

> > Peg
> >
> > -----
> > Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
> >
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> > Sent: 05/12/2006 09:05 PM
> > To: psims@eac.gov
> > Subject: Question
> >
> > Peg:
> >
> > Since I am driving to DC, besides hotel receipts,
> > do
> > you want me to keep my gas receipts or how will my
> > car
> > use be compensated? Also, I assume I don't have
> > to
> > retain food receipts.
> >
> > Job
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
05/15/2006 09:07 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc dromig@eac.gov
Subject I'm sorry

I don't think I sent this to you either. Can we hand it out at the meeting as an addendum? Its another summary that would have gone in the news article section. I'm usually so organized, I'm very embarrassed. Too many things! Thanks

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

000001



votebuyingsummary.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

05/16/2006 05:04 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: board of advisers presentation

What is the information you need when you say:
The consultants jointly selected experts from ???

We chose the interviewees by first coming up with a list of the categories of types of people we wanted to interview. Then we each filled those categories with a certain number of people, equally. The ultimate categories were academics, advocates, elections officials, lawyers and judges.

Is that what you need?

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 2:51 PM

To: wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: board of advisers presentation

I haven't sent it yet. If you need to leave early, you can look at what I have so far, which does not have the intro or the text regarding the final report. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

05/16/2006 03:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject board of advisers presentation

Hi Peg, Have you tried to send me the presentation? I haven't gotten it, but I think we may be

000002

having email problems. Let me know. I'd need to look at it today since I'll be tied up tomorrow.
Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

[REDACTED]
05/15/2006 09:28 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Fw: New Working Group Member

Excellent!

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Just thught you would like to see the Chairman's
> reaction to the Ginsberg choice, attached.
> Peggy
>
> -----
> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Paul DeGregorio
> Sent: 05/14/2006 12:01 PM
> To: CN=Margaret Sims/OU=EAC/O=GOV@EAC
> Cc: CN=Amie J. Sherrill/OU=EAC/O=GOV
> Subject: Re: New Working Group Member
>
> Ben Ginsberg is one of the most respected election
> law attorneys in the country. Great choice.
>
> -----
> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Margaret Sims
> Sent: 05/12/2006 04:04 PM
> To: pdegregorio@eac.gov
> Cc: CN=Amie J. Sherrill/OU=EAC/O=GOV@EAC
> Subject: New Working Group Member

000003

>
> FYI - The person I mentioned as a replacement for
> David Norcross, who was
> unavailable, could not attend or Voting Fraud-Voter
> Intimidation Working
> Group meeting. Our consultant, Job Serebrov,
> suggested Benjamin Ginsberg,
> who is willing. I'm sorry I could not check with
> you on this beforehand
> --- things happened so fast! --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



Laiza N. Otero/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 06:24 PM

To: Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc: Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC, Devon E.
Romig/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject: Re: working group

Hello to all,

I would love to help, but I will not be in the office from today (Monday, May 15th) thru Wednesday, May 17th ----- I'll be back on Thursday morning. When is your meeting taking place? I had e-mailed Adam a draft of the table tents I did for the APIA working group; perhaps he still has it archived in his Lotus notes and could forward it to you. All you would have to do then is erase the APIA names and insert the ones for the new working group. In case he does not have the document I sent him and you need them prior to me returning to the office ---- in Microsoft Word, open a new document, go under Tools, then labels and envelopes, choose Labels and then Options -- then choose the correct Avery product number for your tent cards and click New document -- this will bring a blank template where you can begin to insert the names. I hope this helps. I can be reached by phone at (610) 780-8551 in case you need my help. Also, the tent card box usually brings an instruction sheet , it's not the most clear though.

Laiza N. Otero
Research Associate
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Tel. (202) 566-1707
Fax (202) 566-3128

-----Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV wrote: -----

To: Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
From: Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV
Date: 05/15/2006 12:19PM
cc: Laiza N. Otero/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov@EAC
Subject: working group

Peggy,

In preparation for the logistics of this week's working group, I need to know how many people to expect for the meeting. Also, if you still need me to make name tags, I will need a list of attendees and the avery label size.

000004

Also, I will need help from Laiza on the table tents, or we can see if she has the time to help with that.

Thanks!

Elle

Elle L.K Collver
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20005
office: (202) 566-2256
blackberry: (202) 294-9251
www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/22/2006 04:55 PM

To Tova Andrea Wang, Job Serebrov

cc

Subject PowerPoint Presentation to EAC Boards

FYI - Attached is a copy of the PowerPoint presentation on the voting fraud-voter intimidation research project for tomorrow's meetings of the EAC Standards Board (110 state and local election officials) and the EAC Advisory Board (37 representatives from national associations and government agencies who play a role in HAVA implementation and from science and technology-related professions appointed by Congressional members). I used your summaries as the primary source of information for the presentation. --- Peggy



VF-VI Project Presentation.ppt

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----

Tamar Nedzar/EAC/GOV

05/18/2006 04:36 PM

To cdonsanto@usdoj.gov, [REDACTED]
assistant@sos.in.gov, krogers@sos.state.ga.us,
[REDACTED] mhearne@lathropgage.com,
bginsberg@pattonboggs.com, Rbauer@perkinscoie.com,
barnwine@lawyerscommittee.org, [REDACTED]
wang@tcf.org

cc Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC, Edgardo
Cortes/EAC/GOV@EAC, Juliet E.
Thompson-Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Senate and House Conference Reports

All,

As discussed in the meeting today, please find attached the House and Senate Conference Reports associated with the passage of HAVA. In each document, the word "fraud" is capitalized, bolded, and highlighted.

000005

Kind Regards,

Tamar Nedzar
Law Clerk
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Avenue, NW Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-2377
<http://www.eac.gov>
TNedzar@eac.gov



House Conference Report.doc



Senate Conference Report.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

05/23/2006 09:23 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: PowerPoint Presentation to EAC Boards

OK, thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2006 7:46 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Subject: RE: PowerPoint Presentation to EAC Boards

I know --- I'll have to cover that in my oral presentation, along with some other points. The audience will have a copy of the paper I put together using Job's and your summaries and findings. The paper provides a lot more detail. We did not plan to provide a copy of the PowerPoint presentation, which is just meant to keep me on track and them interested in the presentation. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

05/22/2006 03:43 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: voucher

Is there something separate I should fill out for the travel, or should I just submit a letter? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

000006

Sent: Monday, May 22, 2006 2:30 PM
To: wang@tcf.org
Subject: Re: voucher

Tova:

Here is your voucher with the pay period dates and signature date updated, and a check mark added for the travel costs. I've been thinking that it might be better to make a separate submission for the travel costs. That way, if there are any delays in receiving your receipts, or there are any corrections or clarifications needed on the travel costs, we won't have to hold up the voucher for payment of personal services. If you agree, you should delete the check mark, dollar amount and travel dates from this voucher. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/22/2006 03:58 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: voucher 

A letter detailing the costs, noting the total reimbursement expected, and attaching your travel receipts is fine. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

<wang@tcf.org>

05/19/2006 04:34 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Monday Teleconference

Thats fine for me. Thanks so much for doing such a great job running the show yesterday. Did you think it went well?

Also, is there any reason why we cannot talk about our findings with people now? Please let me know. Thanks. Have a great weekend. Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov

To: wang@tcf.org, 

Date: Fri, 19 May 2006 15:30:59 -0400

Subject: Monday Teleconference

This is just to confirm our Monday, May 22, teleconference at 4:30 PM EST/3:30 PM CST. Attached is a list of follow-up activities discussed at the working group meeting and recorded on the flip chart. We will need to flesh these out a bit, perhaps once we have access to the transcript. --- Peggy

Recommendations for Future Research

000007

fraud) of misrepresentation or deceit. There is no such thing as defacto fraud or quasi fraud. Fraud must be intentional.... negligence alone is not fraud.

The general definition of voter fraud must concise and universally applicable (this in the challenging part). After this definition is created and intellectually tested, one can then create examples and explanations. These would 1) apply the definition to the entire election process (from beginning to end) and (2) apply it to action by voters, 3rd parties and election officials. Through this process a determination may be made regarding whether three definitions are needed or just one.

2. The document has no definition of voter intimidation. What is voter intimidation and how does it differ from voter fraud? I assume this would also be an intentional act.

3. Definitions need to be concise and tight. Such definitions need to be able to be broken down into elements. Each of these elements must have clear, applicable and enforceable meaning. This can be a challenge. For example use of the term "any illegal act" is unclear, begs the question and suggests that fraud only occurs in the course of committing a related crime.

These are just my initial thoughts.

GG
Gavin S. Gilmour
Associate General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/30/2005 09:28 AM

To jthompson@eac.gov, Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc

Subject Definition of Voting Fraud and Voter Intimidation

Attached discusses the definitions that Job and Tova would like to use. I have already taken issue with the exclusion of all voter registration shenanigans and the inclusion of administrative mistakes. Would be pleased to have your feedback and, if possible, your assistance for 15 minutes of a teleconference today (3:30 PM to 3:45 PM). --- Peggy



combined defining Fraud 11-18-05.doc

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 02:25 PM ---



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
08/08/2006 12:05 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject FW: bibliographic form

000008

- Bipartisan observers/poll watchers
 - To collect data
 - To deter fraud/intimidation

- Surveys
 - State laws
 - State election offices
 - Specific states
 - Local election officials
 - Voters (this suggestion was rejected by the panel)
 - State implementation of administrative complaint procedures (applies only to HAVA Title III violations) to ID examples of procedures for other than HAVA Title III complaints

- Follow up on initial reports of fraud/intimidation from the Nexis search of news articles and literature review

- Research absentee balloting process issues
 - Methodology of "for cause" absentee voting

- Risk-analysis for voting fraud
 - Who?
 - What part of process?
 - Ease of committing the fraud
 - Which elections?

- Analyze
 - Phone logs from toll-free lines for election concerns
 - Federal observer reports
 - Local newspapers

- Academic statistical research

- Search and match procedures for voter registration list maintenance (subject to confirmation) to identify potential avenues for vote fraud

- Research State district court actions

- Broaden scope of interviews to local officials and district attorneys

- Explore the concept of election courts

- Model statutes

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Weinberg and Utrecht"

05/15/2006 01:53 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

000009



Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Peggy:

The package came today. Thanks. See you Thursday.

Barry

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 01:56 PM

To "Weinberg and Utrecht"

[REDACTED]@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Barry:

Would you please take a moment to review the draft definition of election fraud? One of our consultants is concerned that it does not sufficiently cover violations of the Voting Rights Act that would qualify. Thanks!

--- Peggy

"Weinberg and Utrecht" [REDACTED]



"Weinberg and Utrecht"

[REDACTED]
05/15/2006 01:53 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Peggy:

The package came today. Thanks. See you Thursday.

Barry

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/16/2006 11:27 AM

To "Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject Re: Your Materials

I have forwarded your message to our consultants and have requested a corrected version for distribution at the WG meeting. --- Peggy

000010

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov
>

To psims@eac.gov

cc

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

Subject Your Materials

Peg - -

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request - - or routinely receive - - audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
05/16/2006 03:53 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: board of advisers presentation

I'll be here for a while, I just wanted to make sure. If you send it to me anytime before 5 I can look at it in time. If not, I'll try my best to look at it en route tomorrow.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 2:51 PM

To: wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: board of advisers presentation

I haven't sent it yet. If you need to leave early, you can look at what I have so far, which does not have the intro or the text regarding the final report. --- Peggy

000011

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

05/16/2006 03:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject board of advisers presentation

Hi Peg, Have you tried to send me the presentation? I haven't gotten it, but I think we may be having email problems. Let me know. I'd need to look at it today since I'll be tied up tomorrow.
Tova

Tova Andrea Wang
Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/16/2006 12:09 PM

To "Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>, psims@eac.gov
cc [REDACTED]
Subject RE: Corrections

I agree!

--- Tova Wang <wang@tcf.org> wrote:

> I still think its sufficient for him to raise the
> points verbally. All of
> the interview summaries reflect what Job and I both
> understood the
> interviewees to say. This really opens to the door
> to people making, as Job
> says, "corrections"
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

000012

> Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 10:47 AM
> To: wang@tcf.org
> Cc: serebrov@sbcglobal.net
> Subject: RE: Corrections
>
>
>
> Might not be a bad idea before the final report is
> prepared, but I would not
> worry about it for Thursday's meeting. I'm only
> concerned with the Donsanto
> interview summary because he will be attending the
> meeting. --- Peggy
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"
[REDACTED]
05/15/2006 09:55 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject Re: Question

Ok

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> You will need to submit hotel and parking receipts.
> You don't need to submit meal receipts. You don't
> need to submit gas receipts because use of a
> personally owned vehicle (POV) is reimbursed based
> on mileage. I think I emailed the mileage rate to
> you. If you need it again, I'll look it up when I am
> at the office (this afternoon).
> Peg

>
> -----
> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]
> Sent: 05/12/2006 09:05 PM
> To: psims@eac.gov
> Subject: Question

> Peg:

>
> Since I am driving to DC, besides hotel receipts, do
> you want me to keep my gas receipts or how will my
> car
> use be compensated? Also, I assume I don't have to
> retain food receipts.

