



"Weinberg and Utrecht"  
[REDACTED]

05/05/2006 12:27 PM

To [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

5201 Roosevelt St.  
Bethesda, MD 20814  
301-493-5343

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Friday, May 05, 2006 10:56 AM

**Subject:** Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Barry:

Would you please provide an address to which we can Federal Express materials before the meeting? ---  
Peg

"Weinberg and Utrecht" [REDACTED]

05/04/2006 01:34 PM

To [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

that would be fine

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 04, 2006 1:08 PM

**Subject:** Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Barry:

It appears that the afternoon of Thursday, May 18 is best for a meeting of the working group. I know you

000302

said you would not be available in the morning that day. If we started at 1 PM, would that be too soon for you?

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127

email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/12/2006 02:52 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Working Group List


List a vacancy---to be filled. If we don't hear from Ginsberg by late afternoon please call Braden.

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Job:  
>  
> What do you suggest I do with the list of Working  
> Group members. I need  
> to get the Fed Ex packages out by the end of the  
> day, and have not heard  
> back from Ginsberg. Do you want me to list a  
> vacancy, or list Norcross  
> with a note that he cannot attend? If we find a  
> substitute, we can always  
> provide an updated list next Thursday. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 04:43 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Hotel for Job

Peggy,

A possible hotel suggestion for Job might be the Sheraton College Park in Beltsville, MD. They have room availability for the nights of the 17th and the 18th for \$159.00 a night.

000303

They have what is called the Sheraton Sweet Sleeper Bed. More information at:

[http://www.starwoodhotels.com/promotions/promo\\_landing.html?category=sweet\\_sleeper](http://www.starwoodhotels.com/promotions/promo_landing.html?category=sweet_sleeper)

This hotel is a little out of the way but the members of the Asian Language Working Group and others have stayed there. The hotel does offer a shuttle to and from Reagan airport and the metro.

Devon Romig  
United States Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
202.566.2377 phone  
202.566.3128 fax  
[www.eac.gov](http://www.eac.gov)

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/12/2006 01:51 PM

To Devon Romig

cc

Subject Wang & Serebrov Fed Ex Info

Devon:

Here is the information you need for the Fed Ex forms for Job and Tova.

Tova Wang

[REDACTED]

(Note that the package may be left with the doorman.)

Job Serebrov

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

[REDACTED]  
05/02/2006 05:52 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group

OK. I'll be out of the office for the next three days, and mostly unavailable on Thursday and Friday as you know already. Tomorrow you can try me on my cell phone 917-656-7905. I'll try to check email when I can. Thanks Peg. Tova

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 02, 2006 4:41 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

000304

**Subject:** RE: Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group

I hope to have a better idea tomorrow, if Rokita's office responds. If not, we'd better have a teleconference to discuss our options. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

05/02/2006 05:06 PM

To dromig@eac.gov  
cc psims@eac.gov  
Subject RE: Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group

Can you please give me an idea where we are at with all this? I'd like to be able to figure out my schedule. Thanks -- and thanks for all your assistance on this. Tova

-----Original Message-----

**From:** dromig@eac.gov [mailto:dromig@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 02, 2006 3:54 PM

**To:** wang [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group

Yes, I have spoken to her assistant several times but today has been the first time that I have ever spoken to her assistant. We did get the information that we needed. Thanks for your help!

Devon Romig  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW - Suite #1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202)566-2377

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

[REDACTED]  
04/26/2006 04:39 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject RE: interview analysis

I think I can help you at least with respect to Barbara. I'll be speaking to her today!

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

000805

**Sent:** Wednesday, April 26, 2006 3:38 PM  
**To:** wang [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: interview analysis

Thanks. We are still trying to get through to Bauer and Arnwine. They have not responded, so their availability is not yet reflected on our spreadsheet. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

04/26/2006 11:22 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
Subject interview analysis

Hi Peg,

Attached, to add to the collection, is a summary overview of the interviews. Do you have that spreadsheet you were telling me about reflecting the times WG participants are available? If so, maybe we can talk soon? Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Visit our Web site, [REDACTED] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----



"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]  
04/24/2006 01:49 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
Subject last of the literature

Hi Peg,

000306

Here is the last summary of existing research. Please let us know how to proceed from here. Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Visit our Web site, [REDACTED], for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



Response to the CB Report FINAL.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/04/2006 05:47 PM

To [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Re: wg 

Tova:

Rokita is available --- so the afternoon of May 18 it is. I will not disinvite anyone. I am trying to get Job's next choice (Pat Rogers) as a replacement for Norcross.

Monday appears to be out for a teleconference because Job will be unavailable that afternoon and I am scheduled for something else that morning. I'll check my schedule tomorrow and send a message to you and Job regarding other possible days and times. --- Peggy

[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]  
05/04/2006 05:21 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject wg

Hi Peg,

Just wondering if you had any word from Rokita. Also, I wanted to let you know that I think disinviting members of the working group would be a very unwise and frankly embarrassing way of dealing with the problem of getting 100% attendance. I'm sure we'll talk before any decisions are made. As I said, I'm free on Monday. Thanks. Tova

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"  
[REDACTED]

To

000307



05/12/2006 03:22 PM

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Fraud Definition

I would give him until Monday morning but I would also call Braden today and tell him there may be an opening for him on the WG and find out whether he is free.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> I have placed another call to his office (after one  
> previous call to his  
> assistant and an email to him). I, too, am  
> concerned about our dwindling  
> chances. --- Peggy

>  
>  
>  
>  
> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> 05/12/2006 03:06 PM

>  
> To  
> psims@eac.gov  
> cc  
>  
> Subject  
> Re: Fraud Definition

>  
>  
>  
>  
> Given the short time period, you may want to give  
> Ginsberg a deadline. The longer we wait, the poorer  
> our chances are of getting Braden.

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

>  
> > I am reluctant to invite Braden until after I have  
> > received a "No" from  
> > Ginsberg. --- Peg

> >  
> >  
> > "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> > 05/12/2006 02:33 PM

> >  
> > To  
> > psims@eac.gov  
> > cc  
> >  
> > Subject  
> > Re: Fraud Definition

000303

> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> > Sounds good to me. If not Ginsburg try Braden.  
> >  
> > --- psims@eac.gov wrote:  
> >  
> > > I will add "DRAFT" to the definition and, yes,  
> > the  
> > > WG will have  
> > > suggestions. I do plan to send packets to you  
> > and  
> > > Tova containing the  
> > > same materials being provided to the WG. I  
> > haven't  
> > > sent anything yet  
> > > because I was hoping to finalize the WG list for  
> > > inclusion. (Still  
> > > waiting for a response from Ginsberg.)  
> > >  
> > > Regarding Tova's response, we may want to have a  
> > > very short meeting after  
> > > the WG disperses, followed by a teleconference  
> > the  
> > > following Monday  
> > > afternoon. Tuesday is bad for me because I'll  
> > be  
> > > out of the office  
> > > attending a series of EAC meetings that begin  
> > that  
> > > day. --- Peggy  
> > >  
> > >  
> > >  
> > > "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> > > 05/12/2006 12:52 PM  
> > >  
> > > To  
> > > psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]  
> > > cc  
> > >  
> > > Subject  
> > > Re: Fraud Definition  
> > >  
> > >  
> > >  
> > >  
> > >  
> > >  
> > > This is ok, given the fact that the WG may have  
> > > suggestions. Will you be sending us the same  
> > packets  
> > > that you are sending the WG? Also, I figure  
> > with  
> > > Tova's response we will need to have a  
> > > teleconference  
> > > on the report once I return to Little Rock. We

000309





Case Summaries.doc Peggy:

Please add this to the packet.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"  
[Redacted]  
05/10/2006 11:51 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Re: Update

The bed is not what I need and Beltsville is a bit far out.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Why is the hotel suggestion not workable? (I need  
> to know as we continue  
> our search.) -- Peg  
>  
>  
>  
> "Job Serebrov" [Redacted]  
> 05/10/2006 10:29 AM  
>  
> To  
> psims@eac.gov  
> cc  
>  
> Subject  
> Update  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> Peggy:  
>  
> Pat just e-mailed me. He has something he can't move  
> on the 18th. So I am now down one person and still  
> no  
> good hotel situation. Devon's suggestion is not  
> workable.  
>  
> Job  
>  
>  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/11/2006 04:09 PM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: new working group representative [REDACTED]

According to the Commissioners, you and Tova each got to pick three members of the Working Group. The Commission guidance regarding this particular member follows:

4 people from the Academic, Legal and Advocacy sectors - 2 to be chosen by Tova and 2 to be chosen by Job.

This issue of allowing a designee relates to Tova's pick.

As I understand it, we are working on a replacement for Norcross. If Ginsberg is not viable, how about Mark Braden, who includes public integrity in his areas of specialization. I would not try and stir up other members of the Working Group, if I were you. The effort is likely to come back and bite you.

"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]



"Job Serebrov"

05/11/2006 03:53 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: new working group representative

I really don't care if he represents the organization or not. What mixed race? The entire discussion was because Arnwine was African-American. If you are going to invite him without first having a replacement for my side, I may have to call Thor and Todd and discuss all of this.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Greenbaum is representing Arnwine, not replacing  
> her. He works for her  
> organization and is of mixed race. --- Peggy

>  
>  
>  
>

> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> 05/11/2006 03:36 PM

>  
> To  
> "Tova Wang" [REDACTED] psims@eac.gov  
> cc

000312

> [REDACTED]  
> Subject  
> Re: new working group representative  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> I have an objection to Greenbaum. While I realize he  
> comes from an advocacy group, he is not a minority  
> attorney and we already have a rep who worked with  
> DOJ. If it is to be Greenbaum, I would rather not  
> fill  
> that position since I am one down.

> --- Tova Wang [REDACTED] wrote:

> > is Jon Greenbaum  
> >  
> > Here' s his info in full:

> [REDACTED]  
>  
> > 1  
> >  
> > He is the Director of the Voting Rights Project  
> > for  
> > the Lawyers Committee  
> > for Civil Rights. He will be representing Barbara  
> > Arnwine, the Executive  
> > Director of the Lawyers Committee.

> > His contact and mailing info is:

> > [REDACTED]  
> > [REDACTED]  
> > 1 [REDACTED]  
> > Suite 400  
> > Washington, DC 20005

> > Tova Andrea Wang  
> > Democracy Fellow  
> > The Century Foundation

> > [REDACTED]  
> > Visit our Web site, [REDACTED]  
> > [REDACTED] for the latest news,  
> > analysis, opinions, and events.

> > [REDACTED]  
> > Click here to receive our  
> > weekly e-mail updates.

> >  
>  
>  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----

Thomas R. Wilkey/EAC/GOV

05/10/2006 10:29 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Re: Fw: Court Reporter for Working Group Meeting 

Yes. please let Joyce know and she will get someone  
Tom

Thomas R. Wilkey  
Executive Director  
US Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 566-3109 phone  
TWilkey@eac.gov  
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/10/2006 10:26 AM

To twilkey@eac.gov

cc DScott@eac.gov, Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Fw: Court Reporter for Working Group Meeting

Tom:

I understand that EAC hired a court reporter for the Asian Language Working Group meeting. I would like to do the same for the May 18 Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group meeting, but I did not include funds in my budget for this service. Do we have funds that could be used for this purpose? (See Devon's cost estimate below.) --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/10/2006 10:18 AM -----

Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV

05/10/2006 09:54 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Court reporter

000814

Peggy,

I spoke to the people who usually handle the EAC court reporting. They charge \$9.00 per page with an average of 40 pages per hour. This service would cost about \$1800.00.

The turn around time for the transcript is 10 to 15 days. The transcripts comes in a bound paper copy and an electronic copy.

I can also check around for different prices.

Devon Romig  
United States Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
202.566.2377 phone  
202.566.3128 fax  
www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"  
[REDACTED]

05/09/2006 10:46 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Fwd: RE: Working Group meeting

FYI

--- "Patrick J. Rogers" [REDACTED] wrote:

> Subject: RE: Working Group meeting  
> Date: Tue, 9 May 2006 07:42:44 -0600  
> From: "Patrick J. Rogers" [REDACTED]  
> To: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
>  
> Job---maybe. I will call you and/or Ms. Sims  
> tomorrow. Depositions all  
> day today. Thanks, Pat  
>  
> What's the best number to call you tomorrow?  
>  
>  
> Patrick J. Rogers  
> Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.

000315

> [REDACTED]  
> [REDACTED] 07102 04:10  
> [REDACTED]  
> Fax: [REDACTED]

> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Job Serebrov [REDACTED]  
> Sent: Monday, May 08, 2006 9:41 PM  
> To: Patrick J. Rogers  
> Subject: Working Group meeting

> Pat:  
>  
> The working group meeting for the voter fraud  
> project is scheduled for  
> May 18th in DC but David Norcross can't attend.  
> Could you come? If so,  
> we need to arrange travel and a hotel for you.

