 System Overview	1.06	Jun 2010
HAAT50_TestVerifSpec.doc	HAAT50 Test & Verification Specification	1.03	Jun 2010
HAAT Listener Build Documents			
SuSE_RAS_Installation.doc	Remote Access Server for HAAT90 Installation Process	1.8	Jul 2010
Source_Code_Compilation_Proc ss.doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener Source Code Compilation Process (Fast Generation)	1.09	Jun 2010
SuSE_HAATListener_Installation. doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener Installation Guide	1.16	Jun 2010
HAAT Listener Documents			
Listener_SysOverview.doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener System Overview	1.15	Jul 2010
Listener_CMPlan.doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener Configuration Management Plan	1.13	Jun 2010
Listener_FuncSpec.doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener Functional Specification	1.05	Jun 2010
Listener_OpMan.doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener Operator's Manual	1.12	Jul 2010
Listener_PerTrain.doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener Personnel and Training Requirements	1.03	Jun 2010
Listener_SecSpec.doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener Security Specification	1.13	Jun 2010
Listener_SoftSpec.doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener Software Specification	1.10	Jun 2010
Listener_TDP.doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener Technical Data Package	1.06	Jun 2010
Listener_TestVerifSpec.doc	WinEDS/HAAT Listener Test and Verification Specification	1.04	Jun 2010
FEC_X-Ref.doc	Trace To Vendor Testing and Technical Data Package	1.06	Jun 2010
Memory Pack Receiver Documents			
MPR-Penetration.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Penetration Analysis	1.03	May 2009
MPR_CMPlan.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Configuration Management Plan	1.06	Jun 2010
MPR_FEC-Xref.doc	Trace To Vendor Testing and Technical Data Package	1.07	Jun 2010

File Name	Document Title	Revision	Doc Date
MPR_FunctSpec.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Functional Specification	1.04	Jun 2010
MPR_HardSpec.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Hardware Specification	1.5	Jun 2010
MPR_MaintMan.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Maintenance Manual	1.8	Jun 2010
MPR_OpMan.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Operators Manual	1.8	Jun 2010
MPR_PersTraining.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight and Eagle Personnel and Training Requirements	1.05	Jun 2010
MPR_QAProgram.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Quality Assurance Program	1.04	Jun 2010
MPR_Security.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Security Specification	1.05	Jun 2010
MPR_SoftSpec.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Software Specification	1.04	Jun 2010
MPR_SysOverview.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle System Overview	1.05	Jun 2010
MPR_TDP.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Technical Data Package	1.04	Jun 2010
MPR_TestVerifSpec.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Test and Verification Specification	1.5	Jun 2010
MPR_APL.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Approved Parts List	1.02	Jun 2010
MPR_ChgRelSummary.doc	MemoryPack Receiver for Optech Insight/Eagle Change Release Summary	1.01	Jun 2010
Optech 400-C Documents			
400-C-Penetration.doc	Optech 400-C Penetration Analysis, WinETP 1.16	1.08	Nov 2009
400-C_ChgRelSummary.doc	Optech 400-C Change Release Summary, WinETP 1.16	1.14	Jul 2010
400-C_CMPlan.doc	Optech 400-C Configuration Management Plan, WinETP 1.16	1.13	Jun 2010
400-C_FEC-XRef.doc	Trace To Vendor Testing and Technical Data Package	1.16	Jun 2010
400-C_FunctSpec.doc	Optech 400-C Functional Specification, WinETP 1.16	1.12	Jun 2010
400-C_HardSpec.doc	Optech 400-C Hardware Specification, WinETP 1.16	1.12	Jun 2010
400-C_MaintMan.doc	Optech 400-C Maintenance Manual, WinETP 1.16	1.15	Aug 2010
400-C_OpMan.doc	Optech 400-C Operators Manual, WinETP 1.16	1.22	Aug 2010
400-C_PersTraining.doc	Optech 400-C Personnel & Training Requirements, WinETP 1.16	1.11	Jun 2010
400-C_QAProgram.doc	Optech 400-C Quality Assurance Program, WinETP 1.16	1.10	Jun 2010
400-C_Security.doc	Optech 400-C Security Specification, WinETP 1.16	1.12	Jun 2010
400-C_SoftSpec.doc	Optech 400-C Software Specification, WinETP 1.16	1.14	Jun 2010
400-C_SysOverview.doc	Optech 400-C System Overview, WinETP 1.16	1.13	Jun 2010
400-C_TDP.doc	Optech 400-C Technical Data Package, WinETP 1.16	1.12	Jun 2010
400-C_TestVerifSpec.doc	Optech 400-C Test & Verification Specification, WinETP 1.16	1.14	Aug 2010
WinETP_RefGuide.doc	WinETP for Optech 400-C Reference Guide, WinETP 1.16	1.15	Aug 2010
400-C_APL.doc	Optech 400-C Approved Parts List, WinETP 1.16	1.09	Jun 2010
Optech Insight and Insight Plus Documents			
INSIGHTp-Penetration.doc	Optech Insight Plus Penetration Analysis (Appendix B To Security Spec)	1.03	Feb 2010
INSIGHTp_APL2.xls	Optech Insight Plus Approved Parts List	1.00	Jan 2009
INSIGHTp_ChgRelSummary.doc	Optech Insight Plus Change Release Summary	1.06	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_CMPlan.doc	Optech Insight Plus Configuration Management Plan	1.07	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_FEC-XRef.doc	Trace To Vendor Testing and Technical Data Package	1.12	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_FunctSpec.doc	Optech Insight Plus Functional Specification	1.08	Jun 2010

File Name	Document Title	Revision	Doc Date
INSIGHTp_HardSpec.doc	Optech Insight Plus Hardware Specification	1.08	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_MaintMan.doc	Optech Insight Plus Maintenance Manual	1.10	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_PersTraining.doc	Optech Insight Plus Personnel & Training Requirements	1.06	Jun 2010
OptechPrintersManual.doc	Optech Insight/Eagle and Optech 400-C Printers Manual	1.10	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_QAProgram.doc	Optech Insight Plus Quality Assurance Program	1.07	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_SampleReports.doc	Optech Insight Plus Sample Reports	1.06	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_Security.doc	Optech Insight Plus Security Specification	1.06	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_SoftSpec.doc	Optech Insight Plus Software Specification	1.08	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_SysOverview.doc	Optech Insight Plus System Overview	1.08	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_TDP.doc	Optech Insight Plus Technical Data Package	1.09	Jun 2010
INSIGHTp_TestVerifSpec.doc	Optech Insight Plus Test & Verification Specification	1.07	Jun 2010
InsightBattery_PollWorkerOp.doc	Insight Battery Poll Workers & Operators Manual	1.04	Jun 2010
INSIGHT-INSIGHTplus_OpMan.doc	Optech Insight/Insight Plus Operators Manual	1.16	Aug 2010
INSIGHTp_APL.doc	Optech Insight Plus Approved Parts List	1.06	Jun 2010
WinEDS Documents			
WinEDS4-0_PersTraining.doc	WinEDS Personnel & Training Requirements Release 4.0	1.05	Jun 2010
Software_Quality_Assurance_Program.doc	Software Quality Assurance Program Release 4.0	1.06	Jun 2010
WinEDS4-0_SampleReports.doc	WinEDS Sample Reports Release 4.0	1.07	Jun 2010
WinEDS4-0_SysDatabase.doc	WinEDS System Database Release 4.0	1.11	Jun 2010
WinEDS4-0_VisioTemplates-EDGE.doc	WinEDS Visio Templates: Edge/EDGE2plus Release 4.0	1.05	Jun 2010
WinEDS 4-0_TestVerifSpec.doc	WinEDS Test & Verification Specification Release 4.0	1.07	Jun 2010
WinEDS4-0_FunctSpec.doc	WinEDS Functional Specification Release 4.0	1.05	Jun 2010
WinEDS4-0_GUI.doc	WinEDS Graphical User Interface Release 4.0	1.05	Aug 2010
WinEDS4-0_Security.doc	WinEDS Security Specification Release 4.0	1.15	Aug 2010
WinEDS4-0_TDP-XRef.doc	SVS WinEDS 4.0 – TDP Cross-Reference	1.08	Jun 2010
WinEDS4-0Sys_Ops_Proc.doc	WinEDS System Operations Procedures Release 4.0	1.30	Aug 2010
WinEDS_4-0_Build_Process_LOCAL.doc	WinEDS Local Build Process Release 4.0	1.16	Aug 2010
WinEDS4-0_CM_Plan.doc	WinEDS Configuration Management Plan Release 4.0	1.06	Jun 2010
WinEDS4-0_TDP.doc	WinEDS Technical Data Package Release 4.0	1.05	Jun 2010
WinEDS4-0ExtSvcs_OpMan.pdf	WinEDS Extended Services Operator's Guide Release 4.0	2.20	Aug 2010
WinEDS_4-0_Software_Spec.pdf	WinEDS Software Specification Release 4.0	1.19	Aug 2010
WinEDS4_0_Master_Doc_Chng_Log.doc	WinEDS 4.0 Technical Data Package Master Document Change Log	No version	Jun 2010
WinEDS4-0_RCV_Func_Spec.pdf	WinEDS Ranked Choice Voting Functional Specification Release 4.0	1.16	Jul 2010
WinEDS4_0_Install_Gd.pdf	WinEDS Installation Guide Release 4.0	1.14	Jun 2010
WinEDS4-0_System_Overview.pdf	WinEDS System Overview Release 4.0	1.11	Jul 2010
WinEDS4-0_ElecRptg_OpMan.pdf	WinEDS Election Reporting Operator's Guide Release 4.0	2.17	Aug 2010

Table 11 Other Software, Hardware and Materials

Material	Material Description	Use in the Voting System
Multiple desktop and laptop PCs	A variety of PCs running Microsoft operating systems	Supplied by iBeta: Preparation, management and recording of test plans, test cases, reviews and results
Repository servers	Separate servers for storage of test documents and source code, running industry standards	Supplied by iBeta: Documents are maintained on a secure network server. Source code is maintained on a separate

Material	Material Description	Use in the Voting System
	operating systems, security and back up utilities	data disk on a restricted server
Microsoft Office 2003	Excel and Word software and document templates	Supplied by iBeta: The software used to create and record test plans, test cases, reviews and results
SharePoint 2003	TDP and test documentation repository	Supplied by iBeta: TDP and test documentation repository and configuration management tool
Other standard business application software	Internet browsers, PDF viewers email	Supplied by iBeta: Industry standard tools to support testing, business and project implementation
Center 325 Mini Sound Level Meter	IEC 651 Type 2 handheld sound level meter	Supplied by iBeta: Measure decibel level
Wagner Instruments Force Gage	Model FDN 50	Supplied by iBeta: Gage to measure force
Visual Studio 2003 v.7.1.3808 (Microsoft)	Build and source code review Integrated Development Environment	Supplied by iBeta: View source code review
RSM v.6.92 (M Squared Technologies)	C, C++, Java & C# static analysis tool	Supplied by iBeta: Identify line counts and cyclomatic complexity
Beyond Compare 2 v.2.4.3 (Scooter Software)	Comparison utility	Supplied by iBeta: Used to compare file/folder differences
WinDiff 5.1 (Microsoft)	Comparison utility	Supplied by iBeta: Used to compare file/folder differences
Hash.exe v.7.08.10.07.12 (Maresware)	Hash creation utility	Supplied by iBeta: Used to generate hash signatures for Trusted Builds
Nessus v.4.0.0	Network port scanner and vulnerability testing tool	Supplied by iBeta: Used to scan ports of Public Telecommunications Networking for vulnerabilities v3.2.0 prior to 10/16/2009 and then v4.0.0. Plug-in Rev 200910052134
WireShark v. 1.0 (Formerly Ethereal v. 0.99.0)	An open source network packet capture and analysis tool	Supplied by iBeta: Used to capture packets for later analysis of cryptography
MiniMaxwell v2.0/10	Network emulation and impairment tool	Supplied by iBeta: used to emulate network impairments for telephony test cases.
BartPE ghost32.exe (916 CD)	OS to boot to for ghosting	Disk image backups for testing repeatability.
Norton Symantec Ghost v.11	Tool to create and restore ghost images	Disk image backups for testing repeatability and for Trusted Build submission to the NSRL
Automation Anywhere 4.0	Software tool to automate testing	Supplied by iBeta: Used to automate 400-C EMI/EMC testing

Supports single input of customer profile data such as voting locations, precincts, political subdivisions, offices, parties and machines and uses this data to simultaneously manage multiple elections by multiple users. In addition, the system supports the use of multiple voting systems within any given election. WinEDS 4.0 has two add-in applications:

- **Election Reporting** module enables you to run reports and export data.
- **Extended Services** has several different modules at this time:
 - **Data Manager**
 - **Manual Data Entry**
 - **Media Loader**
 - **Ranked Choice Voting**
 - **Selection Code Generator**
- **WinEDS/HAAT Listener** is a server-based application designed to receive encrypted unofficial electoral data and, optionally, configuration data and event logs, from previously authorized transmitting HAAT devices. The WinEDS/HAAT Listener validates the integrity of all data received, and stores it in a centralized database management system (DBMS).