000013

>
> Job
>
>
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

05/15/2006 09:56 AM

To dromig@eac.gov

cc psims@eac.gov

Subject RE: I'm sorry

Great -- thanks so much and apologies for the false alarm.

-----Original Message-----

From: dromig@eac.gov [mailto:dromig@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 8:51 AM

To: wang@tcf.org

Cc: psims@eac.gov

Subject: RE: I'm sorry

This article is on the CD, it is located in the "Nexis Article Charts" folder.

Devon Romig
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
202.566.2377 phone
202.566.3128 fax
www.eac.gov

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>

05/15/2006 09:26 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc dromig@eac.gov

Subject RE: I'm sorry

Thats good. I'm probably just getting crazy, trying to make sure everything is perfect. Devon, maybe you can check? Otherwise I'll check it when it comes. Thanks. And be well Peg.

000014

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 8:23 AM

To: Tova Andrea Wang

Subject: Re: I'm sorry

Tova:

I think you did send this --- or is this a revised version of one you sent earlier? It should be on the CD in the packet you should receive today.. (Can't check that right now as I am at the clinic.) If I put anything on the CD that you want to highlight at the meeting, let me know and we'll make copies for those attending.

Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: "Tova Wang" [wang@tcf.org]

Sent: 05/15/2006 09:07 AM

To: Margaret Sims

Cc: Devon Romig

Subject: I'm sorry

I don't think I sent this to you either. Can we hand it out at the meeting as an addendum? Its another summary that would have gone in the news article section. I'm usually so organized, I'm very embarrassed. Too many things! Thanks

Tova Andrea Wang

Democracy Fellow

The Century Foundation

41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov

>

05/15/2006 04:53 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Peggy --

I am currently on train in trasit back from a day in Newark. I tried to recover your attachment on Blackberry but got a message telling me the "file

000015

is empty."

Can you paste it to an e-mail perhaps?

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: barnwine@lawyerscommittee.org <barnwine@lawyerscommittee.org>;
Rbauer@perkinscoie.com <Rbauer@perkinscoie.com>; bginsberg@pattonboggs.com
<bginsberg@pattonboggs.com>; mhearne@lathropgage.com
<mhearne@lathropgage.com>; [REDACTED]
krogers@sos.state.ga.us <krogers@sos.state.ga.us>; assistant@sos.in.gov
<assistant@sos.in.gov>; [REDACTED]
CC: jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org <jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org>;
vjohnson@lawyerscommittee.org <vjohnson@lawyerscommittee.org>;
dlovecchio@perkinscoie.com <dlovecchio@perkinscoie.com>;
bschuler@lathropgage.com <bschuler@lathropgage.com>; Donsanto, Craig
<Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Mon May 15 16:37:48 2006
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Dear Working Group Members and Participants:

You should receive a packet of information today, either by Federal Express or hand delivery, concerning Thursday's meeting of the project Working Group for EAC's Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. Attached is an analysis of the consultants' research into relevant literature and reports. This summary was not available when we prepared the information packets last Friday, but may be of interest to you. Our consultants and I look forward to having a productive discussion with you.

Regards,

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)
Fax: 202-566-3127
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/16/2006 08:43 AM

To "Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL
cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group 

Here is the content of the email attachment:

000016

Existing Research Analysis

There are many reports and books that describe anecdotes and draw broad conclusions from a large array of incidents. There is little research that is truly systematic or scientific. The most systematic look at fraud is the report written by Lori Minnite. The most systematic look at voter intimidation is the report by Laughlin McDonald. Books written about this subject seem to all have a political bias and a pre-existing agenda that makes them somewhat less valuable.

Researchers agree that measuring something like the incidence of fraud and intimidation in a scientifically legitimate way is extremely difficult from a methodological perspective and would require resources beyond the means of most social and political scientists. As a result, there is much more written on this topic by advocacy groups than social scientists. It is hoped that this gap will be filled in the "second phase" of this EAC project.

Moreover, reports and books make allegations but, perhaps by their nature, have little follow up. As a result, it is difficult to know when something has remained in the stage of being an allegation and gone no further, or progressed to the point of being investigated or prosecuted or in any other way proven to be valid by an independent, neutral entity. This is true, for example, with respect to allegations of voter intimidation by civil rights organizations, and, with respect to fraud, John Fund's frequently cited book. Again, this is something that it is hoped will be addressed in the "second phase" of this EAC project by doing follow up research on allegations made in reports, books and newspaper articles.

Other items of note:

- There is as much evidence, and as much concern, about structural forms of disenfranchisement as about intentional abuse of the system. These include felon disenfranchisement, poor maintenance of databases and identification requirements.
- There is tremendous disagreement about the extent to which polling place fraud, e.g. double voting, intentional felon voting, noncitizen voting, is a serious problem. On balance, more researchers find it to be less of a problem than is commonly described in the political debate, but some reports say it is a major problem, albeit hard to identify.
- There is substantial concern across the board about absentee balloting and the opportunity it presents for fraud.
- Federal law governing election fraud and intimidation is varied and complex and yet may nonetheless be insufficient or subject to too many limitations to be as effective as it might be.

- Deceptive practices, e.g. targeted flyers and phone calls providing misinformation, were a major problem in 2004.
- Voter intimidation continues to be focused on minority communities, although the American Center for Voting Rights uniquely alleges it is focused on Republicans.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov
>
05/15/2006 04:53 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Peggy --

I am currently on train in transit back from a day in Newark. I tried to recover your attachment on Blackberry but got a message telling me the "file is empty."

Can you paste it to an e-mail perhaps?

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: barnwine@lawyerscommittee.org <barnwine@lawyerscommittee.org>;
Rbauer@perkinscoie.com <Rbauer@perkinscoie.com>; bginsberg@pattonboggs.com
<bginsberg@pattonboggs.com>; mhearne@lathropgage.com
<mhearne@lathropgage.com>; [REDACTED]
krogers@sos.state.ga.us <krogers@sos.state.ga.us>; assistant@sos.in.gov
<assistant@sos.in.gov>; [REDACTED]
CC: jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org <jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org>;
vjohanson@lawyerscommittee.org <vjohanson@lawyerscommittee.org>;
dlovecchio@perkinscoie.com <dlovecchio@perkinscoie.com>;
bschuler@lathropgage.com <bschuler@lathropgage.com>; Donsanto, Craig
<Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Mon May 15 16:37:48 2006
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Dear Working Group Members and Participants:

You should receive a packet of information today, either by Federal Express or hand delivery, concerning Thursday's meeting of the project Working Group for EAC's Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. Attached is an analysis of the consultants' research into relevant literature and reports. This summary was not available when we prepared the information packets last Friday, but may be of interest to you. Our consultants and I look forward to having a productive discussion with you.

Regards,

000018

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)
Fax: 202-566-3127
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/19/2006 02:51 PM

To Craig Donsanto

cc

Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project-Nexis Word Search

Craig;

You asked about the Nexis search terms used by our consultants. The list follows. --- Peggy.

Election and fraud
Voter and fraud
Vote and fraud
Voter and challenge
Vote and challenge
Election and challenge
Election and irregularity
Election and irregularities
Election and violation
Election and stealing
Ballot box and tampering
Ballot box and theft
Ballot box and stealing
Election and officers
Election and Sheriff
Miscount and votes
Election and crime
Election and criminal
Vote and crime
Vote and criminal
Double voting
Multiple voting
Dead and voting
Election and counting and violation

000019

Election and counting and error
Vote and counting and violation
Vote and counting and error
Voter and intimidation
Voter and intimidating
Vote and intimidation
Denial and voter and registration
Voter identification
Vote and identification
Voter and racial profiling
Vote and racial profiling
Voter and racial
Vote and racial
Voter and racial and challenge
Vote and racial and challenge
Voter and deny and racial
Vote and deny and racial
Voter and deny and challenge
Vote and deny and challenge
Voter and deny and black
Vote and deny and black
Voter and black and challenge
Vote and black and challenge
Voter and deny and African American
Vote and deny and African American
Voter and African American and challenge
Vote and African American and challenge
Election and black and challenge
Election and African American and challenge
Voter and deny and Hispanic
Voter and deny and Latino
Vote and deny and Hispanic
Vote and deny and Latino
Voter and Hispanic and challenge
Voter and Latino and challenge
Vote and Hispanic and challenge
Vote and Latino and challenge
Election and Hispanic and challenge
Election and Latino and challenge
Voter and deny and Native American
Vote and deny and Native American
Voter and Native American and challenge
Vote and Native American and challenge
Election and Native American and challenge
Voter and deny and Asian American

000000

Vote and deny and Asian American
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Vote and Asian American and challenge
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Election and Asian American and challenge
Voter and deny and Indian
Vote and deny and Indian
Voter and Indian and challenge
Vote and Indian and challenge
Election and Indian and challenge
Poll tax
Voting and test
Absentee ballot and deny
Absentee ballot and reject
Absentee ballot and challenge
Vote and challenge
Voter and challenge
Election and challenge
Vote and police
Voter and police
Poll and police
Vote and law enforcement
Voter and law enforcement
Poll and law enforcement
Vote and deceptive practices
Voter and deceptive practices
Election and deceptive practices
Voter and deceive
Voter and false information
Dirty tricks
Vote and felon
Vote and ex-felon
Disenfranchisement
Disenfranchise
Law and election and manipulation
Vote and purging
Vote and purge
Registration and removal
Registration and purging
Registration and purge
Vote buying
Vote and noncitizen
Voter and noncitizen
Vote and selective enforcement
Identification and selective

000021

Election and misinformation
Registration and restrictions
Election and administrator and fraud
Election and official and fraud
Provisional ballot and deny
Provisional ballot and denial
Affidavit ballot and deny
Affidavit ballot and denial
Absentee ballot and coerce
Absentee ballot and coercion
Registration and destruction
Voter and deter
Vote and deterrence
Voter and deterrence
Ballot integrity
Ballot security
Ballot security and minority
Ballot security and black
Ballot security and African American
Ballot security and Latino
Ballot security and Hispanic
Ballot security and Native American
Ballot security and Indian
Vote and suppression
Minority and vote and suppression
Black and vote and suppression
African American and vote and suppression
Latino and vote and suppression
Hispanic and vote and suppression
Native American and vote and suppression
Vote and suppress
Minority and vote and suppress
African American and vote and suppress
Latino and vote and suppress
Native American and vote and suppress
Vote and depress
Jim Crow
Literacy test
Voter and harass
Voter and harassment
Vote and mail and fraud
Poll and guards
Election and consent decree
Vote and barrier
Voting and barrier

000022

Voter and barrier
Election and long line
Voter and long line

Poll worker and challenge
Poll worker and intimidate
Poll worker and intimidation
Poll worker and intimidating
Poll worker and threatening
Poll worker and abusive
Election official and challenge
Election official and intimidate
Election official and intimidation
Election official and intimidating
Election official and threatening
Election official and abusive
Poll watcher and challenge
Poll watcher and intimidate
Poll watcher and intimidating
Poll watcher and intimidation
Poll watcher and abusive
Poll watcher and threatening
Poll inspector and challenge
Poll inspector and intimidate
Poll inspector and intimidating
Poll inspector and intimidation
Poll inspector and abusive
Poll inspector and threatening
Poll judge and challenge
Poll judge and intimidate
Poll judge and intimidating
Poll judge and intimidation
Poll judge and abusive
Poll judge and threatening
Poll monitor and challenge
Poll monitor and intimidate
Poll monitor and intimidating
Poll monitor and intimidation
Poll monitor and abusive
Poll monitor and threatening
Election judge and challenge
Election judge and intimidate
Election judge and intimidating
Election judge and intimidation
Election judge and abusive

000023

Election judge and threatening
Election monitor and challenge
Election monitor and intimidate
Election monitor and intimidating
Election monitor and intimidation
Election monitor and abusive
Election monitor and threatening
Election observer and challenge
Election observer and intimidate
Election observer and intimidating
Election observer and intimidation
Election observer and abusive
Election observer and threatening

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/16/2006 03:37 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: Your Materials 

OK. --- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov
>

05/16/2006 03:17 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Your Materials

Let me try to do it, Peg. Again what I do not want to see occur is for the LCCR to start attacking us. We have more in common with them than I had originally assumed, thanks to the write-ups of their interviews. We need to promote what we have in common not try to score political points. But I will try to correct the records as long as you will agree you heard what I said the way I know I said it!

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 3:14 PM

To: Donsanto, Craig

Subject: RE: Your Materials

I fully understand. Do you want me to prepare a correction sheet for the Working Group, placing your second and more important point first, or do you want to handle this verbally at the meeting? --- Peggy

000024

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 02:55 PM

Topsims@eac.gov

cc

SubjectRE: Your Materials

The first item is not as big a deal as the second one: the processes under which subjects of investigations come to Jesus is not as important as the overall assessment of our law enforcement achievements. But stressing the isolated test cases we brought - - and will continue to bring - - to deter things like felon voting, alien voting and double voting, which not mentioning such significant achievements as the five case PROJECTS mentioned in my last e-mail - - misrepresents what we are doing and the deterrent message we are trying to communicate.