> Regards,  
>  
> Job

-----  
> Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.  
>

-----  
> THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE  
> INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO  
> WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION  
> THAT IS PRIVILEGED,  
> CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER  
> APPLICABLE LAW. If the  
> reader of this message is not the intended recipient  
> or agent  
> responsible for delivering the message to the  
> intended recipient, you  
> are hereby notified that any dissemination or  
> copying of this  
> communication is strictly prohibited. If you have  
> received this  
> electronic transmission in error, please delete it  
> from your system  
> without copying it, and notify the sender by reply  
> e-mail or by calling  
> [REDACTED], so that our address record can be  
> corrected. Thank you. :g . \*

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 11:40 AM

To Serebrov

cc

000816

Subject Fw: Working Group-Perez

This is the original email with the attachment. If you still don't see the attachment on your end, I can excerpt the content and send it in email text. --- Peggy

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/09/2006 11:39 AM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 11:13 AM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc

Subject Re: Working Group-Perez 

As you may recall, the Commissioners directed me to find a nonpartisan local election official to serve on the Working Group. The three of us discussed the desirability of having a Hispanic. I proposed that I find someone from Texas because of that State's colorful history of voting fraud and their innovative approaches to combat it. In those Texas counties that hire Election Administrators to run elections, rather than having elected officials do so (Tax Assessor for voter registration; County Clerk for balloting), the Election Administrator is hired by the County Election Commission and is supposed to perform his or her duties in a nonpartisan manner. (See attached excerpts from Texas Election Code regarding election administrator hiring and restrictions on partisan activity.)

Any experienced Texas election official will be familiar with voting fraud and voter intimidation schemes used in that State. Mr. Perez has over 13 years experience as a county Election Administrator in Texas. You won't find many news articles mentioning him because he has kept his nose clean. (The Texas press, as in many other parts of the country, prefers to report bad news.) Mr. Perez is plugged into the association of Texas election officials and the two largest organizations of election officials in this country: the International Association of Clerks, Recorders, Election Officials and Treasurers (IACREOT); and The Election Center. He is a past President and past Chairman of the Legislative Committee for the Texas Association of Election Administrators. He currently serves on IACREOT's Election Officials Committee, which plans the educational sessions for election officials that are conducted at that organization's conferences. His peers in IACREOT and The Election Center have selected his submissions on web presentations (IACREOT) and his professional practices papers (Election Center) for awards. Mr. Perez also has access to information from other States through his membership in IACREOT and The Election Center. He also has a sense of humor, which you will note if you access the staff web page on the Guadalupe County Elections web site and hear the Mission Impossible theme .. something that might be useful in the upcoming meeting.

Guadalupe County is small but growing. In 2004, the county had over 65 thousand registered voters (a number more than doubled the number of registered voters in 1988). A third of the county's population claims Hispanic or Latino origin, according to the U.S. Census Bureau. The county is in south central Texas and is bordered by Comal, Hays, Cladwell, Gonzales, Wilson, and Bexar counties. In the 1980s, the county was predominately a farming community; but in recent years, many people have moved from San Antonio (Bexar County) to Guadalupe County, preferring to live in Guadalupe County and work in Bexar County.

--- Peggy



tx elec admin-appt-partisan restrictions.doc

000817

"Job Serebrov" [redacted]



"Job Serebrov"  
[redacted]  
05/08/2006 11:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Re: Working Group

Peggy:

What political party is Perez with? How political is he? Is the position in Texas neutral or political? Who appointed Perez?

As to Pat I will contact him but I can't promise anything. If Pat can't come, who is getting knocked off Tova's list?

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 11:38 AM

To Tova Andrea Wang  
cc  
Subject Fw: Case Summaries

Had you seen this? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/09/2006 11:38 AM -----



"Job Serebrov"  
[redacted]  
05/08/2006 09:30 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Case Summaries



Case Summaries.doc Peggy:

Please add this to the packet.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

000818



<serebrov [REDACTED]  
05/11/2006 10:16 AM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org  
cc  
Subject Re: Today's Teleconference

The teleconference is on. However, I am still one person down for the meeting and I am not comfortable. This will have to be discussed since from the start it was agreed that the WG would be equal and if I lost a person Tova would have to loose one. Further and most importantly, I don't yet have a hotel so my attendance is still up in the air. Finally, the agenda is not what we discussed and gives far too much time for areas that can be covered in a short time. Not listed are all of the questions that Tova's proposed agenda had. All in all, it needs to be redone.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> I assume that we are still on for today's  
> teleconference at 11 AM EST. I  
> will call you. I have attached a draft agenda for  
> your review and  
> comment. --- Peggy  
>  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----

Diana Scott/EAC/GOV  
05/08/2006 01:52 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc dromig@eac.gov, Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV@EAC,  
Edgardo Cortes/EAC/GOV@EAC  
Subject Re: Working Group Travel

I have given Adventure Travel the necessary credit card authorization on this. Devon please follow-up with the reservations etc.

Diana M. Scott  
Administrative Officer  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
(202) 566-3100 (office)  
(202) 566-3127 (fax)  
dscott@eac.gov

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

000819

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/05/2006 05:34 PM

To DScott@eac.gov

cc Karen Lynn-Dyson/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov

Subject Working Group Travel

Diana:

The following members of the Working Group for our Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation research project will need to make travel arrangements in order to attend an afternoon meeting of the group on May 18 in Washington, DC:

Mark "Thor" Hearne - St Louis, MO

J.R. Perez - Seguin, TX

The Honorable Todd Rokita - Indianapolis, IN

Kathy Rogers - Atlanta, GA

I may have one additional member from Albuquerque, NM confirmed early next week.

May these people use Adventure Travel to make these arrangements in the same manner as the Asian Language Working Group? I understand the members of that group made hotel and flight arrangements through Adventure Travel and that these costs were billed directly to EAC. We did plan for EAC to pay for the travel of the Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Working Group (budgeted under Research). Devon will prepare their travel authorizations.

Peggy Sims

Election Research Specialist

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:23 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/08/2006 10:18 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Working Group

I am more than happy to attend in person

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto:jserebrov@eac.gov]  
Sent: Monday, May 08, 2006 9:15 AM  
To: psims@eac.gov; w [mailto:w [redacted]]  
Subject: Re: Working Group

000820

Peggy:

4:00 eastern on Tuesday is fine however, given the financial restrictions that you indicated would be in place for use of my car (I would actually lose money coming to DC) and given the cost of hotels at this time (I can't afford to front these costs and wait for months to be repaid), etc, it would take a miracle for this working group meeting to take place in person. It is looking like the only way it will get done is by teleconference. I also share Tova's concern about the unknown nature of Mr. Perez.

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Hi, Folks:  
>  
> Teleconference  
> Are both of you available for a teleconference next  
> Tuesday afternoon at  
> about 4 PM EST? If this does not work for you,  
> please suggest another  
> date and/or time. I would like to discuss our  
> preparations for the  
> Working Group meeting.  
>  
> Working Group Members  
> We have a very good person to fill the slot for the nonpartisan local  
> election official: J.R. Perez, Elections  
> Administrator for Guadalupe  
> County, TX. Attached is his bio. Hope you have no  
> objections to him. He  
> is available on May 18. I have placed 2 calls to Pat  
> Rogers office, but  
> have not yet received a reply. Job, if you have any  
> pull with him, you  
> may want to contact him, too.  
>  
> Travel Arrangements  
> You should make your own travel arrangements,  
> including hotel. Travel  
> time cannot be billed to the contract, except for  
> hours actually worked on  
> the contract (i.e.; reviewing materials in  
> preparation for the meeting,  
> and the like). Current Federal rates follow:  
>  
> Maximum Lodging = \$180 per day- does not include  
> hotel taxes (if you  
> cannot get this rate, we have covered reasonable  
> rates that are a little  
> higher)  
> Meals & Incidentals = \$64 per day (except that it is  
> \$48 on the first and  
> last day of travel)  
> Mileage for Personally Owned Vehicle = \$ .445 per  
> mile  
>  
> Under the new contract, I do not have to fill out a

000321

> travel authorization  
> for you. I can approve your trip via email.  
> Afterwards, when you turn in  
> your next pay voucher, you can attach the airline  
> receipt (or mileage  
> documentation), hotel receipt(s), and ground  
> transportation receipts and a  
> copy of any printed itineraries. Calculate the  
> total travel expenses due  
> you, including applicable per diem. I do not need  
> meal receipts.  
>  
> Job, under Federal travel regulations, deviations  
> for personal reasons are  
> not normally accommodated. What you can do,  
> however, is to give me a  
> comparison of the cost of roundtrip mileage, hotel,  
> and per diem of doing  
> it your way against the cost of a roundtrip flight,  
> ground transportation,  
> hotel, and per diem. If your way costs less, it  
> should be no problem to  
> cover the full cost. If your way is more expensive,  
> we may only pay up to  
> the amount of traditional travel. (The same rules  
> apply to me when I  
> travel.) If you can tell me where, other than DC,  
> you will spend the  
> night, I can check on applicable per diem rates.  
>  
> Peggy  
>  
>

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM —



"Job Serebrov"

05/10/2006 03:03 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc

Subject Option

Peggy:

I may have the only option left but it is a risk time wise. I could stay at the Baymont in Salem by Roanoke and then leave early that morning and drive into DC or to a park and ride (Metro). I would make it before 12:00 barring any unforeseen road issues. However, I would have to leave to go home right after the meeting. That would cancel the next day's meeting.

Job

000822

I need to run to West Little Rock so you can get me on my cell if you want to talk.

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/12/2006 02:46 PM

To Job Serebrov

cc

Subject Working Group List

Job:

What do you suggest I do with the list of Working Group members. I need to get the Fed Ex packages out by the end of the day, and have not heard back from Ginsberg. Do you want me to list a vacancy, or list Norcross with a note that he cannot attend? If we find a substitute, we can always provide an updated list next Thursday. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

[REDACTED]

05/11/2006 04:25 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Material I may not have included

news article review

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 3:23 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: Material I may not have included

Would these go under literature review or news article review? --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

05/10/2006 11:45 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc serebrov [REDACTED], dromig@eac.gov

Subject Material I may not have included

000823

selected Perez are Republicans. If the shoe were on the other foot I would be uncomfortable. This one is up to Tova to call but I am not sure that he can be neutral.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> OK, I get it. The text in the attachment follows:  
>  
> EXCERPTS FROM TEXAS ELECTION CODE  
>  
> SUBCHAPTER B. COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATOR  
>  
> \*\*\*  
>  
> § 31.032. APPOINTMENT OF ADMINISTRATOR; COUNTY  
> ELECTION COMMISSION.  
> (a) The position of county elections  
> administrator is filled by  
> appointment of the county election commission, which  
> consists of:  
> (1) the county judge, as chair;  
> (2) the county clerk, as vice  
> chair;  
> (3) the county tax  
> assessor-collector, as secretary; and  
> (4) the county chair of each  
> political party that made  
> nominations by primary election for the last general  
> election for state  
> and county officers preceding the date of the  
> meeting at which the  
> appointment is made.  
> (b) The affirmative vote of a majority of  
> the commission's  
> membership is necessary for the appointment of an  
> administrator.  
> (c) Each appointment must be evidenced by a  
> written resolution or  
> order signed by the number of commission members  
> necessary to make the  
> appointment. Not later than the third day after the  
> date an administrator  
> is appointed, the officer who presided at the  
> meeting shall file a signed  
> copy of the resolution or order with the county  
> clerk. Not later than the  
> third day after the date the copy is filed, the  
> county clerk shall deliver  
> a certified copy of the resolution or order to the  
> secretary of state.  
> (d) The initial appointment may be made at  
> any time after the  
> adoption of the order creating the position.  
>  
> \*\*\*  
>  
> § 31.035. RESTRICTIONS ON POLITICAL ACTIVITIES.  
> (a) A county elections administrator may  
> not be a candidate for a  
> public office or an office of a political party,

000324



> > [REDACTED]  
> > Subject  
> > Re: Working Group-Perez  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> > We have the same set-up here in Arkansas. We hired  
> > a  
> > person just like Perez. However, given this, I  
> > would  
> > still like to know if he has a party affiliation  
> > and  
> > this brings up another issue. How is the county  
> > election commission chosen. In Arkansas it is the  
> > Chairmen of the Republican and Democrat Parties or  
> > if  
> > he/she does not want to serve a person is elected  
> > in  
> > his/her stead and a third member picked by the  
> > party  
> > with the most constitutional officers. Practically  
> > that has meant that the Democrats have controlled  
> > election commissions in Arkansas since the end of  
> > Reconstruction. This is why I want to know the  
> > situation in Texas.  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> > --- psims@eac.gov wrote:  
> >  
> > > As you may recall, the Commissioners directed me  
> > > to  
> > > find a nonpartisan  
> > > local election official to serve on the Working  
> > > Group. The three of us  
> > > discussed the desirability of having a Hispanic.  
> >  
> > > I  
> > > proposed that I find  
> > > someone from Texas because of that State's  
> > > colorful  
> > > history of voting  
> > > fraud and their innovative approaches to combat  
> > > it.  
> > > In those Texas  
> > > counties that hire Election Administrators to  
> > > run  
> > > elections, rather than  
> > > having elected officials do so (Tax Assessor for  
> > > voter registration;  
> > > County Clerk for balloting), the Election  
> > > Administrator is hired by the  
> > > County Election Commission and is supposed to  
> > > perform his or her duties in  
> > > a nonpartisan manner. (See attached excerpts  
> > > from  
> > > Texas Election Code  
> > > regarding election administrator hiring and

000326

> > > restrictions on partisan  
> > > activity.)  
> > > Any experienced Texas election official will be  
> > > familiar with voting fraud  
> > > and voter intimidation schemes used in that  
> State.  
> >  
> > > Mr. Perez has over 13  
> > > years experience as a county Election  
> > Administrator  
> > > in Texas. You won't  
> > > find many news articles mentioning him because  
> he  
> > > has kept his nose clean.  
> > > (The Texas press, as in many other parts of the  
> > > country, prefers to  
> > > report bad news.) Mr. Perez is plugged into the  
> > > association of Texas  
> > > election officials and the two largest  
> > organizations  
> > > of election officials  
> > > in this country: the International Association  
> of  
> > > Clerks, Recorders,  
> > > Election Officials and Treasurers (IACREOT); and  
>  
=== message truncated ===

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

[REDACTED]  
05/11/2006 01:59 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc

Subject RE: research summaries

Job did this one

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 12:56 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: research summaries

Something is wrong in the fourth paragraph of the Federal Election Crime summary. Do you know what it is supposed to say there?