HAAT devices can also use the WinEDS/HAAT Listener server to synchronize their time and date with that of the server, so all HAAT devices will have an approximately similar time. The application is designed to run on a redundant server network with as many interconnected servers so as to be able to handle all concurrent transmissions from multiple external devices. The HAAT Listener runs on an application server; uses a web server to connect and receive electoral data from transmitting devices; and temporarily queues the data to an internal database before validating and sending it to the DBMS.

The HAAT Listener System Components include:

- Application Server
- Listener application
- Local Database
- Central Database
- **AVC Edge II** is a DRE voting system that displays ballot content to a voter utilizing touch screen technology, electronically stores vote totals and audit trail voting activity and provides a method to transfer totals to a central tabulation center.
 - **Verivote Printer (Voter Verifiable Paper Audit Trail)** is side-mounted onto an AVC Edge II to produce a paper record of the voter's selections for review. Various reports can be printed, and once polls are closed, a report is generated with the results for each candidate.
 - **AVC Edge II Audio Voting Accessory (E-AVA)** provides independent voting capability for visually impaired or other non-reading voters utilizing a keypad and audio scripts.
 - **Card Activator** serves as the voter's access to the AVC Edge II through a Smart Card activation interface.
 - **Seiko DPU-414 printer** is an optional 40-column thermal dot matrix printer by Seiko, used to provide election reports [for the AVC Edge II](#).
 - **Edge Aux Power Unit** is an Auxiliary Backup Power Unit that provides emergency power for two AVC Edge machines for an extended period of time.
 - **ATA/PCMCIA memory cartridge** is the media used on the AVC Edge II for ballot content, storage of votes and audit trail information.
- **EDGE2plus** Model 300 (HW Rev. C.03 & C.04) and Model 305 (HW Rev. C.04) are designed as DRE voting systems that displays ballot content to a voter utilizing touch screen technology, electronically stores vote totals and audit trail voting activity and provides a method to transfer totals to a central tabulation center. The Model 300 includes the ABLE-D detachable audio voting control; the model 305 does not.
 - **Audio Voting Control (ABLE-D)** is a simple eight-button device designed for use with the EDGE2plus Model 300. The ABLE-D provides unassisted, private and secure voting for voters with serious limitations to using their hands, as well as visually impaired and non-reading voters.

- **APS External Printer (UTG)** is a Voter Verifiable Paper Audit Trail printer (not part of the certification test campaign) that is side mounted onto an EDGE2*plus* to produce a paper record of the voter's selections for review. Various reports can be printed, and once polls are closed, a report is generated with the results for each candidate. [Reports can also print to the screen and/or be stored on the USB results cartridge and printed by WinEDS.](#)
- **Hybrid Activator, Accumulator, and Transmitter (HAAT)** enables the voter to access the AVC Edge II and EDGE2*plus* voting machines through a smart card interface. Some versions of the HAAT have additional functionality.
 - **HAAT100** The unit also serves at the precinct level as an accumulator for consolidating and tallying results, a printer for printing results, and as a transmitter for transmitting results from the AVC Edge II, EDGE2*plus*, and Insight voting machines only.
 - **HAAT90** The unit also serves at the precinct level as an accumulator for consolidating and tallying results, a printer for printing results, and as a transmitter for transmitting results from the AVC Edge II, EDGE2*plus*, and Insight voting machines only.
 - **HAAT80** The unit also serves at the precinct level as an accumulator for consolidating and tallying results, and a printer for printing results from the AVC Edge II, EDGE2*plus*, and Insight voting machines only.
 - **HAAT50** Only serves as voter's access to the AVC Edge II and EDGE2*plus* voting machines through activation of a smart card interface.
 - **Insight Memory Pack Reader (IMPR)** allows the HAAT80/90/100 to read and consolidate Insight data cartridges. This device must be connected to the HAAT80/90/100 serial port located at the back of the unit.
- **Optech Insight & Optech Insight Plus** are portable Precinct Count Systems that sit atop a ballot box, that uses Optical Scan Read-Head technology to electronically read and tabulate Optical Scan ballots, store results, and print precinct totals at the Polling Place.
 - **MemoryPack** is a solid-state semiconductor portable cartridge whose software records and totals all of the information from the ballots inserted into an Optech Insight and Optech Insight Plus. The MemoryPack is equipped with [polling place firmware \(APX\) chips, which has the functions of directing the movement and operations of paper ballots through the Insight optical scanners.](#)
 - **Memory Pack Receiver (MPR)** is a desktop device, which is plugged into a computer to interface with WinEDS 4.0 to write election parameters to MemoryPacks, as well as read and tally election results from those same MemoryPacks.
- **Optech 400-C Central Count System** is a standalone, self-contained optical scan ballot tabulator that uses an automatic ballot feeder to process ballots. The Optech 400-C can process about 400 ballots per minute depending upon the ballot length. It also simultaneously reads the front and back of each ballot card.
 - **WinETP Election Tabulation Program** is an election tabulation application that enables the Optech 400-C to tabulate ballots and report results. WinETP interfaces with WinEDS to receive the election definition and process 400-C Results.

The following table identifies the maximum evaluated limits for WinEDS and the associated [manufacturer's](#) machines.

Table 12 WinEDS 4.0 System Limits

Characteristic	Evaluation Limit	Limiting Component
Maximum precincts in election	2,700	400-C
Maximum precincts in an Insight/Insight Plus MemoryPack	150	Insight
Maximum contests in election	2,019	400-C
Maximum candidates/counters in election	6,532	400-C
Maximum candidate counters in a precinct	350	Insight
Maximum ballot styles in election	2,520	400-C
Maximum contests in a ballot style	110	Insight/400-C

Maximum candidates in a contest	348	Insight/400-C
Maximum ballot styles in a Precinct	100	Insight
Maximum number of parties	15	Insight/400-C
Maximum vote for in contest	150	Edge

* For the Insight, the maximum number of precincts that fit on a cartridge is dependent on the complexity of the election. The limit of 200 is based on a single candidate/single contest ballot.

4.1 **Election Management System- Pre Voting Capabilities**

4.1.1 WinEDS 4.0

WinEDS is a client/server election management application for programming and tabulating election results. Vote tabulation equipment currently supported by WinEDS 4.0 includes:

- AVC Edge II
- EDGE2*plus*
- Optech 400-C
- Optech Insight Plus
- Optech Insight

The system has been designed to support single input of customer profile data such as voting locations, precincts, political subdivisions, offices, parties and machines and use this data to simultaneously manage multiple elections by multiple users. In addition, the system supports the use of multiple voting systems within any given election.

WinEDS 4.0 has two add-in applications:

- WinEDS Extended Services
- WinEDS Election Reporting

The Election Reporting module enables you to run reports and export data. Extended Services has several different modules at this time, Data Manager, Manual Data Entry, Media Loader, Ranked Choice Voting, and Selection Code Generator.

4.1.2 Memory Pack Receiver (MPR) for the Optech Insight/Insight Plus

The MPR is an interface that allows WinEDS 4.0 to write election parameters to MemoryPacks, as well as read and tally election results from those same MemoryPacks.

The MPR is a desktop device, which is plugged into a computer (usually at the election central site), and developed specifically to work in conjunction with WinEDS 4.0 (Windows Election Database System) to encode precinct election data from WinEDS 4.0 to a MemoryPack.

The MemoryPack is then placed in the Optech Insight for that precinct and ballots are tabulated by the MemoryPack.

After the election, the MemoryPacks from each precinct are inserted back into the MPR. The ballot tabulation totals stored in each MemoryPack are read by WinEDS 4.0 software, which accumulate the jurisdiction-wide results.



Picture 1 – MPR and a MemoryPack

4.2 Polling Place- Voting Capabilities

The AVC Edge II and EDGE2*plus* do not require any networking to a central system in order to function. All processing from loading the ballot to recording votes is done on individual units. Loading ballots and accumulating the tally from the machines is completed via the Results Cartridge. The Results Cartridge is designed so that it can be inserted into the voting machine, record voting results, and then be removed from the machine when the polls are closed to be read by WinEDS.

The Results Cartridge stores:

- An electronic representation of the ballot
- Ballot logic to enable the voter to make those selections to which he or she is lawfully entitled
- Aggregated vote totals
- A randomized record of all individual ballots cast
- A chronological log of significant machine operations, including error conditions

4.2.1 AVC Edge II

The AVC Edge II is designed as a DRE voting system that performs the following functions:

- Present candidates and issues using an electronic ballot.
- Display a series of buttons/switches/images to be touched/pressed for selecting a candidate or option. Indicators display to the voter or operator their selections.
- Prevent overvoting of offices.
- Allow the voter to select and deselect a candidate position right up until the Cast Ballot button is touched.
- Allow for electronic Write-In voting.
- Operate on AC to DC External Power Supply and incorporate Main and Real-Time Clock batteries for backup protection.
- Provide for voting privacy.
- Electronically store vote totals and a complete Audit Trail of voting activity.
- Print results for each candidate when the polls are closed.
- Provide a method to transfer machine totals to a central tabulation center.
- Have tamper resistant design using locks, seals and cryptography to provide security.



Picture 2 – AVC Edge II

The AVC Edge II includes the following accessories:

- **Card Activator**

The Card Activator serves as the voter's access to the AVC Edge II machines through a Smart Card activation interface. The Poll Worker issues this card to the voter for use as a key to access the ballot on the AVC Edge II, for voting purposes.



Picture 3 – Card Activator

- **Verivote Printer**

The Verivote Printer produces a paper record that can be reviewed by the voter as they cast their vote.

Designed

- As an upgrade to existing units installed at jurisdictions across the county or country,
- As an optional feature with a new AVC Edge II.

The Verivote Printer is designed to be shipped as a separate item from the AVC Edge II and installed in the polling place/precinct by Precinct/Poll Workers.

- **AVC Edge II Audio Voting Accessory**

The AVC Edge II provides independent voting capability for visually impaired or other non-reading voters by utilizing a keypad and audio scripts.

With the proper ballot configuration, any AVC Edge II can be used with the AVC Edge II Audio Voting Accessory on demand.

4.2.2 EDGE2plus

The EDGE2plus Models 305 and 300 are designed as DRE voting systems. The Model 300 includes the ABLE-D detachable audio voting control. Both models will perform the following functions:

- Present candidates and issues by using an electronic ballot.
- Display a series of buttons/switches/images to be touched/pressed for selecting a candidate or option. Indicators show the voter or operator that the selection has been made.
- Prevent overvoting of offices.
- Allow the voter to select and deselect a candidate position right up until the Cast Ballot button is touched.
- Allow for electronic Write-In voting.
- Operate on AC to DC External Power Supply and incorporate Main and Real-Time Clock batteries for backup protection.
- Provide for voting privacy.
- Electronically store vote totals and a complete Audit Trail of voting activity.
- Print results for each candidate when the polls are closed. (Not Certified)
- Provide a method to transfer machine totals to a central tabulation center.
- Have tamper resistant design using locks, seals and cryptography to provide security.
- The Detachable Audio Voting Control (ABLE-D) is a simple eight-button device designed for use with the EDGE2plus Model 300 voting system. The ABLE-D allows unassisted, private and secure voting for voters with serious limitations to using their hands, as well as visually impaired and non-reading voters.



Picture 4 – EDGE2plus

4.2.3 HAAT

The Hybrid Activator, Accumulator, and Transmitter (HAAT) enables the voter to access the AVC Edge II, EDGE2plus, and Insight voting machines through a smart card interface. Some versions of the HAAT have additional functionality.

HAAT100

The HAAT100 is the component that serves as the voter's access to the AVC Edge II, EDGE2plus direct-record electronic touch-screen voting machines through activation of a Smart Card interface. The HAAT100 Unit also serves, at the precinct level, as an accumulator for consolidating and tallying results, a printer for printing the results, and as a transmitter for transmitting the results from the AVC Edge II, EDGE2plus, and Insight voting machines only.



Picture 5 – HAAT100

HAAT90

The HAAT90 is the component that serves as the voter's access to AVC Edge II and EDGE2*plus* DRE touch-screen voting machines through activation of a Smart Card interface. The HAAT90 Unit also serves, at the precinct level as an accumulator for consolidating and tallying results, a printer for printing the results, and as a transmitter for transmitting the results from the AVC Edge II, EDGE2*plus*, and Insight voting machines only.



Picture 6 – HAAT90

HAAT80

The HAAT80 is the component that serves as the voter's access to AVC Edge II and EDGE2*plus* direct-record electronic touch-screen voting machines through activation of a Smart Card interface. The HAAT80 Unit also serves, at the precinct level, as an accumulator for consolidating and tallying results, and a printer for printing the results from AVC Edge II, EDGE2*plus*, and Insight voting machines only.