I appreciate that these two young people may have found themselves in a Brave New World when they came over here. It showed in their questioning. But the fact that criminal law enforcement is not at all similar to preventative legal relief (as under the Voting Rights Act) or civil relief (as election contest litigation) is I guess more of a problem than I at first foresaw. My real concern is that the civil rights groups - - with whom we over here have an amazing amount of common grounds - - will take the singling out of the felon and alien voter cases as evincing a malevolent aggression on their constituencies. That is not the case. We are only enforcing the law.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 2:47 PM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: RE: Your Materials

I think they are panicking because they are preparing to travel tomorrow and may not have time to submit a revised version. They also are resisting changes to their interview summaries because the summaries represent what they think they heard. I was there at the interview and I heard what you said. I'm not sure that either of them heard everything (including the nuances) because so much of the information was new to them and it was one of their earlier interviews. I'm sorry I did not catch the defects before the summary went out.

My first concern is ensuring that the Working Group has the correct information. Then, we can deal with what version, *if any*, goes in the final report. Do you want me to excerpt the corrections from your email and submit them to the Working Group? --- Peggy

000025

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 01:41 PM

Topsims@eac.gov
cc
SubjectRE: Your Materials

Sure. But where is the resistance coming from? The notes were not accurate. As you know, I have to be very concerned about that.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 12:34 PM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: RE: Your Materials

Craig:

I am getting some resistance from my consultants to correcting the summary of the interview prior to the meeting. Would you mind noting the corrections at the meeting? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 12:06 PM

Topsims@eac.gov
cc

000026

SubjectRE: Your Materials

Thank you, Peg. This stuff is very interesting.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 11:27 AM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: Re: Your Materials

I have forwarded your message to our consultants and have requested a corrected version for distribution at the WG meeting. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

Topsims@eac.gov
cc
SubjectYour Materials

000027

Peg - -

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request - - or routinely receive - - audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 03:51 PM

To Paul DeGregorio, Ray Martinez, Donetta Davidson, Gracia Hillman

cc twilkey@eac.gov, jthompson@eac.gov, Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV@EAC, ecortes@eac.gov, Amie J. Sherrill/EAC/GOV@EAC, Adam Ambrogio/EAC/GOV@EAC, Elieen L. Colver/EAC/GOV@EAC, Sheila A. Banks/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project Briefing

000028

Dear Commissioners:

Attached is our consultants' analysis of the literature reviewed for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation preliminary research project. It was not included in the information packets delivered to you on Friday, May 12, because we did not receive it until today. I thought you might be interested in having it. prior to tomorrow's briefing.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist



Literature-Report Review Summary.doc

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM —



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

05/16/2006 11:03 AM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]

cc

Subject RE: Your Materials

I think he can just raise these points at the meeting, no? I'm sure many we interviewed would say we misquoted them on something. This is what both Job and I remember him saying. I think it would be unfair for him to change/amend his interview without giving the same opportunity to the other interviewees.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 9:59 AM

To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]

Subject: Fw: Your Materials

See corrections from Donsanto at DOJ. We should probably provide corrected versions to the Working Group. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/16/2006 10:58 AM -----

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Your Materials

Peg --

000029

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request - - or routinely receive - - audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov
>
05/19/2006 03:17 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc "Simmons, Nancy" <Nancy.Simmons@usdoj.gov>
Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project-Nexis Word Search

Peggy --

I was just thinking of you!

Great session yesterday. I really enjoyed it. Robust discussion.

On another subject, Nancy Simmons needs the e-mail address of NASED. Can you give her both that and the website address for them? Her e-mail is nancy.simmons@usdoj.gov.

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Fri May 19 14:51:21 2006
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project-Nexis Word Search

Craig;

You asked about the Nexis search terms used by our consultants. The list follows. --- Peggy.

Election and fraud
Voter and fraud
Vote and fraud

000030

Voter and challenge
Vote and challenge
Election and challenge
Election and irregularity
Election and irregularities
Election and violation
Election and stealing
Ballot box and tampering
Ballot box and theft
Ballot box and stealing
Election and officers
Election and Sheriff
Miscount and votes
Election and crime
Election and criminal
Vote and crime
Vote and criminal
Double voting
Multiple voting
Dead and voting
Election and counting and violation
Election and counting and error
Vote and counting and violation
Vote and counting and error
Voter and intimidation
Voter and intimidating
Vote and intimidation
Denial and voter and registration
Voter identification
Vote and identification
Voter and racial profiling
Vote and racial profiling
Voter and racial
Vote and racial
Voter and racial and challenge
Vote and racial and challenge
Voter and deny and racial
Vote and deny and racial
Voter and deny and challenge
Vote and deny and challenge
Voter and deny and black
Vote and deny and black
Voter and black and challenge
Vote and black and challenge
Voter and deny and African American
Vote and deny and African American
Voter and African American and challenge
Vote and African American and challenge
Election and black and challenge
Election and African American and challenge
Voter and deny and Hispanic
Voter and deny and Latino
Vote and deny and Hispanic
Vote and deny and Latino
Voter and Hispanic and challenge
Voter and Latino and challenge
Vote and Hispanic and challenge
Vote and Latino and challenge
Election and Hispanic and challenge
Election and Latino and challenge
Voter and deny and Native American

000031

Vote and deny and Native American
Voter and Native American and challenge
Vote and Native American and challenge
Election and Native American and challenge
Voter and deny and Asian American
Vote and deny and Asian American
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Vote and Asian American and challenge
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Election and Asian American and challenge
Voter and deny and Indian
Vote and deny and Indian
Voter and Indian and challenge
Vote and Indian and challenge
Election and Indian and challenge
Poll tax
Voting and test
Absentee ballot and deny
Absentee ballot and reject
Absentee ballot and challenge
Vote and challenge
Voter and challenge
Election and challenge
Vote and police
Voter and police
Poll and police
Vote and law enforcement
Voter and law enforcement
Poll and law enforcement
Vote and deceptive practices
Voter and deceptive practices
Election and deceptive practices
Voter and deceive
Voter and false information
Dirty tricks
Vote and felon
Vote and ex-felon
Disenfranchisement
Disenfranchise
Law and election and manipulation
Vote and purging
Vote and purge
Registration and removal
Registration and purging
Registration and purge
Vote buying
Vote and noncitizen
Voter and noncitizen
Vote and selective enforcement
Identification and selective
Election and misinformation
Registration and restrictions
Election and administrator and fraud
Election and official and fraud
Provisional ballot and deny
Provisional ballot and denial
Affidavit ballot and deny
Affidavit ballot and denial
Absentee ballot and coerce
Absentee ballot and coercion
Registration and destruction

000032

Voter and deter
Vote and deterrence
Voter and deterrence
Ballot integrity
Ballot security
Ballot security and minority
Ballot security and black
Ballot security and African American
Ballot security and Latino
Ballot security and Hispanic
Ballot security and Native American
Ballot security and Indian
Vote and suppression
Minority and vote and suppression
Black and vote and suppression
African American and vote and suppression
Latino and vote and suppression
Hispanic and vote and suppression
Native American and vote and suppression
Vote and suppress
Minority and vote and suppress
African American and vote and suppress
Latino and vote and suppress
Native American and vote and suppress
Vote and depress
Jim Crow
Literacy test
Voter and harass
Voter and harassment
Vote and mail and fraud
Poll and guards
Election and consent decree
Vote and barrier
Voting and barrier
Voter and barrier
Election and long line
Voter and long line

Poll worker and challenge
Poll worker and intimidate
Poll worker and intimidation
Poll worker and intimidating
Poll worker and threatening
Poll worker and abusive
Election official and challenge
Election official and intimidate
Election official and intimidation
Election official and intimidating
Election official and threatening
Election official and abusive
Poll watcher and challenge
Poll watcher and intimidate
Poll watcher and intimidating
Poll watcher and intimidation
Poll watcher and abusive
Poll watcher and threatening
Poll inspector and challenge
Poll inspector and intimidate
Poll inspector and intimidating
Poll inspector and intimidation
Poll inspector and abusive

000033

Poll inspector and threatening
Poll judge and challenge
Poll judge and intimidate
Poll judge and intimidating
Poll judge and intimidation
Poll judge and abusive
Poll judge and threatening
Poll monitor and challenge
Poll monitor and intimidate
Poll monitor and intimidating
Poll monitor and intimidation
Poll monitor and abusive
Poll monitor and threatening
Election judge and challenge
Election judge and intimidate
Election judge and intimidating
Election judge and intimidation
Election judge and abusive
Election judge and threatening
Election monitor and challenge
Election monitor and intimidate
Election monitor and intimidating
Election monitor and intimidation
Election monitor and abusive
Election monitor and threatening
Election observer and challenge
Election observer and intimidate
Election observer and intimidating
Election observer and intimidation
Election observer and abusive
Election observer and threatening

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
05/15/2006 05:05 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject RE: Fraud Definition

Sounds good. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 4:03 PM
To: wang@tcf.org
Subject: Re: Fraud Definition

Election and stealing
Ballot box and tampering
Ballot box and theft
Ballot box and stealing
Election and officers
Election and Sheriff
Miscount and votes

000034

Election and crime
Election and criminal
Vote and crime
Vote and criminal
Double voting
Multiple voting
Dead and voting
Election and counting and violation
Election and counting and error
Vote and counting and violation
Vote and counting and error
Voter and intimidation
Voter and intimidating
Vote and intimidation
Denial and voter and registration
Voter identification
Vote and identification
Voter and racial profiling
Vote and racial profiling
Voter and racial
Vote and racial
Voter and racial and challenge
Vote and racial and challenge
Voter and deny and racial
Vote and deny and racial
Voter and deny and challenge
Vote and deny and challenge
Voter and deny and black
Vote and deny and black
Voter and black and challenge
Vote and black and challenge
Voter and deny and African American
Vote and deny and African American
Voter and African American and challenge
Vote and African American and challenge
Election and black and challenge
Election and African American and challenge
Voter and deny and Hispanic
Voter and deny and Latino
Vote and deny and Hispanic
Vote and deny and Latino
Voter and Hispanic and challenge
Voter and Latino and challenge
Vote and Hispanic and challenge
Vote and Latino and challenge

000035

Election and Hispanic and challenge
Election and Latino and challenge
Voter and deny and Native American
Vote and deny and Native American
Voter and Native American and challenge
Vote and Native American and challenge
Election and Native American and challenge
Voter and deny and Asian American
Vote and deny and Asian American
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Vote and Asian American and challenge
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Election and Asian American and challenge
Voter and deny and Indian
Vote and deny and Indian
Voter and Indian and challenge
Vote and Indian and challenge
Election and Indian and challenge
Poll tax
Voting and test
Absentee ballot and deny
Absentee ballot and reject
Absentee ballot and challenge
Vote and challenge
Voter and challenge
Election and challenge
Vote and police
Voter and police
Poll and police
Vote and law enforcement
Voter and law enforcement
Poll and law enforcement
Vote and deceptive practices
Voter and deceptive practices
Election and deceptive practices
Voter and deceive
Voter and false information
Dirty tricks
Vote and felon
Vote and ex-felon
Disenfranchisement
Disenfranchise
Law and election and manipulation
Vote and purging
Vote and purge

000036

Registration and removal
Registration and purging
Registration and purge
Vote buying
Vote and noncitizen
Voter and noncitizen
Vote and selective enforcement
Identification and selective
Election and misinformation
Registration and restrictions
Election and administrator and fraud
Election and official and fraud
Provisional ballot and deny
Provisional ballot and denial
Affidavit ballot and deny
Affidavit ballot and denial
Absentee ballot and coerce
Absentee ballot and coercion
Registration and destruction
Voter and deter
Vote and deterrence
Voter and deterrence
Ballot integrity
Ballot security
Ballot security and minority
Ballot security and black
Ballot security and African American
Ballot security and Latino
Ballot security and Hispanic
Ballot security and Native American
Ballot security and Indian
Vote and suppression
Minority and vote and suppression
Black and vote and suppression
African American and vote and suppression
Latino and vote and suppression
Hispanic and vote and suppression
Native American and vote and suppression
Vote and suppress
Minority and vote and suppress
African American and vote and suppress
Latino and vote and suppress
Native American and vote and suppress
Vote and depress
Jim Crow

000037

Literacy test
Voter and harass
Voter and harassment
Vote and mail and fraud
Poll and guards
Election and consent decree
Vote and barrier
Voting and barrier
Voter and barrier
Election and long line
Voter and long line

Poll worker and challenge
Poll worker and intimidate
Poll worker and intimidation
Poll worker and intimidating
Poll worker and threatening
Poll worker and abusive
Election official and challenge
Election official and intimidate
Election official and intimidation
Election official and intimidating
Election official and threatening
Election official and abusive
Poll watcher and challenge
Poll watcher and intimidate
Poll watcher and intimidating
Poll watcher and intimidation
Poll watcher and abusive
Poll watcher and threatening
Poll inspector and challenge
Poll inspector and intimidate
Poll inspector and intimidating
Poll inspector and intimidation
Poll inspector and abusive
Poll inspector and threatening
Poll judge and challenge
Poll judge and intimidate
Poll judge and intimidating
Poll judge and intimidation
Poll judge and abusive
Poll judge and threatening
Poll monitor and challenge
Poll monitor and intimidate
Poll monitor and intimidating

Poll monitor and intimidation
Poll monitor and abusive
Poll monitor and threatening
Election judge and challenge
Election judge and intimidate
Election judge and intimidating
Election judge and intimidation
Election judge and abusive
Election judge and threatening
Election monitor and challenge
Election monitor and intimidate
Election monitor and intimidating
Election monitor and intimidation
Election monitor and abusive
Election monitor and threatening
Election observer and challenge
Election observer and intimidate
Election observer and intimidating
Election observer and intimidation
Election observer and abusive
Election observer and threatening

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
05/16/2006 03:37 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL
cc
Subject RE: Your Materials 

OK. --- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov
>
05/16/2006 03:17 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject RE: Your Materials

Let me try to do it, Peg. Again what I do not want to see occur is for the LCCR to start attacking us. We have more in common with them than I had originally assumed, thanks to the write-ups of their interviews. We need to promote what we have in common not try to score political points. But I will try to correct the records as long as you will agree you heard what I said the way I know I said it!

