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Key points:

* Voter turnout in 2022 increased by 1.6 points among citizens with disabilities relative to the 2018 midterm elections, while it decreased among citizens without disabilities by 1.6 points.

* This increase helped close but did not eliminate the turnout gap between citizens with and without disabilities, which went from -4.8 points in 2018 to -1.5 points in 2022.

* The increased turnout among people with disabilities occurred across all disability types and demographic categories—gender, race/ethnicity, age group, and region—but was especially pronounced among young voters with disabilities.
* 15.8 million citizens with disabilities reported voting in the November 2022 elections.
* States providing easier access to voting by mail between 2018 and 2020 had significantly higher turnout increases among people with disabilities.
* Combined with a new U.S. Election Assistance Commission study finding that 14% of voters with disabilities had some type of difficulty voting in 2022, these data indicate a total of 2.2 million voters with disabilities experienced voting difficulties.
* Employed people with disabilities were just as likely as those without disabilities to vote, suggesting that employment helps bring people with disabilities into mainstream political life.
* If people with disabilities voted at the same rate as people without disabilities who have the same demographic characteristics, there would be about 2.0 million more voters.

These figures are based on an analysis of data from the federal government’s Current Population Survey Voting Supplement for November 2022, which has a sample size of 73,083. The computations were made using six disability questions introduced on the Current Population Survey in 2008.

**Disability and voter turnout in 2018 and 2022**

 Change

 2018 2022 2018-2022

People without disabilities 54.0% 52.4% -1.6%

People with disabilities 49.3% 50.8% 1.6%

Disability turnout gap -4.8% -1.5%

 Hearing impairment 57.0% 59.4% 2.5%

 Visual impairment 49.5% 50.9% 1.4%

Mental or cognitive impairment 37.1% 38.3% 1.2%

Difficulty walking or climbing stairs 49.0% 50.8% 1.8%

 Difficulty dressing or bathing 39.4% 37.9% -1.6%

 Difficulty going outside alone 37.9% 39.4% 1.4%

As shown above, among the voting-eligible population (citizens age 18 or older), 50.8% of people with disabilities reported voting in 2022, compared to 52.4% of people without disabilities. Within the disability population, the voting rate among people with hearing impairments (59.4%) was higher than the overall voting rate for people without disabilities, and the lowest rate was among those with difficulty dressing or bathing (37.9%). For each disability group, the difference in turnout from those without disabilities is strong enough to be outside the survey’s margin of error.[[2]](#footnote-2)

As also seen above, turnout increased from 2018 to 2022 for people with disabilities by 1.6 points but decreased for people without disabilities by 1.6 points. This caused the disability turnout gap to decrease markedly from 4.8 points in 2018 to 1.5 points in 2022.[[3]](#footnote-3) These changes are also strong enough to be outside the survey’s margin of error.

Based on this survey, an estimated 121.9 million people reported voting, which is slightly higher than the 111.5 million ballots cast in a preliminary estimate.[[4]](#footnote-4) Any misreporting is unlikely to differ between the disability and non-disability populations, so the estimate of the turnout gap should be unbiased.

The estimated 15.8 million voters with disabilities compares with an estimated 13.9 million African-Americans and 11.8 million Hispanics/Latinos who reported voting in 2022, based on analysis of this voting supplement. The total number of non-voters with disabilities was 15.3 million, for a total of 31.1 million voting-eligible citizens with disabilities. It should be noted that the disability total may be understated because these disability measures may not capture several types of disability.[[5]](#footnote-5)

**Number of voters and non-voters in 2022**

 Millions who reported:

 Voting Not voting

 Overall 121.9 111.6

People without disabilities 106.1 96.4

People with disabilities 15.8 15.3

 Hearing impairment 5.6 3.8

 Visual impairment 2.3 2.2

Mental or cognitive impairment 3.8 6.1

Difficulty walking or climbing stairs 8.7 8.4

 Difficulty dressing or bathing 1.9 3.1

 Difficulty going outside alone 4.2 6.5

People without disabilities in disability households 10.8 10.3

Total in disability households 26.5 25.6

Among the 106.1 million voters without disabilities, 10.8 million lived in a household with a person with a disability. Combined with voters with disabilities, there were 26.5 million voters in disability households, equaling 22% of all voters.