Picture 7 – HAAT80

HAAT50

The HAAT50 is the component that serves as the voter's access to AVC Edge II and EDGE2*plus* direct-record electronic touch-screen voting machines through activation of a Smart Card interface.

Note: The HAAT50 does not consolidate, print or transmit results, since the HAAT50 does not use any printer or internal modem and the consolidation module is not available.



Picture 8 – HAAT50

Insight Memory Pack Reader for use with the HAAT80/90/100

The Insight Memory Pack Reader (IMPR) allows the HAAT80/90/100 to read and consolidate Insight data cartridges. This device must be connected to the HAAT80/90/100 serial port located at the back of the unit.



Picture 9 - IMPR

4.2.4 Optech Insight Plus

The Optech Insight and Optech Insight Plus are portable Precinct Count Systems, which use Optical Scan Read Head technology to electronically read and tabulate Optical Scan ballots. The machines are designed as Precinct Count systems that will work in conjunction with WinEDS, as follows:

- To code the election and prepare the Ballot.
- To accumulate, translate, and generate reports at the Central Counting Location

The machines are intended to be located at the Polling Place. The voter casts a vote on the ballot by using a special Optech marking pen (or a soft lead #2 pencil) to complete a printed voting arrow pointing to the candidate/issue of the voter's choice. The voter then places the marked ballot into the system in any orientation. The machine keeps a running tabulation on all ballots "cast." The Optech Insight and Optech Insight Plus use the Election Parameter data programmed into the MemoryPack using WinEDS. The MemoryPack may be removed at the end of the election and transported to the Central Counting Location for rapid transfer of precinct totals to the Central Counting Location for inclusion into the canvass reports. After the election, the MemoryPacks from each precinct are inserted back into the MPR. The ballot tabulation totals stored in each MemoryPack are read by WinEDS software, which accumulates the jurisdiction-wide results.

The Optech Insight Plus is a portable Precinct Count System that uses Optical Scan Read Head technology to electronically read and tabulate Optical Scan ballots at the Polling Place. The Optech Insight Plus is classified by the Federal Election Commission as a Marksense Voting System used to cast and tabulate ballots. It allows Local Officials to conduct efficient, timely elections, and performs the following functions using the voter inserted ballots:

- Record Votes: Optically reads the marks made on the ballots.

- Tabulate Ballot: Tabulates ballots as they are cast, allowing the results of the election to be readily available when closing the Polls.
- Print Results: Produces precinct totals.
- Store Election Totals: Stores the election totals in the removable Memory-Pack, for easy transfer to the Central Counting Location, after closing the Polls.



Picture 10 – Insight Plus

4.2.5 Optech Insight

The Optech Insight is a portable Precinct Count System that uses Optical Scan Read-Head technology to electronically read and tabulate Optical Scan ballots at the Polling Place. The Optech Insight is classified by the [VSS 2002](#) as a Marksense Voting System used to cast and tabulate ballots. It allows Local Officials to conduct efficient, timely elections, and performs the following functions using the voter inserted ballots:

- Record Votes: Optically reads the marks made on the ballots.
- Tabulate Ballot: Tabulates ballots as they are cast, allowing the results of the election to be readily available when closing the Polls.
- Print Results: Produces precinct totals.
- Store Election Totals: Stores the election totals in the removable Memory-Pack, for easy transfer to the Central Counting Location, after closing the Polls.

The MemoryPack is a solid-state semiconductor portable cartridge whose software records and totals all of the information from the ballots inserted into one of the following voting systems:

- Optech Insight
- Optech Insight Plus

The MemoryPack is equipped with customized chips, which each has a specific function. After a MemoryPack is inserted into the Memory Pack Receiver (MPR), the election results can be read into the WinEDS 4.0 software (which is installed on the computer connected to the MPR), and displayed by the computer. The Optech Insight uses the Memory Pack Receiver to apply the election parameters to the tabulator and following the election, to read and tally election results.



Picture 11 - Insight

4.3 ***Election Management System- Post Voting Capabilities***

4.3.1 **Optech 400-C Central Count System**

The WinEDS election definition is applied to the Optech 400-C via the WinETP to enable the 400-C to tabulate ballots and report results. The interface between the WinETP and WinEDS comprises the following:

- Functions
- Events and Properties
- Build Processes

The WinEDS 4.0 database system includes a file management system with the following capabilities:


- Integration of Voting Data Files with Ballot Definition Files
- Verification of File Compatibility: File compatibility verification
- Edit and Update of Files: File updating and editing, as required

The Optech 400-C does not provide the Vote Data Management. WinEDS provides the management, processing and reporting of voting data after consolidation at the polling place and includes hardware and software required to generate all output reports in the various jurisdictional required formats at the Central Counting Location.

The Optech 400-C is a standalone, self-contained optical scan ballot tabulator that uses an automatic ballot feeder to process ballots. The Optech 400-C can process about 400 ballots per minute depending upon the ballot length. It also simultaneously reads the front and back of each ballot card. The Optech 400-C is classified by the Federal Election Commission as a Marksense Voting System.

The Optech 400-C is used at the Central Count Location to perform the following activities:

- Open Polls
- Read mark-sense ballots
- Tabulate the results
- Prepare output reports
- Prepare results files for tally and accumulation in WinEDS



Picture 12 – Optech 400-C

4.3.2 WinETP Election Tabulation Program

The WinETP integrates with the Optech 400-C and the WinEDS election management system. The 400-C counts the ballots and applies the results using the logic in the WinETP from the WinEDS system. This interface enables the 400-C to tabulate the election results from large numbers of ballot at a central count location. WinETP is used to perform the following operations:

- Apply and initialize the election
- Tabulate ballots by:
 - Precinct
 - Batch
 - Polling Place
- Manage ballot handling
- Generate reports

WinETP interfaces with WinEDS to receive the election definition and process 400-C Results. The WinEDS system communicates with the WinETP by describing the following for a specific election:

- Offices
- Candidates
- Precincts

WinEDS is a computer software system, which contains the application software developed specifically for election requirements.

4.3.3 Memory Pack Receiver (MPR) for the Optech Insight/Insight Plus

The MPR is an interface that allows WinEDS 4.0 to write election parameters to MemoryPacks, as well as read and tally election results from those same MemoryPacks.

The MPR is a desktop device, which is plugged into a computer (usually at the election central site), and developed specifically to work in conjunction with WinEDS 4.0 (Windows Election Database System) to encode precinct election data from WinEDS 4.0 to a MemoryPack.

The MemoryPack is then placed in the Optech Insight for that precinct and ballots are tabulated by the MemoryPack.

After the election, the MemoryPacks from each precinct are inserted back into the MPR. The ballot tabulation totals stored in each MemoryPack are read by WinEDS 4.0 software, which accumulate the jurisdiction-wide results.

4.3.4 WinEDS/HAAT Listener

WinEDS/HAAT Listener is a server-based application designed to receive encrypted unofficial electoral data and, optionally, configuration data and event logs, from previously authorized transmitting HAAT

devices. The WinEDS/HAAT Listener runs under JBoss version 4.0.2, which is a Java 2 Enterprise Edition (J2EE) compliant Application Server. The Listener Application uses a Web Service to process connections and transmissions from remote clients (HAAT devices) and store encrypted, unofficial results after a series of validations. The WinEDS/HAAT Listener validates the integrity of all data received, and stores it in a centralized database management system (DBMS). HAAT devices can also use the WinEDS/HAAT Listener server to synchronize their time and date with that of the server, so all HAAT devices will have an approximately similar time. Transmissions may include:

- Voting Machine Results
- HAAT Event Log
- HAAT Configuration Data

All data transferred from the HAAT devices to the Listener is:

- Encrypted
- Unofficial
- In XML format
- Validated against an XSD schema
- Validated against a separate transmitted hash string to detect any loss of data
- Stored in a local repository for auditing purposes

WinEDS/HAAT Listener uses a local database to store backups of every correct and incorrect transmission received through the Web service as well as to handle all seven messaging queues used by the core of the Listener application for asynchronous data transfer among objects.

WinEDS/HAAT Listener uses a central database, common for all possible instances of the distributed application to store all voting machine results, HAAT event logs and HAAT configurations received through the Web Service. Additionally, this database holds a tabulated, organized, and centralized copy of all Listener instances event log records.

5 Certification Review and Test Results

The results and evaluations of the PCA and FCA reviews tests are identified below. Detailed data regarding the Acceptance/Rejection criteria, reviews and tests are found in the appendices.

- [Appendix A](#) identifies all certification test requirements traced to specific Test Cases
- [Appendix B](#) identified the PCA Source Code Review Acceptance/Rejection Criteria and Summary
- [Appendix C](#) identifies the PCA TDP Document Review Acceptance/Rejection criteria
- [Appendix D](#) identifies all FCA Testing Acceptance/Rejection criteria
- [Appendix E](#) identifies the PCA and FCA Discrepancies reported during review and testing

5.1 PCA Source Code Review

iBeta Quality Assurance reviewed the Sequoia internally developed coding standards for the software submitted in section 3 in certification of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 Voting System. Review criteria were customized to incorporate the requirements of VSS 2002 Vol. 1 Sect 4.2 and Vol. 2 Section 5, language specific conventions (PowerBuilder, Java, C, C++, C#, VB.net, VB 6, Z80, 80x86, 8051, PIC-ASM, and SQLScript) and the internally developed coding standards as referenced. The specific review criteria for this test effort and the documentation of the building of the executable code from the reviewed source code (Trusted Builds) are identified in Appendix G.

iBeta tracked a number of metrics obtained from the results of the source code review during this certification test campaign. The overall summary of the source code review produced the following metrics as identified in [Table 13](#). The legend of this table is as follows:

- Application - Each WinEDS 4.0 voting system application as defined in [Table 9](#).
- Language - The software coding language. The four Assembler languages (Z80, 80x86, 8051, and PIC-ASM) are reported in one metric.
- Discrepancies - Discrepancies are written against a module which may be defined as either a file or a function within a source code file and, as such, each discrepancy may represent one or more instance of non-compliance with a VSS 2002 requirement.
- VSS 2002 Requirements: Comment Related - The number of comment related instances of non-compliance with 15 VSS 2002 requirements related to commenting and formatting (considered having a higher impact on software maintainability but a lower impact on system function).
- VSS 2002 Requirement: Software Related - The number of software related instances of non-compliance with 29 VSS 2002 requirements that may impact software function.
- Number of files/functions - Modules are defined for each language and the source code review is conducted at a module or function level. Any code outside of a module or function is reviewed at the file level.
- eLOCs - Number of executable Lines of Code (eLOC). eLOC does not include comment lines, headers, blank lines, spacing, formatting, or continues.
- Metrics: Discrepancy to eLOC - An overall discrepancy-to-eLOC (executable Lines of Code) percentage.
- Metrics: Comment Related % - An overall comment related percentage of the number of instances noted during the source code review.
- Metrics: Software Related % - An overall software related percentage of the number of instances noted during the source code review.

Analysis and summary of the source code review results delineated by coding language is provided in the following sections.

Table 13 Source Code Review Applications and Summary Metrics

Application	Language	Discrepancies	VSS 2002 Requirements		Number of files/ functions	eLOCs	Metrics		
			Comment Related	Software Related			Discrepancy to eLOC %	Comment Related %	Software Related %
WinEDS	PowerBuilder	1648	1755	116	7750	191126	0.86%	94%	6%
WinEDS	C/C++	2961	2727	1188	11384	216173	1.37%	70%	30%
Card Activator									
Edge II Audio Unit									
Edge II Firmware									
CRC Util									
IMPR									
WinETP (400-C)									
EDGE2plus									
HAAT									
WinEDS									
WinEDS	C#	2882	2702	1017	11535	120307	2.40%	73%	27%
EDGE2plus									
HAAT									
WinEDS	VB 6.0	196	227	97	381	9094	2.16%	70%	30%
WinEDS	VB.Net	429	359	289	1206	17376	2.47%	55%	45%
HAAT Listener	Java	152	125	75	476	3578	4.25%	63%	38%
HAAT									
Edge II Firmware	Assembler	258	63	229	1210	25776	1.00%	22%	78%
EDGE2plus									
Insight/Insight Plus APX									
Insight/Insight Plus HPX									
HAAT									
Verivote									
MPR									
Total									

5.1.1 WinEDS 4.0 PowerBuilder Source Code Review Results

WinEDS 4.0 consists of a PowerBuilder (or PowerScript) component. A total of 7,750 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the VSS 2002 were validated to be closed. A total of 1648 discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 1648 discrepancies encompassed 1871 instances of VSS 2002 requirements identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

The majority (1755) of those instances were comment related. Of the software related instances (the remaining 116), the summary of the VSS 2002 requirements and closure are as follows:

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The file function line count results identified no files or functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs.

v.1: 4.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.I	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none exceeding 240 lines without justification.					
WinEDS		PowerScript 10.5	< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
			7145	492	113	0	7750
			92.19%	6.35%	1.46%	0.00%	100.00%

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Of the total 1871 VSS 2002 requirement non-compliances, 1755 or 94% were rejected against the 15 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed. Discrepancies noted variables without comments at the point of declaration, lack of in-line comments, lines exceeding 80 characters, incomplete header information, and non-unique module use.