000039

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 3:14 PM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: RE: Your Materials

I fully understand. Do you want me to prepare a correction sheet for the Working Group, placing your second and more important point first, or do you want to handle this verbally at the meeting? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 02:55 PM

Topsims@eac.gov
cc
SubjectRE: Your Materials

The first item is not as big a deal as the second one: the processes under which subjects of investigations come to Jesus is not as important as the overall assessment of our law enforcement achievements. But stressing the isolated test cases we brought - - and will continue to bring - - to deter things like felon voting, alien voting and double voting, which not mentioning such significant achievements as the five case PROJECTS mentioned in my last e-mail - - misrepresents what we are doing and the deterrent message we are trying to communicate.

I appreciate that these two young people may have found themselves in a Brave New World when they came over here. It showed in their questioning. But the fact that criminal law enforcement is not at all similar to preventative legal relief (as under the Voting Rights Act) or civil relief (as election contest litigation) is I guess more of a problem than I at first foresaw. My real concern is that the civil rights groups - - with whom we over here have an amazing amount of common grounds - - will take the singling out of the felon and alien voter cases as evincing a malevolent aggression on their constituencies. That is not the case. We are only enforcing the law.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 2:47 PM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: RE: Your Materials

I think they are panicking because they are preparing to travel tomorrow and may not have time to submit a revised version. They also are resisting changes to their interview summaries because the summaries

000040

represent what they think they heard. I was there at the interview and I heard what you said. I'm not sure that either of them heard everything (including the nuances) because so much of the information was new to them and it was one of their earlier interviews. I'm sorry I did not catch the defects before the summary went out.

My first concern is ensuring that the Working Group has the correct information. Then, we can deal with what version, *if any*, goes in the final report. Do you want me to excerpt the corrections from your email and submit them to the Working Group? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 01:41 PM

Topsims@eac.gov
cc
SubjectRE: Your Materials

Sure. But where is the resistance coming from? The notes were not accurate. As you know, I have to be very concerned about that.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 12:34 PM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: RE: Your Materials

Craig:

I am getting some resistance from my consultants to correcting the summary of the interview prior to the meeting. Would you mind noting the corrections at the meeting? --- Peggy

000041

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 12:06 PM

Topsims@eac.gov
cc
SubjectRE: Your Materials

Thank you, Peg. This stuff is very interesting.

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 11:27 AM
To: Donsanto, Craig
Subject: Re: Your Materials

I have forwarded your message to our consultants and have requested a corrected version for distribution at the WG meeting. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

000042

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

Topsims@eac.gov
cc
SubjectYour Materials

Peg - -

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request - - or routinely receive - - audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 03:51 PM

To Paul DeGregorio, Ray Martinez, Donetta Davidson, Gracia Hillman

000043

cc twilkey@eac.gov, jthompson@eac.gov, Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV@EAC, ecortes@eac.gov, Amie J. Sherrill/EAC/GOV@EAC, Adam Ambrogi/EAC/GOV@EAC, Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC, Sheila A. Banks/EAC/GOV@EAC
Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project Briefing

Dear Commissioners:

Attached is our consultants' analysis of the literature reviewed for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation preliminary research project. It was not included in the information packets delivered to you on Friday, May 12, because we did not receive it until today. I thought you might be interested in having it. prior to tomorrow's briefing.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist



Literature-Report Review Summary.doc
----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
05/16/2006 11:03 AM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]
cc
Subject RE: Your Materials

I think he can just raise these points at the meeting, no? I'm sure many we interviewed would say we misquoted them on something. This is what both Job and I remember him saying. I think it would be unfair for him to change/amend his interview without giving the same opportunity to the other interviewees.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2006 9:59 AM
To: wang@tcf.org; [REDACTED]
Subject: Fw: Your Materials

See corrections from Donsanto at DOJ. We should probably provide corrected versions to the Working Group. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/16/2006 10:58 AM -----
"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject Your Materials

000044

Peg --

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request -- or routinely receive -- audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov
>
05/19/2006 03:17 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc "Simmons, Nancy" <Nancy.Simmons@usdoj.gov>
Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project-Nexis Word Search

Peggy --

I was just thinking of you!

Great session yesterday. I really enjoyed it. Robust discussion.

On another subject, Nancy Simmons needs the e-mail address of NASED. Can you give her both that and the website address for them? Her e-mail is nancy.simmons@usdoj.gov.

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>
Sent: Fri May 19 14:51:21 2006
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project-Nexis Word Search

000045

Craig;

You asked about the Nexis search terms used by our consultants. The list follows. --- Peggy.

Election and fraud
Voter and fraud
Vote and fraud
Voter and challenge
Vote and challenge
Election and challenge
Election and irregularity
Election and irregularities
Election and violation
Election and stealing
Ballot box and tampering
Ballot box and theft
Ballot box and stealing
Election and officers
Election and Sheriff
Miscount and votes
Election and crime
Election and criminal
Vote and crime
Vote and criminal
Double voting
Multiple voting
Dead and voting
Election and counting and violation
Election and counting and error
Vote and counting and violation
Vote and counting and error
Voter and intimidation
Voter and intimidating
Vote and intimidation
Denial and voter and registration
Voter identification
Vote and identification
Voter and racial profiling
Vote and racial profiling
Voter and racial
Vote and racial
Voter and racial and challenge
Vote and racial and challenge
Voter and deny and racial
Vote and deny and racial
Voter and deny and challenge
Vote and deny and challenge
Voter and deny and black
Vote and deny and black
Voter and black and challenge
Vote and black and challenge
Voter and deny and African American
Vote and deny and African American
Voter and African American and challenge
Vote and African American and challenge
Election and black and challenge
Election and African American and challenge
Voter and deny and Hispanic
Voter and deny and Latino
Vote and deny and Hispanic

000046

Vote and deny and Latino
Voter and Hispanic and challenge
Voter and Latino and challenge
Vote and Hispanic and challenge
Vote and Latino and challenge
Election and Hispanic and challenge
Election and Latino and challenge
Voter and deny and Native American
Vote and deny and Native American
Voter and Native American and challenge
Vote and Native American and challenge
Election and Native American and challenge
Voter and deny and Asian American
Vote and deny and Asian American
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Vote and Asian American and challenge
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Election and Asian American and challenge
Voter and deny and Indian
Vote and deny and Indian
Voter and Indian and challenge
Vote and Indian and challenge
Election and Indian and challenge
Poll tax
Voting and test
Absentee ballot and deny
Absentee ballot and reject
Absentee ballot and challenge
Vote and challenge
Voter and challenge
Election and challenge
Vote and police
Voter and police
Poll and police
Vote and law enforcement
Voter and law enforcement
Poll and law enforcement
Vote and deceptive practices
Voter and deceptive practices
Election and deceptive practices
Voter and deceive
Voter and false information
Dirty tricks
Vote and felon
Vote and ex-felon
Disenfranchisement
Disenfranchise
Law and election and manipulation
Vote and purging
Vote and purge
Registration and removal
Registration and purging
Registration and purge
Vote buying
Vote and noncitizen
Voter and noncitizen
Vote and selective enforcement
Identification and selective
Election and misinformation
Registration and restrictions
Election and administrator and fraud

000047

Election and official and fraud
Provisional ballot and deny
Provisional ballot and denial
Affidavit ballot and deny
Affidavit ballot and denial
Absentee ballot and coerce
Absentee ballot and coercion
Registration and destruction
Voter and deter
Vote and deterrence
Voter and deterrence
Ballot integrity
Ballot security
Ballot security and minority
Ballot security and black
Ballot security and African American
Ballot security and Latino
Ballot security and Hispanic
Ballot security and Native American
Ballot security and Indian
Vote and suppression
Minority and vote and suppression
Black and vote and suppression
African American and vote and suppression
Latino and vote and suppression
Hispanic and vote and suppression
Native American and vote and suppression
Vote and suppress
Minority and vote and suppress
African American and vote and suppress
Latino and vote and suppress
Native American and vote and suppress
Vote and depress
Jim Crow
Literacy test
Voter and harass
Voter and harassment
Vote and mail and fraud
Poll and guards
Election and consent decree
Vote and barrier
Voting and barrier
Voter and barrier
Election and long line
Voter and long line

Poll worker and challenge
Poll worker and intimidate
Poll worker and intimidation
Poll worker and intimidating
Poll worker and threatening
Poll worker and abusive
Election official and challenge
Election official and intimidate
Election official and intimidation
Election official and intimidating
Election official and threatening
Election official and abusive
Poll watcher and challenge
Poll watcher and intimidate
Poll watcher and intimidating

Poll watcher and intimidation
Poll watcher and abusive
Poll watcher and threatening
Poll inspector and challenge
Poll inspector and intimidate
Poll inspector and intimidating
Poll inspector and intimidation
Poll inspector and abusive
Poll inspector and threatening
Poll judge and challenge
Poll judge and intimidate
Poll judge and intimidating
Poll judge and intimidation
Poll judge and abusive
Poll judge and threatening
Poll monitor and challenge
Poll monitor and intimidate
Poll monitor and intimidating
Poll monitor and intimidation
Poll monitor and abusive
Poll monitor and threatening
Election judge and challenge
Election judge and intimidate
Election judge and intimidating
Election judge and intimidation
Election judge and abusive
Election judge and threatening
Election monitor and challenge
Election monitor and intimidate
Election monitor and intimidating
Election monitor and intimidation
Election monitor and abusive
Election monitor and threatening
Election observer and challenge
Election observer and intimidate
Election observer and intimidating
Election observer and intimidation
Election observer and abusive
Election observer and threatening

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:19 PM -----



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>

05/15/2006 05:05 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Fraud Definition

Sounds good. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 4:03 PM

To: wang@tcf.org

Subject: Re: Fraud Definition

Election and stealing

000049

Ballot box and tampering
Ballot box and theft
Ballot box and stealing
Election and officers
Election and Sheriff
Miscount and votes
Election and crime
Election and criminal
Vote and crime
Vote and criminal
Double voting
Multiple voting
Dead and voting
Election and counting and violation
Election and counting and error
Vote and counting and violation
Vote and counting and error
Voter and intimidation
Voter and intimidating
Vote and intimidation
Denial and voter and registration
Voter identification
Vote and identification
Voter and racial profiling
Vote and racial profiling
Voter and racial
Vote and racial
Voter and racial and challenge
Vote and racial and challenge
Voter and deny and racial
Vote and deny and racial
Voter and deny and challenge
Vote and deny and challenge
Voter and deny and black
Vote and deny and black
Voter and black and challenge
Vote and black and challenge
Voter and deny and African American
Vote and deny and African American
Voter and African American and challenge
Vote and African American and challenge
Election and black and challenge
Election and African American and challenge
Voter and deny and Hispanic
Voter and deny and Latino

000050

Vote and deny and Hispanic
Vote and deny and Latino
Voter and Hispanic and challenge
Voter and Latino and challenge
Vote and Hispanic and challenge
Vote and Latino and challenge
Election and Hispanic and challenge
Election and Latino and challenge
Voter and deny and Native American
Vote and deny and Native American
Voter and Native American and challenge
Vote and Native American and challenge
Election and Native American and challenge
Voter and deny and Asian American
Vote and deny and Asian American
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Vote and Asian American and challenge
Voter and Asian American and challenge
Election and Asian American and challenge
Voter and deny and Indian
Vote and deny and Indian
Voter and Indian and challenge
Vote and Indian and challenge
Election and Indian and challenge
Poll tax
Voting and test
Absentee ballot and deny
Absentee ballot and reject
Absentee ballot and challenge
Vote and challenge
Voter and challenge
Election and challenge
Vote and police
Voter and police
Poll and police
Vote and law enforcement
Voter and law enforcement
Poll and law enforcement
Vote and deceptive practices
Voter and deceptive practices
Election and deceptive practices
Voter and deceive
Voter and false information
Dirty tricks
Vote and felon