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

05/11/2006 01:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov, dromig@eac.gov

000827

cc  
Subject research summaries

I have the feeling we didn't include these in the original batch I sent you. Could you double check and if not, would you please include them in the existing research materials? Sorry and thanks. I'm kind of doing all of this on my own in case you couldn't tell. List is coming...

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**



Visit our Web site,  for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/11/2006 11:16 AM

To Job Serebrov, Tova Andrea Wang

cc

Subject Rev Agenda for Working Group Meeting



Agenda 5-18-06 Mtg.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 02:48 PM

To Adam Ambrogio/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Fw: Working Group-Perez

Adam:

J.R. Perez's resume is attached, and I have forwarded my last explanatory email to Job in answer to his concerns. I will tell Tova not to contact Ray, but that she may talk with you about this issue. Thanks! ---  
Peggy



Perez bio 5\_5\_06.doc

000328

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/09/2006 02:45 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 11:13 AM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc

Subject Re: Working Group-Perez 

As you may recall, the Commissioners directed me to find a nonpartisan local election official to serve on the Working Group. The three of us discussed the desirability of having a Hispanic. I proposed that I find someone from Texas because of that State's colorful history of voting fraud and their innovative approaches to combat it. In those Texas counties that hire Election Administrators to run elections, rather than having elected officials do so (Tax Assessor for voter registration; County Clerk for balloting), the Election Administrator is hired by the County Election Commission and is supposed to perform his or her duties in a nonpartisan manner. (See attached excerpts from Texas Election Code regarding election administrator hiring and restrictions on partisan activity.)

Any experienced Texas election official will be familiar with voting fraud and voter intimidation schemes used in that State. Mr. Perez has over 13 years experience as a county Election Administrator in Texas. You won't find many news articles mentioning him because he has kept his nose clean. (The Texas press, as in many other parts of the country, prefers to report bad news.) Mr. Perez is plugged into the association of Texas election officials and the two largest organizations of election officials in this country: the International Association of Clerks, Recorders, Election Officials and Treasurers (IACREOT); and The Election Center. He is a past President and past Chairman of the Legislative Committee for the Texas Association of Election Administrators. He currently serves on IACREOT's Election Officials Committee, which plans the educational sessions for election officials that are conducted at that organization's conferences. His peers in IACREOT and The Election Center have selected his submissions on web presentations (IACREOT) and his professional practices papers (Election Center) for awards. Mr. Perez also has access to information from other States through his membership in IACREOT and The Election Center. He also has a sense of humor, which you will note if you access the staff web page on the Guadalupe County Elections web site and hear the Mission Impossible theme .. something that might be useful in the upcoming meeting.

Guadalupe County is small but growing. In 2004, the county had over 65 thousand registered voters (a number more than doubled the number of registered voters in 1988). A third of the county's population claims Hispanic or Latino origin, according to the U.S. Census Bureau. The county is in south central Texas and is bordered by Comal, Hays, Cladwell, Gonzales, Wilson, and Bexar counties. In the 1980s, the county was predominately a farming community; but in recent years, many people have moved from San Antonio (Bexar County) to Guadalupe County, preferring to live in Guadalupe County and work in Bexar County.

--- Peggy



tx elec admin-appt-partisan restrictions.doc

"Job Serebrov" 



"Job Serebrov"

05/08/2006 11:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Working Group

000329



Peggy:

What political party is Perez with? How political is he? Is the position in Texas neutral or political? Who appointed Perez?

As to Pat I will contact him but I can't promise anything. If Pat can't come, who is getting knocked off Tova's list?

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/11/2006 04:35 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: new working group representative

Peggy:

Braden is ok also with me but please don't tell me not to "stir up" things. I assure you nothing will come back to bite me. I know these people well enough to say they will also want a balanced group. In fact, one of them was very unhappy with Tova's folks.

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

- > According to the Commissioners, you and Tova each
- > got to pick three
- > members of the Working Group. The Commission
- > guidance regarding this
- > particular member follows:
- >
- > 4 people from the Academic, Legal and Advocacy
- > sectors - 2 to be chosen by
- > Tova and 2 to be chosen by Job.
- >
- > This issue of allowing a designee relates to Tova's
- > pick.
- >
- > As I understand it, we are working on a replacement
- > for Norcross. If
- > Ginsberg is not viable, how about Mark Braden, who
- > includes public
- > integrity in his areas of specialization. I would
- > not try and stir up

000836

> other members of the Working Group, if I were you.  
> The effort is likely  
> to come back and bite you.

>  
>  
>  
>  
>

> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> 05/11/2006 03:53 PM

>  
> To  
> psims@eac.gov  
> cc

> Subject  
> Re: new working group representative

>  
>  
>  
>  
>

> I really don't care if he represents the  
> organization  
> or not. What mixed race? The entire discussion was  
> because Arnwine was African-American. If you are  
> going  
> to invite him without first having a replacement for  
> my side, I may have to call Thor and Todd and  
> discuss  
> all of this.

>  
> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

>  
> > Greenbaum is representing Arnwine, not replacing  
> > her. He works for her  
> > organization and is of mixed race. --- Peggy

> >  
> >  
> >

> > "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> > 05/11/2006 03:36 PM

> >  
> > To  
> > "Tova Wang" [REDACTED], psims@eac.gov  
> > cc

> > [REDACTED]  
> > Subject  
> > Re: new working group representative

> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >

> > I have an objection to Greenbaum. While I realize  
> > he  
> > comes from an advocacy group, he is not a minority  
> > attorney and we already have a rep who worked with

000831

> > DOJ. If it is to be Greenbaum, I would rather not  
> > fill  
> > that position since I am one down.

> > --- Tova Wang [REDACTED] wrote:

> > > is Jon Greenbaum

> > > Here' s his info in full:

> > >

>

[REDACTED]

>

> > > 1

> > > He is the Director of the Voting Rights Project  
> > for

> > > the Lawyers Committee  
> > > for Civil Rights. He will be representing  
> Barbara

> > > Arnwine, the Executive  
> > > Director of the Lawyers Committee.

> > > His contact and mailing info is:

> > > [REDACTED]

> > > [REDACTED]

> > > [REDACTED]

> > > Washington, DC 20005

> > >

> > >

> > > Tova Andrea Wang  
> > > Democracy Fellow  
> > > The Century Foundation

> > > [REDACTED]

> > > [REDACTED]

> > > Visit our Web site, [REDACTED]

> > > [REDACTED] for the latest news,  
> > > analysis, opinions, and events.

> > >

> > >

> > >

> > > [REDACTED]

> > > Click here to receive our  
> > > weekly e-mail updates.

> > >

> > >

> > >

> >

> >

> >

>

>

>

>

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM —

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/11/2006 03:54 PM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc

Subject Re: Literature Summary 

When I opened the attachment, I still had problems with the 4th paragraph. Would you please just send me that paragraph within the text of your email so that I can paste it into the document? --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov" 



"Job Serebrov"

  
05/11/2006 03:49 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Literature Summary

I resent the review as you see at the bottom. When I opened it and sent it there was no corrupted text.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Do you have text to replace the corrupted text in  
> paragraph 4? --- Peggy

>

>

>

> "Job Serebrov" 

> 05/11/2006 03:17 PM

>

> To

> psims@eac.gov

> cc

>

> Subject

> Re: Literature Summary

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

>

000333

> > Tova just sent me the summary you prepared of The  
> > Federal Crime of  
> > Election Fraud by Craig Donsanto. There is  
> > something wrong in the fourth  
> > paragraph (odd characters and missing text). Can  
> > you please send a  
> > replacement fourth paragraph? You can send it in  
> > an email and I will  
> > place it in the document. --- Peggy  
>

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM ---



"Job Serebrov"

[REDACTED]  
04/24/2006 12:41 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Re: Voucher

Ok. Thanks

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Job:  
>  
> I've signed and submitted your voucher. I had to  
> correct the contract  
> date. (It is 2/26/06, not 4/22/06.) Everything  
> else looked great. ---  
> Peggy

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 02:51 PM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]  
cc Adam Ambrogi/EAC/GOV@EAC  
Subject RE: Working Group-Perez [REDACTED]

We are still on for 4 PM. Ray is out of the office due to a family emergency, so I suggest you NOT contact him. You may contact his Special Assistant, Adam Ambrogi (aambrogi@eac.gov or 202-566-3105), who also hails from Texas. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]



"Tova Wang"

[REDACTED]  
05/09/2006 12:08 PM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]  
cc

000834



Subject RE: Working Group-Perez

We are still doing the 4 pm call, right? We can discuss it more then. Would it be OK if I see if Ray knows this person? Thanks. Tova

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 09, 2006 10:14 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: Working Group-Perez

As you may recall, the Commissioners directed me to find a nonpartisan local election official to serve on the Working Group. The three of us discussed the desirability of having a Hispanic. I proposed that I find someone from Texas because of that State's colorful history of voting fraud and their innovative approaches to combat it. In those Texas counties that hire Election Administrators to run elections, rather than having elected officials do so (Tax Assessor for voter registration; County Clerk for balloting), the Election Administrator is hired by the County Election Commission and is supposed to perform his or her duties in a nonpartisan manner. (See attached excerpts from Texas Election Code regarding election administrator hiring and restrictions on partisan activity.)

Any experienced Texas election official will be familiar with voting fraud and voter intimidation schemes used in that State. Mr. Perez has over 13 years experience as a county Election Administrator in Texas. You won't find many news articles mentioning him because he has kept his nose clean. (The Texas press, as in many other parts of the country, prefers to report bad news.) Mr. Perez is plugged into the association of Texas election officials and the two largest organizations of election officials in this country: the International Association of Clerks, Recorders, Election Officials and Treasurers (IACREOT); and The Election Center. He is a past President and past Chairman of the Legislative Committee for the Texas Association of Election Administrators. He currently serves on IACREOT's Election Officials Committee, which plans the educational sessions for election officials that are conducted at that organization's conferences. His peers in IACREOT and The Election Center have selected his submissions on web presentations (IACREOT) and his professional practices papers (Election Center) for awards. Mr. Perez also has access to information from other States through his membership in IACREOT and The Election Center. He also has a sense of humor, which you will note if you access the staff web page on the Guadalupe County Elections web site and hear the Mission Impossible theme .. something that might be useful in the upcoming meeting.

Guadalupe County is small but growing. In 2004, the county had over 65 thousand registered voters (a number more than doubled the number of registered voters in 1988). A third of the county's population claims Hispanic or Latino origin, according to the U.S. Census Bureau. The county is in south central Texas and is bordered by Comal, Hays, Cladwell, Gonzales, Wilson, and Bexar counties. In the 1980s, the county was predominately a farming community; but in recent years, many people have moved from San Antonio (Bexar County) to Guadalupe County, preferring to live in Guadalupe County and work in Bexar County.

--- Peggy

000835

"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

05/08/2006 11:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Working Group

Peggy:

What political party is Perez with? How political is he? Is the position in Texas neutral or political? Who appointed Perez?

As to Pat I will contact him but I can't promise anything. If Pat can't come, who is getting knocked off Tova's list?

Job

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM —

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/12/2006 10:10 AM

To bginsberg [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Dear Mr. Ginsberg:

This is to confirm my call to your office this morning inviting you to be a member of and attend the upcoming meeting of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission's (EAC) Working Group on Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation. The meeting is scheduled to take place from 1:00 PM to 5:30 PM on Thursday, May 18th, 2006 at the offices of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC), 1225 New York Avenue, NW, 11th Floor, Washington, DC.

Section 241 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) requires EAC to conduct research on election administration issues. Among the tasks listed in the statute are the development of:

- nationwide statistics and methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating voting fraud in elections for Federal office [section 241(b)(6)]; and
- methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating methods of voter intimidation [section 241(b)(7)].

EAC's Board of Advisors recommended that the agency make research on these matters a high priority. Subsequently, the Commission contracted with two consultants (Job Serebrov and Tova Wang) to:

000336

- develop a comprehensive description of what constitutes voting fraud and voter intimidation in the context of Federal elections;
- perform background research (including Federal and State administrative and case law review), identify current activities of key government agencies, civic and advocacy organizations regarding these topics, and deliver a summary of this research and all source documentation;
- establish a project working group, in consultation with EAC, composed of key individuals and representatives of organizations knowledgeable about the topics of voting fraud and voter intimidation;
- provide the description of what constitutes voting fraud and voter intimidation, and the results of the preliminary research to the working group, and convene the working group to discuss potential avenues for future EAC research on this topic; and
- produce a report to EAC summarizing the findings of the preliminary research effort and working group deliberations that includes recommendations for future research, if any;

We strive to include bipartisan representation on the Working Group associated with this project. You were recommended for this project by our Republican consultant, Job Serebrov. Your ideas for possible EAC activities related to this topic will help the agency as it plans future actions to meet its HAVA responsibilities.

If you can find the time in your busy schedule to participate, I will have an information packet delivered to your office by COB, Monday, May 15. Please let me know if you are available. Thank you.