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, iBeta identified 116 non-compliances or 6% of identified issues. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.1.2 WinEDS 4.0 C/C++ Source Code Review Results

WinEDS 4.0 consists of numerous C and C++ components. A total of 11,384 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the VSS 2002 were validated to be closed. A total of 2961 discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 2961 discrepancies encompassed 3915 instances of VSS 2002 requirements identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

The majority (2727) of those instances were comment related. The summary of the VSS 2002 requirements and closure are as follows:

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The SQL files function line count results identified 4 files or functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs with the justification provided that these files/functions were not placed into separate functions for performance.

v.1: 4.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.I WinEDS	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none exceeding 240 lines without justification. C/C++					
			< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
			10402	772	206	4	11384
			91.4%	6.8%	1.8%	0.0%	100.00%

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Of the total 3915 VSS 2002 requirement non-compliances, 2727 or 69.7% were rejected against the 15 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed. Discrepancies noted variables without comments at the point of declaration, lack of in-line comments, functions with more than 5 levels of indented scope, lines exceeding 80 characters, constants other than "0" or "1" not defined, incomplete header information, and non-unique module use.

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, iBeta identified 1188 non-compliances or 30.3% of identified issues. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.1.3 WinEDS 4.0 SQL Source Code Review Results

WinEDS 4.0 consists of an SQL component. A total of 1320 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the VSS 2002 were validated to be closed. A total of 620 discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 620 discrepancies encompassed 768 instances of VSS 2002 requirements identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

The majority (469) of those instances were related to transaction updates within the database. The summary of the VSS 2002 requirements and closure are as follows:

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The file function line count results identified 20 files/ functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs and that more than 5% exceeded 120 eLOCs all with the justification provided that these files/functions contained only database setup commands and had a cyclomatic complexity (number of execution paths within the file/function) of only 1.

v.1: 4.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.I WinEDS	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none exceeding 240 lines without justification. SQL Scripts					
			< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
			1000	180	120	20	1320
			76%	14%	9%	1%	100%

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Of the total 768 VSS 2002 requirement non-compliances, 249 or 32.4% were rejected against the 15 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed. Discrepancies noted variables without comments at the point of declaration, lack of in-line comments, functions with more than 5 levels

of indented scope, lines exceeding 80 characters, constants other than "0" or "1" not defined, incomplete header information, and non-unique module use.

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, iBeta identified 521 non-compliances or 67.84% of identified issues with 469 of those instances related to transaction updates within the database. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.1.4 WinEDS 4.0 C# Source Code Review Results

WinEDS 4.0 consists of a C# component. The EDGE2plus and HAAT applications also contain the C# programming language. A total of 11,535 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the VSS 2002 were validated to be closed. A total of 2882 discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 2882 discrepancies encompassed 3719 instances of VSS 2002 requirements identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

The majority (2702) of those instances were comment related and the majority of the software related instances (529) were potential unhandled exceptions. The summary of the VSS 2002 requirements and closure are as follows:

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The file function line count results identified 7 files or functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs with the justification provided that these files/functions contained only variable initialization and had a cyclomatic complexity (number of execution paths within the file/function) of only 1.

v.1: 4.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.I	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none exceeding 240 lines without justification.					
WinEDS	C#		< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
			11249	224	55	7	11535
			97.5%	1.9%	0.5%	0.10%	100.00%

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Of the total 3719 VSS 2002 requirement non-compliances, 2702 or 73% were rejected against the 15 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed. Discrepancies noted variables without comments at the point of declaration, lack of in-line comments, functions with more than 5 levels of indented scope, lines exceeding 80 characters, constants other than "0" or "1" not defined, incomplete header information, and non-unique module use.

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, iBeta identified 1017 non-compliances or 27% of identified issues. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.1.5 WinEDS 4.0 VB 6.0 Source Code Review Results

WinEDS 4.0 consists of a Visual Basic 6.0 component. A total of 381 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the VSS 2002 were validated to be closed. A total of 196

discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 196 discrepancies encompassed 324 instances of VSS 2002 requirements identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

The majority (227) of those instances were comment related. The summary of the VSS 2002 requirements and closure are as follows:

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The file function line count results identified no files or functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs.

v.1: 4.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.I	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none exceeding 240 lines without justification.					
WinEDS		VB 6.0	< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
			348	28	5	0	381
			91.3%	7.4%	1.3%	0.0%	100.00%

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Of the total 324 VSS 2002 requirement non-compliances, 227 or 70% were rejected against the 15 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed. Discrepancies noted variables without comments at the point of declaration, lack of in-line comments, functions with more than 5 levels of indented scope, lines exceeding 80 characters, constants other than "0" or "1" not defined, and incomplete header information.

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, iBeta identified 97 non-compliances or 30% of identified issues. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.1.6 WinEDS 4.0 VB.Net Source Code Review Results

WinEDS 4.0 consists of a VB.Net component. A total of 1206 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the VSS 2002 were validated to be closed. A total of 429 discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 429 discrepancies encompassed 648 instances of VSS 2002 requirements identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

The majority (359) of those instances were comment related. The summary of the VSS 2002 requirements and closure are as follows:

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The file function line count results identified no files or functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs.

v.1: 4.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.I	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none exceeding 240 lines without justification.					
WinEDS		VB.Net	< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
			1166	39	1	0	1206
			96.7%	3.2%	0.1%	0.0%	100.0%

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Of the total 648 VSS 2002 requirement non-compliances, or 55%, were rejected against the 15 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed. Discrepancies noted variables without comments at the point of declaration, lack of in-line comments, functions with more than 5 levels of indented scope, lines exceeding 80 characters, constants other than "0" or "1" not defined, and incomplete header information.

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, iBeta identified 289 non-compliances or 45% of identified issues. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.1.7 WinEDS 4.0 Java Source Code Review Results

WinEDS 4.0 consists of the HAAT Listener which utilizes the Java coding language. A total of 476 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the VSS 2002 were validated to be closed. A total of 152 discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 152 discrepancies encompassed 200 instances of VSS 2002 requirements identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

The majority (125) of those instances were comment. The summary of the VSS 2002 requirements and closure are as follows:

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The file function line count results identified no files or functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs.

v.1: 4.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.1	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none exceeding 240 lines without justification.					
WinEDS	JAVA		< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
			468	7	1	0	476
			98.3%	1.5%	0.2%	0%	100.00%

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Of the total 200 VSS 2002 requirement non-compliances, 125 or 63% were rejected against the 15 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed. Discrepancies noted variables without comments at the point of declaration, lack of in-line comments, lines exceeding 80 characters, incomplete header information, and lack of name readability.

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, iBeta identified 75 non-compliances or 38% of identified issues. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.1.8 WinEDS 4.0 Assembly Source Code Review Results

WinEDS 4.0 consists of several Assembly source code components and languages. The Insight and Insight Plus APX and HPX as well as the MPR are written in Z80. Both the EDGE2*plus* and the HAAT assembler language components are written in 8051. Verivote is PIC-ASM and the MBR bootloader which is association with the Edge II is in 80x86. A total of 1,210 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the VSS 2002 were validated to be closed. A total of 258 discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 258 discrepancies encompassed 292 instances of VSS 2002 requirements identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

The summary of the VSS 2002 requirements and closure are as follows:

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The file function line count results identified no files or functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs.

v.1: 4.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.I	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none exceeding 240 lines without justification.					
WinEDS	Assembly		< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
			1113	78	19	0	1210
			92.0%	6.4%	1.6%	0.0%	100.00%

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Of the total 292 VSS 2002 requirement non-compliances, 63 or 22% were rejected against the 15 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed. Due to the memory size of the chipset and the broad nature of the VSS 2002 requirements for source code review, the ability to add comments to the Assembly source code was limited and recognized during the code review. As a result, a much smaller percentage of the source code discrepancies are comment related. Discrepancies noted included indentation, variables without comments at the point of declaration, lack of in-line comments, and constants other than "0" or "1" not defined.

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, iBeta identified 229 non-compliances or 78% of identified issues. The majority of those instances, 173 or 75.5%, relate to the single entry or exit point requirement. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by Sequoia, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the VSS 2002. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.2 PCA TDP Document Review

iBeta Quality Assurance reviewed all Sequoia Voting Systems submitted TDP documents of the WinEDS 4.0 voting system against the Vol. 2 Section 2 requirements of the VSS 2002 (see Section Appendix C for a list of the reviewed documents). Each submitted document was reviewed against the specific section of the VSS 2002 applicable to that category of document. If the required content was present in one or more submitted documents results were summarized and the requirement was accepted. If it was not present the requirement was rejected.

Appendix C contains the specific review criteria for the TDP documents. Errors, nonconformities and anomalies observed in this review are summarized in Appendix E. Documentation of corrections and verification of corrections are contained in each summary.

Any instance of inconsistency in the version control of documents delivered by Sequoia Voting Systems was reported in Appendix E an informational issue.

5.2.1 PCA TDP Document Review Results

The documents of the Sequoia Voting Systems WinEDS 4.0 Technical Data Package were found to meet the requirements of Vol. 2 Section 2 of the *VSS 2002*. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix C.

5.3 FCA Functional and System Integration Testing

iBeta executed a review of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system functionality to the requirements of the *VSS 2002* (see Appendix A). Tests covering system functional requirements were incorporated into eight standard system level integration test cases of end-to-end mock elections. Four of the tests were General Elections and four were Primary Elections. Election databases and ballots were prepared, installed, voted and reported exercising the input controls, error content, and audit message content of the voting system. The elections were programmed, voted and tallied to ensure ballot formats were accurately displayed, votes are accurately and reliably cast for the voting variations and functionality supported by the voting system. Effectiveness of security access controls, system integrity, availability, confidentiality and audit accountability were examined. The content and clarity of user instructions and processes was reviewed for usability. A General and a Primary election included visual and audio ballots as well as Spanish, English and Chinese. Votes were cast by testers with correctable visual disabilities to confirm that ballots can be accessed visually, aurally or with non-electronic dexterity aids in Spanish and English. Testing verified availability of screen contrast settings, ballot display settings, and required audio ballot controls. Content and accuracy of the Spanish translation was not tested. States and jurisdictions need to validate the content and accuracy of all translations.

The specific voting variations and system functions tested in the General and Primary Test Cases are identified in the Appendix D Test Methods. During the FCA Functional and System Level Testing numerous documentation and functional defects were noted. The functional discrepancies opened and closed in each test case are identified in the Appendix D Test Method. Sequoia resolved all identified defects. As appropriate, iBeta performed a document review and/or functional regression test. All regression testing was executed as an end-to-end system level test.

The testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3. System configuration was conducted in accordance with the Sequoia TDP that disables all non-specified services. The individual test iterations include identification of the specific software and firmware build versions in the Appendix D Test Methods. In accordance with *VSS 2002* Vol. 1 section 1.5, iBeta reviewed the body of knowledge deposited in the EAC's Voting System Reports Clearinghouse. The Test Plan delineates the Test Methods and the test steps executed to address those issues and concerns were executed during the FCA Functional and System Integration testing as well as the Security Test Case execution.

After all hardware and software testing was completed a final trusted build was performed with the release versions of the software and firmware (see Appendix G). This build was installed on the hardware configurations that had been utilized for Functional and System Integration testing. A full regression system integration test was performed on this final system configuration and is documented below.

5.3.1 Evaluation of Functional and System Integration Testing

Upon completion of all iterations of the Functional and System Level test cases, the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system was found to meet the Functional and System Integration requirements of the *VSS 2002*. Appendices A and D provide specific information on the FCA Functional and System Integration Testing. The defects encountered, their resolution and validations are listed in Appendix E.

5.3.2 Regression Functional and System Integration Testing

For the discrepancies that were functional defects and required software or firmware modifications, Sequoia submitted the modified source code, iBeta reviewed the code, performed a Trusted Build,

wrote end-to-end and system level test cases, and executed those test cases. Three full end-to-end test cases and 6 system level test cases were executed to validate all submitted fixes. These validations were recorded in the PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report.

In addition, the functionality of the EDGE2*plus* 305, which was not originally listed with the Sequoia Application to the EAC, was tested. At the conclusion of the regression testing, all functional defects were resolved.