Some of the disability turnout gap may be due to other demographic differences between people with and without disabilities. When adjusted for gender, race, age, education, and state of residence, the estimated gap expands from 1.5 points to 6.4 points. This implies that if people with disabilities voted at the same rate as otherwise-similar people without disabilities, there would be an additional 2.0 million voters.

Some of the lower turnout of people with disabilities is tied to difficulties in voting. A national survey sponsored by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) following the 2022 elections found that 14% of voters with disabilities had some type of difficulty in voting, which is more than three times the rate of 4% among voters without disabilities.[[6]](#footnote-6) Voting accessibility appears to have improved since 2012, when the likelihood of voting difficulties was 26% among voters with disabilities. In 2022 the rate of difficulties among voters with disabilities was 20% among those voting in a polling place and 6% among those voting with a mail ballot.

Combining the 14% rate of voting difficulties from the EAC study with the 15.8 million voters with disabilities cited above, we estimate that 2.2 million voters with disabilities had some type of difficulty in voting in 2022.

Access to voting information may also constrain some people with disabilities. A 2022 EAC report found that people with disabilities are less likely than people without disabilities to have access to the internet for information on how or where to vote and are more likely to use non-internet sources such as printed mailings from the election office, television, and talking to family members, friends, neighbors, or colleagues.[[7]](#footnote-7)

Apart from demographic differences and voting difficulties, prior research has found that lower disability turnout is partly explained by lower levels of income, lower levels of political recruitment, and lower feelings of political efficacy.[[8]](#footnote-8)

One methodological concern is that reported turnout may be underestimated due to how the Census Bureau treats non-responding households.[[9]](#footnote-9) Using adjustments to account for this possible underestimate, though, still reveals that disability turnout increased in 2022 while non-disability turnout decreased, so that the turnout gap became markedly smaller between 2018 and 2022.[[10]](#footnote-10)

**State voting rules**

Several states changed election policies and procedures between the 2018 and 2022 midterms. Five states switched from requiring excuses for mail ballots to a no-excuse system, while four switched from no-excuse to all-vote-by-mail systems. These changes in voting rules provide a useful opportunity to investigate the relationship between voting procedures and turnout.

As can be seen in the table below, turnout among people with disabilities improved significantly between 2018 and 2022 in the states that switched from excuse-required to no-excuse systems (6.9 points) and in those that switched from no-excuse to all-vote-by-mail systems (5.3 points). Their turnout did not change significantly in the states that did not switch systems. Among people without disabilities, turnout declined significantly in states that remained excuse-required (-2.9 points) or no-excuse (-2.5 points) and did not change significantly in states that switched systems.

A similar pattern exists in states implementing new voting laws in 2021 and 2022. This table shows that among states with “mostly improved access” in 2021 and 2022 (according to the [Voting Rights Lab](https://tracker.votingrightslab.org/)), turnout among people with disabilities improved significantly (4.4 points). Their turnout appeared to decrease by 1.8 points in states that “mostly restricted access,” but this change was within the margin of error, as were the small changes in disability turnout in states with “no major changes” or “mixed impact” of voting legislation. Among people without disabilities, turnout did not change significantly in states with “mostly improved access,” which was better than the significant turnout declines in states that “mostly restricted access” (-2.0 points) or had “no major changes” (-3.0 points) or “mixed impact” of voting legislation (-3.3 points).

While these results cannot establish clear causality (since other state-specific factors may be responsible for the changes in turnout), these pre/post comparisons are consistent with the idea that policies making it easier to vote are especially beneficial for increasing the turnout of people with disabilities.