000051

Vote and ex-felon
Disenfranchisement
Disenfranchise
Law and election and manipulation
Vote and purging
Vote and purge
Registration and removal
Registration and purging
Registration and purge
Vote buying
Vote and noncitizen
Voter and noncitizen
Vote and selective enforcement
Identification and selective
Election and misinformation
Registration and restrictions
Election and administrator and fraud
Election and official and fraud
Provisional ballot and deny
Provisional ballot and denial
Affidavit ballot and deny
Affidavit ballot and denial
Absentee ballot and coerce
Absentee ballot and coercion
Registration and destruction
Voter and deter
Vote and deterrence
Voter and deterrence
Ballot integrity
Ballot security
Ballot security and minority
Ballot security and black
Ballot security and African American
Ballot security and Latino
Ballot security and Hispanic
Ballot security and Native American
Ballot security and Indian
Vote and suppression
Minority and vote and suppression
Black and vote and suppression
African American and vote and suppression
Latino and vote and suppression
Hispanic and vote and suppression
Native American and vote and suppression
Vote and suppress

000052

Minority and vote and suppress
African American and vote and suppress
Latino and vote and suppress
Native American and vote and suppress
Vote and depress
Jim Crow
Literacy test
Voter and harass
Voter and harassment
Vote and mail and fraud
Poll and guards
Election and consent decree
Vote and barrier
Voting and barrier
Voter and barrier
Election and long line
Voter and long line

Poll worker and challenge
Poll worker and intimidate
Poll worker and intimidation
Poll worker and intimidating
Poll worker and threatening
Poll worker and abusive
Election official and challenge
Election official and intimidate
Election official and intimidation
Election official and intimidating
Election official and threatening
Election official and abusive
Poll watcher and challenge
Poll watcher and intimidate
Poll watcher and intimidating
Poll watcher and intimidation
Poll watcher and abusive
Poll watcher and threatening
Poll inspector and challenge
Poll inspector and intimidate
Poll inspector and intimidating
Poll inspector and intimidation
Poll inspector and abusive
Poll inspector and threatening
Poll judge and challenge
Poll judge and intimidate
Poll judge and intimidating

000053

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
04/24/2007 03:57 PM

To eacon@eac.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Voter Fraud Project Emails

Here are most of the emails from my active email files. A few did not hold the check mark, so I will send them separately. I'm trying to work out the best way to hand off copies of the emails from my archived files.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)
Fax: 202-566-3127
email: psims@eac.gov

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:52 PM —



"Tova Wang"
<wang@tcf.org>
08/21/2006 12:16 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc
Subject call

Hi Peg, I left you a voice message last week -- you might have been at NCSL. Anyway, would you give me a call when you have a moment? Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang, Democracy Fellow
The Century Foundation
1333 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20037

Visit our Web site, www.tcf.org, for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:52 PM —

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV
11/03/2006 06:42 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject Job and Tova

I spoke to Job about the documents that I need. He will send me his summary of the articles/books that he read. However, he said that Tova also summarized some of those articles/books. I don't have a contact number/email for Tova. Could you contact her and ask her to provide us with any summary of the articles/books that she read as they are listed in Appendix 2?

000054

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:52 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/03/2006 07:11 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Job and Tova 

Julie:

All of the summaries received are in the shared drawer under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. There are too many of them to append to this message, or I would do it. The researchers did not propose to include these summaries in the report. Are you considering adding them?

If you want, I can cross reference each of these with the list of articles and ID any missing summaries. I could do that over the weekend. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/03/2006 05:42 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Job and Tova

I spoke to Job about the documents that I need. He will send me his summary of the articles/books that he read. However, he said that Tova also summarized some of those articles/books. I don't have a contact number/email for Tova. Could you contact her and ask her to provide us with any summary of the articles/books that she read as they are listed in Appendix 2?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/03/2006 07:14 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Job and Tova 

000055

I think we should use the content of those articles or some summary of them as a background of what we know about VF and VI. I just didn't want to have to read all of those articles to be able to make some generalized statements about their contents.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Margaret Sims
----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:11 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

Julie:

All of the summaries received are in the shared drawer under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. There are too many of them to append to this message, or I would do it. The researchers did not propose to include these summaries in the report. Are you considering adding them?

If you want, I can cross reference each of these with the list of articles and ID any missing summaries. I could do that over the weekend. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV
11/03/2006 05:42 PM
To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject Job and Tova

I spoke to Job about the documents that I need. He will send me his summary of the articles/books that he read. However, he said that Tova also summarized some of those articles/books. I don't have a contact number/email for Tova. Could you contact her and ask her to provide us with any summary of the articles/books that she read as they are listed in Appendix 2?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV
11/03/2006 07:41 PM
To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc

000056

Subject Re: Job and Tova

I appreciate it. I will send you a copy of the outline that I am working from. It is somewhat subject to change as I am still trying to gel in my mind what goes first, second

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Margaret Sims

----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:38 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

I can review them over the weekend and attempt to summarize what they tell us.--- Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Juliet E. Hodgkins

----- Original Message -----

From: Juliet E. Hodgkins
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:14 PM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

I think we should use the content of those articles or some summary of them as a background of what we know about VF and VI. I just didn't want to have to read all of those articles to be able to make some generalized statements about their contents.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Margaret Sims

----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:11 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

Julie:

All of the summaries received are in the shared drawer under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. There are too many of them to append to this message, or I would do it. The researchers did not propose to include these summaries in the report. Are you considering adding them?

If you want, I can cross reference each of these with the list of articles and ID any missing summaries. I could do that over the weekend. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/03/2006 05:42 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

000057

Subject Job and Tova

I spoke to Job about the documents that I need. He will send me his summary of the articles/books that he read. However, he said that Tova also summarized some of those articles/books. I don't have a contact number/email for Tova. Could you contact her and ask her to provide us with any summary of the articles/books that she read as they are listed in Appendix 2?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/06/2006 11:07 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review 

Julie:

I have not received the outline, but went ahead with reviewing the literature researched. Attached are my perspectives on what we learned and a listing of the literature with portions of the analysis for each. Both of these documents are on the shared drive under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. Hope these help. Let me know what else you need from me. --- Peggy



EAC-Learned from Lit Review 11-6-06.doc EAC Lit Review Notes 11-5-06.doc

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/03/2006 06:41 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Job and Tova 

I appreciate it. I will send you a copy of the outline that I am working from. It is somewhat subject to change as I am still trying to gel in my mind what goes first, second

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

000058

Margaret Sims

----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:38 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

I can review them over the weekend and attempt to summarize what they tell us.--- Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Juliet E. Hodgkins

----- Original Message -----

From: Juliet E. Hodgkins
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:14 PM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

I think we should use the content of those articles or some summary of them as a background of what we know about VF and VI. I just didn't want to have to read all of those articles to be able to make some generalized statements about their contents.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Margaret Sims

----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:11 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

Julie:

All of the summaries received are in the shared drawer under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. There are too many of them to append to this message, or I would do it. The researchers did not propose to include these summaries in the report. Are you considering adding them?

If you want, I can cross reference each of these with the list of articles and ID any missing summaries. I could do that over the weekend. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/03/2006 05:42 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Job and Tova

I spoke to Job about the documents that I need. He will send me his summary of the articles/books that he read. However, he said that Tova also summarized some of those articles/books. I don't have a contact number/email for Tova. Could you contact her and ask her to provide us with any summary of the articles/books that she read as they are listed in Appendix 2?

000059

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/06/2006 11:50 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review 

sorry, about that. Here's the outline...

I. BACKGROUND/INTRODUCTION

- A. WHAT WE KNOW ABOUT FRAUD
- B. PURPOSE OF THE EAC STUDY
- C. METHODOLOGY OF THE EAC STUDY

II. DEFINITION OF ELECTION CRIMES

- A. VOTER FRAUD IS TOO LIMITED
- B. COLLOQUIAL DEFINITION IS TOO BROAD
- C. ELECTION CRIMES
- D. WHAT IS NOT AN ELECTION CRIME FOR PURPOSES OF THIS STUDY

III. RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW TO STUDY ELECTION CRIMES

- A. ACCEPTED RECOMMENDATIONS
 - i. SURVEY LAW ENFORCEMENT, INVESTIGATORY AGENCIES, AND PROSECUTORS
 - ii. REVIEW ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINTS FILED WITH STATES
 - iii. REVIEW DOJ/USA ACTIONS
- B. REJECTED RECOMMENDATIONS
 - i. REASONS WHY REJECTED

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005

000060

(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
11/06/2006 11:07 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review 

Julie:

I have not received the outline, but went ahead with reviewing the literature researched. Attached are my perspectives on what we learned and a listing of the literature with portions of the analysis for each. Both of these documents are on the shared drive under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. Hope these help. Let me know what else you need from me. --- Peggy



EAC-Learned from Lit Review 11-6-06.doc EAC Lit Review Notes 11-5-06.doc

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV
11/03/2006 06:41 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject Re: Job and Tova 

I appreciate it. I will send you a copy of the outline that I am working from. It is somewhat subject to change as I am still trying to gel in my mind what goes first, second

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Margaret Sims
----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:38 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

I can review them over the weekend and attempt to summarize what they tell us.--- Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Juliet E. Hodgkins
----- Original Message -----

From: Juliet E. Hodgkins
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:14 PM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

000061

I think we should use the content of those articles or some summary of them as a background of what we know about VF and VI. I just didn't want to have to read all of those articles to be able to make some generalized statements about their contents.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Margaret Sims

----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:11 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

Julie:

All of the summaries received are in the shared drawer under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. There are too many of them to append to this message, or I would do it. The researchers did not propose to include these summaries in the report. Are you considering adding them?

If you want, I can cross reference each of these with the list of articles and ID any missing summaries. I could do that over the weekend. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/03/2006 05:42 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Job and Tova

I spoke to Job about the documents that I need. He will send me his summary of the articles/books that he read. However, he said that Tova also summarized some of those articles/books. I don't have a contact number/email for Tova. Could you contact her and ask her to provide us with any summary of the articles/books that she read as they are listed in Appendix 2?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/06/2006 12:21 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

cc

000062

Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review

Is this an outline of an EAC staff report to accompany the consultants' report, or has there been a decision not to publish the consultants' report at all? (Just curious, as I have been a little out of the loop.) --- Peg

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM ---

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/06/2006 12:30 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review

The consultant's report is a draft of an EAC report. We will take the consultant's report and finalize it into OUR report.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins

General Counsel

United States Election Assistance Commission

1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 566-3100

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/06/2006 12:21 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review

Is this an outline of an EAC staff report to accompany the consultants' report, or has there been a decision not to publish the consultants' report at all? (Just curious, as I have been a little out of the loop.) --- Peg

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM ---

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/06/2006 05:18 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review

Peggy,

I wanted to let you know that I had a chance to review your summaries today. I think that these are some excellent conclusions that we can definitely use in our report. Thank you for doing such a detailed and thorough job. If tomorrow goes quietly, hopefully I will have some time to write.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins

000063

General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/06/2006 11:07 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review 

Julie:

I have not received the outline, but went ahead with reviewing the literature researched. Attached are my perspectives on what we learned and a listing of the literature with portions of the analysis for each. Both of these documents are on the shared drive under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. Hope these help. Let me know what else you need from me. --- Peggy



EAC-Learned from Lit Review 11-6-06.doc EAC Lit Review Notes 11-5-06.doc

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/03/2006 06:41 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Job and Tova 

I appreciate it. I will send you a copy of the outline that I am working from. It is somewhat subject to change as I am still trying to gel in my mind what goes first, second

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Margaret Sims

----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:38 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

I can review them over the weekend and attempt to summarize what they tell us.--- Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Juliet E. Hodgkins

----- Original Message -----

From: Juliet E. Hodgkins

000064

Sent: 11/03/2006 06:14 PM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

I think we should use the content of those articles or some summary of them as a background of what we know about VF and VI. I just didn't want to have to read all of those articles to be able to make some generalized statements about their contents.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Margaret Sims
----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:11 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

Julie:

All of the summaries received are in the shared drawer under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. There are too many of them to append to this message, or I would do it. The researchers did not propose to include these summaries in the report. Are you considering adding them?

If you want, I can cross reference each of these with the list of articles and ID any missing summaries. I could do that over the weekend. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV
11/03/2006 05:42 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject Job and Tova

I spoke to Job about the documents that I need. He will send me his summary of the articles/books that he read. However, he said that Tova also summarized some of those articles/books. I don't have a contact number/email for Tova. Could you contact her and ask her to provide us with any summary of the articles/books that she read as they are listed in Appendix 2?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

000085

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/06/2006 06:36 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review

Julie:

Happy to help, especially as I have to assume the blame for the report turned in by the consultants. I think you were aware that I was disappointed that it was not a more professional product. As I was not clear what the Commission's position is on editing such reports after receipt of the final, and as the consultants insisted that their work not be changed, I felt a bit stymied. Let me know what else I can do.

In the meantime, I'm revisiting some drafts received on the Vote Count-Recount best practices to see if I can encourage more improvements before submission of the final. We're still waiting for the state-by-state summary of practices, originally delayed by the subcontractor's nonperformance, which could affect goes into the best practices. I think some of the emphasis I see in the drafts on post election audits and proper recordkeeping will help respond to some of the issues raised in the literature review for the voting fraud-voter intimidation study.

--- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/06/2006 05:18 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review

Peggy,

I wanted to let you know that I had a chance to review your summaries today. I think that these are some excellent conclusions that we can definitely use in our report. Thank you for doing such a detailed and thorough job. If tomorrow goes quietly, hopefully I will have some time to write.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/06/2006 11:07 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF_VI Literature Review

000066

Julie:

I have not received the outline, but went ahead with reviewing the literature researched. Attached are my perspectives on what we learned and a listing of the literature with portions of the analysis for each. Both of these documents are on the shared drive under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. Hope these help. Let me know what else you need from me. --- Peggy



EAC-Learned from Lit Review 11-6-06.doc EAC Lit Review Notes 11-5-06.doc

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/03/2006 06:41 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Job and Tova 

I appreciate it. I will send you a copy of the outline that I am working from. It is somewhat subject to change as I am still trying to gel in my mind what goes first, second

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Margaret Sims

----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:38 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

I can review them over the weekend and attempt to summarize what they tell us.--- Peggy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Juliet E. Hodgkins

----- Original Message -----

From: Juliet E. Hodgkins
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:14 PM
To: Margaret Sims
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

I think we should use the content of those articles or some summary of them as a background of what we know about VF and VI. I just didn't want to have to read all of those articles to be able to make some generalized statements about their contents.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Margaret Sims

----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/03/2006 06:11 PM

039067

To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Job and Tova

Julie:

All of the summaries received are in the shared drawer under T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Research Summaries. There are too many of them to append to this message, or I would do it. The researchers did not propose to include these summaries in the report. Are you considering adding them?

If you want, I can cross reference each of these with the list of articles and ID any missing summaries. I could do that over the weekend. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/03/2006 05:42 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Job and Tova

I spoke to Job about the documents that I need. He will send me his summary of the articles/books that he read. However, he said that Tova also summarized some of those articles/books. I don't have a contact number/email for Tova. Could you contact her and ask her to provide us with any summary of the articles/books that she read as they are listed in Appendix 2?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 07:05 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Fw: please investigate

Hi-

Is this the kind of thing I should be passing on to you or Gavin?

000068

Thanks

Karen Lynn-Dyson
Research Director
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Avenue , NW Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
tel:202-566-3123

----- Forwarded by Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV on 11/07/2006 07:03 AM -----



[REDACTED]
11/06/2006 07:47 PM

To [REDACTED] HAVAINfo@eac.gov, [REDACTED]
cc

Subject please investigate

Please investigate this incident or pass it on to the proper authority.

This morning, I received a recorded message saying that my polling place was "St. Francis" something or other. Later in the day, I wondered why I was informed of this change via a phone number with an out of state area code. I just check the Mahoning County Board of Elections site and the polling location is still listed as "Frank Ohl School" which is where I've voted since moving here. Since I received another call about the same time, I'll give you information on both numbers.

For the first call (which I believe is the culprit) the information on my caller ID was "Unknown Name [REDACTED]". They claimed they were from American for Reform Now or something like that. When I dialed that number, I received this message: "Mailbox for Rob Olsen is full." While writing this email, I just received another call from this number. Now the recorded message was from Ohio for Fair Minimum Wage.

For the other call (from this morning) the information on my caller ID was [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. When I dialed that number I got a recording which identified them as "People for Washington State Democrats... authorized by K1 2006."

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Cheryl Bollinger
Austintown, OH
[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 09:33 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc

000069

Subject VF and VI study

Did Tova and Job provide us with summaries or notes of their interviews?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 09:45 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: VF and VI study 

Yes (at T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Interviews\Interview Summaries). Do you want me to do the same with those as I did with the literature summaries? --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 09:33 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject VF and VI study

Did Tova and Job provide us with summaries or notes of their interviews?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 09:47 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF and VI study 

that would be great. I am also interested in identifying the points of contention between DOJ and the

000070

consultants.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 09:45 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF and VI study 

Yes (at T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Interviews\Interview Summaries). Do you want me to do the same with those as I did with the literature summaries? --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 09:33 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject VF and VI study

Did Tova and Job provide us with summaries or notes of their interviews?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 11:29 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF and VI study 

OK, I will get started on the interview summaries today.

DOJ (Donsanto and Tanner) raised objections to the consultants' description of their interviews, which

000071

state that DOJ officials agreed they were bringing fewer intimidation and suppression cases. An advocacy group is going after DOJ, accusing the agency of doing just that for political reasons, so this is something DOJ wants corrected.

Apart from the consultants pre-existing bias that "the feds aren't doing enough", a big part of the problem appears to have been a misunderstanding over terminology. When our consultants used the term "intimidation", they included all sorts of suppression activities. When Craig Donsanto used the term "intimidation", he was using the definition under federal criminal vote fraud statutes, which requires the action be accompanied by threat of physical or economic harm. (He told me he has had only one such case in 30 years.) His office is actively pursuing voter suppression activities under statutes other than federal voter intimidation laws (e.g.; the recent case in NH where a campaign operative conspired to block election day GOTV telephone lines of the opposing party). A copy of Tanner's comments on the interview summary in the status report for the Standards and Advisory Boards meetings is attached.

I had many long discussions with Tova and Job about this. I was able to get them to soften their description (see 4th bullet on page 7 of the draft report), but not entirely to my satisfaction. Also, at the Working Group meeting, it was agreed that the consultants would add a note to their definition to clarify that the working definition for purposes of the research includes activities that do not meet the federal definition of voter intimidation. The resulting note on page 5 of the draft report is too vague.

DOJ has not seen everything the consultants put in the draft final report, so they may have additional concerns. For example, the consultants' recommendations include the following:

Attend the Department of Justice's Ballot Access and Voting Integrity Symposium. The consultants also believe it would be useful for any further activity in this area to include attendance at the next Ballot Access and Voting Integrity Symposium. According to the Department, DEOs are required to attend annual training conferences centered on combating election fraud and voting rights abuses. These conferences sponsored by the Voting Section of the Civil Rights Division and the Public Integrity Section of the Criminal Division, feature presentations by civil rights officials and senior prosecutors from the Public Integrity Section and the U.S. Attorneys' Offices. According to the Department, DEOs are required to attend annual training conferences centered on combating election fraud and voting rights abuses. These conferences sponsored by the Voting Section of the Civil Rights Division and the Public Integrity Section of the Criminal Division, feature presentations by civil rights officials and senior prosecutors from the Public Integrity Section and the U.S. Attorneys' Offices.

Footnote:

By attending the symposium researchers could learn more about the following:
How *DEOs* are trained, e.g. what they are taught to focus their resources on; How they are instructed to respond to various types of complaints; How information about previous elections and voting issues is presented; and, How the Voting Rights Act, the criminal laws governing election fraud and intimidation, the National Voter Registration Act, and the Help America Vote Act are described and explained to participants.

DOJ has stated that this is an internal meeting, involving only DOJ officials, US Attorneys and FBI. EAC researchers cannot be admitted without opening the meeting to other outsiders. DOJ does not want to do this, probably for two reasons: (1) confidential information on current enforcement cases may be discussed; and (2) making enforcement strategies public could give unscrupulous individuals a virtual "how to" manual for circumventing such strategies when committing election crimes.

We may also have a hard time gaining access to the DOE reports and the Voting Section records of complaints, as they probably aren't considered public documents.

--- Peggy

000072



DOJ-TannerComments-TWInterviewSummary.doc

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 09:47 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF and VI study

that would be great. I am also interested in identifying the points of contention between DOJ and the consultants.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 09:45 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: VF and VI study

Yes (at T:\RESEARCH IN PROGRESS\VOTING FRAUD-VOTER INTIMIDATION\Interviews\Interview Summaries). Do you want me to do the same with those as I did with the literature summaries? --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/07/2006 09:33 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject VF and VI study

Did Tova and Job provide us with summaries or notes of their interviews?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

000076

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/09/2006 11:41 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject How are the summaries of the interviews coming?

I am getting close to having a first cut at a report, minus a few key sections. Just wondering how those summaries are coming along.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/09/2006 12:04 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: How are the summaries of the interviews coming? 

Almost finished sorting through the interview summaries. I don't find them as helpful as the literature summaries, but hope to have something to you by the end of the day. (I was at the clinic yesterday, and could only work a half day.) --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/09/2006 11:41 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject How are the summaries of the interviews coming?

I am getting close to having a first cut at a report, minus a few key sections. Just wondering how those summaries are coming along.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

000074

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM —

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/09/2006 12:08 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: How are the summaries of the interviews coming? 

Thanks. Currently, on the phone with Job. Ugh!!!!

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/09/2006 12:04 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: How are the summaries of the interviews coming? 

Almost finished sorting through the interview summaries. I don't find them as helpful as the literature summaries, but hope to have something to you by the end of the day. (I was at the clinic yesterday, and could only work a half day.) --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/09/2006 11:41 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject How are the summaries of the interviews coming?

I am getting close to having a first cut at a report, minus a few key sections. Just wondering how those summaries are coming along.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

000075

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM —

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/12/2006 08:45 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject VF-VI Interviews

Sorry this is later than expected. I was missing the notes of one interview and had several computer crashes when I tried to retrieve archived email to determine if I had failed to file it after one of the consultants sent it. I finally gave up looking for it in favor of summarizing what I had.

Attached is a summary of points raised in the interviews. I found it more difficult to extract lessons learned from the interview notes, so I used a summary format. (The interview notes make it appear that the focus of the interviews differed from one person to another, perhaps because consultants were seeking different information from interviewees). I've also attached a list of interviewees with pertinent interview notes. (Some of the interview notes dealt with irregularities other than voting fraud and voter intimidation.) ---

Peggy



EAC-Summary of Info from Interviews 11-06.doc EAC-Experts Interviewed Notes 11-06.doc

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM —

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/13/2006 10:27 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject VF-VI Another DOJ Objection

Julie:

I just remembered that there was one other DOJ objection. It was about the way the consultants described the Election Crimes Branch focus on cases. In the interview with Donsanto (the only interview I attended), he made reference to the fact that the Election Crimes Branch used to only go after conspiracies, not individuals. Now, however, they had begun prosecuting individuals for noncitizen and felon voting. The consultants heard an unexpressed "instead", which would mean that DOJ had dropped pursuing conspiracies in favor of going after individuals. Based on my previous experience, I heard and unexpressed "in addition", meaning that DOJ was not just prosecuting conspiracies, the department also had begun to prosecute individuals.

I had lengthy discussions with the consultants over this issue as well. Donsanto confirmed that he meant "in addition", and the lists of cases he provided indicates that the department continues to pursue conspiracies. (It doesn't make sense any other way, unless you believe that the government is out to get the little guy.) --- Peggy

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM —

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/15/2006 09:58 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation

000076

Peggy,

I have attached a rough draft of the report that I think that we should propose to the Commissioners. I was hoping that you could give it a read and give me your comments by Friday morning, as I have to deliver a draft to the Commissioners on Friday. I also have a couple of questions. You will notice that I have noted that several items will be attached as appendixes. First question: Should we attach these things? Second question: In cases where you have provided summaries of the summaries, should we attach yours or theirs?



EAC REPORT ON VOTER FRAUD AND VOTER INTIMIDATION STUDY.doc

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:51 PM -----

Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV

11/15/2006 12:23 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Thor Hearn

Do you have contact information for this guy?

Thomas R. Wilkey
Executive Director
US Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3109 phone
TWilkey@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/15/2006 01:52 PM

To Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: Thor Hearn



Mark (Thor) Hearne II
Partner-Member

000077

Lathrop & Gage, LC
The Equitable Building
10 South Broadway, Suite 1300
St. Louis, MO 63102-1708
Phone: 314-613-2522; (or Assistant, Bethany at 314- 613-2510)
Fax: 314-613-2550
Email: mhearne@lathropgage.com; (or Assistant, Bethany at bschuler@lathropgage.com)

Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV

Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV

11/15/2006 12:23 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Thor Hearn

Do you have contact information for this guy?

Thomas R. Wilkey
Executive Director
US Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3109 phone
TWilkey@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/15/2006 04:02 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation 

Got it, and will get back to you by Friday AM. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/15/2006 04:10 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation 

Thanks.

000078

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/15/2006 04:02 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation 

Got it, and will get back to you by Friday AM. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/17/2006 09:28 AM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report

Julie:

I really like the tone, focus, and organization of the paper. I also liked the way you interspersed the lists of Working Group members, interviewees, and reports reviewed with the text (drawing the reader's attention to the info, cutting down on the # of appendices, and giving the eye a break from regular text). Attached is your document with my comments, questions, and suggested changes. I did not do much to it.

Regarding your questions about the appendices:

I really did not prepare my summaries with an eye toward publication, but the consultants' summaries probably include incendiary info (particularly re DOJ interviews). As for the case law, we have multiple, voluminous charts, but no list. We can create a list from the charts, but that will take time. The Commissioners may want to see the consultants' or my summaries and the case law charts, but do we need to publish them?

Do we need to put short bios for Tova and Job in an appendix? --- Peggy



EAC VF-VI Report- rev 11-17-06.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/17/2006 09:44 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report 

000079

Thanks for your comments.

Last night, I took the case charts and assembled into one 200 -page document. So, that is compiled. I have also amended to include Job and Tova's bios as appendix "1". I have established both your summaries and theirs into alternative appendixes and will talk to the commissioners about that. One question that I have is whether we would need to go through and "clean up" their summaries? I have compiled them into a single document (that is one for interviews and one for literature). Other than the DOJ issue, are there any other "problems" that you recall?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/17/2006 02:54 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report 

I'll need to refresh my memory. I'll take a look at them one more time and get back to you. Hope you enjoy your time out of the office, and have a happy turkey day. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/17/2006 09:44 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report 

Thanks for your comments.