5.4 **FCA Characteristics Testing (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability)**

iBeta re-used the General 4 Election that included audio, visual, and English ballots and the Primary 2 Election that was modified to include audio for this test. Test voting was performed by providing input direction to the voting machine touch screen and by using the Edge II Audio Voting Accessory (E-AVA) and the EDGE2*plus* Detachable Audio Voting Control (ABLE-D). Usability testing examined the functional capabilities addressing cognitive, perceptual, interaction, and privacy issues identified in VSS 2002 Vol.1 Section 3.1 and RFI 2007-01. Accessibility testing examined the functional capabilities addressing visual, audio, dexterity, and mobility to confirm that the touch screen, E-AVA and the ABLE-D can be used to cast audio, visual, English ballots could be cast privately and independently on the Edge II and EDGE2*plus* units as identified in VSS 2002 Vol. 2 Section 3.2 and VSS 2002 Vol. 2 Section 6.5. Physical Characteristics and Design, Construction, and Maintenance requirements were tested on equipment, including the HAAT50, HAAT80, HAAT90, HAAT100, Card Activator, MPR and IMPR. Testing verified the functionality of screen contrast settings and text font ballot display settings, as well as the required audio ballot controls. All test conditions were in an ambient office environment. The Maintenance procedures outlined in the TDP were executed in conjunction with an examination of the physical characteristics and attributes of the Edge II, EDGE2*plus*, Insight, [Insight Plus](#), and 400-C units to verify that they conformed to the requirements identified in VSS 2002 Vol. 2 Section 4.2 and 4.3.

During the test campaign, the EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2009-05 was issued. The test requirements associated with that RFI were incorporated into the Characteristics Test Case. The report from the third party laboratory that conducted the test is attached as H20 - Wyle Letter No. T57306B-002 dated January 11, 2010 subject of: Hearing Aid Compatibility Testing of the Sequoia Headset.

The testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3. The individual test iterations include identification of the specific software and firmware build versions in the Appendix D Test Methods. During testing 14 functional defects were noted. Their resolution and validations are identified in Appendix E.

5.4.1 **FCA Characteristics Tests (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability)**

The Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system was found to meet the Recovery, Usability, Accessibility, Maintainability and Characteristics requirements of the VSS 2002. Appendices A and D provide specific information on the Characteristics (Maintainability, Usability and Accessibility) Testing. The defects encountered during the review, their resolution and validations are identified in Appendix E.

As dictated by RFI 2009-05, the COTS headset for the DREs was tested to the ANSI C63.19-2001 Category 4 Requirement by Wyle Laboratories and the results documented in Attachment H20 - Wyle Letter No. T57306B-002 dated January 11, 2010.

5.5 **FCA Security Review and Testing**

iBeta's security specialist, a Certified Information System Security [Professional](#), supervised execution of a security analysis of the applicable TDP documents of the VSS 2002 to identify the threat model ([taking advantage of the experience gained in examining other voting systems and identifying any new threats that are not directly addressed by the Standards or the system](#)). First the analysis identified VSS 2002 security requirements that were currently addressed in the standard testing, source code and

document reviews. The analysis next identified any unique voting system specific tests, source code and document reviews that were needed. The tests, source code or documents reviews were traced to the *VSS 2002* requirement in the FCA Security Review and Testing table. The results of the standard tests and reviews were recorded in the applicable FCA Functional and System Integration Testing, PCA Source Code Review or the PCA Document Review. The unique tests and reviews were documented in the FCA Security Review and Testing table. This documentation included the steps, acceptance and rejection criteria, and results. Appendix D contains the FCA Security Review and Testing table and the specific Test Methodology. During the test campaign, 98 discrepancies were encountered. The specific discrepancy numbers are identified in the Appendix D Security Review and Testing Method.

Of note during the security review, the three locks on the ballot [box doors](#) of the Insight and Insight Plus were defeated with simple tools. Although any lock can be defeated over time and the lock provides for limited access, iBeta is disclosing this vulnerability within this final report. [As described in the TDP, placement of destructible seals on the Insight and Insight Plus ballot box doors is the mitigations to this vulnerability.](#)

In order to comply with the security test requirements identified in Vol. 2 Section 6.4 of the *VSS 2002*, iBeta approached security testing of the *VSS 2002* by first creating test scenarios which discounted the exposure to risk and excluded physical security procedures. However, in establishing acceptance and rejection criteria, iBeta assessed the potential exposure to risk and included physical security procedures as an acceptable security control, per the requirements of Vol. 1 Section 2.2.1 and 6.2 of the *VSS 2002*. To assess if an access control was effective iBeta considered the degree to which one or more of the following security controls was present: physical security procedures, password protection, detection in an audit, technical expertise required, obfuscation of sensitive material, and encryption of sensitive material. In determining potential exposure to risk the security specialist considered access from the user and if the exposure was from a trusted user or non-trusted user. Systems were accepted as meeting the security requirements of the *VSS 2002* if the security controls present were deemed effective to address the identified risk.

Testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3. The individual test iterations include identification of the specific software and firmware build versions in the Appendix D Test Methods.

5.5.1 FCA Security Review and Testing

Testing, source code and documentation reviews of the WinEDS 4.0 system found that the system met the applicable *VSS 2002* security requirements identified in the security analysis. Appendices A, B, C and D provide specific information on the FCA Security Review and Testing Failures, errors, nonconformities and anomalies observed in testing are summarized in Appendix E. Documentation of corrections and verification of corrections are contained in each summary.

5.6 FCA Data Accuracy Testing

The data accuracy requirements of the *VSS 2002* are addressed in all test cases. Any time a test required an election to be created, installed, voted, and/or reported the accuracy of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system was being tested.

The FCA Accuracy Testing is specifically the Data Accuracy testing called out in Vol.2 section 4.7.1.1. This is a test performed in conjunction with the Temperature and Power Variations Test (v.2 section 4.7.1) and Reliability testing (v.2. section 4.7.3).

Data Accuracy Testing

The *VSS 2002* stipulates that a voting system fails if one error occurs before recording/reading 26,997 consecutive ballot positions correctly. A voting system must record/read 1,549,703 (or more) consecutive ballot positions correctly. If there's one error with more than 26,997 ballot positions but less than 1,549,703 correctly read, the test can be continued, with testing until another 1,576,701 consecutive ballot positions are counted without error (i.e. 3,126,404 with one error).

Temperature and Power Variations Testing & Reliability Testing

The VSS 2002 stipulates that non-COTS precinct and central count systems must execute Data Accuracy testing in a chamber while operating for 48 hours in temperatures between 50° F and 95° F at varying voltage (see Appendix D Test Method). Reliability required a minimum operation of 163 hours. On February 6, 2008, the EAC issued Interpretation 2008-01 that identified the number of hours multiple voting systems must accumulate. For this Sequoia test campaign, 2 units ran for 48 hours through Temperature and Power variations cycles and 36 hours at ambient. Operation included voting and tallying results at the rates prescribed in the VSS 2002. [The equipment remained powered on during all phases of test administration.](#)

[The testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3.](#)

5.6.1 FCA Data Accuracy Tests (Accuracy, Reliability, Volume, & Stress)

The Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system was found to meet the VSS 2002 Vol. 1 Section 3.2.1 requirements. Appendices A and D provide specific information on the Data Accuracy Testing. No issues were encountered during this testing

5.7 FCA Volume, Performance, Stress, and Error Recovery Testing

iBeta executed a review of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system limits to the requirements of the VSS 2002 (see Appendix A). Tests covering system limit requirements were incorporated into three test cases of end-to-end mock elections. The test cases are Volume 1, Volume 1a, and Volume 2.

- Volume 1 and 1a objectives were to test and validate the ability to process, store and report data using the maximum number of ballot styles, contests, parties, candidate counters in an election and ballots/cards cast per machine within an election on different hardware configurations.
- Volume 2 objectives were to test and validate the ability to process, store and report data using the maximum number of active voting positions, parties, contests in a ballot style/precinct, precincts in an election, candidates per contest, ballot styles in a precinct, precincts in a memory pack, Vote For in a contest, and candidate counters in a precinct within an election on different hardware configurations.

Election databases and ballots were prepared, installed, voted and reported exercising the input controls, error content, and audit message content of the voting system. The elections were programmed, voted and tallied to ensure ballot formats were accurately displayed, votes are accurately and reliably cast and reported for the voting variations and functionality supported by the voting system.

5.7.1 FCA Volume (Performance, Stress, and Error Recovery) Tests

The Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system was found to meet the Volume, Performance, Stress, and Error Recovery requirements of the VSS 2002. The specific voting variations and system limits tested in the Volume 1, Volume 1a, and Volume 2 Test Cases are identified in [Appendix D](#) section [FCA Volume \(Volume Stress, Performance and Error Recovery\) Testing](#). During testing 3 functional defects were noted. Their resolution and validations are identified in [Appendix E- Discrepancy Report](#).

5.8 FCA Hardware Environmental Testing

iBeta Quality Assurance executed environmental testing of the WinEDS 4.0 voting system in accordance with the VSS 2002 requirements. The testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3 and in the attached hardware test reports by Criterion Technology, Inc., Wyle Laboratories, Oracle (formerly APT), and [Intertek Testing Services](#).

The Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 vote scanning, counting and DRE voting equipment consists of the following:

- EDGE2*plus* CO.3
- EDGE2*plus* CO.4 with and without the APS VVPAT (as the APS VVPAT is not part of the federal certification test effort; [however, reports can also print to the screen and/or be stored on the USB results cartridge and printed by WinEDS.](#))
- EDGE2*plus* 305

- Edge II
- HAAT80, 90, and 100
- Insight
- Insight Plus
- Optech 400-C
- WinEDS (COTS) with MPR

Additional voting system equipment that does not function in the role of vote scanning, counting, or DRE consists of the following components:

- HAAT50
- Card Activator
- IMPR

iBeta performed an examination of the COTS equipment Sycard PCCextend CardBus (PCMCIA adapter), APC Smart-UPS, Tash buddy buttons, HP LaserJet 1022n, Acer 17" Monitor, CyberPower CPS1500AVR UPS, headphones and various Laptops against the system specifications to confirm documented evidence of COTS equipment and operation per VSS 2002 Vol.1 Section 4.1.2 and Interpretation 2007-05. iBeta confirmed that each COTS component had FCC Class 15B and CE marks affixed to each unit indicating that the product has been certified to meet these requirements and the COTS manufacturer's Declaration of Conformity confirming the manufacturer compliance claims.

Table 14 below lists the environmental test requirements and each piece of hardware with the corresponding test report. All of the 3rd party laboratory final reports are provided as attachments to this report including Wyle Letter No. T57306B-002 dated January 11, 2010 subject of: Hearing Aid Compatibility Testing of the Sequoia Headset.

During the federal test campaign, a number of Engineering Change Orders (ECOs) were submitted for hardware changes driven by testing. Those ECOs incorporated into the hardware configuration during the test campaign are identified in Table 14.

Engineering Change Order (ECO)	Hardware	Description
ECO-776	Insight/Insight Plus	Ferrites and tie wraps, copper coat valance, 2X holes, ground straps, Revision Level and EAC labels
ECO-777	MPR	Ferrite added
ECO-778	400-C	Ground wire, Rollback ECO 706 to previous , Revision Level label to 3.02P
ECO-2349	Edge II	Gray adapter added (replacement adapter for audio connector)
ECO-794	Edge II	Cover top of PCMCIA card with electrical liquid tape
ECO-795	Edge II	Increase size of LED to 7.9mm, install and silicone VVPAT LED cover
ECO-796	Edge II	Plastic cover over VVPAT & Audio connections
ECO-797	Edge II	New serial audio connector (spare part)
ECO-783	EDGE2plus	Install a washer to the key lock area
ECO-784	EDGE2plus	Remove excessive paint to improve ground contact
ECO-785	EDGE2plus	Add and glue hard plastic piece (5.5" x 4.5") to internal section of vent
ECO-786	EDGE2plus	Add grounding wire cable to internal section
ECO-3192	HAAT	Battery circuit saver
ECO-787	HAAT	Add copper paint to key lock area
ECO-788	HAAT	Grounding reinforcement of the LCD circuit
ECO-789	HAAT	Add polycarbonate frame between the LCD screen and the keypad membrane
ECO-790	HAAT	Cover membrane connection wire with a heat shrink tube
ECO-791	HAAT	Bend cable in new direction and maintain position with a rubber band
ECO-792	HAAT	Circuit configuration to improve battery life
ECO-798	Edge II	Ground Wire
ECRE2PMAINN24-090831	EDGE2plus	Cover that replaces the audio unit on the EDGE2plus CO.4