**State voting rules and turnout by disability status**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| All figures represent voter turnout. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Disability | No Disability | Voter turnout change from 2018 to 2022 |   |
|   |   | 2018 | 2022 | 2018 | 2022 | Disability |  | No disability |   |
| State changes in mail ballots 2018-2022^ |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |
|   | Remained excuse required (15 states) | 46.0% | 46.3% | 50.5% | 47.6% | 0.3% |  | -2.9% | \* |
|   | Remained no-excuse (23 states + DC) | 50.0% | 49.6% | 55.6% | 53.1% | -0.4% |  | -2.5% | \* |
|   | Remained all vote by mail (3 states) | 58.8% | 60.7% | 60.7% | 63.0% | 1.9% |  | 2.4% |   |
|   | Changed from excuse required to no excuse (5 states) | 51.1% | 58.0% | 57.4% | 58.8% | 6.9% | \* | 1.5% |   |
|   | Changed from no excuse to all vote by mail (4 states) | 49.7% | 55.0% | 52.0% | 51.1% | 5.3% | \* | -0.9% |   |
|   |  |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |
| State changes in voting policies 2021-2022^^ |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |
|   | Mostly restricted access (11 states) | 51.4% | 49.6% | 52.6% | 50.6% | -1.8% |  | -2.0% | \* |
|   | No major changes (10 states) | 46.7% | 47.4% | 54.4% | 51.4% | 0.7% |  | -3.0% | \* |
|   | Mixed impact (6 states) | 48.6% | 48.2% | 53.5% | 50.2% | -0.4% |  | -3.3% | \* |
|   | Mostly improved access (23 states) | 49.4% | 53.8% | 54.7% | 54.0% | 4.4% | \* | -0.7% |   |
| \* 2018-2022 change is large enough to be outside 95% margin of error. |  |  |  |  |  |
| ^ Based on data from National Council of State Legislatures at https://www.ncsl.org/elections-and-campaigns/voting-outside-the-polling-place. |
| ^^ Based on data from Voting Rights Lab at https://votingrightslab.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/VRL-2022\_EOY-Report-AprilEdit.pdf.  |

**Breakdown by employment status and demographics**

Disability turnout grew among almost all employment and demographic categories from 2018 to 2022. There was no gap in voter turnout between employed people with and without disabilities in either year, indicating that employment helps provide resources and social contact that encourage voting. The disability voting gap was concentrated among the non-employed, as shown in the numbers below. The 2022 disability gap was also:

* larger among women than among men, reflecting especially high voter turnout among women without disabilities;
* larger among white non-Hispanics than among other race and ethnicity groups;
* smaller among the youngest (18-34) and oldest (65+) age groups

The strongest improvement was among young voters with disabilities, where the disability gap decreased from -13.2 points in 2018 to -7.0 points in 2022.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Disability | No disability | Disability gap |
|    | 2018 | 2022 | 2018 | 2022 | 2018 |  | 2022 |  |
| Overall | 49.3% | 50.9% | 54.0% | 52.4% | -4.8% | \* | -1.5% | \* |
|   |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |  |
| Employed | 53.1% | 52.6% | 54.5% | 52.3% | -1.4% |  | 0.3% |  |
| Not employed | 48.1% | 49.9% | 53.9% | 54.3% | -5.7% | \* | -4.4% | \* |
|   |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |  |
| Women | 48.8% | 50.2% | 55.9% | 53.5% | -7.0% | \* | -3.3% | \* |
| Men | 49.8% | 51.7% | 52.1% | 51.2% | -2.3% | \* | 0.4% |  |
|   |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |  |
| White non-Hispanic | 51.2% | 53.8% | 58.4% | 58.3% | -7.2% | \* | -4.5% | \* |
| Black non-Hispanic | 49.2% | 46.3% | 51.7% | 45.5% | -2.6% |  | 0.8% |  |
| Hispanic | 40.1% | 41.2% | 40.4% | 37.5% | -0.4% |  | 3.7% |  |
| Other | 38.8% | 40.5% | 42.3% | 41.1% | -3.5% |  | -0.7% |  |
|   |   |  |   |   |  |  |   |  |
| Age 18-34 | 25.6% | 28.1% | 38.8% | 35.1% | -13.2% | \* | -7.0% | \* |
| Age 35-49 | 37.6% | 34.6% | 53.6% | 51.4% | -16.0% | \* | -16.9% | \* |
| Age 50-64 | 48.0% | 50.4% | 62.6% | 61.3% | -14.6% | \* | -10.9% | \* |
| Age 65+ | 57.6% | 60.0% | 69.6% | 69.6% | -12.1% | \* | -9.5% | \* |
|   |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |  |
| Northeast | 47.7% | 54.1% | 53.9% | 53.8% | -6.2% | \* | 0.3% |  |
| Midwest | 50.2% | 49.2% | 56.9% | 54.9% | -6.7% | \* | -5.7% | \* |
| South | 47.4% | 47.3% | 52.1% | 49.1% | -4.7% | \* | -1.8% |  |
| West | 53.2% | 57.0% | 54.7% | 54.4% | -1.5% |  | 2.6% | \* |

\*Disability gap is large enough to be outside 95% margin of error.