Regards,

Peggy Sims  
 Election Research Specialist  
 U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
 1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
 Washington, DC 20005  
 Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
 Fax: 202-566-3127  
 email: psims@eac.gov

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/10/2006 09:25 AM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Re: arnwine [REDACTED]

I'm checking on this. Will get back to you as soon as I have more info. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]



"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

05/09/2006 05:28 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject arnwine

000837

She definitely cannot do it. Would you please find out if Wade Henderson would be possible? Now its my turn to be upset!!! Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**

[REDACTED]

Visit our Web site, [REDACTED], for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

---

000338

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV  
04/30/2007 06:28 PM

To eacon@eac.gov  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Vote Fraud Study-Archived Email Part 2

Here is the second batch of my archived email related to the vote fraud study.

Peg Sims

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----

Aletha  
Barrington/CONTRACTOR/E  
AC/GOV  
04/19/2006 01:09 PM

To [REDACTED]  
cc Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
Subject Voting fraud/Voter intimidation

Good afternoon:

I like to introduce myself, I am Aletha Barrington, the new Contract Assistant, I will be replacing Nicole Mortellito. You may address any questions regarding the Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Contract to me as well as cc all monthly reports. Thank you and I look forward to working with you!

Aletha Barrington

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV  
04/17/2006 04:33 PM

To Sarah Ball Johnson  
cc [REDACTED] ecortes@eac.gov  
Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Teleconference 4-19-06

Hi, Sarah:

Thank you for agreeing to be interviewed on Wednesday, April 19, by the consultants for EAC's initial research on voting fraud and voter intimidation, Job Serebrov and Tova Wang. Our consultants are conducting interviews as part of preliminary research to determine how EAC may best meet the requirements of Section 241(b)6 and 7 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002. As you may recall, Section 241 requires EAC to conduct research on election administration issues, including the development of:

- nationwide statistics and methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating voting fraud in elections for Federal office; and
- methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating methods of voter intimidation.

This is what I need you (and the Secretary, if he is available) to do:

- At approximately 11 AM EST on April 19, call 1-866-222-9044.
- At the prompt for the pass code, enter 62209.

000335

Tova and Job will join you on the line. We have arranged for the line to be open for an hour, with 10 minutes extra on the front end (for folks who have not synchronized their watches).

You mentioned that Secretary Grayson may be using a cell phone. Our teleconference provider has given us the following information regarding the use of cell phones during the teleconference:

- Signals are often in and out and the audio bridging equipment cannot compensate fast enough by adjusting the signal. This affects all participants connected. If participants must use a cell phone – they **should be stationary in a location where they can pick up the other participants**, moving while using a cell phone causes the signal to go in and out and often will pick up extraneous electrical signals that will cause heavy static on the call.
- The **cell phone should be well charged and muted, if possible, until the individual is ready to speak.**
- **If there is a problem**, anybody who dials into a conference can **contact the operator/technicians by simply pressing \*0 (star zero)**. This information is part of the recording when individuals are dialing in.

If you have any problems accessing the teleconference, please call Edgardo Cortés. You can reach him at 1-866-747-1471 (toll-free) or 202-566-3126. He can contact our service provider to correct any problems. (I will be on my way to Seattle and unable to help.)

Thanks, again!

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

04/17/2006 01:34 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Interviews

Actually, 11 EST would be better. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Monday, April 17, 2006 10:49 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Interviews

I know you preferred Friday, but Job is not available then. He also said he is not available next week. Do you have any time available this Wednesday? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/17/2006 11:45 AM -----

000340

"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

04/17/2006 11:06 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Re: Follow up Donsanto and KY Interviews

I can't do it Friday but Wednesday is ok.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Tova and Job:  
>  
> I've passed Tova's request on to Craig.  
>  
> Also, Sarah Ball Johnson, KY, finally called back  
> to say she would be  
> available Wednesday through Friday this week and  
> next week for the  
> interview. Which day and time is best for you and  
> Job?

> --- Peggy

> [REDACTED]  
> 04/16/2006 11:39 AM

> To  
> psims@eac.gov  
> cc  
> "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]  
> Subject  
> donsanto again

> Hi Peg,


> Happy Easter!!

> Would it be possible to talk to Mr. Donsanto about  
> this latest initiative,  
> or somehow get more information? Thanks. Tova

> <http://www.fbi.gov/page2/april06/electioncrime041406.htm>

000841

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV

04/19/2006 12:24 PM

To Rivers [REDACTED]

cc Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group

Ms. Rivers,

My name is Devon Romig and I am writing to you on behalf of the Election Assistance Commission. I believe that you have been contacted previously by our consultant Job Serebrov about the Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group that we are organizing.

We are in the process of setting a date for this event and we would appreciate any suggestions that you may contribute based upon Mr. Norcross's availability in the month of May. The proposed dates are May 1,2,3,8,9,10,11,12,15,16,17,18,19. The meeting will only last for one day. Please let me know any and all of the listed dates that will work with Mr. Norcross's schedule.

Also, I tried to contact you by phone but I received a disconnected notification. Could you please provide me with you most current contact information?

Feel free to call or email me with any questions.

Thanks!

Devon Romig  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW - Suite #1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202)566-2377

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

[REDACTED]  
04/20/2006 10:58 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc DRomig@eac.gov

Subject wg meeting

Hi Peg,

I think I might have told you only that I am unavailable on the 5th. I'm actually unavailable on the 4th as well. Any news on this front? We should also arrange a conference call next week about preparing for the meeting, don't you think? Thanks Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
[REDACTED]

000842

[REDACTED]  
Visit our Web site [REDACTED] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----



"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]

04/21/2006 12:18 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject existing research summaries 3 (final)

Peg, I hope we will be able to review the binders you put together before they get sent out. Thanks. Just one more research summary to come Monday. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Visit our Web site [REDACTED] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



The Long Shadow of Jim Crow.doc The New Poll Tax (JS).doc Wisconsin Audit Report.doc Wisconsin Vote Fraud TF.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----



"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]

04/21/2006 11:03 AM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject wg materials

I will now begin sending several emails with material for the working group meeting. Peg, we still have not heard back from you on whether you like the agenda. I have attached it again. With respect to the interview and research summaries, would you both please review them to make sure there are no glaring mistakes?

Are we going on a hiatus next week? I'm a little confused about what happens from here. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

000343

Visit our Web site, [REDACTED] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



TW proposed agenda.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

[REDACTED]  
04/21/2006 11:10 AM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject interview summaries 4 (final)

Please also double check that I have not left any out. Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation

Visit our Web site, [REDACTED] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



Interview with Wade Henderson.doc Interview with Wendy Weiser.doc Interview with William Groth.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----



"Johnson, Sarah Ball (SBE)"

[REDACTED]  
>  
04/18/2006 04:02 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Teleconference 4-19-06

Peggy,

I am attaching a link to a recent book published by a Kentucky History Professor, Tracy Campbell, which details voter fraud on state and national level. It is very interesting reading.

[http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/078671591X/sr=8-1/qid=1145390029/ref=pd\\_bbs\\_1/1103-8923253-6647806?%5Fencoding=UTF8](http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/078671591X/sr=8-1/qid=1145390029/ref=pd_bbs_1/1103-8923253-6647806?%5Fencoding=UTF8)

Sarah Ball Johnson  
Executive Director

000844

[REDACTED]

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission is for the use of the named individual or entity to which it is directed and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. It is not to be transmitted to or received by anyone other than the named addressee (or a person authorized to deliver it to the named addressee). It is not to be copied or forwarded to any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, delete it from your system without copying or forwarding it, and notify the sender of the error by replying via email or by calling the Kentucky State Board of Elections at (502) 573-7100, so that our address record can be corrected.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 17, 2006 4:34 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Sarah Ball (SBE)  
**Cc:** serebrov@eac.gov, wang@eac.gov, cortes@eac.gov  
**Subject:** Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Teleconference 4-19-06

Hi, Sarah:

Thank you for agreeing to be interviewed on Wednesday, April 19, by the consultants for EAC's initial research on voting fraud and voter intimidation, Job Serebrov and Tova Wang. Our consultants are conducting interviews as part of preliminary research to determine how EAC may best meet the requirements of Section 241(b)6 and 7 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002. As you may recall, Section 241 requires EAC to conduct research on election administration issues, including the development of:

- nationwide statistics and methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating voting fraud in elections for Federal office; and
- methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating methods of voter intimidation.

This is what I need you (and the Secretary, if he is available) to do:

- At approximately 11 AM EST on April 19, call 1-866-222-9044.
- At the prompt for the pass code, enter 62209.

Tova and Job will join you on the line. We have arranged for the line to be open for an hour, with 10 minutes extra on the front end (for folks who have not synchronized their watches).

You mentioned that Secretary Grayson may be using a cell phone. Our teleconference provider has given us the following information regarding the use of cell phones during the teleconference:

- Signals are often in and out and the audio bridging equipment cannot compensate fast enough by adjusting the signal. This affects all participants connected. If participants must use a cell phone – they **should be stationary in a location where they can pick up the other participants**, moving while using a cell phone causes the signal to go in and out and often will pick up extraneous electrical signals that will cause heavy static on the call.
- The **cell phone should be well charged and muted, if possible, until the individual is ready to speak.**
- **If there is a problem**, anybody who dials into a conference can **contact the operator/technicians by simply pressing \*0 (star zero)**. This information is part of the recording when individuals are dialing in.

000345

If you have any problems accessing the teleconference, please call Edgardo Cortés. You can reach him at 1-866-747-1471 (toll-free) or 202-566-3126. He can contact our service provider to correct any problems. (I will be on my way to Seattle and unable to help.)

Thanks, again!

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----



"Tova Wang"  
[Redacted]  
04/21/2006 11:19 AM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [Redacted]  
cc  
Subject

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
[Redacted]

Visit our Web site, [Redacted] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here to receive our weekly e-mail updates.](#)



Summary of DOJ activities 0405.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:28 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV  
04/18/2006 05:36 PM

To "Johnson, Sarah Ball (SBE)" [Redacted]  
cc  
Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Teleconference 4-19-06  


Sarah:

Thank you. I have not reviewed this myself, so I really appreciate the link. Professor Campbell was among the people interviewed by our consultants.

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: psims@eac.gov

"Johnson, Sarah Ball (SBE)" [REDACTED]



"Johnson, Sarah Ball (SBE)"

[REDACTED]

>

04/18/2006 04:02 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Teleconference 4-19-06

Peggy,

I am attaching a link to a recent book published by a Kentucky History Professor, Tracy Campbell, which details voter fraud on state and national level. It is very interesting reading.

[http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/078671591X/sr=8-1/qid=1145390029/ref=pd\\_bbs\\_1/103-8923253-6647806?%5Fencoding=UTF8](http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/078671591X/sr=8-1/qid=1145390029/ref=pd_bbs_1/103-8923253-6647806?%5Fencoding=UTF8)

Sarah Ball Johnson  
Executive Director

[REDACTED]

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission is for the use of the named individual or entity to which it is directed and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. It is not to be transmitted to or received by anyone other than the named addressee (or a person authorized to deliver it to the named addressee). It is not to be copied or forwarded to any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, delete it from your system without copying or forwarding it, and notify the sender of the error by replying via email or by calling the Kentucky State Board of Elections at (502) 573-7100, so that our address record can be corrected.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 17, 2006 4:34 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Sarah Ball (SBE)  
**Cc:** serebrov [REDACTED]; wang [REDACTED]; ecortes@eac.gov  
**Subject:** Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Teleconference 4-19-06

000347

What about my question on gas receipts?

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> I can email this out to our participants after I get  
> back to the office, and we can have copies available  
> at the meeting.  
> Peggy

>

> -----

> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

>

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: wang

> Sent: 05/13/2006 10:54 AM

> To: psims@eac.gov

> Cc: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

> Subject: Fw: research summary

>

> Job found it. I'm assuming its too late to include  
> so as I said I'll just

> present it if thats OK. Thanks again Job. T

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

> To: [REDACTED]

> Sent: Saturday, May 13, 2006 10:12 AM

> Subject: Re: research summary

>

>

> > T~

> >

> > Are you talking about this?

> >

> > J~

> >

> > --- wang@tcf.org wrote:

> >

> >> In the middle of the night I got the feeling that

> >> you may be right, that I did do a summary of the

> >> existing literature review (that Job, you

> >> approved)

> >> . I'll have to look for it on Monday (unless I go

> >> into the office over the weekend, which is

> >> possible). I may be hallucinating, but if not,

> >> I'll

> >> just present it at the meeting rather than try to

> >> get it to them ahead of time. Tova

>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----

"Tova Wang"

[REDACTED]  
05/22/2006 06:07 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc



000348



Subject RE: PowerPoint Presentation to EAC Boards


I don't know if its too late, but in the interview summary we actually said There is widespread but not unanimous agreement that there is little polling place fraud. Thats quite different than saying, as you do here, that there is disagreement.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 22, 2006 3:56 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** PowerPoint Presentation to EAC Boards

FYI - Attached is a copy of the PowerPoint presentation on the voting fraud-voter intimidation research project for tomorrow's meetings of the EAC Standards Board (110 state and local election officials) and the EAC Advisory Board (37 representatives from national associations and government agencies who play a role in HAVA implementation and from science and technology-related professions appointed by Congressional members). I used your summaries as the primary source of information for the presentation. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----



**Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV**  
05/25/2006 02:37 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc  
Subject Summary for VFVI working group meeting

Peggy,

Here is the summary that you requested. Let me know if this works.

Thanks!