Last night, I took the case charts and assembled into one 200 -page document. So, that is compiled. I have also amended to include Job and Tova's bios as appendix "1". I have established both your summaries and theirs into alternative appendixes and will talk to the commissioners about that. One question that I have is whether we would need to go through and "clean up" their summaries? I have compiled them into a single document (that is one for interviews and one for literature). Other than the DOJ issue, are there any other "problems" that you recall?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

000080

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/17/2006 04:05 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report 

Thanks so much for all of your help. Have a very Happy Thanksgiving.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Margaret Sims

----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims

Sent: 11/17/2006 02:54 PM

To: Juliet Hodgkins

Subject: Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report

I'll need to refresh my memory. I'll take a look at them one more time and get back to you. Hope you enjoy your time out of the office, and have a happy turkey day. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/17/2006 09:44 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report 

Thanks for your comments.

Last night, I took the case charts and assembled into one 200 -page document. So, that is compiled. I have also amended to include Job and Tova's bios as appendix "1". I have established both your summaries and theirs into alternative appendixes and will talk to the commissioners about that. One question that I have is whether we would need to go through and "clean up" their summaries? I have compiled them into a single document (that is one for interviews and one for literature). Other than the DOJ issue, are there any other "problems" that you recall?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

000081

11/26/2006 09:39 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV
cc
Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report

Julie:

I reviewed our materials and refreshed my memory. The DOJ issues appear to be the only potential pitfalls in the consultants' interview summaries. The only other issue that arose during the course of the work was Secretary Rokita's objection to EAC doing the research. I think you have taken care of that in your paper. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/17/2006 04:05 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report

Thanks so much for all of your help. Have a very Happy Thanksgiving.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Margaret Sims

----- Original Message -----

From: Margaret Sims
Sent: 11/17/2006 02:54 PM
To: Juliet Hodgkins
Subject: Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report

I'll need to refresh my memory. I'll take a look at them one more time and get back to you. Hope you enjoy your time out of the office, and have a happy turkey day. --- Peggy

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/17/2006 09:44 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject Re: Draft Voter Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report

Thanks for your comments.

Last night, I took the case charts and assembled into one 200 -page document. So, that is compiled. I have also amended to include Job and Tova's bios as appendix "1". I have established both your summaries and theirs into alternative appendixes and will talk to the commissioners about that. One question that I have is whether we would need to go through and "clean up" their summaries? I have compiled them into a single document (that is one for interviews and one for literature). Other than the

000082

DOJ issue, are there any other "problems" that you recall?

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM —

Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV

11/27/2006 10:58 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: My Thoughts --PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION 

Peggy,

Just to clarify... you only attended (by teleconference or otherwise) one of the interviews? I thought it was more than that?

Gavin S. Gilmour
Deputy General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

THIS MESSAGE IS FOR ITS INTENDED RECIPIENT ONLY. IT IS A PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT AND SHALL NOT BE RELEASED TO A THIRD PARTY WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE SENDER.

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/17/2006 02:48 PM

To Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC, Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Re: My Thoughts --PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION 

Gavin:

This looks good to me. I just have a few questions/clarifications, both involving the second paragraph:

1. First sentence - Do you mean "intra-agency", rather than interagency?
2. Second sentence - If we plan to release an EAC report based on the material provided by the consultants, then can we avoid implying that we are ever going to release a report written by the consultants?

000033

3. Sixth sentence - I was present at only one interview, not all of them; but I did facilitate and help schedule the interviews.

--- Peggy

Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV

Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV

11/17/2006 01:39 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC, Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV, Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc

Subject My Thoughts --PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

Do Not Release



People for the American Way.doc

Gavin S. Gilmour
Deputy General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

THIS MESSAGE IS FOR ITS INTENDED RECIPIENT ONLY. IT IS A PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT AND SHALL NOT BE RELEASED TO A THIRD PARTY WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE SENDER.

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/27/2006 11:30 AM

To Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: My Thoughts --PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION 

I attended only the interview with Craig Donsanto. --- Peggy

Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV

Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV

11/27/2006 10:58 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: My Thoughts --PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION 

000084

Peggy,

Just to clarify... you only attended (by teleconference or otherwise) one of the interviews? I thought it was more than that?

Gavin S. Gilmour
Deputy General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

THIS MESSAGE IS FOR ITS INTENDED RECIPIENT ONLY. IT IS A PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT AND SHALL NOT BE RELEASED TO A THIRD PARTY WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE SENDER.

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
11/17/2006 02:48 PM

To Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC, Jeannie
Layson/EAC/GOV@EAC
Subject Re: My Thoughts --PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION 

Gavin:

This looks good to me. I just have a few questions/clarifications, both involving the second paragraph:

1. First sentence - Do you mean "intra-agency", rather than interagency?
2. Second sentence - If we plan to release an EAC report based on the material provided by the consultants, then can we avoid implying that we are ever going to release a report written by the consultants?
3. Sixth sentence - I was present at only one interview, not all of them; but I did facilitate and help schedule the interviews.

--- Peggy

Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV

Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV
11/17/2006 01:39 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC, Jeannie
Layson/EAC/GOV, Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject My Thoughts --PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

Do Not Release

000085



People for the American Way.doc

Gavin S. Gilmour
Deputy General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

THIS MESSAGE IS FOR ITS INTENDED RECIPIENT ONLY. IT IS A PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT AND SHALL NOT BE RELEASED TO A THIRD PARTY WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE SENDER.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/29/2006 05:35 PM

To Paul DeGregorio/EAC/GOV@EAC, "Davidson, Donetta" <ddavidson@eac.gov>, Gracia Hillman/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV@EAC, Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Revised - Draft - Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Report

Attached is a revised version of the Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Draft Report. The changes that Commissioner Hillman suggested have been made and highlighted in yellow. See pages 10-11.

Peggy and I are working on the revision of the Donsanto and Tanner interview summaries and will forward that to you under a separate email.



Voter Fraud & Intimidation Report - 112906.doc

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

11/29/2006 05:35 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Interview Summaries

000086



Appendix 3 - Interview Summaries - consultants.doc

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/30/2006 04:37 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Donsanto-Tanner Interviews

Julie:

I made some suggested edits in the attached excerpts of the Donsanto and Tanner interview summaries. You may be able to better phrase them. The most important edits are:

- I noticed that the consultants had listed Donsanto's and Tanner's titles incorrectly (which we may also need to correct in our report where we list the interviewees). Donsanto and Tanner might be amused that our consultants "promoted" them, but their bosses may not.
- I redacted two sentences that I thought we should not publicize and one that I thought was in error from the Donsanto description. I also tried to correct the paragraph that discusses DOJ's pursuit of individual offenders.
- I moved the note about Tanner's failure to provide data and information to the end of the description (the highlighted paragraph) so that its isn't so "in your face". I also tried to edit it, but am still a bit concerned about including it at all.

If you have any questions, or want to talk about this, give me a call [REDACTED]. Also, I may be in the office tomorrow, if my insides cooperate. --- Peggy



Summaries of Interviews with Donsanto-Tanner redacted-revised.doc

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM ---

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

12/01/2006 03:17 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Donsanto-Tanner Interviews

I made the correction on the titles in the report. There was one that was not correct.

There are a couple of things I may suggest that we leave in, as I don't think that DOJ would have a problem with it, (e.g. the fact that they won't release information on pending cases).

I will forward to the Cs for their review.

000087

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/30/2006 04:37 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Donsanto-Tanner Interviews

Julie:

I made some suggested edits in the attached excerpts of the Donsanto and Tanner interview summaries. You may be able to better phrase them. The most important edits are:

- I noticed that the consultants had listed Donsanto's and Tanner's titles incorrectly (which we may also need to correct in our report where we list the interviewees). Donsanto and Tanner might be amused that our consultants "promoted" them, but their bosses may not.
- I redacted two sentences that I thought we should not publicize and one that I thought was in error from the Donsanto description. I also tried to correct the paragraph that discusses DOJ's pursuit of individual offenders.
- I moved the note about Tanner's failure to provide data and information to the end of the description (the highlighted paragraph) so that its isn't so "in your face". I also tried to edit it, but am still a bit concerned about including it at all.

If you have any questions, or want to talk about this, give me a call [REDACTED] Also, I may be in the office tomorrow, if my insides cooperate. --- Peggy



Summaries of Interviews with Donsanto-Tanner redacted-revised.doc

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

12/01/2006 03:52 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Re: Donsanto-Tanner Interviews 

Julie:

I assume you mean the note associated with the Tanner interview when you mentioned the pending cases. That's fine by me. I was just a little concerned that the note as a whole was a little adversarial and whiny. If any questions arise as to why certain items should be deleted from the Donsanto interview summary, I have answers. --- Peggy

000088

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV

12/01/2006 03:17 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Donsanto-Tanner Interviews 

I made the correction on the titles in the report. There was one that was not correct.

There are a couple of things I may suggest that we leave in, as I don't think that DOJ would have a problem with it, (e.g. the fact that they won't release information on pending cases).

I will forward to the Cs for their review.

Juliet Thompson Hodgkins
General Counsel
United States Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW, Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 566-3100
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/30/2006 04:37 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Donsanto-Tanner Interviews

Julie:

I made some suggested edits in the attached excerpts of the Donsanto and Tanner interview summaries. You may be able to better phrase them. The most important edits are:

- I noticed that the consultants had listed Donsanto's and Tanner's titles incorrectly (which we may also need to correct in our report where we list the interviewees). Donsanto and Tanner might be amused that our consultants "promoted" them, but their bosses may not.
- I redacted two sentences that I thought we should not publicize and one that I thought was in error from the Donsanto description. I also tried to correct the paragraph that discusses DOJ's pursuit of individual offenders.
- I moved the note about Tanner's failure to provide data and information to the end of the description (the highlighted paragraph) so that its isn't so "in your face". I also tried to edit it, but am still a bit concerned about including it at all.

If you have any questions, or want to talk about this, give me a call  Also, I may be in the office tomorrow, if my insides cooperate. --- Peggy



Summaries of Interviews with Donsanto-Tanner redacted-revised.doc

000089

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

12/04/2006 06:12 PM

To pmclennon@cookcountygov.com

cc

Subject Vote Fraud Report

Dear Peter:

I apologize for the delayed response. The paper that the media touted as an EAC statement on vote fraud was actually just a report on the status of preliminary research into voting fraud and voter intimidation conducted by EAC consultants. The document does not represent a consensus statement on the subject by EAC. The status report was presented to the EAC Board of Advisors and the EAC Standards Board last spring. As these meetings were open to the public, the status report is available to the public. (See attached.)

EAC plans to consider a draft of its own report, which is based on the preliminary research of our consultants, at this Thursday's public meeting. (See agenda published at <http://www.eac.gov/docs/Public%20Meeting,%2012-07-06,%20Wash.,%20Revised%20Final%20Agenda.pdf>.) If the report is approved, EAC will publish it on our web page.

Regards,

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)
Fax: 202-566-3127
email: psims@eac.gov



VF-VI Study Status 5-17-06.pdf

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Bryan Whitener/EAC/GOV

12/06/2006 12:39 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC, Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Please review ASAP - Fw: Fraud Report Press Release - DRAFT

Then I need to get commishes to okay.

----- Forwarded by Bryan Whitener/EAC/GOV on 12/06/2006 12:36 PM -----



"RoseUtley, Jennifer"

To bwhitener@eac.gov

000030



m>
12/05/2006 06:49 PM

cc jlayson@eac.gov
Subject Fraud Report Press Release - DRAFT

Brian,

Please find attached the draft fraud report press release for review. The other documents will follow in a separate email.

Jennifer

NEW E-MAIL:

[REDACTED]
Jennifer Rose-Utley
Manager, Public Affairs
Burson-Marsteller
202.530.4505
[REDACTED]

We've Moved!

Please visit us at our new location:

Burson-Marsteller
1110 Vermont Avenue, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005



Fraud Press Release - DRAFT v2.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

12/06/2006 03:46 PM

To bwhitener@eac.gov

cc

Subject VF-VI Research Contacts

Bryan:

The two consultants were:

- Tova Wang (wang@tcf.org)
- Job Serebrov (serebrov@sbcglobal.net)

000091

The contact information for the Project Working Group, including technical advisor, Craig Donsanto, is in the attached spreadsheet.

You should also send notice to John Tanner, Chief, Voting Section, Civil Rights Division, DOJ (john.k.tanner@usdoj.gov). --- Peggy



Work Group Contact-Availability Info.xls

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM ---



"Bryan Whitener"
<bwhitener@eac.gov>
12/07/2006 02:45 PM

To psims@eac.gov
cc

Subject EAC Releases Findings of Voting Fraud and Voter Intimidation Study, 12-07-06



U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION
1225 New York Ave. NW – Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005

For Immediate Release

December 7, 2006

Contact:

Jeannie Layson
Bryan Whitener
(202) 566-3100

EAC Releases Findings of Voting Fraud and Voter Intimidation Study

*No consensus on the regularity of voting fraud and voting intimidation found
Agency accepts recommendations to conduct a comprehensive study on elections crimes*

WASHINGTON - The United States Election Assistance Commission (EAC) today voted on the findings of the "Voting Fraud and Voter Intimidation Study" and accepted recommendations to conduct

000092

a comprehensive assessment of all claims, charges and prosecutions of voting crimes.