Table 14: Engineering Change Orders

Equipment	Summary of Testing Conducted	MIL-STD 810D						FCC									OSHA
		516.3 Bench Handling	514.3 Category 1 Vibration	502 Low Temp	501 High Temp	507-2 Humidity	501 & 502 Temp & Power Variation With Accuracy & 163 hour Reliability Tests	Electromagnet Radiation Part 15 Class B	Power Disturbance 61000-4-11	Electrostatic Disruption 61000-4-2	Electromagnetic Susceptibility 61000-4-3	Electrical Fast Transit 61000-4-4	Lightening Surge 61000-4-5	RF Immunity 61000-4-6	Magnetic Fields Immunity 61000-4-8	Safety Title 29, Part 1910	
EDGE2plus CO.3 and CO.4	EDGE2plus CO.3 and CO.4 both audio and flash drive .The CO.4 is the CO.3 hardware configuration plus the CO.4 change order. ESD tested with and without the optional APS VVPAT.	10	10	10	10	10	24	23	11, 12	23, 25	23	23	11, 12	23	11, 12	6	
EDGE2plus 305	The 305 is the CO.4 without audio	10	10	10	10	10	24	23	11, 12	23	23	23	11, 12	23	11, 12	6	
HAAT50 A0.3 and A1.1 HAAT 80 A1.1 HAAT90 A1.1	The HAAT50 is not vote scanning or counting equipment. HAAT 50 is the HAAT80 without the printer and the HAAT80 is hardware equivalent of the HAAT90 (difference is a modem in the HAAT90).	7	7	7	7	7	24	21	7	21	7	5	5	5	5	7	
HAAT100 A0.7		7	7	7	7	7	24	22	22	22, 25	22	22	22	22	22	7	
HAAT100 A0.7	With Battery Circuit Saver	7	7	7	7	7	24	22	22	22, 25	22	22	22	22	22	7	
IMPR A1.0 and C1.1	The IMPR was tested in conjunction with HAAT100. The IMPR is not vote scanning or counting equipment.	7	7	7	7	7	24	22	22	22, 25	22	22	22	22	22	7	
MPR Revision D		1	1	1	1	1	17	13	13	13	13,17	13	13	13	13	17	
Edge II with Verivote and audio		8	8	8	8	8	24	9	9	25	9	9	9	9	9	9	
Insight with battery		2	2	2	2	2	19	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	2	
Insight Plus with battery		2	2	2	2	2	19	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	2	
400-C with UPS		3	3	3	3	3	18	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	3	
Card Activator	The Card Activator is not vote scanning or counting equipment.	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	

Table 15: Matrix of Environmental Hardware Testing Results Reports

Test Reports per EAC 24 July 2009 letter on test results reuse of hardware testing from the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0.034 test campaign:

1. Wyle Laboratories Report No. 50932-03 Qualification Testing of the Memory Pack Receiver dated 10 May 2005.
2. Wyle Laboratories Report No. 52125-02 Hardware Qualification Testing of the Optech Insight/Insight Plus dated 16 March 2006.
3. Wyle Laboratories Report No. 52125-04 Hardware Qualification Testing of the Sequoia Optech 400-C Ballot Counter with WinETP dated 16 March 2006

Test Reports per EAC 29 September 2009 letter on test results reuse of hardware testing from the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0.034 test campaign:

4. EDGE2*plus* CO3: Percept Technology Labs Test Report dated 7/18/2006
5. HAAT: Criterion Technology Report Number 060608-1056 EMC Qualification Test Report Hybrid Activator, Accumulator and Transmitter, HAAT90 dated 5 July 2006
6. Components Reliability & Safety, Inc. Report #06-1000 Product Safety Testing and Evaluation for Voting Machine Model number Edge 2 Plus-200, -300 22 June 2006
7. HAAT 90 Percept Technology Labs Test Report dated 7/17/2006
8. Wyle Laboratories Report No. 51884-03 Hardware Qualification Testing of the Edge Models I & II DRE Voting Machines, [Verivote](#) Printer, Card Activator, and ADA Audio Adapter Peripherals dated 16 March 2006
9. Wyle Laboratories Report No. 44733-02 CE Verification Testing on the AVC Edge Voting Machine, Card Activator and Audio Box dated 23 April 2002
10. APT Testing Services Report for Testing of Sequoia Edge 2 Plus 200 5/16/-6-6/12/06

Test Reports identified as reuse per the [WinEDS v. 4.0 VSTL Test Plan](#):

11. Criterion Technology Report Number 060509-1038 EMC Qualification Test Report Sequoia Voting System, Edge2plus 200 dated 31 May 2006 (ALL EMI/EMC)
12. Criterion Technology Report Number 060608-1057 EMC Qualification Test Report Sequoia Voting System, Edge2plus 300 dated 5 July 2006 (ALL EMI/EMC for the updates from 200 to 300)

Test Reports issued during this current Sequoia Test Campaign:

13. Criterion Technology Report Number 080904-1302 EMC Qualification Test Report MPR, 3.01 Rev E dated 24 June 2009
14. Criterion Technology Report Number 080904-1310 EMC Qualification Test Report Insight, G.05 dated 17 June 2009
15. Criterion Technology Report Number 080904-1335 EMC Qualification Test Report Insight Plus, A.05 dated 29 June 2009
16. Criterion Technology Report Number 080904-1338 EMC Qualification Test Report Optech 400-C, 3.02P dated 29 June 2009
17. Wyle Laboratories Report No. T56534-01 Hardware Testing and Evaluation of the Sequoia Voting Systems Optech MemoryPack Receiver dated 23 November 2009
18. Wyle Laboratories Report No. T56534-02 Hardware Testing and Evaluation of the Sequoia Voting Systems Optech 400-C Ballot Counter dated 23 November 2009
19. Wyle Laboratories Report No. T56534-03 Hardware Testing and Evaluation of the Sequoia Voting Systems Optech Insight and Insight Plus Precinct Ballot Counter dated 23 November 2009
20. Wyle Letter No. T57306B-002 dated January 11, 2010 subject of : Hearing Aid Compatibility Testing of the Sequoia Headset
21. Criterion Technology Report Number 090929-1472 EMC Qualification Test Report HAAT90 Rev 1 dated 16 June 2010
22. Criterion Technology Report Number 090929-1475 EMC Qualification Test Report HAAT100 Rev 1 dated 24 June 2010
23. Criterion Technology Report Number 090929-1474 EMC Qualification Test Report Edge2plus Rev 2 dated 15 July 2010
24. Oracle® Advanced Product Testing Lab Testing Services Report 10-00317 dated 25 March 2010
25. Intertek Electronic Voting Machine and Voter Card [Activator](#) Test Report, 100097216DEN-001, [Revision 2](#), 09/07/2010

5.8.1 FCA Hardware Environmental Tests

The Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system was found to meet the environmental testing conducted in accordance with VSS 2002 Vol.1 Section 3.2.2.5 through 3.2.2.12. As the VSS 2002 requires DRE's to include audio functionality the environmental tests which require performance while the unit is operating must include audio operations, the Environmental Operating Tests therefore included both visual and audio ballot operations. Appendix D details specific information on the Hardware Environmental Testing. Failures, errors, nonconformities and anomalies observed in testing are summarized in [Appendix E- Discrepancy Report](#). Documentation of corrections and verification of corrections are contained in each summary. During testing 18 functional defects were noted. Any mitigation required was performed in compliance with Section 2.5.2.1.3 of the EAC Laboratory Accreditation Program Manual.

5.9 *FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Testing*

The WinEDS 4.0 voting system uses telephony to transmit unofficial consolidated results by wired and wireless modem from the Hybrid Activator, Accumulator and Transmitters (HAAT90 and HAAT100) to the central count WinEDS receiving server endpoint (HAAT Listener). The HAAT90 and HAAT100 perform their accumulator role to consolidate precinct results prior to transmission. iBeta Quality Assurance executed the consolidation and transmission steps of General 2 (HAAT90) and General 3 or Primary 1 (HAAT100) test cases to specifically test the Telephony and Cryptographic aspect of the WinEDS 4.0 voting system. The testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3.

5.9.1 FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Tests

iBeta confirmed that the WinEDS 4.0 voting system election management hardware and installation procedures reflect the configuration described in Section 3. The HAAT90 and HAAT100 are prepared with ballot definitions at a secure location and transported to the precinct locations. After [closing of the polls](#), the HAAT90 and HAAT100 upload consolidated results by modem. The HAAT90 utilizes a POTS modem with an endpoint to the RAS which in turn sets up a TCP/IP connection to the HAAT Listener. The RAS consists entirely of COTS components. The HAAT100 utilizes a wireless connection to a COTS WAN with a TCP/IP endpoint at the HAAT Listener (both systems have a firewall between the public network and the HAAT Listener). In fielded systems, the COTS WAN is generally a state-wide or county-wide network. iBeta simulated this network utilizing the Internet. Wireshark was used to monitor the communications in a local environment to compare to the transmissions at the TCP/IP network layer. All communications are protected by formation of a TLS (https) connection between the HAAT90 or HAAT100 and the HAAT Listener. In addition to the TLS connection, the HAAT devices utilize AES encryption and a pre-shared election-specific key to transmit the consolidated vote data. Modem transmissions were monitored at the TCP/IP layer and a Mini-Maxwell device served as a man-in-the-middle (MITM) to delay, reorder, drop and duplicate packets transmitted in the system. Appendix D details specific information on the Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Testing. Failures, errors, nonconformities and anomalies observed in testing are summarized in Appendix E. Documentation of corrections and verification of corrections is contained in each summary.

6 Opinions & Recommendations

iBeta Quality Assurance has completed the testing of Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 Voting System. All testing prescribed in the test plan or amended test plan was performed as identified. Documentation of any divergence from the EAC approved test plan was included in the amended as-run test [plan](#) (see Appendix H). All identified anomalies or [failures](#) were reported and resolved. The information provided in this report is an accurate representation of the certification test effort of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 Voting System. It is our opinion that the report is complete.

For disclosure, iBeta is noting that the [APS UTG300](#) VVPAT association with the *EDGE2plus* was included in the test campaign although it is not part of the federal certification test effort (see Discrepancy #111 for details pertinent to this issue). [Reports can also print to the screen and/or be stored on the USB results cartridge and printed by WinEDS.](#)

Based on the findings identified in Section 5, it is our opinion that the acceptance requirement of the *Federal Election Commission Voting System Standards* April 2002 and the Dominion manufacturer specifications have been met for the hardware, software and user documentation of the system configuration submitted for certification testing.

iBeta Quality Assurance recommends that the Election Assistance Commission certifies Sequoia WinEDS 4.0.

See Appendix K for information regarding the EAC Certification number.



Gail Audette
Quality Manager
iBeta Quality Assurance

7 APPENDICES: TEST OPERATION, FINDINGS & DATA ANALYSIS

The *Voting System Test Laboratory Program Manual v.1.0* Appendix B identifies content in specific appendices. In order to ensure that this content and content required by *VSS 2002* Volume 2 Appendix B a trace is provided in section 1.4 to clarify the location of this specified content.

7.1 **Appendix A: Certification Test Requirements**

Appendix A identifies the test results to the Certification Test Requirement of the *VSS 2002*. Requirements are marked as follows:

- Accept: met the *VSS 2002* requirement
- Reject: did not meet the *VSS 2002* requirement
- NA: the requirement is not applicable to the voting system type submitted for Certification Testing
- Pending: *VSS 2002* requirements that cannot be completed by the VSTL until after Certification
- Out of Scope: *VSS 2002* requirements which are performed by entities other than the VSTL

Requirements marked Reject, NA, Pending or Out of Scope shall include an explanatory note. (Example: If a voting system is only a Central Count Scanner, the requirement is marked "NA" and a comment indicates "Not a DRE.") The test case trace corresponds to the Test Methods identified in the [Appendix H- Amended Test Plan](#) and [Appendix D- FCA Testing](#).

- Env - Environmental Test Case
- Char - Characteristics Test Case
- G1 - General Election 01 Test Case
- G2 - General Election 02 Test Case
- G3 - General Election 03 Test Case
- G4 - General Election 04 Test Case
- P1 - Primary Election 01 Test Case
- P2 - Primary Election 02 Test Case
- P3 - Primary Election 03 Test Case
- P4 - Primary Election 04 Test Case
- G3R - General Election 03 Regression Test Case
- G4R - General Election 04 Regression Test Case
- P1R - Primary Election 01 Regression Test Case
- P2R - Primary Election 02 Regression Test Case
- P3R - Primary Election 03 Regression Test Case
- T&C - Telephony and Cryptographic Test Case
- Sec - Security Test Case
- Acc - Accuracy Test Cases
- Vol1 - Volume 01 Test Cases
- Vol2 - Volume 02 Test Case
- VolR - Volume Regression Test Case

Optional requirements which apply to the voting system type but are not supported by the WinEDS 4.0 voting system are not marked "NA". Instead they are marked "Accept", with an explanatory comment. The reason for this is to provide a positive identification that iBeta reviewed the voting system for all applicable requirements, including this optional functionality and confirmed non-support. (Example: If a voting system does not have a VVPAT. The requirements are marked "Accept" and a comment indicates "DRE does not have a VVPAT".)

Issues identified during testing are cross-referenced to the [Appendix E- Discrepancy Report](#).

EAC Decisions on Requests for Interpretation which were applicable to the voting system submitted for certification testing are noted in the comments.

Due to the size of this Appendix, it is provided as an attachment. To view, select **Attachments** in the **View** menu in Adobe.