Devon Romig  
United States Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
202.566.2377 phone  
202.566.3128 fax  
www.eac.gov



VFVI Meeting Summary.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----

**Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV**  
05/16/2006 02:47 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL  
cc

000349

Subject RE: Your Materials 

I think they are panicking because they are preparing to travel tomorrow and may not have time to submit a revised version. They also are resisting changes to their interview summaries because the summaries represent what they think they heard. I was there at the interview and I heard what you said. I'm not sure that either of them heard everything (including the nuances) because so much of the information was new to them and it was one of their earlier interviews. I'm sorry I did not catch the defects before the summary went out.

My first concern is ensuring that the Working Group has the correct information. Then, we can deal with what version, *if any*, goes in the final report. Do you want me to excerpt the corrections from your email and submit them to the Working Group? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov  
>

05/16/2006 01:41 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Your Materials

Sure. But where is the resistance coming from? The notes were not accurate. As you know, I have to be very concerned about that.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 12:34 PM

**To:** Donsanto, Craig

**Subject:** RE: Your Materials

Craig:

I am getting some resistance from my consultants to correcting the summary of the interview prior to the meeting. Would you mind noting the corrections at the meeting? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 12:06 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Your Materials

000350

Thank you, Peg. This stuff is very interesting.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 11:27 AM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Re: Your Materials

I have forwarded your message to our consultants and have requested a corrected version for distribution at the WG meeting. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

Topsims@eac.gov  
cc  
SubjectYour Materials

Peg --

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request -- or routinely receive -- audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an

000851

election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----



[REDACTED]  
05/12/2006 09:48 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Re: Fraud Definition

How about specifying Section 2 and 203 of the VRA?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Friday, May 12, 2006 1:34 PM

**Subject:** RE: Fraud Definition

Lets raise this issue at the meeting. (I'll add "DRAFT" to the current document.) My concern is that there are a number of requirements in the Voting Rights Act. Not all of them are considered election fraud, when violated. For example, failure to preclear changes in election procedures is not treated as election fraud, though it is actionable. --- Peggy

[REDACTED]  
05/12/2006 12:45 PM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]  
cc  
Subject RE: Fraud Definition

Upon first reading, my only comment would be that I would like to restore "failing to follow the

000352

requirements of the Voting Rights Act"

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Friday, May 12, 2006 9:20 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Fraud Definition

Would you please take a look at the attached? I combined both of your definitions, reformatted the list, removed a reference to the fraud having to have an actual impact on the election results (because fraud can be prosecuted without proving that it actually changed the results of the election), and taken out a couple of vague examples (e.g.; reference to failing to enforce state laws --- because there may be legitimate reasons for not doing so).

I have made contact with Ben Ginsberg's office and am waiting to hear if he accepts our invitation to join the working group. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:22 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov  
>

To psims@eac.gov

cc

05/16/2006 02:55 PM

Subject RE: Your Materials

The first item is not as big a deal as the second one: the processes under which subjects of investigations come to Jesus is not as important as the overall assessment of our law enforcement achievements. But stressing the isolated test cases we brought - - and will continue to bring - - to deter things like felon voting, alien voting and double voting, which not mentioning such significant achievements as the five case PROJECTS mentioned in my last e-mail - - misrepresents what we are doing and the deterrent message we are trying to communicate.

I appreciate that these two young people may have found themselves in a Brave New World when they came over here. It showed in their questioning. But the fact that criminal law enforcement is not at all similar to preventative legal relief (as under the Voting Rights Act) or civil relief (as election contest litigation) is I guess more of a problem than I at first foresaw. My real concerns is that the civil rights groups - - with whom we over here have an amazing amount of common grounds - - will take the singling out of the felon and alien voter cases as evincing a malevolent aggression on their constituencies. That is not the case. We are only enforcing the law.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 2:47 PM

**To:** Donsanto, Craig

**Subject:** RE: Your Materials

I think they are panicking because they are preparing to travel tomorrow and may not have time to submit a revised version. They also are resisting changes to their interview summaries because the summaries represent what they think they heard. I was there at the interview and I heard what you said. I'm not sure that either of them heard everything (including the nuances) because so much of the information was new to them and it was one of their earlier interviews. I'm sorry I did not catch the defects before the summary

000353

Thank you, Peg. This stuff is very interesting.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 11:27 AM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Re: Your Materials

I have forwarded your message to our consultants and have requested a corrected version for distribution at the WG meeting. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

Topsims@eac.gov  
cc  
SubjectYour Materials

000354

Peg - -

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request - - or routinely receive - - audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/16/2006 02:37 PM

To Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

cc dromig@eac.gov

Subject Re: Tent Cards 

Oops! I hit send prematurely. Here is the attachment. --- Peggy



Working Group Attendees 5-18-06.doc

Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV



Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

05/16/2006 01:38 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc dromig@eac.gov

Subject Re: Tent Cards 

Please forward list...there was no attachment. thanks!

Elle L.K Collver

009855

U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov  
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV  
05/16/2006 01:36 PM

To Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc dromig@eac.gov  
Subject Tent Cards

Attached is a list of folks who will be attending the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group meeting. I have asterisked the names that will require tent cards. I am working on a seating chart so that we can be sure the Ds and the Rs aren't all seated together in a "them vs. us" pattern. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov  
>  
05/23/2006 02:49 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Voris, Natalie (USAEO)"  
<Natalie.Voris@usdoj.gov>, "Hillman, Noel"  
<Noel.Hillman@usdoj.gov>, "Simmons, Nancy"  
<Nancy.Simmons@usdoj.gov>  
cc

Subject Request to interview AUSAs

Peg --

At the Advisory Board meeting we had last week, your two contractors asked to interview the over-100 AUSAs who are serving as District Election Officers in connection with the Fraud study.

This request needs to be addressed to Natalie Voris of EOUSA per the message from here that follows.

If the contractors require additional information in connection with the Fraud Study, and should EOUSA not be able to satisfy their needs n they can communicate with me on criminal issues and Cameron Quinn on Civil Rights issues.

I will be here when you arrive later today at the Board of Advisors meeting when you arrive to talk to us at 4:30.

Ms. Voris' message follows:

Per the USAM, all requests for interviews/surveys/research projects that involve USAOs must be approved by EOUSA. I am pasting the provision

000856

below - the contact name needs to be updated. Requests should come to me, as the Acting Counsel to the Director.

Thanks,  
Natalie

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

**Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV**  
05/24/2006 03:17 PM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]  
cc Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV@EAC, bwhitener@eac.gov  
Subject Re: press interview [REDACTED]

Thanks for the "heads up". --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]



"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]  
05/24/2006 02:52 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject press interview

Hi Peg,

Just wanted to give you the heads up that I did an interview with a reporter from The Hill today on fraud. As far as I know he is simply referring to me as a fellow at TCF and I did not discuss the project in any way

**Tova Andrea Wang**  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**



Visit our Web site, [REDACTED] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
>

To psims@eac.gov  
cc "Hillman, Noel" <Noel.Hillman@usdoj.gov>, "Simmons,

000857



05/16/2006 09:43 AM

Nancy" <Nancy.Simmons@usdoj.gov>, "Campbell, Benton"  
<Benton.Campbell@usdoj.gov>  
Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Thank you for this, Peg.

The third bullet point is one I embrace fully. We lack the statutory tool to do the job. Hopefully, that can be remedied through legislation. But as things stand today large loopholes in the federal legal matrix addressing electoral abuse and fraud exist - - particularly when such abuses occur in elections where there were no federal candidates on the ballot.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 8:44 AM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Here is the content of the email attachment:

### **Existing Research Analysis**

There are many reports and books that describe anecdotes and draw broad conclusions from a large array of incidents. There is little research that is truly systematic or scientific. The most systematic look at fraud is the report written by Lori Minnite. The most systematic look at voter intimidation is the report by Laughlin McDonald. Books written about this subject seem to all have a political bias and a pre-existing agenda that makes them somewhat less valuable.

Researchers agree that measuring something like the incidence of fraud and intimidation in a scientifically legitimate way is extremely difficult from a methodological perspective and would require resources beyond the means of most social and political scientists. As a result, there is much more written on this topic by advocacy groups than social scientists. It is hoped that this gap will be filled in the "second phase" of this EAC project.

Moreover, reports and books make allegations but, perhaps by their nature, have little follow up. As a result, it is difficult to know when something has remained in the stage of being an allegation and gone no further, or progressed to the point of being investigated or prosecuted or in any other way proven to be valid by an independent, neutral entity. This is true, for example, with respect to allegations of voter intimidation by civil rights organizations, and, with respect to fraud, John Fund's frequently cited book. Again, this is something that it is hoped will be addressed in the "second phase" of this EAC project by doing follow up research on allegations made in reports, books and newspaper articles.

Other items of note:

100358

- There is as much evidence, and as much concern, about structural forms of disenfranchisement as about intentional abuse of the system. These include felon disenfranchisement, poor maintenance of databases and identification requirements.
- There is tremendous disagreement about the extent to which polling place fraud, e.g. double voting, intentional felon voting, noncitizen voting, is a serious problem. On balance, more researchers find it to be less of a problem than is commonly described in the political debate, but some reports say it is a major problem, albeit hard to identify.
- There is substantial concern across the board about absentee balloting and the opportunity it presents for fraud.
- Federal law governing election fraud and intimidation is varied and complex and yet may nonetheless be insufficient or subject to too many limitations to be as effective as it might be.
- Deceptive practices, e.g. targeted flyers and phone calls providing misinformation, were a major problem in 2004.
- Voter intimidation continues to be focused on minority communities, although the American Center for Voting Rights uniquely alleges it is focused on Republicans.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/15/2006 04:53 PM

Topsims@eac.gov

cc

SubjectRe: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Peggy --

I am currently on train in transit back from a day in Newark. I tried to recover your attachment on Blackberry but got a message telling me the "file is empty."

Can you paste it to an e-mail perhaps?

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

000359

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: barnwine@ [REDACTED]; Rbauer@ [REDACTED]; bginsberg@ [REDACTED]

< [REDACTED]>; mhearne@ [REDACTED]

< [REDACTED]>; jrperez50@ [REDACTED]

krogers@ [REDACTED]; assistant@ [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]; weinutr@ [REDACTED]

CC: jgreenbaum@ [REDACTED]; vjohnson@ [REDACTED]

dlovecchio@ [REDACTED]; bschuler@ [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]; Donsanto, Craig

[REDACTED]

Sent: Mon May 15 16:37:48 2006

Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Dear Working Group Members and Participants:

You should receive a packet of information today, either by Federal Express or hand delivery, concerning Thursday's meeting of the project Working Group for EAC's Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. Attached is an analysis of the consultants' research into relevant literature and reports. This summary was not available when we prepared the information packets last Friday, but may be of interest to you. Our consultants and I look forward to having a productive discussion with you.

Regards,

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/17/2006 03:03 PM

To Craig Donsanto

cc

Subject Status Report on Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project

Craig:

This is what I was working on for the upcoming meetings of the EAC Board of Advisors and EAC Standards Board. --- Peggy



EAC Boards VF-VI Status Report.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

000860



"Job Serebrov"  
 [Redacted]  
 05/16/2006 09:25 AM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org  
 cc  
 Subject Re: Date Ranges for Research

Cases were from 2000 to the present.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

- > Would you please refresh my memory about the date
- > ranges used for the
- > Nexis article research and the case law research?
- > I'm drawing a blank and
- > I don't see it in the summaries. I need it for this
- > mornings Commissioner
- > briefing. Thanks! --- Peggy

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM ---



"Job Serebrov"  
 [Redacted]  
 05/15/2006 09:56 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
 cc  
 Subject Re: Question

Did you find out whether I can use the Chairman's parking spot?

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

- > You will need to submit hotel and parking receipts.
- > You don't need to submit meal receipts. You don't
- > need to submit gas receipts because use of a
- > personally owned vehicle (POV) is reimbursed based
- > on mileage. I think I emailed the mileage rate to
- > you. If you need it again, I'll look it up when I am
- > at the office (this afternoon).
- > Peg

> -----  
 > Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

- > ----- Original Message -----
- > From: "Job Serebrov" [Redacted]
- > Sent: 05/12/2006 09:05 PM
- > To: psims@eac.gov
- > Subject: Question

000361

> Peg:  
>  
> Since I am driving to DC, besides hotel receipts, do  
> you want me to keep my gas receipts or how will my  
> car  
> use be compensated? Also, I assume I don't have to  
> retain food receipts.  
>  
> Job  
>  
>  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/24/2006 04:57 PM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject RE: presentation [REDACTED]

The Standards Board has the reputation of being crankier than the Board of Advisors. They beat up on the Commissioners last year.

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

"Tova Wang"

[REDACTED]  
05/24/2006 04:50 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: presentation



Is such a roasting usual? I mean, do they think we did a bad job???

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 24, 2006 3:43 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: presentation

You have most of the pieces of the report now. We absolutely need to put the statutory authority for the research up front. We need to add the definition. We also need to add a short piece addressing the approach for this preliminary research (including short statements on the pros and cons of information sources --- you began to address this in the literature review summary). I expect that the biggest project will be fleshing out the possible avenues for subsequent research in this area. It would be great if we could come up with cost estimates. If we can't, we need to at least identify what info we hope to get, what we are likely to miss, and any pitfalls.

Given today's roast, I will take another look at what we have now to highlight remarks that might

000862

needlessly tick board members off. We can discuss whether or not editing or removing the remark would be detrimental to or have no real effect on the final report. (An example of such a remark is the reference to the number of articles out of Florida. A local official from that State objected on the grounds that the number of articles does not reliably indicate the number of problems.) I know we can expect a challenge from Board of Advisors member Craig Donsanto regarding the focus of the Election Crimes Branch prosecutions.