**Whether voted by mail and on election day**

 Among voters with disabilities in 2022, only 44% voted at the polling place on election day, compared to 51% of those without disabilities. They were instead more likely to vote by mail before election day (37% compared to 28%), reflecting the mobility problems faced by some people with disabilities. There was no significant difference between people with and without disabilities in voting early in person.

 Between 2018 and 2022, there was a large increase in voting by mail before election day among people with disabilities (29% to 37%) and those without disabilities (20% to 28%). This increase was very similar for people with and without disabilities, so the disability gap in voting by mail before election day was very similar in 2018 and 2022 (both about nine percentage points). Except for the percentage voting at a polling place before election day, the disability gaps in both years are strong enough to be outside the survey’s margin of error.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Disability | No disability |  | Disability gap |  |
| How voted | 2018 | 2022 | 2018 | 2022 | 2018 |  | 2022 |  |
|  |   |  |   |   |   |  |   |  |
| At polling place on election day | 52.9% | 43.5% | 60.9% | 50.9% | -8.0% | \* | -7.4% | \* |
| At polling place before election day | 16.4% | 17.6% | 16.8% | 18.2% | -0.4% |  | -0.6% |  |
| By mail before election day | 29.4% | 37.1% | 20.3% | 28.1% | 9.2% | \* | 9.0% | \* |
| By mail on election day | 1.3% | 1.8% | 2.0% | 2.8% | -0.8% | \* | -1.0% | \* |

\*Disability gap is large enough to be outside 95% margin of error.