7.2 Appendix B: PCA Source Code Review

The PCA Source Code Review was conducted against the following requirements in the VSS 2002 (those highlighted in green are comment related):

<u>VSS 2002</u>	<u>Requirement</u>	<u>Definition</u>
Vol. 1 Section 4.2.2-Integrity		
v.1: 4.2.2	Self-modifying code	Self-modifying, dynamically loaded, or modification of compiled or interpreted code is prohibited
Vol. 1 Section 4.2.3- Modularity		
v.1: 4.2.3.a	Specific function	Module performs a specific function
v.1: 4.2.3.b	Module has unique name	Uniquely and mnemonically named using names that differ by more than a single character
v.1: 4.2.3.b 4.2.7 (a, a.1- a.6)	Module has header	Header describes purpose, other units needed, inputs, outputs, files read or written, globals, revision records (for modules greater than 10 lines) Header comments shall provide the following information: 1) The purpose of the unit and how it works; 2) Other units called and the calling sequence 3) A description of input parameters and outputs 4) File references by name and method of access 5) Global variables used 6) Date of creation and a revision record
v.1: 4.2.3.c	Required resources	All required resources, such as data accessed by the module, should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified
v.1: 4.2.3.e	Single Entry Point	Module has a single entry point
v.1: 4.2.3.e	Single Exit Point	Module has a single exit point
v.1: 4.2.3.f	Control structures	Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature where program control passes from one activity to the next.
Vol. 1 Section 4.2.4-Control Constructs		
v.1: 4.2.4.a	Acceptable Constructs	Acceptable constructs are Sequence, If-Then-Else, Do-While, Do-Until, Case, and the General loop (including the special case for loop);
v.1: 4.2.4.b	Vendor Defined Constructs with Justification	If the programming language used does not provide these control constructs, the vendor shall provide them (that is, comparable control structure logic). The constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used to control program logic and execution
v.1: 4.2.4.c	Execution through Control Constructs	While some programming languages do not create programs as linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes, to a conclusion, the program components nonetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs.
v.1: 4.2.4.d	Program re-direction	Logic that evaluates received or stored data shall not re-direct program control
Vol. 1 Section 4.2.5-Naming Conventions		
v1: 4.2.5.a	Name Readability	Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use.
v.1: 4.2.5.b 4.2.5.c	Class, function and variable names	Consistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an application and differ by more than a single character.
v.1: 4.2.5.d	Keyword	Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables
Vol. 1 Section 4.2.6-Coding Conventions		
v.2: 5.4.2.a	Uniform calling sequences	Uses uniform calling sequences.
v.2: 5.4.2.a	Parameters type and range validation	All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on entry into each unit or the unit comments shall explicitly identify the types and ranges
v.2: 5.4.2.b	Explicit return values	The return is explicitly defined for functions and explicitly assigned
v.2: 5.4.2.c	Macros	Does not use macros that contain returns or pass control beyond the next statement
v.2: 5.4.2.d	Unbound arrays	Provides controls to prevent writing beyond the array, string, or buffer boundaries

VSS 2002	Requirement	Definition
v.2: 5.4.2.e	Pointers	Provides controls that prevent pointers from being used to overwrite executable instructions or to access areas where vote counts or audit records are stored
v.2: 5.4.2.f	Case statements	Default choice explicitly defined
v.2: 5.4.2.g	Vote counter overflowing	Provides controls to prevent any vote counter from overflowing
v.2: 5.4.2.h	Indentation	Code is indented consistently and clearly
v.2: 5.4.2.j	Code generator	Generated code should be marked as such with comments defining the logic invoked
v.2: 5.4.2.k	Line length	No line of code exceeding 80 columns in width without justification
v.2: 5.4.2.l	Executable statement	One executable statement for each line of source code
v.2: 5.4.2.m	Embedded executable statement	The single embedded statement may be considered a part of the conditional expression. Any additional executable statements should be split out to the other lines.
v.2: 5.4.2.n	Mixed-mode operations	Avoids mixed-mode operations. Comment if mixed-mode usage is necessary.
v.2: 5.4.2.o	Exit() message	Upon exit() at any point, presents a message to the user indicating the reason for the exit ().
v.2: 5.4.2.p	Format of messages	Separate and consistent formats to distinguish between normal status and error or exception messages
v.2: 5.4.2.q	References variables	References variables by fewer than five levels of indirection (i.e. a.b.c.d or a[b].c->d)
v.2: 5.4.2.r	Levels of indented scope	Functions with fewer than six levels of indented scope
v.2: 5.4.2.s	Variable initialization	Initializes every variable upon declaration where permitted.
v.2: 5.4.2.t	Explicit Comparisons	Explicit comparisons in all if() and while() conditions.
v.2: 5.4.2.u	Constant Definitions	All constants other than "0" and "1" defined or enumerated
v.2: 5.4.2.v	Ternary Operator	Only contains the minimum implementation of the "a = b ? c : d" syntax. Expansions such as "j=a?(b?c:d):e;" are prohibited.
v.2: 5.4.2.w	Assert() statement	All assert() statements coded such that they are absent from a production compilation
Vol. 1 Section 4.2.7 -Comments		
v.1: 4.2.7.b	Variables	All variables shall have comments at the point of declaration
v.1: 4.2.7.c	In-Line Comments	In-line comments shall be provided to facilitate interpretation of functional operations, tests, and branching
v.1: 4.2.7.d	Assembly code	Assembly code shall contain descriptive and informative comments
v.1: 4.2.7.e	Comments in uniform format	All comments formatted in a uniform manner
Vol. 1 Section 6.4.2 -Protection Against Malicious Software		
v.1: 6.4.2	Malicious Software	Susceptibility to file or macro viruses, worms, Trojan horses, logic bombs, or hardcoded passwords

The summary of the instances noted against the software related VSS 2002 requirements listed above for each source code language along with the iBeta validation is listed in Appendix B provided as an attachment.

7.3 ***Appendix C: PCA TDP Document Review***

The PCA TDP Document review, to the requirements of the *VSS 2002 section 2*, was performed by iBeta.

Due to the size of this Appendix, it is provided as an attachment. To view, select **Attachments** in the **View** menu in Adobe.

7.4 Appendix D: FCA Test Results

7.4.1 FCA Functional and System Level Testing

7.4.1.1 Functional Test Results

The system configurations identified below represent the test platform detail (including serial numbers, if applicable) for the associated functional test cases. A separate appendix contains detail and results. Due to the size of this Appendix, it is provided as an attachment. To view, select **Attachments** in the **View** menu in Adobe.

Voting System Test Matrix

General 1	General 2	General 3	General 4	WinEDS 4.0 Hardware	Primary 1	Primary 2	Primary 3	Primary 4
CO	MI	IL	PA	State	WA	WI	AZ	IL
39349	36273		39349	Edge II	39349	36273	36273	
28400			28400	Verivote Printer (Rev C)	28400	28400	384VVPTB00002551	
	3016976			Seiko DPU-414 Printer			3016976	
			543ABU2 D0000342 7	Edge Audio Voting Accessory (Rev D)				
			09605390 1	Edge AUX Power Unit				
				Card Activator (Rev D)			02144147 HCM	
06323446 HCM				Card Activator (Rev E)				
	5472	9880		EDGE2Plus (C0.3) Model 300		9880		9880
S10001		S10001	S10001	EDGE2Plus (C0.4) Model 300	S10001		S10002	S10001
				EDGE2Plus (C0.4) Model 305				
9874	9892	9874	9874	APS (UTG300) Printer	9874	10001	10003	9874
			512029	Insight (G05)	501751		501751	
502918	502918	502918	502896	Insight Plus (A05)	502896	502918		502918
iBeta #3055	iBeta #3058	iBeta #3046	iBeta #3059	MemoryPack	iBeta #3048	iBeta #3043	iBeta #3051	iBeta #3058
PR506777	PR506777	500607	500607	MPR (Rev D)	500607	500607	PR506777	500607
200208		200208		400-C (3.0xP)	200208	200208		200208
				IMPR (A1.0)				
577IMPRC 1105908	577IMPR C1105908	577IMPR C1105907		IMPR (C1.1)				577IMPR C1105907
				HAAT50 (A0.3)		1045		
			351HT50A 11001008	HAAT50 (A1.1)	351HT50A 11001008			
1197				HAAT80 (A1.1)				
	1138			HAAT90 (A1.1)				
		4464		HAAT100 (A0.7)				4464
	iBeta #1004	iBeta #1004		HAAT Listener				iBeta #1004
	iBeta #1012			RAS Server				

Voting System Test Matrix Regression Round 1

General 1	General 2	General 3	General 4	WinEDS 4.0 Hardware	Primary 1	Primary 2	Primary 3	Primary 4
CO	MI	IL	PA	State	WA	WI	AZ	IL
39349	36273		39349	Edge II	39349	36273		
28400			28400	Verivote Printer (Rev C)	28400	12524		
	3016976			Seiko DPU-414 Printer				
			3427	Edge Audio Voting Accessory (Rev D)				
				Edge AUX Power Unit				
				Card Activator (Rev D)				
06323446 HCM				Card Activator (Rev E)			06348746 HCM	
		5472		EDGE2Plus (C0.3) Model 300		5472		5472
S10001			S10001	EDGE2Plus (C0.4) Model 300				S10001
	100809			EDGE2Plus (C0.4) Model 305				
9874	100809			APS (UTG300) Printer		9892		9874
	501751			Insight (G05)	501751			
502918				Insight Plus (A05)				502918
iBeta #3055	iBeta #3051			MemoryPack	iBeta #3059			iBeta #3046
PR506777	PR506777			MPR (Rev D)	PR506777			500607
200208		200227		400-C (3.00P)	200227			
	350IMPRA 10003191			IMPR (A1.0)				
577IMPRC 1105908				IMPR (C1.1)				577IMPR C1105904
				HAAT50 (A0.3)				
				HAAT50 (A1.1)				
1197				HAAT80 (A1.1)		1197		
	334HT90A 11001128			HAAT90 (A1.1)				
				HAAT100 (A0.7)				357H100 A0700617 7
	iBeta #1004			HAAT Listener				iBeta #1004
	iBeta #1012			RAS Server				

7.4.1.2 System Level Test Results

Please see the Voting System Test Matrix above as corresponds to each rerun (versioned) test case. Discrepancies were noted in [Appendix E - Discrepancy Report](#).

7.4.1.3 Security Review and Test Results

Please see the Voting System Test Matrix above as corresponds to general test cases referenced in the Security test steps. Discrepancies were noted in [Appendix E - Discrepancy Report](#).

7.4.2 FCA Accuracy Testing

Accuracy Tests were conducted at APT and Wyle. The system configurations identified below represent the test platform detail (including serial numbers, if applicable) for the associated Accuracy Test Cases. Discrepancies were noted in [Appendix E - Discrepancy Report](#).

Location of Equipment	Test Case	Description of Equipment	Serial Number
APT	DRE Accuracy	Dell Laptop Model D630 #PP18L Duo T9300 @ 2.50GHz 3.50 GB RAM	Service Tag: 545WXG1
APT	DRE Accuracy	HAAT90	1128
APT	DRE Accuracy	HAAT100	4464
APT	DRE Accuracy	HAAT100	4412
APT	DRE Accuracy	Edge II	39349
APT	DRE Accuracy	Edge II	36273
APT	DRE Accuracy	EDGE2plus Model 300 Rev. C0.3	5472
APT	DRE Accuracy	EDGE2plus Model 300 Rev. C0.3	9880
APT	DRE Accuracy	EDGE2plus Model 300 Rev. C0.4	S10001
APT	DRE Accuracy	EDGE2plus Model 305 Rev. C0.4	100809
Wyle	Optical Scan Accuracy	Optech 400-C Central Count	200226
Wyle	Optical Scan Accuracy	400-C Central Count	200227
Wyle	Optical Scan Accuracy	Optech Insight	501751
Wyle	Optical Scan Accuracy	Optech Insight	512029
Wyle	Optical Scan Accuracy	Optech Insight Plus	502896
Wyle	Optical Scan Accuracy	Optech Insight Plus	502918
iBeta	Volume 1/1A	Edge II	31172
iBeta	Volume 1/1A	Optech Insight	501751
iBeta	Volume 1/1A	Optech Insight	512029
iBeta	Volume 1/1A	EDGE2plus Model 300 Rev. C0.3	9880
iBeta	Volume 1/1A	Optech Insight Plus	502918
iBeta	Volume 1/1A	Optech Insight Plus	502896
iBeta	Volume 1/1A	HAAT100	4464
iBeta	Volume 1/1A	Optech 400-C Central Count	200208
iBeta	Volume 1/1A	Optech 400-C Central Count	200226
iBeta	Volume 2	Optech Insight	501751
iBeta	Volume 2	Optech Insight Plus	502896
iBeta	Volume 2	Edge II	36273
iBeta	Volume 2	EDGE2plus Model 300 Rev. C0.4	S10002
iBeta	Volume 2	EDGE2plus Model 300 Rev. C0.3	5472
iBeta	Volume 2	HAAT90	1138
iBeta	Volume 2	IMPR Rev.C 1.1	577IMPRC1105904
iBeta	Volume 2	MPR Rev D	PR506777
iBeta	Volume 2	Optech 400-C Central Count	200226

7.4.3 FCA Maintainability, Usability and Accessibility Testing

Please see the Voting System Test Matrix above for system configurations identified for the test platform for the Characteristics test case as corresponds to general and primary test cases referenced in the test case. Discrepancies were noted in [Appendix E - Discrepancy Report](#).

