


ORIGINAL

FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT
(Short Form)

(Follow instructions on the back)

1. Federal Agency and Organizational Element to Which Report is Submitted C/O FEC 999 E Street N.W.		2. Federal Grant or Other Identifying Number Assigned By Federal Agency CDFA 39.011 - Sec 102		OMB Approval No. 0348-0038	Page of 1 1 pages
3. Recipient Organization (Name and complete address, including ZIP code) Oregon Secretary of State 255 Capitol Street NE, Ste 180, Salem OR 97310					
4. Employer Identification Number [REDACTED]		5. Recipient Account Number or Identifying Number [REDACTED]		6. Final Report <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
7. Basis <input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accrual					
8. Funding/Grant Period (See instructions) From: (Month, Day, Year) 5/1/2003		To: (Month, Day, Year)		9. Period Covered by this Report From: (Month, Day, Year) 1/1/2004	
				To: (Month, Day, Year) 12/31/2004	
10. Transactions:					
		I Previously Reported	II This Period	III Cumulative	
a. Total outlays		0.00	1,686,406.00	1,686,406.00	
b. Recipient share of outlays		0.00	0.00	0.00	
c. Federal share of outlays		0.00	1,686,406.00	1,686,406.00	
d. Total unliquidated obligations				0.00	
e. Recipient share of unliquidated obligations				0.00	
f. Federal share of unliquidated obligations				0.00	
g. Total Federal share (Sum of lines c and f)				1,686,406.00	
h. Total Federal funds authorized for this funding period				1,822,758.00	
i. Unobligated balance of Federal funds (Line h minus line g)				136,352.00	
11. Indirect Expense	a. Type of Rate (Place "X" in appropriate box) <input type="checkbox"/> Provisional <input type="checkbox"/> Predetermined <input type="checkbox"/> Final <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed				
	b. Rate N/A	c. Base N/A	d. Total Amount	e. Federal Share	
12. Remarks: Attach any explanations deemed necessary or information required by Federal sponsoring agency in compliance with governing legislation. Public Law 107-252 Section 102 - Replacement of Punch Card or Lever Voting Machines.					
13. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete and that all outlays and unliquidated obligations are for the purposes set forth in the award documents.					
Typed or Printed Name and Title Bill Bradbury, Secretary of State			Telephone (Area code, number and extension) 503.986.2238		
Signature of Authorized Certifying Official 			Date Report Submitted February 28, 2005		

ORIGINAL

2.) Consolidated Report on HAVA
Title I, Section 102 - Punchcard & Lever Voting System Replacement

HAVA, under Section 102 requires States to use the funding (either directly or as a reimbursement for costs incurred on or after January 1, 2001) to replace punchcard and/or lever voting systems in precincts within that State that used such systems in the November 2000 election qualifying precincts. As per Oregon's certification of Section 102, Oregon has 571 total qualifying precincts, consisting of 571 punchcard precincts and 0 lever voter system precincts. Additional requirements include:

- Systems must be replaced in time for the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office to be held in November 2004 (unless a waiver is obtained under Section 102(a)(3)(B).
- Certifications that the State will continue to comply with current voting laws stated in Section 906; and
- Certifications that the replacement voting systems will meet the requirements of Title III, Section 301.

RESPONSE:

All of the \$1,822,758 in federal funding was distributed to seven counties in Oregon for the replacement of punchcard systems (See Attached List/Table). Distribution to each county was based on a percentage of the statewide total voting age population. Additionally, as per the Act and the Oregon Election Plan, four counties that had replaced punchcard equipment since January of 2001 also qualified for reimbursement.

Currently, there is a difference of \$136, 352.19 between the reimbursement amount and the actual expenditures. The majority of this balance, \$104,390.21 to be exact, will be directed to one county upon completion of delivery of invoices and receipts. All remaining funds will be addressed this year by the HAVA Steering Committee.

Finally, all systems purchased in Oregon were optical scan/reader systems, meeting the HAVA and Oregon Election Plan objectives of standardization and uniformity when casting ballots. Oregon met the original HAVA November 2004 deadline, conformed to all identified guidelines and did not apply for a waiver from the GSA.

Total Punchcard Replacement Funding/Allocation: \$1,822,758

Punchcard Replacements

County	HAVA Section 102 Reimbursement Amount	System Type	Quantity	Actual Expenditures	Date Reimbursed	Balance of Reimbursement Amount
Clackamas	\$ 469,760.15	ES&S Model 650	5	\$ 469,760.15	Feb & Apr 2004	\$ -
Polk	\$ 61,565.51	ES&S Model 550	1	\$ 58,928.57	Sept 2003	\$ 2,636.94
Lane	\$ 469,760.15	Sequoia Voting Systems 400C	5	\$ 444,760.15	May & Aug 2004	\$ 25,000.00
Linn	\$ 211,464.00	ES&S Model 650	3	\$ 210,638.54	Sept 2003	\$ 825.46
Umatilla	\$ 85,355.43	ES&S Model 550	1	\$ 85,355.43	Sept 2003	\$ -
Union	\$ 55,092.61	ES&S Model 550	1	\$ 51,593.03	Sept 2003	\$ 3,499.58
Washington	\$ 469,760.15	ES&S Model 650	5	\$ 365,369.94	Oct 2003 & Mar 2004	\$ 104,390.21
Totals	\$ 1,822,758.00			\$1,686,405.81		\$ 136,352.19

ORIGINAL