The study represents the first phase of the information gathering process and includes a working definition of election crimes. EAC will now proceed with the second phase, a more comprehensive data-driven survey and study of elections crimes and voter intimidation. The new phase will offer consistency to the study and will identify a common definition of the issue for dialogue among elections officials, civil rights and voter advocacy groups, law enforcement officials, attorneys and the public.

The recommendations accepted by EAC today include:

Survey Chief Elections Officers to Review and Assess Administrative Complaints: EAC will survey the states' chief election officers regarding complaints that have been filed, investigated and resolved since January 1, 2004.

Survey State Election Crime Investigation Units Regarding Complaints Filed and Referred: EAC will gather information on the numbers and types of complaints that have been received by, investigated, and ultimately referred to local or state law enforcement by election crime investigation units since January 1, 2004.

Survey Law Enforcement and Prosecutorial Agencies Regarding Complaints and Charge of Voting Crimes: EAC will survey law enforcement and prosecutorial agencies at the local, state and federal level to determine the number and types of complaints, charges, or indictments, and pleas or convictions of election crimes since January 1, 2004.

Analyze Survey Data in Light of State Laws and Procedures: EAC will use the reliable data gathered from each survey group to analyze the effectiveness of fraud prevention and reporting measures.

In order to arrive at the findings, EAC consultants reviewed existing studies, articles, reports and case law on voting fraud and intimidation and conducted interviews with experts in the field regarding their experiences and research. According to the findings, while there is currently no consensus on the frequency of voting fraud and voter intimidation, most participants agreed that absentee balloting is subject to the greatest proportion of fraudulent acts, followed by vote buying and voter registration fraud.

Following today's vote to approve the survey recommendations, EAC will begin a comprehensive survey and subsequent study on voting fraud and voter intimidation based on hard data. Section 241 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) mandates that EAC research and study various issues related to the administration of elections. During Fiscal Year 2006, EAC in consultation with the Standards Board and Board of Advisors selected voting fraud and voter intimidation from a list of potential research topics that serve to improve the administration of elections for federal office.

For the EAC's full report on the Voting Fraud and Voter Intimidation Study or to view testimony from today's hearing, visit www.eac.gov.

000093

EAC is an independent bipartisan commission created by HAVA. It is charged with administering payments to states and developing guidance to meet HAVA requirements, implementing election administration improvements, adopting voluntary voting system guidelines, accrediting voting system test laboratories and certifying voting equipment and serving as a national clearinghouse and resource of information regarding election administration. The three EAC commissioners are Paul DeGregorio, chairman; Donetta Davidson and Gracia Hillman. One vacancy currently exists.

###

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

12/13/2006 10:14 AM

To pdegregorio@eac.gov, ghillman@eac.gov,
ddavidson@eac.gov

cc twilkey@eac.gov, psims@eac.gov, jthompson@eac.gov,
ggilmour@eac.gov, bwhitener@eac.gov

Subject Rick Hasen response-need your approval

Commissioners,

I want to respond to Rick Hasen's post regarding EAC and the fraud report. My suggested response is below, and his original post follows. Please let me know if you agree that I should attempt to correct the misinformation he posted. If so, please let me know if you approve of my suggested response. Thank you.

Mr. Hason,

I write to point out incorrect information you posted on your website on December 11, 2006. You wrote: "Note what's missing compared to the earlier version leaked to the USA Today Newspaper." No one at the EAC leaked anything to USA Today. The reporter asked for a copy of the staff report about the fraud resesarch that was presented at a public meeting in May to our Board of Advisors and the Standards Board, and the EAC provided it to him. This information was presented and discussed at a meeting that was open to the public, so we provided materials distributed at the meeting to anyone who requested it. The staff report about the fraud project was also distributed to every member of both advisory boards. Go [here](#) to view the Federal Register notice about the public meeting at which this project and many others were discussed.

The statement you attribute to one of the consultants is absolutely correct. As stated by their contract, these consultants were hired so that the EAC could "...obtain consulting services from an individual who can provide advice drawn from broad professional and technical experience in the area of voter fraud and intimidation."

As for your reference to what's "missing compared to the earlier version," the report contains the complete summaries of every interview conducted by the consultants as well as every book, article, report or case that was reviewed. It does not contain the synopsis of those interviews, which were written by the consultants. EAC provided the individual summaries so readers could reach their own conclusions about the substance of the interviews.

000094

EAC's interpretation of HAVA and its determination of what it will study and how it will use its resources to study it are matters of agency policy and decision. These are not, nor should they be, determinations or decisions made by consultants. The EAC has the ultimate responsibility for the reports it issues, and it is incumbent upon the agency to conduct due diligence to ensure reports, data or any other information is complete and accurate before it is adopted by the Commission.

As someone with a public platform who informs the public about matters regarding election administration, I would appreciate it if you would extend the same professional courtesy most journalists do and contact the agency in the future if you have questions or concerns about EAC policy or actions. You may reach me directly at 202-566-3103. I appreciate your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,
Jeannie Layson
Director of Communications
US Election Assistance Commission

More on FL-13, and a Role for the EAC?

When I saw this headline on the *Sarasota Herald Tribune* web page, I thought it must have been about the FL-13 race.

Over on the election law listserv, Doug Johnson, responding to my commentary calling for the House to investigate the problems and declare a revote in the FL-13 race, suggested that perhaps the EAC is better situated to conduct an investigation than the House of the problems in the FL-13.

I'm afraid we might not be able to count on the EAC to conduct an investigation that is well-funded, tough, and fair. Politics appears to be creeping in to decisions of the EAC's advisory board, and there's real concern about the EAC's vote fraud report. Note what's missing compared to the earlier version leaked to the USA Today newspaper. Tova Wang, who authored the draft report for the EAC, issued the following statement to me: "My co-consultant and I provided the EAC with a tremendous amount of research and analysis for this project. The EAC released what is their report yesterday."

The EAC has also lost two commissioners, one Republican and one Democrat, who appeared to be tough-minded and fair. I am very worried about the fairness and non-partisanship of the new rumored nominees.

In short, the EAC has to prove it is up to the task of fair and serious inquiry before it could be trusted with something like an investigation of the FL-13.

Jeannie Layson
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-566-3100

www.eac.gov

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM —

000095



Gracia Hillman/EAC/GOV

12/13/2006 10:50 AM

To Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV@EAC, Paul DeGregorio/EAC/GOV@EAC, Donetta L. Davidson/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV@EAC, Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC, Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC, Gavin S. Gilmour/EAC/GOV@EAC, Bryan Whitener/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Re: Rick Hasen response-need your approval

I agree that Jeannie should send the response.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----



Gracia Hillman/EAC/GOV

12/14/2006 12:07 PM

To "Paul DeGregorio" <pdegregorio@eac.gov>, "Donetta Davidson" <davidson@eac.gov>, Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV@EAC, Juliet E. Thompson/EAC/GOV@EAC, Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC, "Jeannie Layson" <jlayson@eac.gov>, "Karen Lynn-Dyson" <klynn-dyson@eac.gov>

cc "Sheila Banks" <sbanks@eac.gov>

Subject People For

I know that People For the American Way delivered petitions to EAC about release of the Fraud report but I need to know what other communications EAC has had with People For about the study.

Was it represented on the study's working group? If so, by whom? Did they write to us and did we answer? Did anybody from there talk with anybody at EAC about the study and our work? Thanks.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

12/14/2006 12:40 PM

To Gracia Hillman/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc "Donetta Davidson" <davidson@eac.gov>, "Jeannie Layson" <jlayson@eac.gov>, Juliet E. Thompson/EAC/GOV@EAC, "Karen Lynn-Dyson" <klynn-dyson@eac.gov>, "Paul DeGregorio" <pdegregorio@eac.gov>, "Sheila Banks" <sbanks@eac.gov>, Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Re: People For

Commissioner Hillman:

PFAW was not represented on the Working Group for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. Also, I have had no communications with the organization about the study. I did work with

000096

Jeannie and Gavin on a response to PFAW's FOIA request for the study. Jeannie should have the final copy of that reply.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist

Gracia Hillman/EAC/GOV



Gracia Hillman/EAC/GOV
12/14/2006 12:07 PM
Dear Commissioner Hillman:

To "Paul DeGregorio" <pdegregorio@eac.gov>, "Donetta Davidson" <Ddavidson@eac.gov>, Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV@EAC, Juliet E. Thompson/EAC/GOV@EAC, Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC, "Jeannie Layson" <jlayson@eac.gov>, "Karen Lynn-Dyson" <klynn-dyson@eac.gov>
cc "Sheila Banks" <sbanks@eac.gov>

Subject People For

I know that People For the American Way delivered petitions to EAC about release of the Fraud report but I need to know what other communications EAC has had with People For about the study.

Was it represented on the study's working group? If so, by whom? Did they write to us and did we answer? Did anybody from there talk with anybody at EAC about the study and our work? Thanks.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
12/14/2006 12:55 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV, Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV
cc

Subject PFAW Response to EAC Vote Fraud Report

Are there any plans to rebut the PFAW response? If so, may I help? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV
12/14/2006 01:08 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Re: PFAW Response to EAC Vote Fraud Report

I didn't get any comments from you regarding yesterday's response to Rick Hasen. Any thoughts on that?

Jeannie Layson
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW

000097

Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-566-3100
www.eac.gov
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
12/14/2006 12:55 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC, Jeannie
Layson/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject PFAW Response to EAC Vote Fraud Report

Are there any plans to rebut the PFAW response? If so, may I help? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV
12/14/2006 01:19 PM

To Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject Re: PFAW Response to EAC Vote Fraud Report 

Sorry. I saw a message addressed to the Commissioners. I did not realize the fact that I was cc'd meant that you wanted my comments as well. Will do better next time. In the case of PFAW, I think we may need to address other points, as well. I can put some comments in bullet form, and you can take them or leave them. --- Peggy

Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV

Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV
12/14/2006 01:08 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC
Subject Re: PFAW Response to EAC Vote Fraud Report 

I didn't get any comments from you regarding yesterday's response to Rick Hasen. Any thoughts on that?

Jeannie Layson
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Ave., NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-566-3100
www.eac.gov
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

000098

12/14/2006 12:55 PM

To Juliet E. Hodgkins/EAC/GOV@EAC, Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc
Subject PFAW Response to EAC Vote Fraud Report

Are there any plans to rebut the PFAW response? If so, may I help? --- Peggy

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM ---

Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV

12/14/2006 01:36 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc "Donetta Davidson" <Ddavidson@eac.gov>, Gracia Hillman/EAC/GOV@EAC, "Jeannie Layson" <jlayson@eac.gov>, Juliet E. Thompson/EAC/GOV@EAC, "Karen Lynn-Dyson" <klynn-dyson@eac.gov>, "Paul DeGregorio" <pdegregorio@eac.gov>, "Sheila Banks" <sbanks@eac.gov>, Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV@EAC
Subject Re: People For 

Peg, et.al-

I did not have any interaction with this group.

Karen Lynn-Dyson
Research Director
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
1225 New York Avenue , NW Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
tel:202-566-3123

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

12/14/2006 12:40 PM

To Gracia Hillman/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc "Donetta Davidson" <Ddavidson@eac.gov>, "Jeannie Layson" <jlayson@eac.gov>, Juliet E. Thompson/EAC/GOV@EAC, "Karen Lynn-Dyson" <klynn-dyson@eac.gov>, "Paul DeGregorio" <pdegregorio@eac.gov>, "Sheila Banks" <sbanks@eac.gov>, Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV@EAC
Subject Re: People For 

Commissioner Hillman:

000099

PFAW was not represented on the Working Group for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. Also, I have had no communications with the organization about the study. I did work with Jeannie and Gavin on a response to PFAW's FOIA request for the study. Jeannie should have the final copy of that reply.

Peggy Sims
Election Research Specialist

Gracia
Hillman/EAC/GOV

12/14/2006 12:07
PMDear Commissioner
Hillman:

To "Paul DeGregorio" <pdegregorio@eac.gov>, "Donetta Davidson" <Ddavidson@eac.gov>, Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV@EAC, Juliet E. Thompson/EAC/GOV@EAC, Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC, "Jeannie Layson" <jlayson@eac.gov>, "Karen Lynn-Dyson" <klynn-dyson@eac.gov>

CC "Sheila Banks" <sbanks@eac.gov>

Subject People For

I know that People For the American Way delivered petitions to EAC about release of the Fraud report but I need to know what other communications EAC has had with People For about the study.

Was it represented on the study's working group? If so, by whom? Did they write to us and did we answer? Did anybody from there talk with anybody at EAC about the study and our work? Thanks.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----



Gracia Hillman/EAC/GOV
12/18/2006 12:44 PM

To pdegregorio@eac.gov, Ddavidson@eac.gov, Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC
cc

Subject Suggested Timeline for Election Crimes Study

Attached is the suggested timeline that I offered for the Election Crimes Study.

It is an excel spreadsheet, which, if printed, should be done with Landscape layout. If printed on 8 1/2 x 11 paper, it will print as two pages.



Election Crimes, Proj 2007 Timeline.xls

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/24/2007 02:50 PM -----

000100