**State Breakdowns in Voter Turnout**

The voter turnout gap between people with and without disabilities varied by state, as shown in the breakdown below. It should be cautioned that the sample size is low in many states, which increases the margin of error and decreases the likelihood of finding a disability gap that exceeds the margin of error. The disability gap in 2022 was large enough to be outside the margin of error (indicated by an “\*”) in 9 states and was within the margin of error in the remaining 41 states and the District of Columbia.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Disability | No Disability | Disability Gap |   |
|   | 2018 | 2022 | 2018 | 2022 | 2018 |   | 2022 |   |
| U.S. | 49.3% | 50.9% | 54.0% | 52.4% | -4.8% |  | -1.5% |   |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |
| Alabama | 48.2% | 44.4% | 51.1% | 45.6% | -2.9% |  | -1.2% |   |
| Alaska | 52.6% | 57.1% | 52.8% | 54.3% | -0.3% |  | 2.9% |   |
| Arizona | 59.5% | 61.2% | 58.8% | 55.1% | 0.7% |  | 6.2% |   |
| Arkansas | 45.5% | 46.0% | 42.1% | 43.5% | 3.4% |  | 2.4% |   |
| California | 49.5% | 54.1% | 52.1% | 51.2% | -2.6% |  | 2.9% |   |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |
| Colorado | 59.0% | 55.5% | 58.0% | 62.0% | 0.9% |  | -6.5% |   |
| Connecticut | 53.1% | 39.5% | 54.1% | 50.7% | -1.0% |  | -11.1% |   |
| Delaware | 47.2% | 51.3% | 52.3% | 54.7% | -5.2% |  | -3.4% |   |
| Florida | 51.6% | 47.8% | 52.8% | 49.2% | -1.1% |  | -1.4% |   |
| Georgia | 53.7% | 49.9% | 56.1% | 57.8% | -2.4% |  | -7.9% | \* |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |
| Hawaii | 47.0% | 48.8% | 43.6% | 50.2% | 3.4% |  | -1.4% |   |
| Idaho | 39.6% | 55.2% | 49.2% | 47.3% | -9.5% | \* | 7.9% |   |
| Illinois | 53.7% | 47.5% | 52.9% | 52.8% | 0.9% |  | -5.2% |   |
| Indiana | 42.5% | 38.6% | 50.5% | 42.4% | -8.0% | \* | -3.9% |   |
| Iowa | 54.4% | 47.9% | 60.4% | 52.4% | -6.0% |  | -4.5% |   |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |
| Kansas | 53.4% | 58.7% | 57.4% | 59.5% | -4.0% |  | -0.8% |   |
| Kentucky | 45.4% | 54.5% | 55.6% | 51.8% | -10.2% | \* | 2.7% |   |
| Louisiana | 45.6% | 49.2% | 50.6% | 48.0% | -5.0% |  | 1.2% |   |
| Maine | 65.2% | 55.4% | 65.7% | 65.9% | -0.5% |  | -10.5% | \* |
| Maryland | 41.8% | 61.8% | 55.8% | 57.3% | -14.1% | \* | 4.4% |   |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |
| Massachusetts | 47.8% | 59.3% | 56.5% | 56.4% | -8.7% | \* | 3.0% |   |
| Michigan | 54.7% | 58.2% | 60.2% | 64.2% | -5.5% |  | -6.0% |   |
| Minnesota | 45.7% | 57.1% | 65.2% | 64.5% | -19.5% | \* | -7.4% |   |
| Mississippi | 53.4% | 43.5% | 54.3% | 47.1% | -0.9% |  | -3.5% |   |
| Missouri | 51.3% | 48.6% | 55.7% | 53.6% | -4.4% |  | -5.0% |   |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |
| Montana | 63.4% | 61.7% | 63.9% | 55.8% | -0.5% |  | 5.9% |   |
| Nebraska | 42.2% | 48.4% | 52.0% | 48.8% | -9.8% | \* | -0.4% |   |
| Nevada | 49.6% | 63.1% | 48.6% | 49.3% | 1.0% |  | 13.8% | \* |
| New Hampshire | 46.9% | 47.7% | 57.2% | 60.7% | -10.3% | \* | -13.0% | \* |
| New Jersey | 42.0% | 49.3% | 55.4% | 50.6% | -13.4% | \* | -1.3% |   |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |
| New Mexico | 51.4% | 49.8% | 47.5% | 55.0% | 3.9% |  | -5.2% |   |
| New York | 43.6% | 51.3% | 50.3% | 48.8% | -6.7% | \* | 2.5% |   |
| North Carolina | 44.9% | 41.7% | 53.5% | 46.3% | -8.6% | \* | -4.5% |   |
| North Dakota | 61.6% | 56.3% | 61.9% | 51.4% | -0.3% |  | 4.8% |   |
| Ohio | 45.9% | 42.2% | 53.7% | 48.9% | -7.8% | \* | -6.7% | \* |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |
| Oklahoma | 47.5% | 46.2% | 49.8% | 47.2% | -2.3% |  | -1.0% |   |
| Oregon | 57.0% | 59.4% | 61.9% | 71.9% | -4.9% |  | -12.5% | \* |
| Pennsylvania | 51.7% | 59.5% | 55.1% | 60.1% | -3.4% |  | -0.5% |   |
| Rhode Island | 47.2% | 55.9% | 52.1% | 54.1% | -4.9% |  | 1.8% |   |
| South Carolina | 46.6% | 38.7% | 49.1% | 46.1% | -2.5% |  | -7.4% | \* |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |
| South Dakota | 46.8% | 57.6% | 52.5% | 52.9% | -5.7% |  | 4.7% |   |
| Tennessee | 38.5% | 41.6% | 51.4% | 45.1% | -13.0% | \* | -3.5% |   |
| Texas | 48.2% | 48.1% | 48.4% | 46.8% | -0.2% |  | 1.3% |   |
| Utah | 53.9% | 59.3% | 58.1% | 51.9% | -4.2% |  | 7.4% |   |
| Vermont | 45.1% | 49.9% | 56.3% | 64.1% | -11.2% | \* | -14.2% | \* |
|   |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |
| Virginia | 47.4% | 53.2% | 58.9% | 53.2% | -11.5% | \* | 0.0% |   |
| Washington  | 60.0% | 64.5% | 62.1% | 58.9% | -2.1% |  | 5.7% |   |
| Washington, D.C. | 46.7% | 60.1% | 62.9% | 63.4% | -16.2% | \* | -3.2% |   |
| West Virginia | 37.6% | 34.2% | 45.7% | 39.3% | -8.1% | \* | -5.1% |   |
| Wisconsin | 55.4% | 50.9% | 65.8% | 62.6% | -10.4% | \* | -11.7% | \* |
| Wyoming | 50.9% | 43.1% | 52.3% | 49.9% | -1.4% |   | -6.9% |   |
| \*Disability gap is large enough to be outside 95% margin of error. |

**Voter Registration**

The disability voting gap is partly due to lower voter registration but more so a lower likelihood of voting if registered. Among people with disabilities, 68.7% were registered to vote, only 0.5 points lower than the rate for people without disabilities. Among those who were registered, 74.1% voted, which was 1.6 points lower than for registered people without disabilities. People with disabilities were more likely than those without disabilities to have registered at a town hall or registration office, by mail, at a polling place, registration drive, or public assistance agency. They are less likely than people without disabilities to have registered at a department of motor vehicles, using the internet, or at a school, hospital, or on campus.

