ORIGINAL

FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT

(Long Form)

(Follow instructions on the back)

1. Federal Agency and Organizational Element to Which Report is Submitted	2. Federal Grant or Other Identifying Number Assigned By Federal Agency	OMB Approval No.	Page	of
Election Assistance Commission	CFDA 39.011 Title I, Section 101	0348-0039	1	1 pages

3. Recipient Organization (Name and complete address, including ZIP code)

Oklahoma State Election Board
P.O. Box 53156, Oklahoma City, OK 73152

4. Employer Identification Number	5. Recipient Account Number or Identifying Number	6. Final Report	7. Basis
736017987	205 Revolving Fund	☐ Yes ☑ No	☑ Cash ☐ Accrual

8. Funding/Grant Period *(See instructions)* From: (Month, Day, Year)	To: (Month, Day, Year)	9. Period Covered by this Report From: (Month, Day, Year)	To: (Month, Day, Year)
5/1/2003		1/1/2005	12/31/2005

10. Transactions:	I Previously Reported	I This Period	III Cumulative
a. Total outlays	65,816.07	141,331.41	207,147.48
b. Refunds, rebates, etc.	0.00	0.00	0.00
c. Program income used in accordance with the deduction alternative	0.00	0.00	0.00
d. Net outlays *(Line a, less the sum of lines b and c)*	65,816.07	141,331.41	207,147.48
Recipient's share of net outlays, consisting of:			
e. Third party (in-kind) contributions	0.00	0.00	0.00
f. Other Federal awards authorized to be used to match this award	0.00	0.00	0.00
g. Program income used in accordance with the matching or cost sharing alternative	0.00	0.00	0.00
h. All other recipient outlays not shown on lines e, f or g	0.00	0.00	0.00
i. Total recipient share of net outlays *(Sum of lines e, f, g and h)*	0.00	0.00	0.00
j. Federal share of net outlays *(line d less line i)*	65,816.07	141,331.41	207,147.48
k. Total unliquidated obligations			0.00
l. Recipient's share of unliquidated obligations			0.00
m. Federal share of unliquidated obligations			0.00
n. Total Federal share *(sum of lines j and m)*			207,147.48
o. Total Federal funds authorized for this funding period			5,000,000.00
p. Unobligated balance of Federal funds *(Line o minus line n)*			4,792,852.52
Program income, consisting of:			
q. Disbursed program income shown on lines c and/or g above			0.00
r. Disbursed program income using the addition alternative			0.00
s. Undisbursed program income			0.00
t. Total program income realized *(Sum of lines q, r and s)*			0.00

11. Indirect Expense	a. Type of Rate *(Place "X" in appropriate box)* ☐ Provisional ☐ Predetermined ☐ Final ☐ Fixed			
	b. Rate	c. Base	d. Total Amount	e. Federal Share

12. *Remarks: Attach any explanations deemed necessary or information required by Federal sponsoring agency in compliance with governing legislation.*

See attached.

13. Certification: **I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete and that all outlays and unliquidated obligations are for the purposes set forth in the award documents.**

Typed or Printed Name and Title	Telephone (Area code, number and extension)
Michael Clingman, Secretary	405-521-2391
Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	**Date Report Submitted**
Michael Clingman	February 23, 2006

Attachment to Financial Status Report

ORIGINAL

Oklahoma State Election Board
CFDA 39.011, Title I, Section 101

List of Expenditures

Expenditures of Title I, Section 101, funds during the period January 1, 2005, through December 31, 2005, were as follows:

Software development for upgrade of voter registration database	$135,820.00
Training for Precinct Officials	5,511.41
Total	141,331.41

Voting Equipment Obtained

No voting equipment was purchased during the period covered by this report.

Analysis and Description of Activities Funded

Most of the expenditures made during the period covered by this report related to the upgrade of Oklahoma's voter registration database. Other expenditures related to the continuation of implementation of provisional voting procedures.

Since 1990, Oklahoma has had a statewide voter registration database that substantially complies with the Help America Vote Act. However, the State Election Board recognizes that technology has changed significantly during the last fifteen years. As was stated in the State plan (see pages 6 and 7 of the *State of Oklahoma Plan*), any upgrades to the voter registration database require replacing the hardware platform on which it resides. During 2005, the State Election Board accepted a plan from Maxim Consulting, an Oklahoma City-based consulting firm, to move the database to a modern server system and to make it available to County Election Board officials on the Internet. (See pages 1 and 2 of the 2005 update to the State plan.) Payments to Maxim Consulting for software development related to this project were made with Section 101 funds.

Although provisional voting was implemented for the first time in Oklahoma in the 2004 federal elections, state law requiring provisional voting for all elections did not become effective until July 1, 2005. Because of changes in provisional voting procedures, it was necessary to train all Precinct Officials before a special statewide election in September, 2005. Precinct Official

ORIGINAL

training has long been considered vital to election conduct in Oklahoma, and it was anticipated in the State plan that it would be an important part of the implementation of HAVA. (See pages 1, 2, 8, 11, and 23 of the original State plan and page 3 of the 2005 update to the State plan.) State Election Board staff recruited a group of County Election Board personnel to conduct training for all Precinct Officials on provisional voting. During the period covered by this report, these trainers were compensated for conducting training and reimbursed for their travel expenses with Section 101 funds.

Other HAVA-related expenses have been funded using state monies. These expenditures include additional County Election Board training, implementing new procedures for UOCAVA voters, and system changes to implement identification at the polls for new voters registering by mail. Approximately, $32,000.00 of state funds was spent for training of county personnel, and $30,590.00 of state funds was spent for software development during the period covered by this report.