Yes, we can discuss the organization and "look" of the report after Job returns. Yes, the Commissioners will want to review it and submit their changes before the report goes to the boards.

It is too early to tell what EAC efforts may be mounted in FY 2007. I doubt that fire from the Standards Board will prevent Commissioners from doing what they think is needed. But, given that it is an election year, appropriations legislation may not be signed until December or later -- so we won't know how much money we have for awhile. --- Peggy

05/24/2006 03:27 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject RE: presentation

Yikes. It sounds like a lot of work after all. Should we talk over what the report should look like again, I guess when Job gets back? Will you help us write it in a way you think will satisfy? I guess it goes to the commissioners first anyway. Does this portend anything for phase 2?

Thanks Peg. Tova

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 24, 2006 2:16 PM

**To:** [redacted]

**Subject:** Re: presentation

I'm glad it is over --- for now. One audience was a lot tougher than the other. The Standards Board was much more critical of the research than the Board of Advisors.

Of course, the Board of Advisors is the body that wanted EAC to place a high priority on the research. Its members were interested in sharing personal experiences (including problems with getting anyone to prosecute) and observations (that we need to expand the research to give Congress and political parties a better picture of how rare or prevalent are voting fraud and intimidation, that the HAVA-mandated statewide voter registration lists should help to prevent fraud, etc.). They also asked if EAC will look at specific opportunities for fraud (using cell phones


000363

in vote buying schemes to photograph the ballot being cast at the poll) and how the agency will research voter intimidation/suppression involving voters with disabilities (advocates want to pass on complaints received).

The members of the Standards Board focused much more on the scope of the research and the completeness and accuracy of the information gleaned. Some wanted to include campaign finance crimes in the mix; others understood why we did not. Several did not like the use of newspaper articles, or were defensive about references to the large number of articles about their State. They made the point that, given the vagaries of the press, EAC should not use the number of articles about a specific State or particular vote fraud/intimidation activity as a basis for determining the likelihood that problems will occur in a given State or the frequency with which certain activities occur. (I never said that we did, but some members thought it was at least implied.) Some members want more research on the topic (into prosecutions and/or unsuccessful referrals made by election officials to law enforcement agencies); others want us to "quit throwing away tax dollars" and to stop the research altogether. Although my first slide noted our statutory authority to conduct this study, several members challenged EAC's right to do so --- saying that DOJ, not EAC, should conduct such research.

The dueling approaches of these boards may give us heartburn when the time comes for them to review and comment on the draft. We will have to make a strong statement at the beginning, perhaps repeated at the end, that this is preliminary research. We also may need to thoroughly explain how choices were made regarding what to look at, who to interview, etc. We may need to clearly acknowledge both the strengths and weaknesses of the various sources of information used in the preliminary research. Finally, when reviewing ideas for subsequent research, we may need to discuss the pros and cons of each approach, what additional information we expect to retrieve, and, perhaps, the estimated cost.

By the way, I did clarify the polling place fraud bullet. --- Peg

"Tova Wang" 

05/24/2006 09:14 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject presentation

How did it go? Were you able to verbally correct that discrepancy we talked about the other day?  
Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation

000864

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Visit our Web site [REDACTED] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----



Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 12:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc Laiza N. Otero/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov@EAC

Subject working group

Peggy,

In preparation for the logistics of this week's working group, I need to know how many people to expect for the meeting. Also, if you still need me to make name tags, I will need a list of attendees and the avery label size.


Also, I will need help from Laiza on the table tents, or we can see if she has the time to help with that.

Thanks!

Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
[www.eac.gov](http://www.eac.gov)

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 02:25 PM

To Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc Laiza N. Otero/EAC/GOV@EAC, Margaret  
Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Re: working group

I have attached the list of the working groups participants. Peggy, you may want to double check this list incase I have left anyone out.

In place of name tags we just used the tent cards for the APIA working group. This seemed to be effective because it was easier to identify the person who was speaking but we could use both.

000337



Meeting Participants for VFVI Working Group.doc

Devon Romig  
United States Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
202.566.2377 phone  
202.566.3128 fax  
www.eac.gov  
Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV



Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV  
05/15/2006 12:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc Laiza N. Otero/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov@EAC  
Subject working group

Peggy,

In preparation for the logistics of this week's working group, I need to know how many people to expect for the meeting. Also, if you still need me to make name tags, I will need a list of attendees and the avery label size.


Also, I will need help from Laiza on the table tents, or we can see if she has the time to help with that.

Thanks!

Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV  
05/15/2006 03:28 PM

To Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
Subject Re: working group

I have arranged for a transcriptionist to be at the meeting but I am not sure about the snacks for the break.

Devon Romig

00086E

United States Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
202.566.2377 phone  
202.566.3128 fax  
www.eac.gov  
Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV



Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV  
05/15/2006 03:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc dromig@eac.gov  
Subject Re: working group 

Sounds great. It did seem to work just fine for our Asian Language group. Is there going to be a transcriptionist? If so, has anyone taken care of that?

Did you still want to provide the cookies or snacks, or shall I get that from Cafe Mozart (where I am planning to get the coffee). I can just buy a few boxes of cookies for the break.

Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 02:48 PM

To Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc dromig@eac.gov  
Subject Re: working group Link

Elle:

I think our number will be about 21 (with the Working Group members, consultants, possible EAC Commissioners and staff, and the court reporter). I'll have a better idea of the final list after I brief Commissioners tomorrow morning. Devon noted that they used only tent cards for the Asian Language Working Group. That might be sufficient for this group and would cut back on some of the work we have

000367

to do in preparation. --- Peggy

Eliien L. Collver/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 12:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc Laiza N. Otero/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov@EAC  
Subject working group

Peggy,

In preparation for the logistics of this week's working group, I need to know how many people to expect for the meeting. Also, if you still need me to make name tags, I will need a list of attendees and the avery label size.

Also, I will need help from Laiza on the table tents, or we can see if she has the time to help with that.

Thanks!

Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov  
>  
05/17/2006 10:59 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject RE: Report on Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Research

Peg - -

000868

This is a complicated issue largely because of two things: 1) there is a lot of ambiguity out there as to what constitutes "intimidation." To the civil rights community, "intimidation" means anything that makes voting uncomfortable or less than automatic. To us in the criminal law enforcement "intimidation" means threats of economic or physical nature made to force or prevent voting. Only the latter involve aggravating factors that warrant putting offenders in jail, and the statutes that address "intimidation" from a criminal perspective are thus limited. We have never had many "intimidation" criminal cases. For one thing, in this modern post voting rights era, there is not a lot of physical/economic duress out there in the voting context - - at least not that I have seen. For another, where it does occur it is very hard to investigate and detect as victims who have been physically or economically intimidated are not likely to come to the FBI.

The bottom line is that we take matters that do present predication for physical or economically based "intimidation" very seriously, AND that we are being extremely proactive in trying to find ways to prosecute matters involving voter suppression as in the Tobin cases in New Hampshire where the local GOP tried to jam telephone lines for a GOTV effort run by the Dems. But even there - - the usual "suppression" matter involves flyers that are passed around giving out misleading information about an election, and we have investigated every one of those that came to our attention last election cycle. We were not able to identify the person(s) responsible for printing the misleading flyers in any of these. But we sure as heck tried.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 17, 2006 9:57 AM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Report on Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Research

Craig:

I'm putting the finishing touches on a status report to the EAC Standards Board and EAC Board of Advisors on our Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. For the most part, I am using our consultants summaries for the report, but one bullet under the interview summaries is giving me heartburn. It is the bullet that references the decrease in DOJ voter intimidation actions. It is one of the places in which our consultants had indicated that your office is focussing on prosecuting individuals. I have reworded it and would like your feedback on the revision:

Several people indicate - including representatives from DOJ -- that for various reasons, the Department of Justice is bringing fewer voter intimidation and suppression cases now, and has increased its focus on matters such as noncitizen voting, double voting, and felon voting. While the Voting Section of the Civil Rights Division focuses on systemic patterns of malfeasance, the Election Crimes Branch of the Public Integrity Section has increased prosecutions of individual instances of felon, alien, and double voting while also maintaining an aggressive pursuit of systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process.

Please suggest any changes that you think would further clarify the current approach. --- Peggy  
--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM ---



Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 03:35 PM

To: Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV@EAC, gvogel@eac.gov@EAC

cc: Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject: Re: working group

I am working on the snacks. I just ordered the coffee (reg/decaf). Cafe Mozart is faxing over an invoice and we can pick up a few boxes of cookies from there too.


GAYLIN-Adam said that you had looked into the way of getting reimbursed for paying for the break

000869

foods/coffees that are provided at these meetings? Any ideas?

Thanks,  
Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov  
Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV  
05/15/2006 03:28 PM

To Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
Subject Re: working group 

I have arranged for a transcriptionist to be at the meeting but I am not sure about the snacks for the break.

Devon Romig  
United States Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
202.566.2377 phone  
202.566.3128 fax  
www.eac.gov  
Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV



Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV  
05/15/2006 03:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc dromig@eac.gov  
Subject Re: working group 

Sounds great. It did seem to work just fine for our Asian Language group. Is there going to be a transcriptionist? If so, has anyone taken care of that?

Did you still want to provide the cookies or snacks, or shall I get that from Cafe Mozart (where I am planning to get the coffee). I can just buy a few boxes of cookies for the break.

Elle

000876

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 02:48 PM

To Eileen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc dromig@eac.gov  
Subject Re: working group [Link](#)

Elle:

I think our number will be about 21 (with the Working Group members, consultants, possible EAC Commissioners and staff, and the court reporter). I'll have a better idea of the final list after I brief Commissioners tomorrow morning. Devon noted that they used only tent cards for the Asian Language Working Group. That might be sufficient for this group and would cut back on some of the work we have to do in preparation. --- Peggy

Eileen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 12:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc Laiza N. Otero/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov@EAC  
Subject working group

Peggy,

In preparation for the logistics of this week's working group, I need to know how many people to expect for the meeting. Also, if you still need me to make name tags, I will need a list of attendees and the avery label size.

Also, I will need help from Laiza on the table tents, or we can see if she has the time to help with that.

000371

Thanks!

Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/22/2006 05:01 PM

To Cortes, Romig, Collver, Tamar Nedzar/EAC/GOV, Laiza N. Otero

cc

Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group Meeting

If any of you took notes of the discussion during the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group meeting, would you please provide a copy to Devon. Devon, would you please use the meeting agenda to organize and consolidate any notes by topic, and send the consolidated notes to me? Thanks. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 04:37 PM

To Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

cc jgreenbaum@  
vjohnson@  
dlovecchio@ bschuler@  
Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov

Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Dear Working Group Members and Participants:

You should receive a packet of information today, either by Federal Express or hand delivery, concerning Thursday's meeting of the project Working Group for EAC's Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. Attached is an analysis of the consultants' research into relevant literature and reports. This summary was not available when we prepared the information packets last Friday, but may be of interest to you. Our consultants and I look forward to having a productive discussion with you.

Regards,

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100

000872

Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: psims@eac.gov



DOCvf\_vilitanalysis.pdf

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Gaylin Vogel/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 03:39 PM


To Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV@EAC, Margaret  
Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Re: working group

I haven't really looked into it. I know that contractors and grantee's can order food and have the government pay for it if the meeting is to disseminate information. Logic dictates that we can do the same, but I am not sure of the process. I have been here when we ordered lunch for meetings. Diana would be the one to ask. Perhaps the contractor can pay for it and put it on their next invoice but the COTR for the contract would have to be in the loop on this call.

Gaylin Vogel  
Law Clerk  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, NW Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
tel:202-566-3116  
<http://www.eac.gov>  
GVogel@eac.gov  
Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV



Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 03:35 PM

To Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV@EAC, gvogel@eac.gov@EAC

cc Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Re: working group

I am working on the snacks. I just ordered the coffee (reg/decaf). Cafe Mozart is faxing over an invoice and we can pick up a few boxes of cookies from there too.

GAYLIN-Adam said that you had looked into the way of getting reimbursed for paying for the break foods/coffees that are provided at these meetings? Any ideas?

Thanks,  
Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

0111873

1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov  
Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV  
05/15/2006 03:28 PM

To Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
Subject Re: working group 

I have arranged for a transcriptionist to be at the meeting but I am not sure about the snacks for the break.

Devon Romig  
United States Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
202.566.2377 phone  
202.566.3128 fax  
www.eac.gov  
Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV



Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV  
05/15/2006 03:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc dromig@eac.gov  
Subject Re: working group 

Sounds great. It did seem to work just fine for our Asian Language group. Is there going to be a transcriptionist? If so, has anyone taken care of that?

Did you still want to provide the cookies or snacks, or shall I get that from Cafe Mozart (where I am planning to get the coffee). I can just buy a few boxes of cookies for the break.

Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov

000874

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 02:48 PM

To Eileen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc dromig@eac.gov  
Subject Re: working group [Link](#)

Elle:

I think our number will be about 21 (with the Working Group members, consultants, possible EAC Commissioners and staff, and the court reporter). I'll have a better idea of the final list after I brief Commissioners tomorrow morning. Devon noted that they used only tent cards for the Asian Language Working Group. That might be sufficient for this group and would cut back on some of the work we have to do in preparation. --- Peggy

Eileen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 12:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc Laiza N. Otero/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov@EAC  
Subject working group

Peggy,

In preparation for the logistics of this week's working group, I need to know how many people to expect for the meeting. Also, if you still need me to make name tags, I will need a list of attendees and the every label size.