Each of these disability gaps is strong enough to be outside the survey’s margin of error, except for the overall gap in being registered to vote.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|   | Disability | No Disability | Disability Gap |  |
| Registered to vote | 68.7% | 69.2% | -0.5% |  |
| Voted if registered | 74.1% | 75.7% | -1.6% | \* |
| How registered to vote: |   |  |   |  |
| At a department of motor vehicles | 29.6% | 37.7% | -8.1% | \* |
| Went to a town hall or county/ government registration office | 22.5% | 15.4% | 7.1% | \* |
| Registered by mail | 17.5% | 15.6% | 1.9% | \* |
| Registered at polling place | 6.8% | 5.8% | 1.0% | \* |
| Filled out form at a registration drive | 4.4% | 3.4% | 0.9% | \* |
| At a school, hospital, or on campus | 3.8% | 4.5% | -0.8% | \* |
| Registered using the Internet or online | 7.0% | 12.1% | -5.1% | \* |
| At a public assistance agency | 2.0% | 1.1% | 0.9% | \* |
| Other | 6.5% | 4.3% | 2.2% | \* |

\*Disability gap is large enough to be outside 95% margin of error.

**Why people were not registered**

The most commonly expressed reason for not registering to vote among people with and without disabilities was a lack of interest in the election or politics. About one-fourth of people with disabilities (26%) gave “permanent illness or disability” as their reason for not being registered.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| If not registered to vote, why not: | Disability | No Disability | Disability Gap |  |
|   |  |  |   |  |
| Not interested in the election or not involved in politics | 32.6% | 44.9% | -12.4% | \* |
| Permanent illness or disability | 26.3% | 1.4% | 24.9% | \* |
| Not eligible to vote | 6.6% | 10.1% | -3.5% | \* |
| Did not meet registration deadlines | 5.7% | 12.9% | -7.1% | \* |
|   |   |  |   |  |
| My vote would not make a difference | 4.8% | 4.2% | 0.7% |  |
| Did not know where or how to register | 3.1% | 4.7% | -1.6% | \* |
| Difficulty with English | 1.7% | 1.5% | 0.1% |  |
| Did not meet residency requirements/did not live here long enough | 2.9% | 4.2% | -1.3% | \* |
| Other reason | 16.2% | 16.0% | 0.3% |  |

\*Disability gap is large enough to be outside 95% margin of error.

**Why people did not vote if registered**

Among those who were registered to vote but did not do so in November 2022, about one-third (36%) of people with disabilities gave “illness or disability” as the reason for not voting, compared to 8% of people without disabilities. People with disabilities were also more likely to cite transportation problems as a reason for not voting (6% compared to 2%), consistent with their higher rate of voting by mail. They were less likely than people without disabilities to say they were not interested, too busy, out of town, forgot, or expected inconvenience.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Why didn't vote | Disability | No Disability | Disability Gap |  |
|   |  |  |   |  |
| Illness or disability (own or family's) | 35.9% | 9.0% | 27.0% | \* |
| Not interested, felt my vote wouldn't make a difference | 15.4% | 18.6% | -3.3% | \* |
| Transportation problems | 6.2% | 1.5% | 4.7% | \* |
| Too busy, conflicting work or school schedule | 10.9% | 30.1% | -19.3% | \* |
| Didn't like candidates or campaign issues | 7.5% | 5.5% | 1.9% | \* |
|   |   |  |   |  |
| Forgot to vote (or send in absentee ballot) | 5.2% | 8.5% | -3.3% | \* |
| Out of town or away from home | 3.6% | 9.4% | -5.8% | \* |
| Registration problems (i.e., didn't receive absentee ballot, not registered in current location) | 2.4% | 2.5% | -0.1% |  |
| Inconvenient hours, polling place or hours or lines too long | 1.6% | 2.7% | -1.1% | \* |
| Bad weather conditions | 0.7% | 0.3% | 0.4% |  |
| Other | 10.8% | 11.9% | -1.1% |  |

\*Disability gap is large enough to be outside 95% margin of error.
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