7.4.4 FCA Hardware Environmental Testing

The system configurations identified below represent the test platform detail (including serial numbers, if applicable) for the Environmental Test Case. Discrepancies were noted in [Appendix E - Discrepancy Report](#).

Description of Equipment	Serial Number
HAAT 90	334HT90A11001128
IMPR Rev. A1.0	350IMPRA10003191
IMPR Rev. C 1.1	577IMPRC1105917
HAAT 100	4412
HAAT 100	6177
IMPR Rev. C 1	5914
HAAT 100	4412
EDGE2 <i>plus</i> C0.4	S10004
Edge II	51178
Verivote VVPAT for Edge II	12524
E-AVA & Headset	3422
EDGE2 <i>plus</i> C0.4	S10002
Memory Pack Receiver (MPR) Rev D	PR506801
Memory Pack Receiver (MPR) Rev E	PR506777
(8) Power Sonic Class 2 Automatic Battery Charger PSC- 12800A-C 12 volt-800mA	PR506801
Optech Insight G05	512029
CyberPower CPS1500AVR (400-C UPS)	BB07Y2000347
400-C (3.02P)	200227
Optech Insight Plus A05	502918
400-C (3.02P)	200226
Optech Insight Plus A0.2 (surface mount)	514044
Optech Insight G05	501751
Optech Insight Plus A05	502896
Optech Insight A.02 (surface mount)	502891
APC UPS (COTS)	No SN identified
APC Smart-UPS 2200 (black) (SUA2200) (COTS)	J50644088202

7.4.5 FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Testing

Please see the Voting System Test Matrix above for system configurations identified for the test platform for the Telephony and Cryptographic test case as corresponds to general and primary test cases referenced in the test case. Discrepancies were noted in [Appendix E - Discrepancy Report](#).

7.5 ***Appendix E: Discrepancy Report***

Due to the size of this Appendix, it is provided as an attachment. To view, select **Attachments** in the **View** menu in Adobe.

7.6 **Appendix F: Warrant of Accepting Change Control Responsibility**



August 3, 2010

Ms. Gail Audette
Quality Manager
iBeta Quality Assurance
2675 South Abilene Street, Suite 300
Aurora, Colorado 80014

Re: Dominion Voting Systems Warrant of Accepting Change Control Responsibility as defined in Appendix B of the EAC VSTL Program Manual and NOC 09-004

Ms. Audette:

In accordance with the above referenced US Election Assistance Notice of Clarification:

Dominion Voting Systems warrants that any and all changes made to the WinEDS 4.0 voting system during the test campaign will be incorporated to any deployed voting system and its components prior to the application of any sort of mark of EAC Certification to that deployed system or its components.

Do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions with respect to this Warrant.

Sincerely,
Edwin B. Smith, III
Vice President, Certification and Compliance
Dominion Voting Systems Corporation
Tel: (416) 762-8683 ext. 271
Fax: (416) 762-8663



7.7 Appendix G: Trusted Builds WinEDS 4.0 Voting System

The Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system is composed of the hardware, software, and documents identified in section 3.

iBeta uses a COTS hash program (Maresware) to obtain File Size, MD5 and SHA1 hashes during trusted builds. Both algorithms have been validated using the test data from the NIST NSRL website (<http://www.nsrl.nist.gov/testdata/>). This program is widely used in forensic analysis of systems and also used by some states to verify their voting software. The MD5 and SHA1 hashes are taken to be consistent with the currently distributed NSRL data files which contain the hash resulting from each of those two algorithms.

Listed below are the source code versions reviewed by iBeta for the Final Trusted Builds versions of the Sequoia WinEDS 4.0 voting system (NIST Handbook 150-22 4.2.3, 4.13.2, 4.13.4, 5.10.4 VSS 2002 Vol. 1: 9.6.2.4). The final Trusted Build was utilized for the full end-to-end regression test in accordance with the requirements of the Section 5.6.3.4 of the EAC Testing and Certification Program Manual.

Due to the size of this Appendix, it is provided as an attachment. To view, select **Attachments** in the **View** menu in Adobe.

7.7.1 Trusted Build ICR (IMPR) and TSMPlayer (March 29, 2010)

7.7.2 Trusted Build HAAT_OS (April 5, 2010)

7.7.3 Trusted Build EDGE2plus_OS (April 15, 2010)

7.7.4 Trusted Build HAAT Listener, HAAT Installer, and Saes_Log (April 16, 2010)

7.7.5 Trusted Build P168 and 3200 Controller (April 26, 2010)

7.7.6 Trusted Build EDGE2plus (May 5, 2010)

7.7.7 Trusted Build Insight, MPR, and VVPAT (May 19, 2010)

7.7.8 Trusted Build ABU (May 20, 2010)

7.7.9 Trusted Build Card Activator (June 2, 2010)

7.7.10 Trusted Build Edge II (July 9, 2010)

7.7.11 Trusted Build HAAT Application (July 9, 2010)

7.7.12 Trusted Build WinEDS and WinETP (September 2, 2010)

7.8 *Appendix H: Amended Test Plan*

The approved test plan along with the EAC Approval Letter dated 23 April 2009 are located on the EAC website.

This test plan was amended during test execution. This amended test plan is attached, *Dominion Voting System, Sequoia WinEDS v.4.0 VSTL Certification Test Plan*.

7.9 **Appendix I: State Test Reports**

During the federal certification test campaign of WinEDS 4.0, iBeta conducted three separate state testing efforts and a summary of the results of those test projects are provided below. In accordance with the EAC Notice of Clarification 2007-03, a separate test plan for each test effort was created as a stand-alone document. Concurrent state testing was conducted during the EAC testing and certification test campaign; however, the concurrent state testing is not subject to EAC Certification or oversight. The State test reports addressed only the functionality associated with the State specific configuration of the WinEDS 4.0 system as defined in the Sequoia TDP.

7.9.1 **City and County of San Francisco**

The focus of this test effort was on the Ranked Choice Voting (RCV) functionality of WinEDS 4.0. The test effort start date was 25 April 2008. A separate test plan was submitted and approved by the vendor prior to test initiation. The test effort was completed on 4 August 2008 with the approval of the Test Report.

iBeta completed the functional testing of the Sequoia Voting Systems WinEDS v.4.0 with WinETP and San Francisco Rank Choice Voting (RCV) as outlined in alternative implementation Section 2.3 of the *Sequoia Voting Systems Ranked Choice Voting Alternative Implementations for California* document version 1.00 dated April 2008 and the approved *City and County of San Francisco Ranked Choice Voting (RCV) Test Plan* v2.0 dated 19 June 2008 which identified iBeta Quality Assurance's (iBeta) approach to functional testing of the Sequoia Voting Systems WinEDS 3.1.012 (NASED certified version that was currently in-use by the jurisdiction) and WinEDS 4.0 with WinETP.

WinEDS v.4.0 with WinETP and San Francisco RCV was tested with Optech 400-C and Optech Insight Plus optically scanned paper ballots.

This test effort incorporated:

- Development of functional test requirements based upon Sequoia Voting System *Ranked Choice Voting*, Sec 13.102 of Article XIII *City and County of San Francisco Municipal Code 1996 Charter, Instant Runoff Elections* and *California State Law Elections CODE Section 15650-15654*;
- Pre-test source code review of the Extended Services snap-ins and utilities to identify the applicability to the requirements and the extent of testing required;
- A witnessed build and source code escrow of source code previously reviewed by iBeta as part of Sequoia Voting System's federal certification application [SEQ-40-2007-W1](#);
- Development of a test plan detailing functional testing;
- Review and acceptance of the test plan by Sequoia Voting Systems;
- Management of the voting system configurations;
- Development and execution of a set of functional system level test cases;
- Reporting of defects and validation of their resolution; and
- Analysis of results

The execution of the original 12 test cases uncovered a number of discrepancies that were immediately addressed by Sequoia Voting Systems and regression tested by iBeta. The final regression test (re-execution of a full-end-to-end test with the final WinEDS build) was completed without any additional items identified. All defects identified during functional testing are closed. In the opinion of iBeta Quality Assurance, the acceptance requirements identified in Test Report were met.

At the conclusion of the test effort, the RCV snap-in was then submitted to be included as part of the WinEDS 4.0 Extended Services in the federal test campaign (functional tested in the Primary 1 Test Method and corresponding Test Case as documented in this final test report).

7.9.2 Pierce County Washington

The focus of this test effort was to review the modifications to the source code from WinEDS 4.0.108 with AVC Edge 1.2.56.0 to WinEDS 4.0.108 with AVC Edge 1.2.57.0 in accordance with the iBeta Source Code Review Procedure. The modification consisted of 3 lines of code and 5 lines of comments. Due to the small size of this test effort, the test plan was provided in a letter format.

Once the source code review was complete, a witness build of the updated source code was conducted and the build installations provided on CD with a Final Report to the Office of the Washington Secretary of State. All tasks were completed successfully. This test effort had no impact on the federal certification test campaign.

7.9.3 Illinois Board of Elections

The focus of this test effort was functional testing tailored to the design and complexity of software and the type of voting system hardware deployed in Cook County, Illinois (see list of voting devices below for that configuration). The test effort start date was 9 March 2009. A separate test plan was submitted and approved by the Illinois Board of Elections prior to test initiation. The test effort was completed on 11 August 2009 with the approval and acceptance of the Test Report by the Illinois Board of Elections.

The Sequoia Voting System, WinEDS v.4.0: Independent Voting Systems Testing for the State of Illinois Test Plan v1.0 dated 1 May 2009 identified iBeta's approach to independent testing of the Sequoia Voting Systems WinEDS 4.0 for the State of Illinois. The test effort incorporated an Election Management System and three voting devices:

- The WinEDS election management system for ballot preparation and central count functions;
- The *EDGE2plus* touch screen Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) video and audio voter editable ballot devices with an *APS UTG300* Voter Verified Paper Audit Trail (VVPAT) with accessible ballot inputs for voters with manual dexterity limitations (models CO.3 and CO.4);
- The Optech Insight Plus precinct count optical scanners; and
- The Optech 400-C central count optical scanner, with WinETP.

This Test Case Design provided the complete functional test cases, system level test cases, documentation of the source code escrow (sources, control, and versioning), reporting requirements, document pass/fail criteria, discrepancy reporting requirements, test project status reporting, and project communication.

The Test Report v1.0 dated 11 August 2009 identified the test activities that were conducted to support the Illinois Board of Elections and summarized the functional testing of the Sequoia Voting Systems WinEDS 4.0.141. The purpose of the final test report was to document the scope and detail of the requirements of functional testing of Cook County, Illinois, voting configuration, including an overview of the pretest activities, functional testing and test findings.

This Test Report identified the test activities conducted to support the Illinois Board of Elections and contains:

- Development of functional test requirements based upon Sequoia Voting System functionality and State of Illinois board of elections.
- Pre-test source code review of the applications utilized in the functional test effort;
- Witnessed builds and source code escrow of source code previously reviewed by iBeta as part of Sequoia Voting System's federal certification application SEQ-40-2007-W1;
- Witnessed builds and source code escrow of source code reviewed by iBeta as part of this test effort;
- Development of a test plan detailing functional testing;
- Review and acceptance of the test plan by Sequoia Voting Systems and the Illinois Board of Elections;
- Management of the voting system configurations;
- Development and execution of a set of functional system level test cases;

- Reporting of defects and validation of their resolution; and
- Analysis of results

During the testing effort, iBeta noted a number of *VSS 2002* requirements that were out-of-scope for this State test effort as identified in NOC 2007-03, States may use an EAC accredited laboratory to conduct testing of a sub-set of the requirements. Additionally, there were a number of *VSS 2002* requirements that would not be met in a federal certification test effort and those requirements were marked as 'Reject' in the requirements matrix in Appendix A and traced to Appendix C which contains either the resolution or the mitigation provided by Sequoia within the Final Test Report.

The Illinois State discrepancies were re-tested during the federal test campaign and those discrepancies, once re-verified, were listed in Appendix E and tracked to closure.

7.10 **Appendix J: Dominion Voting Systems Implementation Statement**

A copy of the [Dominion](#) Voting Systems implementation statement is provided as an attachment. To view, select **Attachments** in the **View** menu in Adobe.

7.11 Appendix K: EAC Certification Number & Voting System Configuration

This report was submitted to the Election Assistance Commission on 8 September 2010. It is pending their acceptance. No certification number has been issued. When iBeta receives notification that the report is accepted, a revised version of the report will be issued. The Certification number will appear here and on the Title page. Any other revisions will be noted in the version history

This Certification is for the Voting System Hardware and Software configuration(s) listed in section 3.1.