Also, I will need help from Laiza on the table tents, or we can see if she has the time to help with that.

Thanks!

Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

000875

1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 03:52 PM

To Gaylin Vogel/EAC/GOV

cc Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV@EAC, Eileen L.  
Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC

Subject Re: working group 

The contracts for the two consultants on this project do not cover such costs. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/19/2006 03:30 PM

To Tova Andrea Wang, Job Serebrov

cc

Subject Monday Teleconference

This is just to confirm our Monday, May 22, teleconference at 4:30 PM EST/3:30 PM CST. Attached is a list of follow-up activities discussed at the working group meeting and recorded on the flip chart. We will need to flesh these out a bit, perhaps once we have access to the transcript. --- Peggy


#### Recommendations for Future Research

- Bipartisan observers/poll watchers
  - To collect data
  - To deter fraud/intimidation
- Surveys
  - State laws
  - State election offices
  - Specific states
  - Local election officials
  - Voters (this suggestion was rejected by the panel)
  - State implementation of administrative complaint procedures (applies only to HAVA Title III violations) to ID examples of procedures for other than HAVA Title III complaints
- Follow up on initial reports of fraud/intimidation from the Nexis search of news articles and literature review
- Research absentee balloting process issues
  - Methodology of "for cause" absentee voting
- Risk-analysis for voting fraud

000876

- Who?
- What part of process?
- Ease of committing the fraud
- Which elections?
  
- Analyze
  - Phone logs from toll-free lines for election concerns
  - Federal observer reports
  - Local newspapers
  
- Academic statistical research
  
- Search and match procedures for voter registration list maintenance (subject to confirmation) to identify potential avenues for vote fraud
  
- Research State district court actions
  
- Broaden scope of interviews to local officials and district attorneys
  
- Explore the concept of election courts
  
- Model statutes

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----



Devon E. Romig/EAC/GOV

05/19/2006 10:15 AM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc

Subject Summary of notes for VFVI meeting

Peggy,

Here are the notes from the meeting.



Summary of VFVI Meeting.doc

Thanks!

Devon Romig  
 United States Election Assistance Commission  
 1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100  
 Washington, DC 20005  
 202.566.2377 phone  
 202.566.3128 fax  
 www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----



"Job Serebroy"

05/23/2006 09:17 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Payment Vouchers

000877



Subject RE: Date Ranges for Research

January 1, 2001 - January 1, 2006

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 7:41 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Date Ranges for Research

Would you please refresh my memory about the date ranges used for the Nexis article research and the case law research? I'm drawing a blank and I don't see it in the summaries. I need it for this mornings Commissioner briefing. Thanks! --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 02:48 PM

To: Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

cc: dromig@eac.gov

Subject: Re: working group

Elle:

I think our number will be about 21 (with the Working Group members, consultants, possible EAC Commissioners and staff, and the court reporter). I'll have a better idea of the final list after I brief Commissioners tomorrow morning. Devon noted that they used only tent cards for the Asian Language Working Group. That might be sufficient for this group and would cut back on some of the work we have to do in preparation. --- Peggy

Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV



Elieen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 12:19 PM

To: Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc: Laiza N. Otero/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov@EAC

Subject: working group

Peggy,

In preparation for the logistics of this week's working group, I need to know how many people to expect for the meeting. Also, if you still need me to make name tags, I will need a list of attendees and the avery label size.

Also, I will need help from Laiza on the table tents, or we can see if she has the time to help with that.

Thanks!

Elle

000878

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM —



Elleen L. Collver/EAC/GOV  
05/15/2006 03:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc dromig@eac.gov  
Subject Re: working group

Sounds great. It did seem to work just fine for our Asian Language group. Is there going to be a transcriptionist? If so, has anyone taken care of that?

Did you still want to provide the cookies or snacks, or shall I get that from Cafe Mozart (where I am planning to get the coffee). I can just buy a few boxes of cookies for the break.

Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov  
Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV  
05/15/2006 02:48 PM

To Elleen L. Collver/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc dromig@eac.gov  
Subject Re: working group

Elle:

I think our number will be about 21 (with the Working Group members, consultants, possible EAC Commissioners and staff, and the court reporter). I'll have a better idea of the final list after I brief Commissioners tomorrow morning. Devon noted that they used only tent cards for the Asian Language Working Group. That might be sufficient for this group and would cut back on some of the work we have to do in preparation. --- Peggy

Elleen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

000879



Elleen L. Collver/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 12:19 PM

To Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV@EAC

cc Laiza N. Otero/EAC/GOV@EAC, dromig@eac.gov@EAC

Subject working group

Peggy,

In preparation for the logistics of this week's working group, I need to know how many people to expect for the meeting. Also, if you still need me to make name tags, I will need a list of attendees and the avery label size.

Also, I will need help from Laiza on the table tents, or we can see if she has the time to help with that.

Thanks!

Elle

Elle L.K Collver  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
office: (202) 566-2256  
blackberry: (202) 294-9251  
www.eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 06:41 PM

To "Craig Donsanto" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

It could be a Berry problem. (I occasionally have that problem with attachments I try to retrieve through my Blackberry.)

The attachment is a pdf file, but I have access to a Word version that I can use to insert text in an email tomorrow. I don,t have access to the attachment from my Berry.

Peggy

-----  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: "Donsanto, Craig" [Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov]

000830



cc  
Subject Replacement Handout for EAC Board

I found some typos in the Status Report. Please replace the one I gave you with the attached. Thanks. ---  
Peggy



EAC Boards VF-VI Status Report.doc  
----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:21 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV  
05/23/2006 08:45 AM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]  
cc  
Subject RE: PowerPoint Presentation to EAC Boards 

I know --- I'll have to cover that in my oral presentation, along with some other points. The audience will have a copy of the paper I put together using Job's and your summaries and findings. The paper provides a lot more detail. We did not plan to provide a copy of the PowerPoint presentation, which is just meant to keep me on track and them interested in the presentation. --- Peggy  
----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]  
05/26/2006 10:41 AM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
cc  
Subject RE: Request to interview AUSAs

I still think we should include the recommendations in the report

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2006 9:30 AM  
To: Tova Andrea Wang; Job Serebrov  
Subject: Fw: Request to interview AUSAs

Below is Craig's response to the request to interview AUSAs. It does not appear that this avenue is likely because the AUSAs are so busy..

Also, he asked about permission for other folks to attendi the election crimes training session, and the answer was "no". (I can't even get in, and I'm a federal employee.). I understand that a good part of the reason is practical -- they are having enough trouble accommodating the folks that are required to come.

Peggy

-----  
000832

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

From: "Donsanto, Craig" [Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov]  
Sent: 05/23/2006 02:49 PM  
To: psims@eac.gov; "Voris, Natalie (USAE0)" <Natalie.Voris@usdoj.gov>;  
"Hillman, Noel" <Noel.Hillman@usdoj.gov>; "Simmons, Nancy"  
<Nancy.Simmons@usdoj.gov>  
Subject: Request to interview AUSAs

Peg --

At the Advisory Board meeting we had last week, your two contractors asked to interview the over-100 AUSAs who are serving as District Election Officers in connection with the Fraud study.

This request needs to be addressed to Natalie Voris of EOUSA per the message from here that follows.

If the contractors require additional information in connection with the Fraud Study, and should EOUSA not be able to satisfy their needs n they can communicate with me on criminal issues and Cameron Quinn on Civil Rights issues.

I will be here when you arrive later today at the Board of Advisors meeting when you arrive to talk to us at 4:30.

Ms. Voris' message follows:

Per the USAM, all requests for interviews/surveys/research projects that involve USAOs must be approved by EOUSA. I am pasting the provision below - the contact name needs to be updated. Requests should come to me, as the Acting Counsel to the Director.

Thanks,  
Natalie

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/16/2006 03:50 PM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Re: board of advisers presentation [REDACTED]

I haven't sent it yet. If you need to leave early, you can look at what I have so far, which does not have the intro or the text regarding the final report. --- Peggy

000883



EAC Board Status Report.doc

"Tova Wang" [redacted]



"Tova Wang"  
[redacted]  
05/16/2006 03:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject board of advisers presentation

Hi Peg, Have you tried to send me the presentation? I haven't gotten it, but I think we may be having email problems. Let me know. I'd need to look at it today since I'll be tied up tomorrow. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation

Visit our Web site, [redacted] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov  
>  
05/17/2006 03:24 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject RE: Status Report on Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project

Thank you, Peg. This is at least more accurate than what I read this morning. Thank you for taking the time to discuss this with me. I shall see you tomorrow.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 17, 2006 3:04 PM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Status Report on Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project

Craig:

This is what I was working on for the upcoming meetings of the EAC Board of Advisors and EAC

000884

Standards Board. --- Peggy

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM ---



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov  
>

To psims@eac.gov  
cc

05/17/2006 01:23 PM

Subject Re: Report on Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Research

Peggy -- can you call me about this in about an hour?

202-514-1421.

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 17 09:56:39 2006  
Subject: Report on Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Research

Craig:

I'm putting the finishing touches on a status report to the EAC Standards Board and EAC Board of Advisors on our Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. For the most part, I am using our consultants summaries for the report, but one bullet under the interview summaries is giving me heartburn. It is the bullet that references the decrease in DOJ voter intimidation actions. It is one of the places in which our consultants had indicated that your office is focussing on prosecuting individuals. I have reworded it and would like your feedback on the revision:

Several people indicate - including representatives from DOJ -- that for various reasons, the Department of Justice is bringing fewer voter intimidation and suppression cases now, and has increased its focus on matters such as noncitizen voting, double voting, and felon voting. While the Voting Section of the Civil Rights Division focuses on systemic patterns of malfeasance, the Election Crimes Branch of the Public Integrity Section has increased prosecutions of individual instances of felon, alien, and double voting while also maintaining an aggressive pursuit of systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process.

Please suggest any changes that you think would further clarify the current approach. --- Peggy

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM ---

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV  
05/17/2006 02:13 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL  
cc

Subject Re: Report on Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Research

000835

Shall I call you at about 2:30 PM? -- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/15/2006 05:09 PM

To Job Serebrov

cc

Subject Mileage Rate for POV

Job:

The federal mileage rate for POVs is \$.445 per mile (see

[http://www.gsa.gov/Portal/gsa/ep/contentView.do?programId=9299&channelId=-13224&objectId=10359&contentId=9646&pageTypeId=8203&contentType=GSA\\_BASIC&programPage=%2Fep%2Fprogram%2FgsaBasic.jsp&P=MTT](http://www.gsa.gov/Portal/gsa/ep/contentView.do?programId=9299&channelId=-13224&objectId=10359&contentId=9646&pageTypeId=8203&contentType=GSA_BASIC&programPage=%2Fep%2Fprogram%2FgsaBasic.jsp&P=MTT)). Write down the number on your odometer at the beginning (starting at home) and end of the trip (when you arrive back home). The difference should be your total mileage, unless you make any side trips for personal convenience. The mileage for side trips should be deleted from the total. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/24/2006 03:16 PM

To "Tova Wang" <[REDACTED]>

cc

Subject Re: presentation 

I'm glad it is over --- for now. One audience was a lot tougher than the other. The Standards Board was much more critical of the research than the Board of Advisors.

Of course, the Board of Advisors is the body that wanted EAC to place a high priority on the research. Its members were interested in sharing personal experiences (including problems with getting anyone to prosecute) and observations (that we need to expand the research to give Congress and political parties a better picture of how rare or prevalent are voting fraud and intimidation, that the HAVA-mandated statewide voter registration lists should help to prevent fraud, etc.). They also asked if EAC will look at specific opportunities for fraud (using cell phones in vote buying schemes to photograph the ballot being cast at the poll) and how the agency will research voter intimidation/suppression involving voters with disabilities (advocates want to pass on complaints received).

The members of the Standards Board focused much more on the scope of the research and the completeness and accuracy of the information gleaned. Some wanted to include campaign finance crimes in the mix; others understood why we did not. Several did not like the use of newspaper articles, or were defensive about references to the large number of articles about their State. They made the point that, given the vagaries of the press, EAC should not use the number of articles about a specific State or particular vote fraud/intimidation activity as a basis for determining the likelihood that problems will occur in a given State or the frequency with which certain activities occur. (I never said that we did, but some members thought it was at least implied.) Some members want more research on the topic (into prosecutions and/or unsuccessful referrals made by election officials to law enforcement agencies); others want us to "quit throwing away tax dollars" and to stop the research altogether. Although my first slide noted our statutory authority to conduct this study, several members challenged EAC's right to do so --- saying that DOJ, not EAC, should conduct such research.

The dueling approaches of these boards may give us heartburn when the time comes for them to review

000886

and comment on the draft. We will have to make a strong statement at the beginning, perhaps repeated at the end, that this is preliminary research. We also may need to thoroughly explain how choices were made regarding what to look at, who to interview, etc. We may need to clearly acknowledge both the strengths and weaknesses of the various sources of information used in the preliminary research. Finally, when reviewing ideas for subsequent research, we may need to discuss the pros and cons of each approach, what additional information we expect to retrieve, and, perhaps, the estimated cost.

By the way, I did clarify the polling place fraud bullet. --- Peg

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]



"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]  
05/24/2006 09:14 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject presentation

How did it go? Were you able to verbally correct that discrepancy we talked about the other day?  
Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Visit our Web site, [REDACTED] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

---

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM ---



"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]  
05/24/2006 03:27 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject RE: presentation

Yikes. It sounds like a lot of work after all. Should we talk over what the report should look like again, I guess when Job gets back? Will you help us write it in a way you think will satisfy? I guess it goes to the commissioners first anyway. Does this portend anything for phase 2? Thanks Peg. Tova

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 24, 2006 2:16 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: presentation

000887

I'm glad it is over --- for now. One audience was a lot tougher than the other. The Standards Board was much more critical of the research than the Board of Advisors.

Of course, the Board of Advisors is the body that wanted EAC to place a high priority on the research. Its members were interested in sharing personal experiences (including problems with getting anyone to prosecute) and observations (that we need to expand the research to give Congress and political parties a better picture of how rare or prevalent are voting fraud and intimidation, that the HAVA-mandated statewide voter registration lists should help to prevent fraud, etc.). They also asked if EAC will look at specific opportunities for fraud (using cell phones in vote buying schemes to photograph the ballot being cast at the poll) and how the agency will research voter intimidation/suppression involving voters with disabilities (advocates want to pass on complaints received).

The members of the Standards Board focused much more on the scope of the research and the completeness and accuracy of the information gleaned. Some wanted to include campaign finance crimes in the mix; others understood why we did not. Several did not like the use of newspaper articles, or were defensive about references to the large number of articles about their State. They made the point that, given the vagaries of the press, EAC should not use the number of articles about a specific State or particular vote fraud/intimidation activity as a basis for determining the likelihood that problems will occur in a given State or the frequency with which certain activities occur. (I never said that we did, but some members thought it was at least implied.) Some members want more research on the topic (into prosecutions and/or unsuccessful referrals made by election officials to law enforcement agencies); others want us to "quit throwing away tax dollars" and to stop the research altogether. Although my first slide noted our statutory authority to conduct this study, several members challenged EAC's right to do so --- saying that DOJ, not EAC, should conduct such research.

The dueling approaches of these boards may give us heartburn when the time comes for them to review and comment on the draft. We will have to make a strong statement at the beginning, perhaps repeated at the end, that this is preliminary research. We also may need to thoroughly explain how choices were made regarding what to look at, who to interview, etc. We may need to clearly acknowledge both the strengths and weaknesses of the various sources of information used in the preliminary research. Finally, when reviewing ideas for subsequent research, we may need to discuss the pros and cons of each approach, what additional information we expect to retrieve, and, perhaps, the estimated cost.

By the way, I did clarify the polling place fraud bullet. --- Peg

"Tova Wang" 

05/24/2006 09:14 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject presentation

000888

How did it go? Were you able to verbally correct that discrepancy we talked about the other day?  
Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation

Visit our Web site, [\[redacted\]](#) for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Tova Wang"  
[redacted]

05/16/2006 05:08 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: board of advisers presentation

This looks fine otherwise, but I'm not sure I understand why you included the attachments you did. They are not really representative of what we did for the project as a whole. The summaries are just meant to supplement the nexis excel charts.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 2:51 PM

**To:** [redacted]

**Subject:** Re: board of advisers presentation

I haven't sent it yet. If you need to leave early, you can look at what I have so far, which does not have the intro or the text regarding the final report. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [redacted]

05/16/2006 03:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov


cc

Subject board of advisers presentation

000888

Hi Peg, Have you tried to send me the presentation? I haven't gotten it, but I think we may be having email problems. Let me know. I'd need to look at it today since I'll be tied up tomorrow.  
Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation



Visit our Web site,  for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM —



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov  
>

To psims@eac.gov  
cc

05/16/2006 12:06 PM

Subject RE: Your Materials

Thank you, Peg. This stuff is very interesting.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 11:27 AM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Re: Your Materials

I have forwarded your message to our consultants and have requested a corrected version for distribution at the WG meeting. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

Topsims@eac.gov  
cc  
SubjectYour Materials

000890

Peg - -

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request - - or routinely receive - - audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Job Serebroy"

05/16/2006 11:13 AM

To "Tova Wang" <[redacted]>

cc

Subject: Corrections

I don't think anyone should be given the opportunity to correct mistakes.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/16/2006 11:34 AM

To psims@eac.gov <[redacted]>

cc

Subject: RE: Corrections

Should we send all of the interview summaries to the people we interviewed for review then?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 10:30 AM

**To:** [redacted]

000391

**Subject:** Re: Corrections

It wasn't his mistake. I was there at the interview. I just did not have time to review all of the interview summaries. --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

05/16/2006 11:13 AM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]  
cc  
Subject Corrections

I don't think anyone should be given the opportunity to correct mistakes.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV  
05/16/2006 11:30 AM

To "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
cc [REDACTED]  
Subject Re: Corrections [REDACTED]

It wasn't his mistake. I was there at the interview. I just did not have time to review all of the interview summaries. --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov" <serebrov@sbcglobal.net>



"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
05/16/2006 11:13 AM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED] psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Corrections

I don't think anyone should be given the opportunity to correct mistakes.

000892

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 04/30/2007 04:20 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"  
[REDACTED]

05/16/2006 11:06 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Question

OK. Weather is not going to be great in DC Thursday. I hope that does not delay me.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> We don't need a castle key, but we have to wait  
> until the Chairman returns  
> to the office tomorrow to confirm availability of  
> the parking pass. I  
> expect you will be on the road, then. Try calling  
> me our toll-free line  
> (1-866-747-1471) tomorrow afternoon, say after 2 PM  
> EST, so that we can  
> talk about this. --- Peg

>  
>  
>  
>

> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> 05/15/2006 09:56 AM

>

> To  
> psims@eac.gov  
> cc

>

> Subject  
> Re: Question

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Did you find out whether I can use the Chairman's  
> parking spot?

>

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

>

> > You will need to submit hotel and parking  
> receipts.

> > You don't need to submit meal receipts. You don't  
> > need to submit gas receipts because use of a  
> > personally owned vehicle (POV) is reimbursed based  
> > on mileage. I think I emailed the mileage rate to  
> > you. If you need it again, I'll look it up when I  
> am

> > at the office (this afternoon).

000893

went out.

My first concern is ensuring that the Working Group has the correct information. Then, we can deal with what version, *if any*, goes in the final report. Do you want me to excerpt the corrections from your email and submit them to the Working Group? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 01:41 PM

Topsims@eac.gov  
cc  
SubjectRE: Your Materials

Sure. But where is the resistance coming from? The notes were not accurate. As you know, I have to be very concerned about that.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 12:34 PM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** RE: Your Materials

Craig:

I am getting some resistance from my consultants to correcting the summary of the interview prior to the meeting. Would you mind noting the corrections at the meeting? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 12:06 PM

Topsims@eac.gov  
cc  
SubjectRE: Your Materials

000894

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"  
[REDACTED]

04/03/2006 03:46 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org

cc

Subject Re: Mentioning DOJ Training Guidance

Lets discuss this in 10 minutes.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Tova and Job:  
>  
> Craig Donsanto responds that it is not possible for  
> him to assess the  
> level of public attribution that would be  
> appropriate without seeing the  
> substantive stuff in context. He does not foresee a  
> problem; but  
> recommends that I provide him with the draft text.  
> He will review it to  
> ensure we are not disclosing things we shouldnâ€™t  
> disclose.  
>  
> Therefore, please provide the draft text to me ASAP,  
> so that I can forward  
> it to him for review. I suspect he will provide me  
> with a prompt  
> response, which I will forward to you.  
>  
> Peggy Sims  
> Election Research Specialist  
> U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
> 1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
> Washington, DC 20005  
> Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120  
> (direct)  
> Fax: 202-566-3127  
> email: psims@eac.gov  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----



"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]

04/04/2006 01:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "Job Serebrov", [REDACTED], "Tova Wang"

Subject working group agenda

000895

Hi Peg,

Attached is a draft of an agenda for the working group. Let us know what you think. Thanks. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation

Visit our Web site, [redacted] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here to receive our weekly e-mail updates.](#)



TW proposed agenda.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"  
[redacted]  
04/04/2006 12:35 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Tova Andrea Wang" [redacted]  
cc  
Subject Re: Project Working Group Meeting

Peggy:

Here is my situation. I am to go to work full time for the Governor at some time in June. I just don't know when and because we are having a special session right now, no one can give me any indications as to the date. The special session will last for at least two weeks. However, I had to arrange a job because the contract ends at the end of May. So---all of this said---if, for instance, I go to work for the Governor the first week of June, I will only be able to work on EAC matters after hours at night.

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

- >
- > The Chairman and Vice Chairman are interested in
- > attending the meeting. Due
- > to schedule conflicts, they are asking us to look at
- > the week of May 15.
- > Does that pose a problem for either of youpeggy

>

> -----

> Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

>

>

>

000896

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

04/11/2006 10:24 AM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [redacted]

cc

Subject RE: Kennedy Interview

Sorry, you mean its today. OK, thanks. Tova

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2006 8:45 PM  
To: Tova Andrea Wang; Job Serebrov  
Subject: Kennedy Interview

It appears that the teleconference with Kevin Kennedy is set for tomorrow, April 11, at 10:30 AM CST/11:30 AM EST. Use the usual phone number (866-222-9044) and passcode (62209).

If you have trouble connecting, contact Nicole..  
Peg

-----

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

04/05/2006 01:24 PM

To Kevin Kennedy

cc

Subject Interview Request

Kevin:

Following up on yesterday's conversation, would you be available next Tuesday (4/11) to be interviewed by phone by our consultants on the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project? The interview is likely to take less than an hour. You pick the time and I'll confirm it with our consultants, Tova Wang and Job Serebrov. Then, I'll send you an email with the toll-free number and pass code that you will need for the teleconference.

EAC is conducting this preliminary research to determine how best to meet HAVA requirements. Section 241 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 requires EAC to conduct research on election administration issues. Among the issues listed in the statute are the development of:

000897

- nationwide statistics and methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating voting fraud in elections for Federal office [section 241(b)(6)]; and
- methods of identifying, deterring, and investigating methods of voter intimidation [section 241(b)(7)].

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Peggy Sims  
 Election Research Specialist  
 U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
 1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
 Washington, DC 20005  
 Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
 Fax: 202-566-3127  
 email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----

**Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV**

04/03/2006 04:33 PM

To Tova Andrea Wang, Job Serebrov

cc

Subject Working Group Contact Info

Please review the attached and let me know of any corrections that should be made. Thanks! --- Peggy



Work Group Contact Info.xls

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----

**Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV**

04/03/2006 03:41 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project

Thanks, Craig! --- Peggy

] "Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"  
 <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

04/03/2006 03:16 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project

Hello Peg!

God willing, I will be here the first two weeks of May.

000898

As for your second question, it is not possible for me to assess the level of public attribution that would be appropriate without seeing the substantive stuff in context. I do not foresee a problem. So, I recommend that you get me a draft text and I will review it to ensure we are not disclosing things we shouldn't disclose.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 03, 2006 3:13 PM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project

Craig:

I have 2 issues for you today.

First, I am trying to schedule a meeting of the project working group for EAC's Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. As a technical advisor on this project, your attendance is particularly important to me. Would you please look at your schedule and let me know if there are any days during the first 2 weeks of May that you would NOT be available?

Second, is it OK for our consultants to refer in their report to guidance provided in the DOJ training materials? I ask this because I understood that some materials in the materials are considered confidential and we do not want to violate your confidentiality provisions. If there is a compromise position, such as having you review that portion of the consultants' report, then let me know.

Thanks!

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

[Redacted]  
04/10/2006 11:04 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "Job Serebrov" [Redacted]

Subject small question for Donsanto

Could you please also ask him what the training materials are referring to when they discuss "ballot box stuffing?" Does this mean elections workers add extra votes? Thanks so much. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang

000899

Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation

[REDACTED]

Visit our Web site, [REDACTED] for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV  
04/03/2006 05:01 PM

To "Tova Wang" <[REDACTED]>  
cc [REDACTED]  
Subject RE: Working Group Contact Info

Craig is on the list because the Commission requested he serve as a technical advisory to the project. Although not a member of the project working group, I do need to check his availability for the meeting.

I tried to tell you on the phone that we still are trying to confirm the El Paso County, TX election official for the working group. (Several attempts have been made to contact the Election Director, but she has been out of town.) If we can't get her, we will try for her deputy (also Hispanic). Once I have a response that one of them is willing to serve, I'll update the contact info table and see if I can't get a bio for you two to review. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]



"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]  
04/03/2006 04:50 PM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]  
cc  
Subject RE: Working Group Contact Info

Why is Craig Donsanto on the list? And what happened about the local election official? Thanks. Tova  
-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 03, 2006 3:33 PM  
**To:** w [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Working Group Contact Info

Please review the attached and let me know of any corrections that should be made. Thanks! ---  
Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----

"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]

000900



04/11/2006 10:12 AM

To psims@eac.gov, "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject RE: Kennedy Interview

That gives us no time between interviews though, right? We've never been able to really limit it to 30 minutes.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2006 8:45 PM

To: Tova Andrea Wang; Job Serebrov

Subject: Kennedy Interview

It appears that the teleconference with Kevin Kennedy is set for tomorrow, April 11, at 10:30 AM CST/11:30 AM EST. Use the usual phone number (866-222-9044) and passcode (62209).

If you have trouble connecting, contact Nicole..  
Peg

-----  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/01/2007 08:46 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"  
[REDACTED]

04/06/2006 09:56 AM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Re: Upcoming Interviews-DOJ Info

Peggy:

The interviews are ok with me.

Tova:

I think I should write the review on the IFES white paper instead of the red book.

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Hi, Job and Tova:

>

000901